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OVERALL GOAL: To investigate design strategies for technologies for ASC, specifically how to motivate children’s communication within, and around, touch-screen games.

Background

- Unpredictability and “lack of sameness” are frequently stressful for children with ASC [1].
- Work with ECHOES virtual environment for ASC suggests that novel and surprising aspects (i.e. discrepancies) can be experienced as interesting, positive motivators of communication when balanced with substantial sameness [2].
- ECHOES activities [3] introduced novel elements, and unplanned software issues meant that the system intermittently appeared to make “mistakes” (e.g. executing the “wrong” actions with respect to activity goals/rules or to object properties)
- Children frequently and spontaneously initiated to social partners about discrepancies, opposite to what ASC literature might predict [2].
- Subsequent analyses of child-ECHOES interactions, combined with “lessons learned” from school studies, have identified characteristics that may have allowed discrepancies to be perceived as motivating but still emotionally manageable [4].

ECHOES results suggest a NEW DESIGN STRATEGY: deliberately including novel and expectation-violating aspects in games as a way of motivating children’s communication.

Design principles

Design principles, largely derived from ECHOES, are intended to support transfer of “motivating but manageable” discrepancies to new contexts [4] by:

- Establishing clear child expectations and maintaining integrity of the environment and activities.
- Ensuring flexibility and resolubility of child-system interactions (choice of actions, no “dead ends”)
- Offering wide variety of discrepancies at an appropriate frequency, so as to interest all children.
- Posing ambiguous opportunities for communication, rather than demanding specific behaviours or communicative forms.

Game Design Overview

Mini-games structure child-system and child-adult interactions, provide a shared focus and experiences about which to communicate.

- Current games are NOT educational or “skill-building”; participation, goal completion are not inherently valuable.
- Each mini-game has one main goal, repeated actions.
- Games are simple and sensory with limited, repetitive language; non-competitive with lots of positive feedback.
- Games use simple touch-screen actions only (e.g. No double-touches, pinching).

Over multiple sessions of play, mini-games establish, and then violate, child expectations about their contents. [SEE RIGHT]

- Multiple exposures to the “normal” game version allow a child player to develop expectations about its patterns and behaviours.
- When expectations are established, the game can be disrupted (e.g. adding, subtracting, or changing aspects), offering the child an opportunity to notice and communicate about things s/he thinks are novel, or that violate expectations

“Sameness” of content around mini-games helps to structure games experiences, ensure novel, surprising aspects are “motivating but manageable”

- Hello/Goodbye scenes help signal transitions, and are exactly the same in every games session.
- Menus (left) are like a visual schedule, make clear which activity is being played now and next.

Child plays “normal” version of Flower games, developing expectations about it

Child touches the “magic cloud” to grow flowers, and is rewarded by visual and sound effects. Andy can demonstrate the activity and gives positive feedback.

Later, child plays “disruptive” game versions, and has opportunity to notice and communicate about added, subtracted, and/or changed elements

Opportunity to detect novelty

Snail added to garden. Child can discover how it “pops” in an out of to shut when touched.

Opportunities for surprise (expectation-violation)

Hello goodbye scene with Andy.

Colour change. Growing one yellow flower departs from established pattern (3 red)

Missing cloud at activity start, when it was always present before. After a few seconds, cloud glides in so child can play.

Proof-of-concept Study

- 12 children with ASC (10 M, 2 F) participated in a study in a UK special school during Spring 2015
- Children were developmentally 3-7 years old (per BPVS), with phrase-level language
- Children completed 3-4 games sessions, playing the “normal versions” on day 1, and different disruptive versions on days 2 and 3.
- Video recordings of sessions will be annotated for child behaviours. Which design elements did they notice? How did they react? Did they initiate?

EXAMPLE: Children react to “missing cloud”

Right: Child immediately touches screen where cloud should be, then asks researcher “Where’s a cloud?”, then watches screen corner closely until it returns.

VIDEO ANALYSIS (in progress) aims to evaluate the success of the design strategy and individual game aspects at motivating communication, NOT child success at “finding” novel or surprising aspects.
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