EDITORIAL

Diagnostic imaging: from art to science?

THIS issue of the *Journal of Small Animal Practice* is dedicated to diagnostic imaging and related subjects, something most of us deal with on a daily basis in small animal practice. We have come a long way in a relatively short time period in this discipline and the articles in this issue give an excellent opportunity to review the current status as well as the past and future challenges.

**Legacies from the past**

When radiography was more or less the only diagnostic imaging modality available to clinicians, there was an artistic value attributed to the production and interpretation of those precious images that is lost in today’s world. There were good and bad sides to it. Hand-developing a film, a fast dying art, requires skill and attention to detail to produce a high quality image, and a few of us still do this.

Radiographs have an intuitive quality that renders them at risk of being taken at face value. When we readily recognise many imaging features as anatomic structures further questioning often seems unnecessary (at least that’s my theory). This attitude is reflected in many older scientific papers, where the statistical basis of the results was often rather meagre. Unfortunately, some repercussions of those pioneering studies are still felt today: bronchial mineralisation in dogs, sternal contact for assessment of heart size, osteopenia in hyperadrenocorticism, Codman’s triangle in bone tumours, rounded hepatic margins, reverse pleural fissure lines, and the list goes on... Despite the fact that earlier assumptions about these radiographic signs and methods have never been proven, or in some cases even disproved, they are still frequently reiterated in daily practice.

Like a piece of abstract art, a radiograph shows very little of a complex object (in our case the patient) and leaves a lot of room for overreading. In the absence of rigid statistical criteria some of those subtle, poorly reproducible radiographic features have slipped into articles and textbooks. They often remain in our current day terminology despite the fact that few of us can recognise or correctly interpret them. Don’t you wish the term *interstitial lung pattern* with further classification into *reticular, reticulonodular* and *striated patterns* had never been invented? How wide is a *widened gastro-duodenal angle* (a sign of pancreatitis)? Do *punctate lucencies* really exist in canine nasal aspergillosis?

It is time to put those often astute observations to the test. Are they reproducible, specific and sensitive signs of disease? Several articles in this issue of *JSAP* do just this.

**Current trends and challenges for the future**

Today we have numerous diagnostic imaging modalities at our disposal either in our practices or via referral centres. We have to make choices, on what method to use for which diagnostic problem, based on solid scientific evidence. In this issue Penning and others (2006) investigate the correlation of spinal cord compression seen on MRI with the neurological status of the patient. Common assumptions are refuted or proven based on good science.

It is impressive to see the number of animals enrolled in many of the studies presented in this issue. Gibbons and others (2006) investigated 196 canine myelograms for the value of oblique views, Leppännen and others (2006) performed a trial for a sedation protocol for radiographic procedures with 127 dogs and Beccaglia and Luvoni (2006) examined 315 ultrasonographic examinations. With those numbers, it is not surprising that meaningful conclusions can be drawn from the results of those studies.

People with an interest in imaging often make good anatomists. We have to, since they don’t often make the real ones anymore! Macroscopic anatomy, once a mainstay of veterinary education has become sidelined by the multitude of other subjects to be covered in the curriculum, and subsequently the anatomic skill level in our profession has, in my opinion, declined. It does matter, because we risk losing ground in descriptive accuracy against what we have just gained by raising the bar for statistical evidence. A head is not the same as a skull. In the head, *rostral* is used as a directional term, *cranial* to describe the braincase... It is up to us to keep up those standards! The article by Watine and others (2006) who examine the optimal location for implantation corridors in canine vertebrae for fracture management exemplifies how relevant exact anatomical information is for clinical case management in today’s world.

Future challenges are many. Previous, current and future imaging modalities should be compared and assessed critically for
their intended purposes. Having said this, we ought to leave some room for the artistic component of imaging. It’s a major motivational factor for our job. Compare the volume rendered 3D computed tomography image of a canine skull on the cover of this issue (De Lorenzi and others 2006) with the radiograph of two fish (Fig 1) obtained in 1896 by Eder and Valenta (Mayrhofer and Bohrs 1995). We can still have fun making pretty images!
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