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Identification in rats of a programming window for reproductive tract masculinization, disruption of which leads to hypospadias and cryptorchidism

Michelle Welsh, Philippa T.K. Saunders, Mark Fisken, Hayley M. Scott, Gary R. Hutchison, Lee B. Smith, and Richard M. Sharpe

MRC Human Reproductive Sciences Unit, Centre for Reproductive Biology, The Queen’s Medical Research Institute, Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

Becoming a phenotypic male is ultimately determined by androgen-induced masculinization. Disorders of fetal masculinization, resulting in hypospadias or cryptorchidism, are common, but their cause remains unclear. Together with the adult-onset disorders low sperm count and testicular cancer, they can constitute a testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS). Although masculinization is well studied, no unifying concept explains normal male reproductive development and its abnormalities, including TDS. We exposed rat fetuses to either anti-androgens or androgens and showed that masculinization of all reproductive tract tissues was programmed by androgen action during a common fetal programming window. This preceded morphological differentiation, when androgen action was, surprisingly, unnecessary. Only within the programming window did blocking androgen action induce hypospadias and cryptorchidism and altered penile length in male rats, all of which correlated with anal genital distance (AGD). Androgen-driven masculinization of females was also confined to the same programming window. This work has identified in rats a common programming window in which androgen action is essential for normal reproductive tract masculinization and has highlighted that measuring AGD in neonatal humans could provide a noninvasive method to predict neonatal and adult reproductive disorders. Based on the timings in rats, we believe the programming window in humans is likely to be 8–14 weeks of gestation.

Introduction

In mammals, the reproductive system is initially indistinguishable between the two sexes but is predetermined to develop along the female pathway. To become a male requires modification of this process, involving a chain of events initiated by activation of the Sry gene, resulting in testis formation (1–3). This is the first step along the male pathway but does not itself result in a male phenotype. Rather, body-wide “masculinization” is driven by hormones produced by the fetal testis, primarily testosterone (4). Impaired fetal androgen action interferes with masculinization and can result in disorders of sexual differentiation (5, 6) or phenotypic sex reversal, although this is rare. In contrast, milder disorders of human male reproductive development, cryptorchidism (7) and hypospadias (8), are among the most common congenital disorders (occurring in 2.4%–9% and 0.4%–1% of boys at birth, respectively; refs. 9, 10) and are related to, and are risk factors for, important disorders that emerge in young adulthood, such as low sperm counts and testicular cancer (11). These disorders are hypothesized to constitute a testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS) with a proposed common origin in fetal life (11–13); TDS disorders are common and/or increasing progressively in incidence (7, 8, 11). Deficient testosterone production/action is postulated to underlie TDS disorders (11, 14), but this is unproven, as direct determination of fetal androgen exposure at this age in humans is difficult and TDS disorders are only identified postnatally. One way to test this is by using animal models in which androgen action is inhibited by exposure to pure anti-androgens such as flutamide (15, 16).

The fetal testes produce testosterone from 8 to 37 weeks’ gestation in humans (17) (E15.5–E21.5 in rats; ref. 18), and during this period, masculinization of the fetal reproductive tract occurs, resulting in differentiation of the internal (epididymis, vas deferens, seminal vesicles, prostate) and external (penis, scrotum, perineum) genitalia (19). Masculinization of these structures does not occur synchronously; the prostate forms at gestation weeks 10–13 (20) (E18.5–E19.5, rat; ref. 21), the penis at weeks 11–13 (20) (E17.5, rat; ref. 22), and seminal vesicles at weeks 14–16 (23) (E19.5, rat; ref. 21). Other androgen-dependent events, such as testis descent into the scrotum, occur much later (human, 27–35 weeks; refs. 24–26; rat, postnatal week 3; ref. 26). Furthermore, masculinization of the brain is a late gestational event in primates, in contrast to the earlier masculinization of the genitalia (27). As a result of such observations, it has been presumed that masculinization occurs over a broad period of fetal life coincident with the broad period of testosterone production in both humans and rats (17, 18). The current belief is that androgens induce and complete masculinization of the reproductive tract during the time when morphological differentiation can be observed in these tissues, i.e., late in fetal life for rat reproductive tissues such as the prostate and penis. As a result, researchers investigating the mechanistic basis for androgen-driven masculinization have focused on this period in fetal life (28–30). However, our recent studies investigating wolffian duct development (31) caused us to question this
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belief and instead highlighted the potential that androgens could "preprogram" masculinization before morphological changes are actually observed. If true, this would place the critical window of androgen action much earlier than previously assumed; this could offer some explanation for why so little advance has been made in elucidating the mechanisms underlying androgen-driven masculinization or its associated disorders.

We therefore hypothesized that androgen-driven masculinization, involving morphological differentiation of the various reproductive tract tissues, is programmed by androgen action earlier in fetal life, before morphological differentiation occurs, and that this might be when TDS disorders originate, especially hypospadias and cryptorchidism. Obviously, this hypothesis cannot be studied directly in humans, and so we tested it using a rat model in which pregnant dams were exposed either to the anti-androgen flutamide or to testosterone, both of which are well documented to affect masculinization in animal studies (29, 32–36).

Results
To investigate the critical window of fetal androgen action in masculinization, rats were exposed to androgens or anti-androgens in specific windows during the last week of gestation (E15.5–E21.5). These encompassed: (a) the period just after onset of testicular testosterone production (E15.5–E17.5, early window [EW]); (b) the period immediately prior to morphological differentiation of reproductive tract tissues (E17.5–E19.5, middle window [MW]); or (c) the period of morphological differentiation of the reproductive tissues in males, defined by the appearance of budding in the prostate (21) and differentiation of the wolffian duct (31) (E19.5–E21.5, late window [LW]). These animals were compared with those exposed to flutamide for the entire period when fetal testicular testosterone is produced (FW) completely blocks reproductive tract masculinization, resulting in the absence of a prostate and seminal vesicles and failure of the phallus to elongate (Figure 1). We now show that this phenotype is a result of impaired androgen action before

Figure 1
Identification of the early programming window for masculinization of the reproductive tract in rats. Internal and external genitalia from male rats exposed in utero to flutamide in EW (E15.5–E17.5), MW (E17.5–E19.5), LW (E19.5–E21.5), or FW (E15.5–E21.5) were examined at P25. (A) Schematic diagram of normal masculinization of the indifferent reproductive tissues into their derivative tissues. EW or MW flutamide exposure prevents prostate (B) and seminal vesicle (C) formation in almost all males at P25, while LW flutamide does not prevent prostate or seminal vesicle formation in any males. Ventral prostates (D) and seminal vesicles (E) are significantly smaller in the few EW and MW flutamide-exposed males that had any tissue present. AGD (F) and phallus length (G) are reduced to near female levels in EW and MW flutamide-exposed males at P25. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 8–28 rats from 2–5 litters per treatment group). *P > 0.05 compared with female values; ***P < 0.001 compared with control male values. Blue dotted line highlights mean male level; red dotted line highlights mean control female level.
E19.5, as flutamide exposure only in EW or MW impaired reproductive tract masculinization (Figure 1). In these animals, only 7% and 12% of males had a ventral prostate and 28% and 37% had seminal vesicles, respectively (Figure 1, B and C). Furthermore, these tissues were largely vestigial when present in these animals, and the weight of the ventral prostate and seminal vesicles was dramatically reduced, compared with those from control males ($P < 0.001$; Figure 1, D and E). Interestingly, exposure to flutamide in the LW had no effect on ventral prostate weight but significantly reduced seminal vesicle weight ($P < 0.001$; Figure 1, D and E);
A is the first demonstration to our knowledge that this "program occurs before morphological differentiation. Furthermore, this is programmed much earlier than previously assumed, and these findings confirmed our hypothesis that masculinization of the reproductive tract is programmed in rats during some or all of the period between E15.5 and E19.5. Herein, the term programming window refers to the period prior to E19.5 and encompasses both the early and middle treatment windows.

Relationship of hypospadias and cryptorchidism to the programming window. Since these studies demonstrated that androgen-driven masculinization of all components of the reproductive tract is pre-programmed during a common early programming window, we examined when blockade of fetal androgen action could induce hypospadias or cryptorchidism. We found hypospadias in 64% and 63% of males exposed to flutamide treatment in the EW and MW, respectively (Figure 2, A and B). FW flutamide exposure did not induce hypospadias in males but instead induced a completely female phallus phenotype (Figure 2A); this can be considered to be a more severe phenotype than hypospadias. Cryptorchidism was induced in 30% and 57% of males exposed to EW and FW flutamide treatment, respectively (Figure 2D). LW flutamide treatment induced neither hypospadias nor cryptorchidism (Figure 2).

Importantly, subnormal AGD correlated with incidence and severity of hypospadias \( (P < 0.001; \text{Figure } 2E) \), cryptorchidism \( (P < 0.001; \text{Figure } 2F) \), reduced phallus length \( (r^2 = 0.7; \text{Figure } 2G) \), and seminal vesicle weight \( (r^2 = 0.5; \text{data not shown}) \).

Androgen concentrations and AR expression during the programming and differentiation windows. Our findings show that exposure to flutamide in the LW had little effect on masculinization of the reproductive tract in males. We therefore examined whether the ability of flutamide to block reproductive tract masculinization in the programming but not the differentiation windows could be explained by differences in testicular testosterone production or AR expression. However, testicular testosterone production only increased in parallel with fetal body weight between E15.5 and E21.5 in males (Figure 3A). Moreover, the AR was expressed in reproductive tract tissues from E15.5 to E21.5 in both males and females (Figure 3B and Welsh et al., unpublished observations). Thus, the different reproductive phenotypes induced by flutamide exposure in the programming window \( (\text{i.e., E15.5–E19.5}) \) compared with the differentiation window \( (\text{i.e., E19.5–E21.5}) \) cannot be explained by variation in testosterone concentrations or AR expression.

The early programming window also determines experimental masculinization of the reproductive tract in female rats. Since AR is also expressed in reproductive tissues in females and previous studies have shown that inappropriate androgen exposure can masculinize the female fetus \( (36, 40) \), we investigated whether exposing pregnant rats to exogenous testosterone during the same time windows as...
for flutamide could masculinize the reproductive tissues in the female offspring. Females exposed to androgens during the EW (E15.5–E17.5) were completely masculinized, as evidenced by the formation of a prostate and seminal vesicles, increase in AGD to a length normal for males, and increased phallus length. In contrast, exposure to testosterone in the LW (E19.5–E21.5) did not induce prostate or seminal vesicle formation and had no effect on AGD (Figure 4). However, LW testosterone exposure did result in a similar increase in phallus length as did FW testosterone exposure (Figure 4D). Exposure to testosterone in the MW (E17.5–E19.5) induced the formation of a prostate but not seminal vesicles in females, increased AGD but not to a normal male length, and increased phallus length (Figure 4). Therefore, in females, as in males, androgens must act during the programming window (i.e., E15.5–E19.5) to masculinize the prostate, seminal vesicles, and perineum (AGD), but elongation of the phallus is sensitive to androgen action outside of this EW (see below).

Exposure to exogenous testosterone in utero cannot advance or enhance development of reproductive tract tissues in the male. Interestingly, exposure to exogenous testosterone any time during (E15.5–E17.5 or E17.5–E19.5) or after (E19.5–E21.5) the programming window did not enhance development of reproductive structures in the male, since AGD, the ventral prostate, and the penis were no larger than in control males (Figure 5). Thus, masculinization in males appears to operate at maximum capacity with normal endogenous testosterone concentrations.

Characterization of the windows of androgen action on phallus development during fetal life. Our flutamide studies showed that the only effect on the male reproductive tract of inhibiting androgen action in the late differentiation window was a 22% decrease in penis weight compared with that of controls ($P < 0.001$; Figure 6A), while penile length was not affected ($P > 0.05$; Figure 1G). Furthermore, histological analysis showed that exposure to flutamide in the EW (E15.5–E17.5), but not the LW (E19.5–E21.5), resulted in a failure of the urethral folds to fuse, resulting in hypospadias (Figure 6C) and a lack of ossification of the penile os bone (Figure 6C). This demonstrates that androgens act during different fetal time windows in males to program penis formation and elongation compared with its subsequent increase in weight.

However in females, phallus (clitoral) weight and length were both sensitive to exogenous androgen action outside of the masculinization programming window, since exposure to testosterone during the EW, MW, or LW resulted in an increase in size comparable to that induced by testosterone exposure for the FW ($P > 0.05$; Figure 6B and Figure 4D). Intriguingly, a male-sized phallus at P17 could not be induced by exogenous androgen exposure in females,
Figure 5
Exposure to exogenous testosterone in utero cannot advance or enhance development of the reproductive tract tissues in the male. Reproductive tracts from male rats exposed in utero to testosterone in EW (E15.5–E17.5), MW (E17.5–E19.5), LW (E19.5–E21.5) or FW (E15.5–21.5), compared with control male and female rats, were examined at P17. AGD (A), ventral prostate weight (B), and phallus length (C) were not increased in males after exposure to testosterone during any time window in fetal life. Values are mean ± SEM (n = 5–21 rats from 2–5 litters per treatment group). ****P < 0.001 compared with control male values. Blue dotted line highlights mean control male level; red dotted line highlights mean control female level.

Discussion
The impetus for the present studies was 2-fold. First was the need to establish precisely when in fetal life reduced androgen action could induce hypospadias or cryptorchidism, as humans disorders such as these are hypothesized to result from reduced androgen action soon after fetal testis differentiation (11, 14). The second stimulus resulted from our unexpected discovery that androgen-driven morphological differentiation of the wolffian duct resulted from androgen action not at the time of differentiation but several days earlier (31); we described this as “programming” by androgens. This prompted us to ask whether similar programming applied to the rest of the male reproductive tract (penis, prostate) and led us to hypothesize that androgen-driven masculinization of the various reproductive tract tissues is programmed by androgen action earlier in fetal life, before morphological differentiation of these tissues occurs (Figure 7), and that this is when hypospadias and cryptorchidism originate.

Obviously, this hypothesis could not be tested directly in humans. We therefore tested it using a rat model in which pregnant dams were exposed to either the anti-androgen flutamide or to testosterone; reproductive tract development was then examined in the male and female offspring. These studies largely confirmed our hypothesis that androgen-driven masculinization of all components of the reproductive tract is mediated during a common early programming window (prior to E19.5; Figure 7), as opposed to during the later differentiation window (after E19.5), when morphological differentiation of tissues can be observed. We have also shown for the first time to our knowledge that this masculinization programming window is not restricted to males but also applies to females abnormally exposed to androgens in utero. Furthermore, deficient androgen action only within this early programming window in males can induce the common congenital disorders cryptorchidism and hypospadias. Importantly, we have shown that AGD provides a noninvasive, lifelong “readout” of androgen action specifically within the programming window and predicts incidence of hypospadias and cryptorchidism, as well as penile length and seminal vesicle weight in rats. Cryptorchidism and hypospadias are common in newborn boys, occurring in 2.4%–9% and 0.4%–1% of boys at birth, respectively (9, 10); our findings in rats suggest that these disorders are likely to originate early in gestation due to inadequate programming by androgens. Furthermore, our findings strongly encourage measurement of AGD in humans as a readout of androgen action specifically within the masculinization programming window, as AGD may be predictive of adult-onset TDS disorders.

The phenotype we observed in male rats after exposure to flutamide during the FW of fetal reproductive development (i.e., E15.5–E21.5) is comparable to that previously reported by other researchers (29, 41, 42). We have shown that this phenotype is due to impaired androgen action before E19.5, during which time androgen action is vital to induce the formation and later correct development of all male reproductive tissues in males. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a programming window common to the formation and differentiation of all structures of the male reproductive tract, although there are already published data that agree with this (43, 44). However, the earlier data generally refer to only one tissue, and therefore the wider implications that we now illustrate were not fully realized. Our discovery of a common masculinization programming window raises fundamental new questions, such as what regulates this programming window, and can it be affected by factors such as fetal growth restriction (which is a risk factor for both cryptorchidism and hypospadias; refs. 11, 14). Our studies also highlight that there is a fixed time window in which environmental endocrine disruptors could interfere with male reproductive development and identify one key reason why the mechanisms underlying androgen-driven masculinization have previously evaded researchers who, like ourselves, had focused their studies exclusively on the later differentiation window. It is surprising that androgen action during the later differentiation window is unnecessary for normal formation of reproductive tissues in males or for their subsequent differentiation, although a role in determining seminal vesicle and penis size (see below) was identified.
Since our findings showed that LW flutamide exposure had little effect on masculinization of the reproductive tract, we investigated whether the ability of flutamide to block masculinization during the programming but not differentiation window could be explained by differences in the concentration of endogenous testosterone available to compete with flutamide for AR binding or differences in levels of AR expressed in the tissues. However, testicular testosterone concentrations simply increased in parallel with fetal body weight between E15.5 and E21.5, and it has been shown previously that fetal serum testosterone concentrations are relatively stable between E17.5 and E21.5 in rats (18). Moreover, the AR was expressed in all reproductive tract tissues in both males and females at all ages studied, a pattern consistent with published data (31, 45, 46). Furthermore, the dose of flutamide used in these studies was 4- to 15-fold greater than that required to abolish prostate development (29) or to prevent masculinization of AGD in rats (ref. 41 and our unpublished observations). Therefore, the reproductive phenotype observed after exposure to flutamide in androgens must act within the programming window if they are to masculinize the reproductive tract. The factor(s) determining the masculinization programming window is unknown, but it is likely to involve regulation of the onset of AR expression, is not sexually dimorphic, and is a potential target for disruption of masculinization.

Interestingly, exposure to exogenous testosterone any time between E15.5 and E21.5 did not enhance development of reproductive structures in the male; for example, penis size was no larger than that in control males. Conversely, it did increase the size of the phallus, as discussed below. Therefore, in males, as in females, the EW compared with that in males exposed to flutamide in the LW cannot be due to differences in the effectiveness of flutamide to antagonize androgen action, but rather must be due to different roles for androgen in each of the fetal time windows. This conclusion is further substantiated by our findings regarding the restricted time window in which exogenous testosterone can masculinize females.

It is well established that inappropriately high androgen exposure can masculinize the female fetus (36, 40). A new clinically relevant question that emerged from the present studies in males was whether experimental masculinization of the reproductive tract in the female fetus is confined to the same early programming window as in males. We investigated this by exposing pregnant rats to exogenous testosterone and examining the impact on reproductive development in the female offspring. Females exposed to testosterone during the masculinization programming window (i.e., E15.5–E19.5) developed prostates and seminal vesicles and had an increased AGD and increased phallus length. In contrast, testosterone exposure in the later male differentiation window (i.e., E19.5–E21.5) did not masculinize the female reproductive tract, although it did increase the size of the phallus, as discussed below.

Therefore, in females, as in males, the EW compared with that in males exposed to flutamide in the LW cannot be due to differences in the effectiveness of flutamide to antagonize androgen action, but rather must be due to different roles for androgen in each of the fetal time windows. This conclusion is further substantiated by our findings regarding the restricted time window in which exogenous testosterone can masculinize females.
agrees with data from the Tfmr mouse showing that ossification of the penile os is an androgen-mediated event (47). It is important to note that the human penis has no os bone, but it is likely that histological differentiation of the human penis will also be mediated by androgen action early in fetal life. Importantly, our results show that blockade of androgen action only in the masculinization programming window can induce hypospadias, while blockade in the later differentiation window had no discernible effect on gross morphology of the penis. Together, these data demonstrate that androgen action before E19.5 is vital for programming penis formation and elongation in rats, whereas androgen action throughout fetal life (and some of postnatal life) can mediate the subsequent increase in penile weight. This agrees with previously published studies in humans (48).

Interestingly, exposure to flutamide during the FW of fetal reproductive development (E15.5–E21.5) resulted in formation of a phallus in males that appeared grossly female, with no sign of hypospadias. In contrast, exposure to flutamide only in the EW (E15.5–E17.5) or MW (E17.5–E19.5) resulted most commonly in hypospadias, though some animals showed a female phenotype. This suggests that the critical window for androgen action in penis formation is not restricted just to the EW (E15.5–E17.5), but that androgen action for at least some of the MW (E17.5–E19.5) is also essential. This gradient of phallus development has also been reported in humans with androgen insensitivity syndrome, in which structures range from a normal male phallus to a hypospadiac male phallus to an apparently female phallus, depending on the degree of androgen action (49). However, the fundamental finding from the present studies is that androgen action before E19.5 in rats is vital to induce phallic formation and that androgen action after this age cannot result in normal penis formation, even though it can modify phallus size.

Perhaps our most unexpected finding was that transinguinal testicular descent was also programmed by androgen action in the early programming window. This was surprising, as this androgen-dependent phase of testis descent is a late event in the rat, occurring at postnatal week 3 (26), almost a month after the masculinization programming window (Figure 7). Nevertheless, our findings agree with similar previous observations (44), and additional studies have also shown that postnatal blockade of androgen action during the period of actual transinguinal testis descent does not induce cryptorchidism (22). Transinguinal testis descent...
in humans also occurs considerably later (gestation weeks 27–35; refs. 24, 25) than reproductive tract differentiation (Figure 7), and it is this final phase of testis descent that is androgen dependent and is most commonly affected in cryptorchid boys (26). If, as in the rat, transinguinal testis descent in humans results from androgen action during the masculinization programming window, then our results suggest that incomplete or delayed transinguinal testis descent, which is common (2.4%–9%) in boys at birth (10), reflects impaired androgen action much earlier in pregnancy than was previously suspected. This possibility could be addressed by investigation of the relationship between incomplete transinguinal testis descent at birth and AGD, as discussed below. One puzzling observation from our studies is that flutamide exposure during any of our time windows only induced cryptorchidism (which was frequently unilateral) in 35%–60% of males, consistent with incidence rates in previous studies (26). This may mean that there is not an absolute requirement for androgen action in transinguinal testis descent or that other unknown factors may be able to compensate for deficient androgen action in the process. This merits further investigation.

Many previous animal studies have used AGD as an index of altered fetal androgen action (30, 31, 41–43). However, we have shown that AGD can only be affected in rats by manipulation of androgen action between E15.5 and E19.5, since treatment between E19.5 and E21.5 had no effect. AGD can therefore provide new insights into androgen action during this “hidden” period of life and thus will be useful clinically as a noninvasive measure of androgen action specifically within the masculinization programming window, but not during later fetal or neonatal life. For example, AGD may not be a reliable guide in decision making about sex rearing in cases of ambiguous genitalia at birth, as androgen-induced brain masculinization is a late fetal event in humans and monkeys (50, 51) and occurs late in fetal and early postnatal life in rats (52); it is therefore likely to be independent of the programming that we describe and so may not correlate with AGD. We have shown, however, that subnormal AGD correlates with incidence and severity of hypospadias, cryptorchidism, and reduced phallus length and seminal vesicle weight in males exposed in utero to flutamide. Furthermore, in a rat model of TDS (12) based on in utero exposure to di(n-butyl) phthalate, we found a relationship between reduced AGD and occurrence of hypospadias and cryptorchidism similar to that revealed in the present studies; moreover, in this model, AGD also correlates with adult rat testis size and therefore sperm production (Sharpe et al., unpublished observations). AGD at birth could therefore be useful to indicate abnormal androgen action during the critical masculinization programming window. Furthermore, if it is accurate to link the origins of TDS to low fetal androgen actions (11), reduced AGD might also predict the risk of adult-onset disorders such as low sperm counts or testicular germ cell cancer. Since we, and others (41, 53), have shown that fetally induced changes in AGD are permanent, AGD could be measured in adulthood as a reflection of androgen action during the masculinization programming window. In this regard, Swan et al. (54) have shown that AGD in newborn boys correlates with gestational exposure to phthalates, which, in rat studies, have been shown to reduce fetal testosterone production and AGD (12, 55–58). Moreover, a recent study (59) has shown that AGD is reduced in boys with hypospadias or cryptorchidism. Together, these findings provide strong incentive for clinical investigations of altered AGD in patients with postulated TDS disorders, such as young men with testicular germ cell cancer; confirmation that AGD was subnormal in such men would provide the grounds for identifying those at future risk of this cancer by measurement of AGD neonatally, a noninvasive and practically easy screen.

In conclusion, our studies identify a common early programming window in rats in which androgen action must occur to masculinize all components of the reproductive tract and enable their correct later development in males. This masculinization programming window is not restricted to males but also applies to females abnormally exposed to androgens in utero. Furthermore, deficient androgen action only within this early programming window can induce the common congenital disorders cryptorchidism and hypospadias. The occurrence of such a programming window in humans remains to be proven, but based on parallels with the rat, it is likely to be between 8 and 14 weeks’ gestation (Figure 7). Importantly, we have shown that AGD provides a noninvasive, lifelong readout of androgen action only within the masculinization programming window and predicts incidence of hypospadias and cryptorchidism, as well as penile length and seminal vesicle weight in rats. AGD should therefore be clinically useful in assessing androgen exposure early in gestation, as an indicator of reproductive tract masculinization and its congenital

Table 1
Explanations of classification of testis descent and penis morphology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Testis position</td>
<td>Cryptorchid</td>
<td>Testes located in the abdomen, inguinal (beside the bladder), or ectopic outside the body cavity (e.g., in the femoral canal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrotal</td>
<td></td>
<td>Testes located in the scrotum or found in the inguinal canal but could be pushed into the scrotum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phallus external morphology</td>
<td>Normal male</td>
<td>Urethral opening at the tip of the phallus; phallus long and cylindrical; obvious internal 90° S-shaped bend in the phallus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abnormal male</td>
<td>Urethral opening at the tip of the phallus; phallus long and cylindrical; internal 90° S-shaped bend not apparent in the phallus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypospadiac male</td>
<td>Urethral opening on the ventral surface of the phallus or perineum; phallus long and cylindrical; internal 90° S-shaped bend not apparent in the phallus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female phenotype</td>
<td></td>
<td>Female urethral opening; phallus flat and wide; internal 90° S-shaped bend not apparent in the phallus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
disorders and as a potential predictor of adult-onset reproductive disorders. Our detailed studies on androgen action during different developmental stages have also revealed one reason why the cellular mechanisms underlying androgen-driven masculinization have previously evaded researchers who focused exclusively on the later differentiation window. They also identify the time period when environmental endocrine disruptors could interfere with male reproductive development and support the hypothesis that TDS disorders that manifest at birth arise early in gestation in association with subnormal androgen action.

Methods

Rats. Wistar rats were bred and maintained in our own animal house under standard conditions of care and use under licensed approval from the UK Home Office. Animals had access ad libitum to water and a soy-free breeding diet (SDS). Timed matings were established, and the presence of a vaginal plug was defined as E0.5. Pregnant dams were either untreated and fetuses recovered for testosterone assays and AR analysis or treated (as detailed below), and offspring were examined postnatally.

In vivo studies. A total of 39 pregnant rats were randomly allocated to treatment groups and dosed daily between 08:30 and 10:00 according to maternal body weight with (a) flutamide (Sigma-Aldrich) at 100 mg/kg by oral gavage in 1 ml/kg corn oil/2.5% DMSO (n = 15 litters); (b) testosterone propionate (Sigma-Aldrich) at 20 mg/kg by subcutaneous injection in 0.4 ml/kg corn oil (n = 14); or (c) vehicle control (n = 10 litters). This dose of flutamide severely impairs male reproductive tract development (29, 31) and is 4- to 15-fold greater than that required to abolish prostate development (29) or to prevent masculinization of AGD in rats (ref. 41 and our unpublished observations). The dose of testosterone propionate (TP) used was based on previous results showing that in utero exposure to doses above 3-4 mg/kg completely masculinized AGD in female rats (ref. 36 and our unpublished observations). The dose of testosterone used in our studies frequently induces dystocia, so to avoid this problem, fetuses were caesarean-derived and cross-fostered to untreated/control mothers that had delivered within the last 6 hours.

A pilot study was undertaken to compare FW, EW, and LW flutamide treatment (see below) and to sample offspring at various postnatal ages to identify optimal time points for subsequent analysis as well as determining the persistence of reproductive tract abnormalities through to adulthood. A total of 8 dams were exposed to flutamide in either the FW (n = 3 litters), EW (n = 2 litters), or LW (n = 3 litters); offspring were examined for the presence of male reproductive tissues and incidence of hypospadia and cryptorchidism and AGD was measured. These studies demonstrated that all abnormalities could be identified at P25 and persisted until adulthood. The data from this pilot study are not reported in this article but gave comparable results to those presented herein.

For the present studies, dosing was undertaken in specific time windows in the last week of gestation (E15.5–E21.5) that encompassed either (a) the period just after onset of testicular testosterone production (E15.5–E17.5, EW); (b) the period immediately prior to morphological differentiation of reproductive tract tissues (E17.5–E19.5, MW); or (c) the period of morphological differentiation of the reproductive tissues in males, defined by the appearance of budding in the prostate (21) and differentiation of the wolffian duct (31) (E19.5–E21.5, LW). Data were compared with those from animals exposed to flutamide for the whole period from onset of androgen production by the testis until birth (E15.5–E21.5, FW). Dams were checked daily for signs of toxicity and their body weights recorded daily throughout the dosing regime. Male and female offspring were subsequently evaluated postnatally: testosterone-exposed rats were examined at P17, as this is prior to the onset of puberty in rats and therefore minimizes any confounding effects of endogenous pubertal hormones (in females), while flutamide-exposed rats were not examined until P25, since testis descent into the scrotum occurs at P20–P23 in rats (26).

Dissection of fetal tissues. Dams (n = 22) were killed by inhalation of carbon dioxide and subsequent cervical dislocation. Fetuses were recovered, decapitated, and placed in ice-cold PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) prior to recovery of gonads, urogenital tubercles, urogenital sinuses, and wolffian and/or mullerian ducts from males and females. Gonads were snap-frozen for subsequent testosterone assay (see below), while all other tissues were fixed in Bouin’s fixative for 1 hour, then transferred into 70% ethanol and processed for 17.5 hours in an automated Leica TP1050 processor and embedded in paraffin wax.

Dissection of postnatal tissues. Male and female offspring were killed at P17 (n = 125 rats) or P25 (n = 75 rats) by inhalation of carbon dioxide and subsequent cervical dislocation. All endpoints were examined in all rats. No significant difference was noted in offspring body weight between treatment groups; therefore, no correction factor was necessary for any parameter. Rats were sexed by the presence or absence of testes, as testis formation is not dependent on androgen action and so would not be affected by the treatments used in this study. Rats were weighed, and AGD was measured using digital calipers (Faithfull Tools), as it is widely believed that AGD reflects the degree of masculinization of the animal (41, 43). Rats were examined for testis position and penis morphology (Table 1), prior to recovery of reproductive tissues.

The phallus was examined for abnormalities prior to the prepuce and skin being removed and the phallus being recovered; it was consistently severed at the bend where the urethra enters the body. Phallus length was measured using digital calipers and then weighed prior to fixation in Bouin’s fixative for 2 hours and processed as above. To recover postnatal reproductive tracts, the abdomen of the supine male rat was opened, and testes, seminal vesicles, ventral prostate, and adrenals were examined for gross morphology, then removed from the animal. Tissue wet weight was recorded prior to fixation in Bouin’s fixative for 2 hours and tissue processed as above. Epididymes and vas deferens were examined for gross morphology and showed abnormalities in flutamide-exposed animals comparable to those previously reported (29, 31, 42); for the present studies, seminal vesicles were weighed and analyzed as representative wolffian duct–derived differentiated tissues.

Goldner. Standard Goldner histological staining was performed on paraffin-embedded phalluses from control and flutamide-exposed male rats (P25) in order to establish normality of penile differentiation, for example, ossification of the penile os bone. Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated as previously detailed (31) prior to staining with Weigert standard Goldner method. Representative phalluses from at least 3 animals from at least 2 litters were examined, and sections were processed in parallel on at least two occasions.

Testicular testosterone assay. The tiny volume of blood in fetal rats, especially at E15.5–E18.5, makes it difficult to measure serum testosterone concentrations (18). Testicular testosterone levels were therefore measured by RIA, as described previously (12). Testes are too small at E15.5 (n = 4 litters) and E17.5 (n = 5 litters) to accurately measure testosterone concentrations in individual testes; therefore, one testis from each fetus was pooled within each litter. Testosterone concentrations were measured in individual testes at E19.5 (n = 6 rats from 3 litters) and E21.5 (n = 17 rats from 4 litters).

Double fluorescence immunohistochemistry for cytokeratin and AR. Bouin’s-fixed reproductive tissues recovered from fetuses from untreated or vehicle control dams at E17.5 (during the programming window; n = 3 litters) and E21.5 (during the differentiation window; n = 3 litters) were embed-
ded in paraffin wax, sectioned (5 μm), and floated onto slides coated with 2% 3-amino propyl triethoxysilane (Sigma-Aldrich), prior to performing double immunohistochemistry for cytokertin and AR. Sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated and antigen retrieval performed as previously detailed (31). Nonspecific binding sites were blocked by incubating sections in normal goat serum (NGS; Autogen Bioclear UK Ltd.) diluted 1:4 in PBS containing 5% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 hour, then incubated overnight at 4° C with anti–pan-cytokeratin antibody (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted 1:200 and anti-AR antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) diluted 1:50 in NGS/PBS/BSA. AR immunostaining was detected with biotinylated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (DAKO) diluted 1:500 in NGS/PBS/BSA, followed by streptavidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 546, also diluted 1:200 in PBS, to produce red fluorescence. Cytokeratin immunoeexpression was detected using goat anti-mouse secondary antibody directly conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 diluted 1:200 in PBS, to produce green fluorescence. Sections were mounted in Mowiol mounting medium (Calbiochem). Fluorescent images were captured using a Zeiss LSM 510 Meta Axisto 100M confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Ltd.). To ensure reproducibility of results and allow accurate comparison of immunostaining, representative reproductive tissues from at least 3 animals from 2 litters were used, and sections from male and female fetuses were processed in parallel on at least 2 occasions. Appropriate negative controls were included, whereby the primary antibody was replaced by normal goat serum alone, to ensure that any staining observed was specific; none of the antibodies used showed other than minor nonspecific staining. For AR expression, the genital tubercle/phallus was used as a representative reproductive tract tissue, but similar results were also obtained for wolffian duct–derived tissues (data not shown).

**Statistics.** Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 5 (Graph Pad Software Inc.). Values are expressed as mean ± SEM. Normality was confirmed using KS normality test, then data were analyzed using a 1-tailed unpaired t test or 1-way ANOVA, followed by Bonferroni post-hoc tests. Correlation between AGD and penile length was analyzed using linear regression modeling. In situations in which significant heterogeneity of variance was detected, data were normalized by log transformation, prior to statistical analysis. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.
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