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Abstract—Machine Type Communications (MTC) collectively refers to the exchange of data among devices that operate without human intervention. A significant number of such devices are currently served by cellular networks, and that number is expected to grow in the near future. However, cellular networks, including current fourth generation LTE networks, face several challenges when it comes to handling MTC traffic as they were primarily designed for Human Type Communications (HTC) which have very different traffic patterns. In this paper we focus on periodic MTC devices, such as sensors and meters, which have very different traffic patterns. We propose ASPIS, a holistic mechanism designed to overcome these problems. ASPIS reduces the signaling load by partly preserving a device’s connection to the network in conjunction with a new Random Access process and efficient support for short message transmissions. In addition, it uses a proactive preamble split scheme to alleviate collisions. ASPIS is easy to implement without requiring hardware changes while at the same time maintains security and can be incrementally deployed alongside legacy devices/infrastructure. We showcase the practicality of ASPIS by implementing it on the OpenAirInterface platform. Further, we demonstrate its effectiveness through extensive evaluations via a combination of small-scale experimental evaluation and large-scale, realistic simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Machine type communication (MTC) refers to the automated generation, processing and exchange of data by devices that operate without human supervision, such as meters and sensors. MTC is a key enabler for the Internet of Things (IoT), with a large number of MTC devices currently being served over cellular networks, while that number is expected to grow significantly in the near future [1], [2]. Forecasts of MTC growth in the coming years indicate that LTE will be the dominant access technology by 2020 [3], even though it does not natively support MTC devices.

However, supporting this growing number of MTC devices is challenging for current cellular networks, as they are designed for Human-Type Communications (HTC). HTC traffic is quite different from MTC, as it is mainly characterized by infrequent connections, large packets (e.g. downloading files) and is mostly downlink (i.e. from the network to the device). MTC traffic on the other hand, is mostly uplink, with short packets (e.g. temperature readings) and frequent connections [4]. More importantly, these connections are often not random, but periodic [5].

The frequent connections of MTC devices is the major reason that pushes LTE networks to their limits [5]. Typically, MTC devices pass through the Random Access (RA) and Attach procedures to get into a connected state, send a short message and are then switched back to the idle state by the eNodeB (base station in LTE), tearing down any established communication bearers. This procedure needs to be repeated each time a device wants to send data, introducing a large signaling load in both the Radio Access Network (RAN) and the core network (EPC) of LTE networks. This signaling overhead is often disproportional to the size of the actual message being transmitted [4], [5]. To make matters worse, these connections may be synchronized between similar devices (e.g. measurements at pre-specified times), which can cause large number of collisions on the Random Access Channel (RACH) during the RA procedure. These inefficiencies are not only problematic in theory, but have been shown to cause problems in existing LTE networks [3], [4]. Given the expected growth of MTC devices in the future, 3GPP has recognized this as a potential threat [6]. An alternative approach would be to allow devices to have perpetual connections and avoid setting up/tearing down their bearers on each state switch. However, this is highly inefficient as it wastes precious resources in the RAN, and requires devices to remain active at all times, negatively affecting their battery life. In this paper, we focus on efficiently supporting MTC traffic, especially MTC devices that require frequent and periodic connections (e.g., meters, sensors), over LTE networks.

Existing approaches for MTC support over LTE networks focus on three distinct issues: (i) reducing the number of collisions in the RACH; (ii) reducing the signaling load in the EPC; and (iii) reducing the signaling load in the RAN. Currently, to avoid collisions in the RACH, 3GPP [6] and other works [7]–[11] propose the use of Access Class Barring and Enhanced Access Barring, which reduce the number of collisions at the expense of increased access delay. A few works [12]–[15] reduce the signaling load in the RAN, but forego security. Some works [16]–[20] reduce the signaling load in the EPC, but only for very small messages.

In this paper we present a holistic mechanism, called ASPIS, that simultaneously addresses all three aforementioned issues (signaling load in the EPC, the RAN and the number of collisions in the RACH) via a suite of underlying techniques.
Firstly, it incorporates a new Radio Resource Control (RRC) state that allows MTC devices to partly retain their communication bearers between transmissions, resulting in reduced signaling overhead in the EPC. Secondly, it uses a modified RA process for MTC devices, with fewer messages that reduces the signaling load in the RAN and total access delay. ASPIS also efficiently supports short packet transmissions (<80 bytes) for which RAN bearers may not be needed. Finally, it uses a proactive, dynamic preamble splitting scheme that finds an optimal split by exploiting the periodicity of MTC devices to predict future congestion before it happens, thereby lowering RACH collisions; note that preamble splitting is aligned with the direction being taken by 3GPP [19] but existing preamble splitting schemes are either static or reactive.

ASPIS requires no hardware changes and can be implemented as a software update. To demonstrate the ease of its implementation, we develop a prototype implementation of ASPIS (sec. V) over the widely used OpenAirInterface (OAI) [21] platform. Another noteworthy feature of ASPIS is that it enables these capabilities without compromising the security, as all messages sent are being encrypted. Furthermore, ASPIS can be incrementally deployed alongside legacy MTC/HTC devices and eNodeBs. We evaluate ASPIS via a combination of small-scale evaluations with the prototype implementation (sec. V) and large-scale evaluations (sec. VI) with thousands of devices, using a custom simulator based on realistic traffic patterns [4]. Our results show that ASPIS significantly outperforms standard LTE and recent proposals in terms of signaling load and collisions.

II. BACKGROUND

On the air interface, the communication between the device and the base station (eNB) is done via signaling messages of the RRC protocol. To comprehend the signaling load introduced when a device connects to an LTE network, we present an overview of the standardized connection procedure for LTE networks. We first outline the two existing RRC states (sec. II-1) and then describe the procedures to transition from one state to the other (sec. II-2).

1) Radio Resource Control States: In LTE networks, a device can be in one of two RRC states: **RRC_Connected** or **RRC_Idle** (fig. 1). The state of the device indicates its connection status. In the connected state, a device has an allocated serving eNB, Mobility Management Entity (MME) and a Serving Gateway (S-GW). Signaling Radio Bearers (SRBs), Data Radio Bearers (DRBs), as well as S1 and S5/S8 bearers are all active and any communication from or to the network is possible. In the idle state, a device is only able to send connection requests and receive paging messages. Although it is still registered with an MME and a S-GW (and retain their S5/S8 bearers), but does not have a serving eNB.

2) State Transition Procedures: In LTE, when an idle device has data to send, it needs to switch to the connected state.

1S1 and S5/S8 bearers are always available for connections with the eNB.

![Fig. 1. LTE radio resource control states and their features.](image)
The device it is communicating with, so it cannot activate its message. At that point the eNB does not know which Request its Connection Context ID is, so it cannot activate a message. Specifically, to transition from the connected state, the device needs to send a Context Request message to the MME, which forwards it to the eNB and tells it to release the device’s connection. The eNB sends an RRC Connection Release message to the device and tears down its SRBs, the DRBs and the S1 bearer. This puts the device to the idle state, and it will now need to repeat the RRC Connection Establishment process to transmit new data.

III. RELATED WORK

There exist several works, that aim to handle MTC traffic more efficiently over LTE networks, by focusing on one of the underlying issues (signaling load reduction in EPC/RAN and reduction of collisions in the RACH). In contrast, with ASPIS, we address all of them simultaneously.

(a) Reduction of signaling load in the EPC: Several works (e.g., [19], [20], [23]) attempt to reduce the signaling load in the EPC by using existing signaling messages to transmit data. Although these schemes avoid setting up bearers in the EPC, they are only suitable for short packet transmissions and revert back to the standard process (sec. II) for larger messages. Additionally, they use the current RA process, that is not well suited for periodic transmissions.

Unlike these works, ASPIS makes the devices appear as perpetually connected via an intermediate RRC state that is transparent to the EPC while at the same time mimicking the idle state from the device/eNB perspective. Thus, signaling load in the EPC is avoided when device transitions between different states. A recent work [24], takes a similar perspective, but is inherently insecure. Specifically, to transition from the intermediate to the connected state, the device needs to send its Connection Context ID using the RRC Connection Resume Request message. At that point the eNB does not know which device it is communicating with, so it cannot activate its security context and the information needs to be sent in plain text, rendering the approach prone to sniffing attacks. Moreover, [24] is not compliant with LTE as the Context ID requires more than the 40 bits available at the LTE RRC Connection Request message. Finally, it is purely a design, lacking discussion for many implementation details.

(b) Reduction of signaling load in the RAN: To reduce the signaling load in the RAN, some works (e.g., [12]–[15]) propose using messages of the RA process to transmit short messages. However, [12], [13] are inherently insecure as no security context would have been established at the time of transmission of those messages. Furthermore, [14], [15] are only suitable for infrequent and non-periodic transmissions, since they would lead to an excessive number of collisions otherwise. Similar to these works, ASPIS supports the transmission of small packets without the need for an RA process, but can do so securely (sec. IV-B3). At the same time, ASPIS employs a modified RA process using fewer messages, reducing the signaling load in the RAN for larger packets.

(c) Collision reduction in the RACH: Access Class Bar- ring (ACB) and Enhanced Access Barring (EAB) schemes [7]–[10], [19], [25] decrease the collisions in the RACH at the expense of higher access delay, by placing devices in different classes with different connection priorities, controlled by different access probabilities (APs). Network access is then controlled by adapting the APs. These schemes are complimentary to our work and can in fact be implemented on top of ASPIS.

Other works (e.g., [11], [19], [26], [27]) split the RA resources between the HTC and MTC devices to minimize the impact on HTC traffic. However, these splits are typically static irrespective of the changing network conditions and can limit the access opportunities for MTC device by misallocating the available resources, thus reducing the performance of the system under load. A few existing dynamic schemes [28], [29] reactively allocate additional RA preambles to the MTC devices based on the observed congestion. In contrast, ASPIS features a proactive preamble splitting technique which can predict congestion before it occurs and optimally allocate the preambles between the two groups of devices.

IV. ASPIS

In this section we present ASPIS, our proposed mechanism that aims to overcome the problems the large numbers of MTC devices cause to LTE networks, i.e., increased signaling load in the EPC, the RAN and increased number of collisions in the RACH. The core of ASPIS is the intermediate RRC state (sec. IV-A) that MTC devices transition to, instead of idle (sec. IV-B1), after a period of inactivity. The intermediate state preserves part of the device’s previously established connection and reuses it each time that device wishes to transmit new data, thus reducing the signaling overhead in the EPC, as well as the access delay.

Furthermore, we introduce a new RA procedure for the intermediate state, to reduce the signaling load in the RAN. The new RA process (sec. IV-B3) requires fewer messages
than the standard RA process and also provisions for short packet transmissions (<80 bytes) for which SRBs and DRBs are not needed.

Finally, following 3GPP [19], we split the preambles between MTC and HTC devices. However, unlike a static split (as proposed in [19]) we use a novel proactive dynamic preamble split scheme, that exploits the periodic nature of MTC devices to predict future increases in the network access requests and minimize the number of collisions before they happen. ASPIS can be supported by newer devices without affecting the operation of the existing systems. An eNB is informed about a device capable of supporting ASPIS via a new UE-Category (13) (sec. IV-C).

We will now describe in detail the intermediate state, the procedures to switch from it to the existing states and the proactive preamble split.

A. RRC Intermediate State

We propose a new intermediate state for MTC devices in the RRC layer, which combines some characteristics of the two existing states and allows the MTC devices to be in a semi-connected mode. Similar to the idle state, devices in this mode do not have dedicated RAN resources and cannot transmit scheduling requests or periodic measurement reports. However, they can listen for paging messages, receive connection reconfiguration messages and MAC alignment control commands (to remain synchronized). Devices in this state continue to be registered with an MME and a S-GW. The intermediate state is only visible to the eNB and the EPC is unaware of it. All previously established EPC bearers still exist and can be used immediately after the device switches back to the connected state, resulting in decreased signaling load in the EPC. In this work, we assume that the MTC devices have only one EPC bearer, which is a realistic assumption for many MTC deployment scenarios.

In order to facilitate transitions of MTC devices between the different RRC states (fig. 3), we use two additional inactivity timers. The first one is used to move the device from the connected to the intermediate state and the second one switches the device from the intermediate to the idle state. Similarly to the inactivity timer in standard LTE, these timers are set by the network operator and can be chosen to strike a balance between required resources and signaling load (sec. VI-A).

B. RRC State Transition Procedures

In this section we describe the procedures that the MTC devices need to follow to transition between the three RRC states; connected, intermediate and idle (fig. 3). We also discuss how our proposed procedures manage to reduce the signaling load both in the EPC and the RAN. The complete procedure is depicted in fig. 4. As for the standard LTE, the communication in the air interface is done using RRC signaling messages.

1) Transition from CONNECTED to INTERMEDIATE: After a period of inactivity in the connected state (controlled by our first inactivity timer), the eNB releases the connection, frees its allocated resources, and moves the device to the intermediate state. To do so, the eNB sends a RRC Connection Release message with the release cause set to the new value of “IntermediateState”. This instructs the device to tear down the DRB and all its SRB bearers and sets it to the intermediate state. The use of any other release cause indicates that the eNB does not support ASPIS, and thus the default RA procedure needs to be followed the next time the device has data to transmit. In this way, ASPIS capable devices can be supported by legacy eNBs. The eNB preserves all the bearers of the device in the core network (S1, S5/S8). Note that the MME and S-GW are never informed about the new state of the device, thus avoiding unnecessary load in the core network.

When switched to the intermediate state, the device keeps its personal keys that were established during the initial AKA procedure, which will be reused once it switches back to the connected state. Therefore, the AKA process happens only once and does not incur any additional overhead for the subsequent transitions to the connected state.

2) Transition from INTERMEDIATE to IDLE: When a device remains inactive in the intermediate state for a period of time specified by our second inactivity timer, the eNB releases its EPC connection, in order to reduce the number of unused resources and avoid potential DoS attacks. To accomplish that, the eNB pages the device and then initiates the standard LTE S1 Release Procedure (sec. II).

3) Transition from INTERMEDIATE to CONNECTED: If an MTC device in the intermediate state wishes to transmit new data, it needs to transition to the connected state following our new RA process. In the current procedure there are two different groups of preamble values (groupA, groupB) for contention-based RA [30]. Here, we introduce a new preamble group (groupC) that is only used by MTC devices in the intermediate state. Being in the intermediate state indicates that the device has previously registered and connected to the network, and has an established EPC bearer. The allocation of preambles in groupC is done by the eNB (sec. IV-C).

Initially, the MTC device chooses a random preamble value from groupC and transmits it in the RACH. Similarly to the standard LTE procedure (sec. II), the eNB replies with a RAR message, and the device then replies with a RRC Connection Activation message. This is a newly introduced message that contains the KSI (Key Set Identifier) of the device-specific security context (that was established during
The initial AKA procedure), the S-TMSI of the device, a NAS PDU container, an indicator of whether a small NAS PDU message is encapsulated in the NAS PDU container, the APN of the PDU’s destination and the device’s EPC bearer-ID. The NAS PDU indicator, NAS PDU, EPC bearer-ID and APN are encrypted with the device’s specific security keys. The eNB knows that the connection activation message was transmitted by a device in the intermediate state based on the preamble value used. Therefore it uses the 1-1 map between a device and its KSI along with the S-TMSI to uniquely identify the requesting device and authenticate the received message. In case of authentication failure, the eNB discards the packet.

The NAS PDU indicator informs the eNB whether a short packet (<80 bytes) is encapsulated in the NAS PDU container. If the NAS PDU indicator is false (i.e., the device wants to transmit a message larger than 80 bytes), the eNB needs to set up RAN bearers. This is done with a RRC Connection Setup message followed by RRC Connection Reconfiguration to set up the DRB and SRBs for the device. The device can then use its existing EPC bearer and start sending data. If the indicator is true, the eNB does not need to set up RAN bearers for the device. Instead, the eNB first needs to communicate with the MME to identify the device’s allocated S-GW. It then extracts the PDU, encapsulates it in a GTP packet and forwards it to the S-GW using the device’s existing bearer.

Having to set up RAN bearers for small packets introduces a signaling load disproportional to the actual size of the message. Therefore, our provision for short data transmissions further decreases the signaling load in the RAN. The inspiration for this enhancement is based on the Small Data Transmission (SDT) [31] procedure of 3GPP. However, unlike ASPI, the SDT procedure requires the establishment of an RRC connection before any data transmission occurs.

Since all MTC devices in the intermediate state share the same preambles (groupC), collisions may occur, and the eNB does not send an acknowledgement to any of the colliding devices. When a device does not receive an acknowledgement within a certain number of frames it backs off and tries again at a later time. If the device fails to re-activate its connection for a specified number of times, it switches back to the idle state and attempts the conventional RA process. However, in this case the device needs to inform the eNB about its active EPC bearer when it manages to connect again, to prevent the eNB and EPC from creating a new bearer and also avoid the existence of stalling EPC bearers. Since the MTC device is now in the idle state, it uses the standard RA process and informs the eNB about its existing bearer using the RRC Connection Setup Complete message.

C. Proactive dynamic preamble split

So far we have discussed our new intermediate state and RA process, which reduce the signaling load in the EPC and RAN. Here, we present our proactive preamble split mechanism that exploits the periodicity of MTC devices to reduce the number of collisions in the RACH. Intuitively, our proactive preamble split mechanism predicts the number of MTC devices that are likely to transmit in each frame and proactively adapts the number of preambles allocated between MTC in the intermediate state (groupC) and any devices in the idle state (groupA and groupB), in order to minimize the overall number of collisions.

The idea behind this enhancement is that MTC devices send data on predefined intervals specified by their periodicity. Based on the time of their last transmission and the periodicity of each device, the eNB can predict how many devices are expected to transmit in any given frame. This allows us to optimally split the preambles in advance so that we do not needlessly over-allocate preambles to any group. The dynamic preamble split is broadcasted in the SIB2 and indicates the new number of preambles in the different groups.

In order to learn the periodicity of a device, the eNB can use the Capabilities Enquiry message. To indicate that the device supports ASPI, we introduce a new UE-Category (13). The device indicates its periodicity in milliseconds in the Indicator-31 of the featureGroupIndicators field in the capabilities message.

We pose our proactive preamble allocation mechanism as an optimization problem. Let $R$ be the total number of preambles available for contention-based access, $r_M$ be the number of preambles values allocated for MTC devices in the intermediate state, and $n_t$ be the number of such devices expected to transmit in frame $t$. The expected number of colliding MTC devices (in the intermediate state) is then:

$$E(n_t, r_M) = n_t \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{r_M} \right)^{n_t-1} \right) \quad (1)$$

Similarly, let $n'_t$ be the number of idle devices that transmit in frame $t$. Since we cannot predict when these devices will transmit we can approximate $n'_t$ as the running average over a number of previous frames. The expected number of collisions of these devices can be expressed as:
As the total number of contention-based preambles is fixed, increasing the preambles for MTC devices in the intermediate state decreases the available preambles for other devices. Ideally, we would like to minimize the total number of collisions for all devices. Additionally, we would like to guarantee a minimum number $r_{min}$ of preambles given to HTC devices, as their expected transmissions are only an approximation based on past frames. In other words, we want to minimize:

$$\arg \min_r (E(n_t, r_M) + E(n_t', R - r_M)), \text{ s.t. } r_M \leq R - r_{min}$$

(3)

Note that $E(n_t, r_M)$ is monotonically increasing while $E(n_t', R - r_M)$ is monotonically decreasing as $r_M$ increases, so eq. 3 is convex. As such, minimizing eq. 3 can be done efficiently with a simple modification of the binary search algorithm. Furthermore, the total number of preambles $R$ is typically very small so the overall computation time is negligible for the eNB.

Due to the use of the proactive preamble allocation, ASPIS is able to scale gracefully. If there are no devices connected, the system will not waste resources on them, but it will naturally fall back to the current behavior of allocating all preambles to devices in the idle state.

V. PROTOTYPE IMPLEMENTATION AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

An attractive aspect of ASPIS is the ease with which it can be realized in the context of current and emerging cellular network standards. It can be implemented via software updates to eNB and devices, and does not require hardware changes. More specifically, implementing ASPIS involves changes to the RRC and PHY layers at the eNB and the device. In the RRC layer, ASPIS requires the introduction of the new intermediate state, two additional inactivity timers and the RRC Connection Activation message. Minimal changes at the PHY layer are required for the proactive preamble splitting technique so that the eNB can update the SIB2 about the allocation of the preambles to the different groups. A noteworthy aspect of ASPIS is that it can be incrementally deployed, i.e. legacy devices can connect to ASPIS enabled eNBs and ASPIS enabled MTC devices can still connect to legacy eNBs (sec. IV-B1).

We have developed a prototype implementation of ASPIS over the OpenAirInterface (OAI) platform [21], a well-known open source software implementation of the LTE RAN and EPC components. Doing so was straightforward and essentially involved making the changes outlined above. We intend to make this implementation publicly available in the near future.

We have used a realistic traffic model based on [4], which is the largest publicly available study on MTC traffic patterns. We simulated at least 18000 frames, with 1000 HTC devices per cell and a varying number of MTC devices, ranging from 500 up to 4000. All simulations include different types of MTC devices that transmitted small PDUs (up to 80 bytes) as well as larger packets. In our simulation 50% of the MTC devices transmit small PDUs, which accounts for the 41% of

$$E(n_t', R - r_M) = n_t'(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{R - r_M}\right)^{n_t'-1})$$

(2)
the total MTC traffic. For ASPIS, the inactivity timer 1 for the intermediate state was set to 2.8 seconds, while the inactivity timer 2 was set to 90 seconds. We set the value of inactivity timer 1 to 2.8 seconds based on the average session interarrival and session length of MTC devices of [4]. Each data point in the plots is an average obtained from 10 repetitions for that data point.

For the RA process, we used 56 preambles in total. For the standard LTE [19], we allocate 12 preambles for MTC devices and 44 preambles for HTC devices. The split between the HTC and the MTC devices for ASPIS is done using the proactive split mechanism (sec. IV-C). In addition, the maximum number of collisions a device can experience was set to 3, as in standard LTE. We compare against the current specifications [33] which we use as our baseline, the SDT [31] proposal of 3GPP, and the recent works of [14], [20]. The SDT proposal [31] avoids setting up EPC bearers for small packets but still requires the establishment of an RRC connection in the RAN. Maldonado et al. [14] present an alternative RA process for small packet transmission; however, for larger packets the device still has to go through the complete RA and Attach procedure. In [20] the authors use the authentication messages of the AKA procedure to securely transmit short messages. This approach uses the existing RA without any modifications, so it requires the Attach process when larger packets need to be transmitted. We do not compare against the works like [12], [13] as these use msg1 or msg3 of the RA process to transmit data, which is inherently insecure (sec. III)).

B. Results

1) Load in the EPC: Fig. 6 shows the results for signaling load in the EPC for the different approaches. Signaling load is normalized by the number of frames in the simulations. Clearly, ASPIS significantly outperforms the current connection establishment procedure [33], the SDT proposal [31] as well as the recently proposed schemes of [14], [20]. This is expected, as the focus of most of these alternative approaches is only on the transmission of small packets that can be contained in existing RRC or NAS messages, and they still have to follow the existing process for setting up bearers in the core network for larger messages. ASPIS provisions for both small (up to 80 bytes) and larger messages, and alleviates the signaling load in both cases. Key contributor to savings with ASPIS is the use of the intermediate RRC state that gives the illusion to the EPC that the device is in the connected state even though it may be dormant between periodic transmissions.

2) Load in the RAN: Here, we evaluate the signaling load of ASPIS in the RAN against the current RA procedure [33] and the work of [14]. Recall that [31] and [20] use the RA procedure of the current specifications, so the load in the RAN is identical to that. As shown in fig. 7, ASPIS performs significantly better compared to standard LTE and the recently proposed mechanism [14] due to the fewer messages that it requires. This is because the alternative mechanisms either do not account for MTC traffic (current cellular networks) or only alleviate load for small PDU messages [14]. In contrast to these schemes, ASPIS decreases the signaling load for all packet sizes.

a) Small PDUs vs Larger PDUs: To better appreciate the benefit of the provision for the small PDUs, we compare the signaling load performance of ASPIS (fig. 8) with the provision for the small PDUs transmission (shown as full) and without; current RA procedure is included in the comparison for reference. One interesting thing to notice is that in the EPC, the signaling load introduced when small packets are transmitted is marginally greater than when ASPIS does not provision for small PDUs. This is because the transmission of small PDUs uses an extra signaling message in the EPC to forward the PDU (sec. IV-B3). However, both our approaches result in significant gains in terms of the signaling load compared to standard LTE.

3) Collisions: In this section we evaluate the collisions of ASPIS in the RACH compared to other splitting schemes
Fig. 8. Comparison of ASPIS with and without the use of small PDUs: The figure depicts the normalized signaling load of ASPIS against the current RA procedures. Note that without the small PDUs, the MTC devices use ASPIS to set up RAN bearers for their transmission. (a) Signaling load in the EPC. (b) Signaling load in the RAN.

We also evaluate the collisions in the RACH for a fixed number of MTC devices (4000) and a number of HTC devices varying from 1000 to 10000 (fig. 10). As before, we only show the standard LTE approach and the best performing static split for clarity. Notice how the differences between the different methods are smaller compared to fig. 9. As mentioned before, MTC devices have a significantly more negative effect on the number of collisions compared to the HTC devices, due to their frequent connections. As a result, increasing the number of HTC devices does not increase the number of collisions as much. However, the results show that ASPIS results in lower collisions in this scenario as well.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have focused on efficiently supporting large numbers of MTC devices that require periodic and repeated transmissions (e.g. sensors and meters) over LTE networks. Due to their traffic patterns, such devices introduce a considerable signaling load both in the EPC and the RAN, which is usually disproportional to their actual message size. Furthermore, such devices may often be synchronized resulting in increased collisions in the RACH. To address all these problems, we proposed ASPIS, a holistic mechanism that is easy to implement, and works with existing hardware.
ASPIS introduces an additional RRC intermediate state that partially preserves a device’s connection which can be reused in future transmissions, alleviating the need to set up a new connection each time. It is noteworthy that our intermediate state idea bears similarity to the approach being considered for the upcoming 3GPP standards (Rel. 14) en route to 5G [34]. This is a positive sign as ASPIS blends this idea together with other techniques in a practical manner for widely deployed LTE networks that will be the dominant carrier of MTC traffic as we approach 2020. Besides, ASPIS features an improved RA process with fewer messages that also provisions for short packet transmissions. While we have not conducted energy consumption measurements, as these vary significantly between different devices, we note that reduced messaging would directly result in reduced energy consumption. Finally, ASPIS incorporates a proactive preamble split scheme that predicts future increases in the access requests and dynamically adapts the preamble split to alleviate collisions before they happen. We show the practicality of ASPIS through a prototype implementation over the OpenAirInterface platform. Our experimental and large-scale simulation results confirm that ASPIS outperforms the standard LTE as well as other recent proposals.
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