Quantitative proteomics of delirium cerebrospinal fluid
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Delirium is a common cause and complication of hospitalization in older people, being associated with higher risk of future dementia and progression of existing dementia. However relatively little data are available on which biochemical pathways are dysregulated in the brain during delirium episodes, whether there are protein expression changes common among delirium subjects and whether there are any changes which correlate with the severity of delirium. We now present the first proteomic analysis of delirium cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and one of few studies exploring protein expression changes in delirium. More than 270 proteins were identified in two delirium cohorts, 16 of which were dysregulated in at least 8 of 17 delirium subjects compared with a mild Alzheimer’s disease neurological control group, and 31 proteins were significantly correlated with cognitive scores (mini-mental state exam and acute physiology and chronic health evaluation III). Bioinformatics analyses revealed expression changes in several protein family groups, including apolipoproteins, secretogranins/chromogranins, clotting/fibrinolysis factors, serine protease inhibitors and acute-phase response elements. These data not only provide confirmatory evidence that the inflammatory response is a component of delirium, but also reveal dysregulation of protein expression in a number of novel and unexpected clusters of proteins, in particular the granins. Another surprising outcome of this work is the level of similarity of CSF protein profiles in delirium patients, given the diversity of causes of this syndrome. These data provide additional elements for consideration in the pathophysiology of delirium as well as potential biomarker candidates for delirium diagnosis.
ward after admission from the Emergency Department, which is the source of 99% of Geriatric Medicine ward patients in our hospital. As part of the standard clinical care in this unit, patients suffering from delirium, not resolving after more than 5 days of treatment, are considered for additional investigations, including lumbar puncture. This procedure is discussed with their ‘person responsible’ or substitute decision maker, and the patient where possible, who gave written consent. For the purposes of this study, a portion of the CSF obtained from hospitalized patients with current delirium for clinical purposes, was stored. Patients with delirium had mild-to-moderate levels of disorder on the basis of the delirium index score (DIS), and this was the severity scale measure used for comparison with proteomics data. Baseline characteristics of Sydney and Edinburgh Delirium Study groups are shown in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of Sydney and Edinburgh Delirium Study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sydney Delirium Study</th>
<th>Delirium, mean±s.d.</th>
<th>Dementia, mean±s.d.</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>80.3±9.0</td>
<td>83.1±5.8</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F:M)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-mental state exam (/30)</td>
<td>15.6±4.4</td>
<td>21.5±4.6</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informant questionnaire on cognitive decline (/5)</td>
<td>4.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.7±0.4</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion assessment method</td>
<td>6.1±1.3</td>
<td>0.0±0.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delirium index</td>
<td>15.6±4.8</td>
<td>2.9±1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE III (acute physiology and chronic health evaluation III) index</td>
<td>47.6±23.2</td>
<td>30.6±3.6</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale (/15)</td>
<td>5.6±1.4</td>
<td>6.7±2.5</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barthel score (/20)</td>
<td>17.4±3.8</td>
<td>19.1±1.8</td>
<td>0.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental activities of daily living (/12)</td>
<td>6.1±4.1</td>
<td>8.5±2.7</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton comorbid index</td>
<td>6.2±2.5</td>
<td>6.4±2.9</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Edinburgh Delirium Study</th>
<th>Delirium, mean±s.d.</th>
<th>Normal controls, mean±s.d.</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>83.6±10.1</td>
<td>81.1±10.84</td>
<td>0.685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F:M)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-mental state exam (/30)*</td>
<td>19.6±10.5</td>
<td>26.9±1.8</td>
<td>0.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informant questionnaire on cognitive decline (/5)</td>
<td>3.3±0.4</td>
<td>3.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative DRS-R98</td>
<td>19.6±9.1</td>
<td>5.3±1.9</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE II (acute physiology and chronic health evaluation II) index*</td>
<td>9.4±2.3</td>
<td>7.3±1.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawton instrumental activities of daily living</td>
<td>5.2±2.3</td>
<td>6.9±1.1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All demographics data were compared using a two-tailed t-test, except for sex distribution, which was tested using the Fisher’s exact test variant of the χ².

*Postoperative day 1 MMSE and DRS-R98 are shown here for the Edinburgh delirium study.

CSF samples were collected from the Sydney study group by lumbar puncture on the ward or under X-ray guidance, using local anaesthetic but no sedation or restraining devices and from the Edinburgh study group by lumbar puncture administered to induce spinal anaesthesia for the repair of their neck of femur fracture. CSF samples were transported from Edinburgh to Sydney on dry ice and all laboratory-based experiments using both cohorts, including proteomics, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and total protein assay, were carried out in the Bioanalytical Mass Spectrometry Facility, School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales. The CSF was centrifuged, aliquoted and stored at –80 °C until required. The total protein level of CSF samples was assayed using the bicinchoninic acid assay purchased from Pierce (Sydney, NSW, Australia) and following the manufacturer’s instructions exactly. Bovine serum albumin was used to construct the standard curve (0.025–2 mg ml⁻¹ concentration range, triplicate standards), and the absorbance read at a wavelength of 595 nm. A third-order polynomial curve was fitted, with an r² typically > 0.99 and the equation used to calculate protein concentrations in CSF.

### Conclusion

The Edinburgh study was approved by the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee. CSF collection and total protein assay

CSF samples were collected from the Sydney study group by lumbar puncture on the ward or under X-ray guidance, using local anaesthetic but no sedation or restraining devices and from the Edinburgh study group by lumbar puncture administered to induce spinal anaesthesia for the repair of their neck of femur fracture. CSF samples were transported from Edinburgh to Sydney on dry ice and all laboratory-based experiments using both cohorts, including proteomics, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and total protein assay, were carried out in the Bioanalytical Mass Spectrometry Facility, School of Medical Sciences, University of New South Wales. The CSF was centrifuged, aliquoted and stored at –80 °C until required. The total protein level of CSF samples was assayed using the bicinchoninic acid assay purchased from Pierce (Sydney, NSW, Australia) and following the manufacturer’s instructions exactly. Bovine serum albumin was used to construct the standard curve (0.025–2 mg ml⁻¹ concentration range, triplicate standards), and the absorbance read at a wavelength of 595 nm. A third-order polynomial curve was fitted, with an r² typically > 0.99 and the equation used to calculate protein concentrations in CSF.

# iTRAQ, two-dimensional liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and data analysis

Isobaric labelling using iTRAQ reagents, two-dimensional liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry and data analysis were performed according to published approaches. An 8-plex iTRAQ design was used, which represents the maximum number of iTRAQ isobaric tag reagents available for any single experiment. With 17 delirium subjects and additional controls, a minimum of three iTRAQ experiments were therefore required (experiments 1 and 2 using Sydney study subjects and experiment 3 using Edinburgh study subjects). The experimental design is outlined in Supplementary Table 2, showing which iTRAQ tag was used for the operation to repair their hip fracture. Baseline assessment of Sydney and Edinburgh Delirium Study groups is shown in Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2.
used for each subject. The 119 iTRAQ tag in each experiment was the mild AD control, which was used as the denominator for all iTRAQ ratios in both the Sydney and Edinburgh groups to facilitate comparison across groups. A detailed description of sample preparation and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis is provided in the Supplementary Section.

ELISA assay of α-1-acid glycoprotein

To partially validate the iTRAQ proteomics data using an independent method, an ELISA was carried out using additional delirium and AD subjects from the Sydney cohort. An Assaypro AGP ELISA kit specific for human α-1-acid glycoprotein (Saint Charles, MO, USA) was used, following the manufacturer’s instructions. This assay has a detection limit of ~0.06 µg ml⁻¹ and intra- and inter-assay CV% of 4.7 and 7.3, respectively. CSF samples were diluted (1:100) using the kit mix diluent before assay. All data used for statistical analysis fell within the range of the standard curve. Log data were used for both concentration and absorbance values, and a third-order polynomial curve was fitted to the standards (r² = 0.997), with a concentration range of 0.063–4 µg ml⁻¹.

Statistics and bioinformatics; DAVID and STRING analyses

SPSS 20 was used to perform the Levine’s test and t-test analyses of the alpha-1-acidic glycoprotein ELISA data, and to compare basal characteristics of the delirium vs dementia or delirium vs no delirium subjects in the Sydney and Edinburgh study groups, respectively. The Fisher’s exact test variant of the χ² was used to compare ratios of male to female subjects. The list of proteins deregulated in delirium relative to mild dementia which were identified by ProteinPilot analysis were further evaluated using WEB-based bioinformatics tools DAVID v6.7,32,33 and STRING v9.05.34 DAVID software determines whether statistically significant enrichment of specific protein functional clusters occurs within a target group of proteins relative to a control group (we used the full human genome as control). The STRING clustering tool was used to explore currently known associations between proteins, indicated in the scientific literature. However in the absence of literature-based evidence for specific connections, some proteins may miss inclusion in a cluster. Therefore in addition to using the clustering function, the STRING tool was used to explore enrichment of biological processes, molecular functions, cellular components and KEGG pathways. Significance of enrichment was based on a P-value of at least 0.05 using FDR correction. The regression analysis tool in the Microsoft XL data analysis package was used to perform regression analysis on the iTRAQ scores vs MMSE, APACHE III and DIS values.

RESULTS

Group demographics

The subject demographics are shown in Table 1. There were 17 delirium, 17 AD and 8 normal control subjects used for iTRAQ experiments. Psychometric performance of the Sydney cohort of delirium and dementia subjects significantly differed on four instruments (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2); MMSE (in which dementia subject scores were significantly higher than those of delirium subjects), CAM, DIS and APACHE III Index, in all three of which delirium subjects had higher scores than dementia subjects (Supplementary Table 2). In the Edinburgh study, the delirium subjects had a lower mean MMSE score and higher postoperative DRS-R98 and APACHE II scores relative to controls, however, only the postoperative DRS-R98 scores were significantly different (P = 0.025).

Summary of iTRAQ experiment outcomes

A total of 273 proteins were identified in three separate iTRAQ experiments (Supplementary Table 4), of which 89 were common to all three experiments. Approximately 12% had dysregulated protein expression in a minimum of eight subjects, however, many had dysregulated expression in just a few delirium patients (Figure 1). In two iTRAQ experiments, comprising subjects from the Sydney group, 26 proteins had dysregulated protein expression in at least 50% of delirium subjects relative to the mild AD neurological control group (Supplementary Table 3). When all three iTRAQ experiments were compared, comprising both the Sydney and Edinburgh groups, 16 proteins had dysregulated protein expression in eight or more subjects with delirium, across all three iTRAQ experiments and across both study groups (Table 2). Direction of expression change was the same for a few proteins, but most often a mixture of responses was observed (Table 2). Proteins with altered expression in at least 4 out of 17 delirium subjects were processed using the DAVIDv6.7 and STRING v9.05 bioinformatics tools to identify enrichment in specific functions (Supplementary Tables 1 and 5). Statistically significant enrichment in a variety of biological functions was identified, some of which were sample related, such as secreted and plasma proteins, but all the remaining clusters reflected specific functions, such as responses to wounding, inorganic substances and external stimulus, regeneration, protease inhibition, acute-phase response and high-density lipoprotein particle.

Expression changes of specific proteins

Proteins with altered expression levels in the majority of delirium subjects (Table 2) included alpha-1-antitypsin (SERPINA3) and alpha-1-acid glycoprotein 1 (ORM1), both of which were upregulated in the majority of delirium subjects. Several proteins were significantly downregulated in the majority of subjects, including secretogranin-1 (CHGB), apolipoprotein E (APOE), clusterin (CLU)—also known as apolipoprotein J and apolipoprotein A1 (APOA1). Subsequent bioinformatics analyses using STRING and DAVID software only included proteins with significantly altered expression level in at least 4 out of 17 of the delirium subjects. The MCL clustering function of the STRING
Table 2. Proteins identified in three iTRAQ experiments from two independent studies (Sydney Delirium Study and Edinburgh Delirium Study), with a total of 17 subjects with either mild or moderate-to-severe delirium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unused % Cov (95)</th>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Peptides (95%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>31.98</td>
<td>49.75</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.34</td>
<td>40.30</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.514</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.87</td>
<td>39.76</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.48</td>
<td>51.19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.71</td>
<td>58.02</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.78</td>
<td>61.48</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.33</td>
<td>72.49</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121.09</td>
<td>73.21</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138.84</td>
<td>81.48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>45.76</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.51</td>
<td>49.11</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.58</td>
<td>60.59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.79</td>
<td>10.97</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.06</td>
<td>57.69</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.05</td>
<td>61.48</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.06</td>
<td>68.42</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>1.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89.68</td>
<td>63.80</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109.93</td>
<td>51.15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157.59</td>
<td>52.56</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>157.37</td>
<td>51.19</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>1.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.18</td>
<td>43.15</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.52</td>
<td>43.42</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.58</td>
<td>46.20</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.14</td>
<td>39.88</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.49</td>
<td>39.88</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.43</td>
<td>93.88</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>1.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>58.45</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.54</td>
<td>41.7145</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.75</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.54</td>
<td>43.33</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.38</td>
<td>27.35</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>1.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.67</td>
<td>45.88</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.68</td>
<td>42.53</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.89</td>
<td>26.43</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51.65</td>
<td>47.70</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49.29</td>
<td>58.38</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.12</td>
<td>21.8421</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.10</td>
<td>59.57</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.43</td>
<td>34.13</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.14</td>
<td>40.8261</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.71</td>
<td>59.77</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>0.901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.61</td>
<td>67.79</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.56</td>
<td>52.43</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.16</td>
<td>71.15</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

v9.05 bioinformatics analysis tool identified four clusters, broadly representing: gransins, haptoglobin/haemoglobin complex, serpins and apolipoproteins (Figure 2a). Not all significantly deregulated proteins formed part of a STRING cluster, because literature evidence for an association is currently lacking. However sometimes non-clustered proteins are structurally or functionally linked to proteins which have formed part of a cluster. For example, in Figure 2a, SERPINS A1, C1 and F1 are clustered, but SERPIN A3 is not. Similarly NCA1 is clustered but NRCAM is not. It is very likely that these related proteins may be involved in similar processes, and our data set provides a good rationale for further investigation. Other proteins such as FAM3C and SPARCL1 do not cluster with any of the other proteins in the set, and for these it remains to be seen whether connections will emerge or if their links to delirium will be through other proteins and pathways, as yet not identified here. The clustering function has not identified direct connections for all the proteins; however, the STRING enrichment tool has identified biological process, molecular function, cellular component and/or KEGG pathway enrichment for all of the proteins (Supplementary Tables 5 and 6). This offers insight into the roles that particular protein groups may have, some of which include platelet degranulation/activation, response to wounding, inflammation, coagulation, enzyme activity, biological regulation, cholesterol and lipoprotein processing, neuronal regeneration and extracellular vesicle components (Supplementary Tables 5 and 6).
Many proteins appear across multiple groups, reflecting the multifunctional nature of most proteins.

ELISA of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein
ELISA assay of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein confirmed significant upregulation of expression in delirium CSF relative to AD subjects ($P = 0.026$ using a two-tailed $t$-test, assuming unequal variances). Mean values for delirium and AD subjects were 277.85 μg ml$^{-1}$ (s.e.m. 19.51, $n = 12$) and 207.44 μg ml$^{-1}$ (s.e.m. 22.81, $n = 18$), respectively.

Regression analyses; iTRAQ ratios vs cognition or delirium severity scores
To determine whether there would be any relationship between the 89 proteins identified in all three iTRAQ experiments (both Sydney and Edinburgh study groups), and level of cognitive impairment or delirium severity, regression analysis of iTRAQ ratio vs MMSE, APACHE score and delirium index were performed (Table 3). Several proteins had significant associations with cognitive level as measured by the MMSE score and/or the APACHE III disease severity measure (31 proteins in total). When this subset was processed using the STRING v9.05 bioinformatics tool, the granin cluster increased in number of component...
Table 3. Subset of proteins which have a significant regression ($P < 0.1$, and in the majority of cases $P < 0.05$) with iTRAQ ratio vs cognitive function (MMSE) and/or disease severity score (APACHE III, Sydney cohort only)

| MMSE score | PTGS2 | SERPINF1 | TTR | CST3 | AGT | APOA1 | APOG1 | APOE | APOH | BC01 | BC02 | BC03 | BC05 | HGSA | NACAM | IERP1N1 | APP7E | SERPING1 | LRG1 | NCPAM | SGAD | C16ORF1 | C4orf1 | L1CAM | ILEC1 |
|------------|-------|----------|-----|------|-----|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------|-------|------|--------|-------|
| 4          | 1.26  | 1.04     | 1.12 | 1.00 | 1.18 | 0.77  | 0.94  | 0.86 | 1.35 | 1.17 | 0.87 | 1.13 | 1.40 | 1.01 | 1.09 | 0.64  | 0.99  | 1.07  | 1.04 | 1.02  | 1.19 | 1.02  | 1.04 |
| 8          | 1.03  | 0.91     | 1.06 | 0.81 | 0.86 | 0.74  | 0.80  | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.63 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.89 | 0.96 | 0.40  | 0.80  | 1.08  | 0.88 | 0.94  | 0.87 | 0.94  | 0.86 |
| 12         | 0.76  | 0.83     | 0.92 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 0.69  | 1.22 | 0.67 | 1.28 | 0.46 | 0.63 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 0.64 | 0.82 | 0.90 | 0.71  | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.73 | 0.81 | 0.93 | 0.71 |
| 15         | 0.75  | 0.83     | 1.06 | 0.92 | 0.68 | 0.81  | 1.00 | 0.60 | 1.06 | 0.28 | 0.71 | 0.81 | 0.95 | 0.64 | 0.97 | 0.69 | 0.99  | 0.67 | 0.97 | 0.62 | 0.71 | 0.89 | 0.96 |
| 18         | 0.86  | 0.95     | 0.99 | 0.93 | 0.96 | 0.97  | 0.68 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 1.26 | 0.94 | 1.04 | 1.16 | 0.90 | 0.90  | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 0.89 |
| 21         | 0.67  | 1.02     | 1.18 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.64  | 1.23 | 0.72 | 1.32 | 0.67 | 0.82 | 1.12 | 0.70 | 0.82 | 0.68 | 0.84  | 1.11 | 0.85 | 0.57 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 0.79 |
| 15         | 1.09  | 1.14     | 1.34 | 1.03 | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.89 | 0.82 | 0.93 | 0.74 | 1.25 | 0.93 | 1.08 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 0.86 | 1.05  | 1.03 | 0.82 | 1.02 | 0.86 | 0.89 | 0.90 |
| 21         | 0.51  | 1.30     | 1.49 | 0.74 | 0.83 | 0.51 | 1.41 | 0.05 | 1.46 | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.72 | 1.09 | 0.49 | 0.72 | 0.96 | 0.62  | 1.01 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.61 | 0.88 | 0.54 |
| 18         | 0.84  | 0.88     | 0.95 | 0.70 | 0.68 | 1.23 | 0.69 | 0.58 | 0.56 | 1.5    | 0.75 | 0.96 | 0.63 | 0.79 | 0.70 | 1.37 | 1.19  | 0.85 | 0.77 | 0.82 | 0.87 | 0.54 | 0.89 |
| 27         | 1.01  | 1.01     | 1.20 | 1.03 | 0.87 | 0.85 | 0.54 | 0.78 | 0.73 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 1.24 | 1.57 | 1.13 | 1.02 | 1.16 | 0.98  | 0.78 | 1.10 | 0.87 | 0.77 | 1.06 | 1.24 |
| 21         | 1.60  | 1.09     | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.08 | 1.04 | 0.59 | 0.87 | 1.23 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 1.22 | 1.13 | 1.18 | 1.19 | 0.87  | 1.14 | 1.06 | 1.17 | 1.09 | 1.07 | 1.06 |
| 24         | 1.36  | 1.09     | 1.51 | 1.20 | 0.87 | 0.62 | 0.69 | 1.16 | 0.86 | 1.09 | 0.16 | 1.21 | 0.97 | 1.12 | 1.10 | 0.97 | 0.96  | 0.96 | 0.96 | 1.07 | 1.01 | 1.08 | 0.97 |
| 25         | 1.39  | 1.14     | 1.08 | 1.15 | 1.18 | 0.77 | 0.93 | 1.14 | 1.30 | 1.34 | 1.24 | 1.37 | 1.38 | 1.31 | 1.09 | 1.65 | 0.83  | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.05 | 0.96 | 1.12 | 1.13 |
| 27         | 2.04  | 1.20     | 1.51 | 0.99 | 1.30 | 0.75 | 0.81 | 1.57 | 1.03 | 1.09 | 0.98 | 1.04 | 1.02 | 1.03 | 0.99 | 0.99  | 1.08  | 1.13 | 1.28 | 1.53 | 0.82 | 1.04 | 1.16 |
| 22         | 1.18  | 1.28     | 1.23 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 0.94 | 0.79 | 0.52 | 0.89 | 1.29 | 1.10 | 1.12 | 1.28 | 1.35 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 1.17  | 0.45 | 1.17 | 1.16 | 0.88 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
| 29         | 1.71  | 1.31     | 1.27 | 1.19 | 0.79 | 0.76 | 0.72 | 1.39 | 1.34 | 1.24 | 1.13 | 1.49 | 2.49 | 1.41 | 1.22 | 1.19 | 0.87  | 1.23 | 1.21 | 0.99 | 1.36 | 1.19 | 1.15 |

Regression analysis with delirium severity score (DIS/DRS) was also performed, but in the majority of cases, the $r^2$ was $< 0.1$ and in only two instances was $P < 0.01$ achieved (C3 complement $P = 0.087$, PLG $P = 0.024$). The full iTRAQ list appears in Supplementary Table 4 (Supplementary Information). The yellow, blue and red coloured row headers contain the clustered proteins represented in Figure 2b. The lighter blue shading in the body of the table represents iTRAQ ratios $< 1$ and the darker blue shading iTRAQ ratios $> 1$. 
proteins (Figure 2b), whereas other clusters either diminished considerably in size or disappeared altogether.

**DISCUSSION**

**Proteomics of delirium**

Our current work is the first iTRAQ quantitative proteomics analysis of delirium CSF. More than 270 proteins were identified with a high level of confidence. Of these, about 10% had dysregulated protein expression levels in 50% or more of delirium subjects relative to the mild AD neurological control group. In total, 26 proteins were identified with dysregulated protein expression in at least six out of 12 delirium subjects from the Sydney Delirium Study (Supplementary Table 3). This relatively modest percentage of the CSF proteome dysregulated by disease is typical in proteomics studies with a case–control design, where 5–20% dysregulation is common. It is important to note that out of the dysregulated proteins subset, about 58% were identified in only one to three subjects, and only 12% were identified in eight or more delirium subjects (Figure 1). Several functional clusters of proteins were identified in the subset of proteins dysregulated in eight or more subjects. These included apolipoproteins and chromogranin/secretogranins (dysregulated in most delirium subjects) and inflammation-related proteins (mostly upregulated in delirium). The Sydney Delirium Study also included a neurological comparison group (moderate AD), and interestingly, the majority of proteins which were dysregulated in delirium were unaffected in moderate AD relative to the mild AD control group. The inference we make from this observation is that even though the two disorders are related (that is, risk factors for each other), on a molecular level there are distinguishing features. However, our cohort did not include severe AD, and it is possible that this distinction might disappear with increasing AD severity. To determine if the proteomic changes we observed would be replicated in an independent study group, from a different geographical region, a cohort of subjects from Edinburgh were assessed. In addition to delirium subjects, the Edinburgh study included control subjects who had also sustained a hip fracture but did not develop delirium. There was no outpatient AD group in the Edinburgh study. In the Edinburgh study, a total of seven proteins had a significantly dysregulated expression level at least three out of five delirium subjects. If the low normal group is also included then 14 proteins were dysregulated in at least three out of six subjects. There was considerable overlap with the dysregulated proteins identified in the Sydney Delirium Study, including apolipoproteins, chromogranin/secretogranins and inflammation-related proteins.

Considering both Sydney and Edinburgh studies together, a total of 16 proteins were identified, in which significantly dysregulated protein expression was observed in at least eight out of 17 delirium subjects (Table 2). Of particular interest are several protein functional clusters which emerged, including proteins associated with inflammation, granins, apolipoproteins, clotting factors, protease inhibitors and regulatory proteins. Though members of these protein families have previously been explored in neurodegenerative diseases, none have been studied in the context of delirium.

**Markers of inflammation**

Significant upregulation of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein was observed in the majority of delirium subjects in both groups, and also in an additional batch of CSF samples from the Sydney study (n = 12 delirium, n = 18 AD), which were quantified by ELISA. Upregulation of alpha-1-acid glycoprotein appears to be an isoform-specific effect, as the iTRAQ study identified two isoforms, ORM1 and ORM2, but only ORM1 was upregulated in the majority of delirium subjects. Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein may be a specific biomarker for delirium, or at least the effects of systemic illness on the central nervous system, particularly as it does not appear to be dysregulated in dementia, even though inflammation is also a well-documented feature of AD. The ‘high normal’ control group subjects of the Edinburgh study also do not have dysregulated expression of ORM1. However these observations will require confirmation with analyses on larger numbers of subjects and further determination of disease specificity by comparison with other neurodegenerative conditions. Inflammation is thought to be one of the main causes of delirium, consequently it is not surprising that in addition to alpha-1-acid glycoprotein, the expression of several other acute-phase proteins were observed to be dysregulated in the majority of delirium subjects of both Sydney and Edinburgh studies, including: alpha-2-macroglobulin, fibrinogen, alpha-1-antitrypsin, alpha-1-antichymotrypsin, transferrin, complement component 3 and haptoglobin. Alpha-1-acid glycoprotein is of particular interest as it is a steroid carrier, binds a variety of drugs, and could be a potential target for drug treatment of delirium. Many of the acute-phase markers have dysregulated expression in delirium (Table 2), however none of them are significantly associated with either cognition (MMSE) or disease severity (APACHE III; Table 3), which suggests that they may be indicators of inflammation rather than specific to delirium pathophysiology.

**Chromogranins and secretogranins**

The majority of delirium subjects in both studies had significantly lower expression levels of chromogranin A, chromogranin B (secretogranin I) and secretogranin III. The moderate AD-pooled group (Sydney Delirium Study) had either downregulated or unchanged granin expression levels whereas the normal controls (Edinburgh Delirium Study) had upregulated granin levels relative to the mild AD neurological control. The chromogranins and secretogranins are a family of neuroendocrine secretory granule proteins. Their normal physiological role is not well understood, however, they are repositories of a variety of neuropeptides with hormone and neurotransmitter functions. They have not previously been identified in the context of delirium, however, their potential roles in the diagnosis of neurodegenerative diseases are attracting increased interest and more specific functions being identified, including binding to the Aβ peptides, well known for their role in AD neurodegeneration. Lower expression levels of the granins observed in this study may relate to disturbance of neurotransmission in delirium, providing evidence for a long-standing delirium hypothesis. Three of the granins (chromogranin A, secretogranin I and secretogranin III), which were dysregulated (mostly downregulated) in at least 8 out of 17 delirium subjects (Table 2), were also positively associated with MMSE score using regression analysis (Table 3). Furthermore several additional granins and associated proteins (CHI3L1, SCG2, SCG5, NCAM, PCSK1N) were significantly associated with MMSE and/or APACHE III even though their expression was dysregulated in fewer than eight delirium subjects. Together these observations suggest that lower granin levels might be associated with greater delirium severity.

**Apolipoproteins**

Three apolipoproteins with dysregulated expression levels were identified in both studies; ApoA1, ApoJ (clusterin) and ApoE. These proteins were downregulated in the majority of delirium subjects. In addition, ApoH (beta-2-glycoprotein 1) expression was significantly dysregulated in the majority of Sydney Delirium Study subjects, however, expression levels varied considerably, with both up- and downregulation observed with roughly equal frequency. The apolipoprotein family are best known for their role in the transport of lipids and cholesterol, being the main protein components of HDL and LDL. They have been most extensively studied in the context of cardiovascular disease, but...
also more recently in neurodegenerative diseases. In particular ApoE has attracted considerable interest as the ApoEε4 allele is the main genetic risk factor for late-onset AD,44 and other neurodegenerative disorders.45 A recent meta-analysis suggested an association between delirium and ApoEε4 allele.46 However, the role of ApoEε4 allele in postoperative delirium is ambiguous, with reports of both significant association47 and absence of association.48,49 Apart from the role of the different allelic forms, altered CSF levels of apolipoproteins may impact on brain metabolism, microvascular function and association with other proteins which impact on brain pathology, such as amyloid plaque in AD. The other apolipoproteins identified in this study have not previously been studied in delirium, however, a sizable and increasing literature is building on the role of ApoJ (clusterin) in AD. ApoJ is typically found in HDL along with ApoA1. This multifunctional protein may have roles in modulation of inflammation, complement inactivation, Aβ binding and modulation of neuronal plasticity and apoptosis.50 In view of its anti-inflammatory and anti-apoptotic roles, its downregulation in delirium CSF is likely to represent an adverse outcome. Apart from a few specific cases, the broad spectrum of apolipoproteins have not been extensively studied in neurodegenerative diseases in general, though a recent study on plasma apolipoprotein levels in mild cognitive impairment indicates that several apolipoproteins may have an impact on cognition and brain volumetrics in this AD prodrome condition, including ApoA1, ApoE, ApoJ, ApoH and ApoB/ApoA1 ratio.51

Although delirium is a multifactorial syndrome rather than a single disease process, uncovering similar changes in the proteome in delirium in two different clinical groups suggests that there may be some common pathways in delirium. This has implications for the possibility of discovering a diagnostic test and specific treatment(s) for delirium. For example, it is possible that some cases of delirium involve a predominantly inflammatory aetiology and identifying such cases might facilitate the use of anti-inflammatory drugs in that group.

Proteins significantly associated with cognition and delirium severity
Regression analysis of iTRAQ ratios vs MMSE or APACHE III scores identified significant associations of cognition and delirium severity in 31 proteins, of which only five also had significantly dysregulated expression levels in the majority of delirium subjects relative to the controls, these being: APOA1, APOE, SCG1, SCG3 and CHGA. The majority of proteins with significant associations to MMSE or APACHE III scores had dysregulated expression in fewer than eight subjects, suggesting that changes to functionally important proteins are subtle. Several of these may regulate; neuronal differentiation (SERPINF1, CHI3L1, SPARC11, NCAM1, BCAN, NRCAM), hormone and small molecule binding (IGFBP7, TTR, PTGDS), neuroendocrine secretion (PCSK1N, SCG2, SCG5) and anti-oxidant defence (SOD1, SOD3). This outcome supports the possibility that some proteins with significantly altered expression levels in delirium may not correlate well with clinical indicators of cognition and disease severity. The increased size of the granin cluster (Figure 2b) and other proteins, which correlate with MMSE and/or APACHE scores, indicates that the converse may also be true. However the subject numbers are low, and these possibilities should be explored using larger group numbers and using targeted approaches to increase statistical power.

Pathophysiology of delirium and the relevance of proteomic changes
Delirium is a complex syndrome both in the variety of triggers or risk factors that may initiate onset, as well as the diversity of pathophysiological and cognitive features, which can include inflammation, oxidative stress, reduced blood flow, blood-brain barrier and vascular dysfunction, confusion, cognitive impairment and mood disturbance (Figure 2c). In this context, it is not surprising to find a diversity of proteomic changes representing multiple biological processes, molecular functions and cellular components. Less clear are how these changes orchestrate the delirium syndrome, which are primary or secondary events, the order in which changes occur or even if delirium can be defined by a single pathway.52 Furthermore, dysregulation in some of the proteins we have identified is also reported in other age-related neurodegenerative diseases, and may represent overlap of pathways and processes across different neurodegenerative conditions. However our data does suggest some hypotheses and allows us to make a number of predictions. One striking feature is the uniform upregulation of the inflammatory protein ORM1 and to a lesser extent other acute-phase proteins, while at the same time few correlate with cognition (MMSE) or health status (APACHE III). This suggests an important role for inflammation in delirium, but it is probably not directly related to the cognitive impairment aspect of the disorder. It may, however, be a trigger for other events, one of which could be upregulation of protease inhibitors, such as SERPINS A1 and A3 (Table 2). In fact upregulation of complement, coagulation, fibrinolysis and serpins is typical of the host defence/stress response and we observe upregulation of proteins in each of these groups (Table 2, Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). Although a full understanding of the role of protease inhibition in the brain remains to be elucidated, a neuroprotective role of serpins has been reported.53,54 Upregulation of protease inhibitors may be a homeostatic response to inflammation, which if unchecked could cause neuronal apoptosis.55–57 By contrast, several of the granin family proteins are downregulated in delirium subjects (Table 2), and all of these, as well as a few others are significantly correlated with MMSE and some with APACHE III also (Table 3). This does suggest a role for the granin family group in the cognitive aspects of delirium, and given their precursor role for a variety of neuropeptides, this is not too surprising.58 Some of these peptides, such as secretoneurin and secretogranin I (CHG) proteolytic fragments, may regulate neurotransmitter levels. We suggest that the lower granin expression levels that we observe imply neurotransmitter deregulation, supporting one of the most popular theories of delirium aetiopathology. CHG and CHGB both promote secretory granule biosynthesis, which are the main extracellular storage vesicles for catecholamines. Lower CHGB expression level in knockout mice results in fewer catecholamine storage vesicles and unregulated catecholamine release into plasma.59 Furthermore, expression of granin-related neuropeptides may be further compromised as a side effect of SERPIN protease inhibitor upregulation, potentially restricting release of neuropeptides from their granin precursors. In other words, protease inhibition may protect neurons from inflammation-related apoptosis, but as an unwanted side effect compromise granin processing. Interestingly, a number of proteases as well as protease inhibitor enzymes are correlated with MMSE scores (Table 3; SERPINs F1 and G1, CST3, PCSK1N, B3GNT1) suggesting a direct role in cognitive function. This hypothetical model predicts that the level of granin-derived peptides will be lower in the CSF of delirium patients. Altered neurotransmitter levels which have been reported in the delirium literature60 may then partly be due to lower levels of granins, granin-derived neuropeptides and upregulation of protease inhibitors. Lower levels of several apolipoproteins are also observed in the majority of delirium subjects (Table 2), and may occur in parallel with downregulation of the granins, as both protein groups are likely to be constituents of neurosecretory granules. However the apolipoproteins subserve diverse roles (Figure 2c and Supplementary Tables 5 and 6), and may also be contributing to vascular changes reported in delirium, or to neuronal plasticity/axonal regeneration.
Limitations
With 17 CSF samples from delirium subjects in total, ours is a relatively small study and there would be value in terms of statistical power in undertaking additional work involving higher subject numbers. In this study we have employed a neurological control group (mild AD), and an additional normal control group should be included in future work. Furthermore in this discovery proteomics study, we are reporting relative expression changes. Future work should include targeted analyses for absolute quantification such as ELISA or mass spectrometric multiple reaction monitoring approaches. Our two studies also use different methods to ascertain delirium. This can be considered both a limitation and a benefit; a limitation in that it presents a mismatch between the studies and a benefit in that the protein changes that are common to both studies, given their differences, are likely to be more robust biochemical indicators of delirium.

Summary
In this discovery proteomics project, we have quantified >270 proteins in the CSF of delirium and control subjects, and across two independent studies. Of these proteins, 16 were identified with significantly dysregulated protein expression level in the majority of delirium subjects. Within this group of 16 proteins, several family clusters were identified, including markers of inflammation, protease inhibitors, chromogranins/secretogranins and apolipoproteins. Furthermore some of these proteins and a number of others are significantly associated with cognition and delirium severity, as assessed by regression analysis with MMSE or APACHE III scores. These include the chromogranins/secretogranins, SERPINS and apolipoproteins, and also proteins whose functions include neuronal differentiation, hormone and small molecule binding, neuroendocrine secretion and anti-oxidant defence. This work offers some new protein targets that could be used to further explore mechanisms of delirium, and provides a list of potential biomarkers that could facilitate clinical work. Some possibilities which might be considered include; (i) minimally invasive surgical techniques to control inflammation and stress response,59 (ii) anti-apoptotic treatments to minimize neuronal cell damage60 and (iii) treatments to restore neurotransmitter levels.61 Furthermore, this study provides a good rationale for undertaking larger scale work, and targeted analysis of some of the specific proteins we have identified.
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