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Poly(A)-binding protein 1 (PABP1) is the prototypical member of the cytoplasmic PABP family and plays key roles in the regulation of mRNA translation and stability. By binding the 3' poly(A) tail and interacting with translation factors [eukaryotic initiation factor 4G (eIF4G) and PABP-interacting protein-1 (PAIP1)] bound to the 5' end of mRNAs, PABP1 brings about a “closed-loop” mRNA conformation which promotes translation initiation by enhancing ribosome recruitment.1,2 This conformation also prevents mRNA deadenylation and decay, although PABP1 also paradoxically plays a role in the recruitment of deadenylase complexes.1-3 PABP1 has other roles in mRNA-specific translational regulation4 and in preventing non-sense mediated decay in the absence of premature termination codons.5-7 Mammals encode three additional PABP proteins which share a common domain organization with PABP1: PABP4 which appears to be widely expressed and testes PABP and embryonic PABP, which in adults appear largely restricted to the gonads.8-10 Available evidence suggests that the ability to bind RNA and to participate in translational activation may be conserved across the family.9-13

Unsurprisingly, given the central role of PABP1 in orchestrating gene expression, both its levels and activity are finely regulated.14-16 PABP1 activity is controlled by PABP-interacting protein 2 (PABP2) which effectively sequesters PABP1,16 and recent evidence suggests that numerous post-translational modifications may also play a role in coordinating its various functions.17 The sub-cellular localization of PABP1 is also subject to regulation.

PABP1 is a nucleo-cytoplasmic shuttling protein whose steady-state localization is predominantly cytoplasmic.18 Interestingly, a number of cellular stresses result in PABP1 relocalization to stress granules (SGs) whereas infection by several viruses or treatment with transcriptional inhibitors causes PABP1 redistribution to the nucleus.18-23 Intriguingly, while UV treatment induces stress granules in a minority of cells at early time points after exposure, PABP1 is robustly relocalized to the nucleus at later times in both mouse and human cell lines.19 Endogenous PABP4 shows a similar distribution to PABP1 in both normally growing and UV-stressed cells, although its kinetics of redistribution to the nucleus appear slower.19

UV-induced nuclear relocalization of PABP proteins mirrors a change in the distribution of polyadenylated RNA, which accumulates in the nucleus indicative of a block in mRNA export.19-21 Since stress granules are also foci for poly(A) RNA, this led us to hypothesize that mRNA distribution may be a major regulator of PABP localization.19 In keeping with this idea, RNA changes accompanying PABP redistribution in cells ectopically expressing the herpes simplex virus-1 (HSV-1) protein ICP27 or treated with the transcriptional inhibitor actinomycin D, both of which indirectly inhibit mRNA export,19-20 Importantly, direct inhibition of mRNA export by RNAi knockdown of the bulk mRNA export adaptor TAP resulted in nuclear relocalization of PABP, demonstrating that nuclear export of PABP1 and PABP4 is dependent on mRNA export.19-20 Other cellular stresses have been reported to cause nuclear relocalization of PABP1 including...
prolonged (2 h) heat shock, a perturbation normally associated with stress granule formation. However, we observe neither accumulation of PABPs nor poly(A) RNA in the nucleus under prolonged heat shock (Fig. 1), suggesting this heat shock response may only occur in a subset of HeLa cells and in keeping with a model in which mRNA export plays an important role in determining PABP localization.24

In unstressed cells PABP1 is undetectable by immunofluorescence in the nucleus implying that while PABP nuclear import and export are ongoing, only a small fraction of total PABP cycles through the nucleus at any given time with the majority being retained in the cytoplasm. Consistent with this, nuclear accumulation of PABPs requires several hours of transcriptional inhibition.24 To test whether release from translation complexes in the cytoplasm is first required for the nuclear import of PABPs by G-importins,25 we pre-treated cells with cycloheximide. This stabilizes polysomes (mRNAs being translated by multiple ribosomes) by inhibiting translation elongation. Cycloheximide treatment was shown to be effective since the formation of stress granules, which require polysome disassembly, was abrogated (Fig. 2A). Actinomycin D was used inhibit transcription and induce nuclear relocalization of PABP1 and, in the absence of cycloheximide, relocalization was robust with very little PABP1 remaining in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2B). Pre-treatment with cycloheximide had a significant impact on relocalization with a substantial portion of PABP remaining cytoplasmic, suggesting that release of PABP from polysomes is necessary for its nuclear import. This is consistent with findings that nuclear relocalization of PABP1 during rotavirus infection is dependent on its eviction from translation complexes by the viral protein NSP3, which competes with PABP for eIF4G binding.26 Furthermore, recent work from the Glaunsinger lab has elegantly shown that the interaction of PABP1 with RNA can inhibit its interaction with G-importins.23 Interestingly in this regard, cytoplasmic mRNA levels decrease in UV-treated cells and a modest increase in levels of cytoplasmic poly(A) RNA was noted in actinomycin D treated cells after cycloheximide pre-treatment (Fig. 2).

Together these data support a model in which RNA is a major determinant of the transport of PABP to and from the cytoplasm (Fig. 3). In normally proliferating mammalian cells a significant portion of PABP1, and here we establish also PABP4, is associated with actively translating mRNAs on polysomes and is thus unavailable for nuclear shuttling. Unbound PABP molecules are available for association with G-importins and can be imported to the nucleus. When in the nucleus, PABP1 has been shown to bind pre-mRNAs along the predominantly nuclear and functionally distinct
poly(A)-binding protein, PABPN1, which binds nascent poly(A)-tails as they are added. 27 Therefore exported mRNAs likely leave the nucleus with a mixed population of poly(A)-binding proteins, comprised of a majority of PABPN1 and a minority of cytoplasmic PABPs, coating their poly(A)-tails. The latter do not contribute to mRNA export 19 but may potentially prime mRNAs for efficient translation. Once the mRNA reaches the cytoplasm PABPN1 is exchanged for cytoplasmic PABPs in a translation-dependent process. 27 Thus, perturbations of the balance between PABP import and export, such as the introduction of viral endonucleases that destroy cytoplasmic mRNAs 28 or the cessation of mRNA export 19 result in a redistribution of predominantly cytoplasmic PABPs to the nucleus.

Changes in mRNA export or levels of cytoplasmic poly(A) RNA are likely to underlie the nuclear accumulation of PABP in many circumstances (e.g., following UV exposure) but may not be universally applicable, or may act in concert with additional mechanisms. For example, Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated Herpesvirus (KSHV) encodes a highly abundant viral non-coding RNA, PAN (Polyadenylated nuclear RNA), which remains nuclear throughout infection and is proposed to sequester PABP1 within the nucleus. 29 Moreover, two non mRNA-dependent export pathways for mammalian PABPs have been described based on interactions with the translation elongation factor eEF1a or paxillin, although the extent to which these operate in parallel with mRNA-dependent export or are restricted to specific cell types is unclear. 30, 31 In murine 3T3 cells, where nuclear accumulation of PABP is accompanied by a block in mRNA-export after UV exposure, 19 nuclear relocalization of PABP1 has also been reported following inhibition of the CRM1 export pathway by leptomycin B. 32 This was attributed to an indirect effect of inhibiting nuclear export of paxillin, a protein best known for its role as a signaling adaptor molecule in cell adhesion complexes. 33 However, neither we nor Kumar et al. (2011) 25 could detect PABP-paxillin interactions in HEK293T or HeLa cells respectively (data not shown). Moreover, leptomycin B treatment of HeLa cells does not alter the localization of PABP1 or PABP4, despite significant relocalization of paxillin to the nucleus, indicating that paxillin export is effectively inhibited (Fig. 5). Taken together, these results suggest that PABP export mediated via proteins, such as paxillin, may only be significant within specific cell types. Identification of physiological conditions that disrupt paxillin or eEF1a-mediated PABP export may clarify their roles in directing PABP distribution.

Figure 3. A model for PABP nucleo-cytoplasmic transport. In normally growing cells most molecules of PABP1 and PABP4 are located within the cytoplasm. PABP nuclear import is dependent on interaction with α-importins. This can be retarded by PABP binding to RNA and participation in translational complexes, and may also be affected by interaction with other PABP partner proteins. In the nucleus PABP1 and PABP4 bind mRnas alongside PABPN1. PABP1 and PABP4 do not contribute to mRNA export but rather are exported in an mRNA-dependent manner. Enhancing the levels of PABP available for import or blocking mRNA export results in an accumulation of PABP1 in the nucleus.

Figure 4. A model for PABP nucleo-cytoplasmic transport. In normally growing cells most molecules of PABP1 and PABP4 are located within the cytoplasm. PABP nuclear import is dependent on interaction with α-importins. This can be retarded by PABP binding to RNA and participation in translational complexes, and may also be affected by interaction with other PABP partner proteins. In the nucleus PABP1 and PABP4 bind mRnas alongside PABPN1. PABP1 and PABP4 do not contribute to mRNA export but rather are exported in an mRNA-dependent manner. Enhancing the levels of PABP available for import or blocking mRNA export results in an accumulation of PABP1 in the nucleus.
While release from polysomes (Fig. 2) and RNA is a pre-requisite for nuclear import of PABP1 and PABP4, in normally growing cells only a portion of these proteins is polysome associated (Fig. 4). In fact, PABP1 is present in 3-fold excess relative to the binding sites available on poly(A)-tails in HeLa cells and given that PABP4 is present at a ratio of 1:5 PABP1 molecules, the true excess of PABP proteins over poly(A) in these cells is likely greater. However, despite the relative stoichiometry of PABP proteins to mRNA, PABPs do not flood the nucleus of unstressed cells unless overexpressed, indicating that further mechanisms may exist to antagonize nuclear entry (Fig. 3). Protein partners may serve as additional cytoplasmic anchors by blocking interaction with \(\tau\)-importins, analogous to RNA. Indeed, several protein partners including regulatory proteins (e.g., PABP2) as well as translation factors (eIF4G, PABP1) interact with the RNA-recognition motif region of PABP1 that binds \(\tau\)-importins. However, the relative abundance of these factors and whether they are able to compete with \(\tau\)-importins for PABP-binding remains to be established as does the binding sites for many other PABP protein partners.

Very little is known about the consequences of relocating cytoplasmic PABPs to the nucleus, or why PABPs are relocated to the nucleus rather than stress granules during specific cellular stress responses. Nonetheless, it is tempting to speculate that movement of PABP to the nucleus vs. stress granules may serve as part of a complex reprogramming of protein synthesis required for cellular recovery in response to different cellular insults. Indeed, both relocalization of PABPs to the nucleus after UV exposure and reduction of cytoplasmic PABP levels by siRNA knockdown are associated with a modest decrease in global protein synthesis. Since changes in the available levels of basal translation factors eIF4E and eIF4G alter the translational efficiency of certain mRNAs disproportionately, the partial removal of PABP from the cytoplasm may serve to reprogram translation by more profoundly affecting subsets of mRNAs. However, the extent to which PABP relocalization contributes to protein synthesis changes following UV-exposure remains to be determined since transcription and mRNA export are also altered. Changes in the levels of cytoplasmic PABP may also have effects on mRNA stability, although siRNA knockdown of PABP did not result in obvious changes to overall cytoplasmic poly(A)-RNA levels examined by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH). However, more subtle mRNA-specific effects would not have been detected and additional studies are required to understand the impact of PABP relocalization on gene expression within the cytoplasm.

The presence of PABPs in the nucleus raises questions pertaining to its function in this compartment. PABP1 and PABP4 are not required for mRNA export, nor is their presence in the nucleus required for recovery from UV exposure, suggesting that their exclusion from the cytoplasm rather than import is important in recovery from UV exposure. However, Kumar and Glaunsinger (2010) found that accumulation of PABP1 in the nucleus results in aberrant hyperadenylation of transcripts. This hyperadenylation may be a result of increased levels of cytoplasmic PABP in the nucleus interfering with the determination of poly(A) tail length by PABPN1. However, FISH suggests that hyperadenylation may not occur following UV-exposure, suggesting that their exclusion from the cytoplasm rather than import is important in recovery from UV exposure. Furthermore, it is clear that hyperadenylation does not contribute to the block in mRNA export observed in UV-treated cells as this occurs before the majority of PABP accumulates in the nucleus and is affected by PABP1 and PABP4-knockdown. Recent advances have clarified the picture of how PABP localization is regulated and underlined the important role of RNA. However, key questions clearly remain regarding the relative contribution and integration of different pathways and their regulation in response to physiological stimuli. Thus, as more factors emerge that alter PABP localization, it is increasingly important to understand the ins and outs of this mode of regulation and the cellular consequences of such changes.
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