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Chronic Treatment with the Antidepressant Amitriptyline Prevents Impairments in Water Maze Learning in Aging Rats
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Increasing evidence links chronically elevated glucocorticoid levels and cognitive impairments in a subpopulation of aged rodents and humans. Antidepressant drugs improve hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis feedback regulation and reduce plasma glucocorticoid levels. Decreasing the cumulative lifetime exposure to glucocorticoids by long-term exposure to antidepressants may prevent the emergence of cognitive impairments in aged rats. To test this hypothesis, we treated middle-aged male Lister hooded rats (16 months) with amitriptyline until they were 24 months of age, and their cognitive function was assessed in the water maze. Performance in the spatial learning task declined significantly with aging ($p < 0.01$), with $33\%$ of aged controls showing poorer ($2.5$ SD)probe test performance than young controls. Amitriptyline treatment from midlife preserved water maze performance with aging ($p < 0.01$ compared with aged controls) and significantly ($p < 0.01$) decreased the proportion of poor performers (7%). Measures of anxiety-related behaviors in the elevated plus-maze were significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased in the aged rats after amitriptyline. Furthermore, evening plasma corticosterone levels were reduced (30% decrease; $p < 0.01$ compared with aged controls) after 6 months of amitriptyline. These data suggest that long-term treatment with amitriptyline decreases the prevalence of cognitive impairment in aged rats and that this may, in part, be a consequence of reduced plasma corticosterone levels and reduced anxiety.
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Consistent evidence has revealed that approximately one-third of aging rats show an association between memory impairments, pathological changes in hippocampal neurons, and elevated blood levels of glucocorticoid hormones (corticosterone in rats, cortisol in humans) (Issa et al., 1996; Yau et al., 1995). The latter appears to be attributable to insensitivity to glucocorticoid negative feedback control of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. Glucocorticoid-mediated negative feedback sensitivity is thought to be related to loss of glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) in the brain, particularly in the hippocampus (Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991). From a mechanistic point of view, chronic glucocorticoid excess interferes with long-term potentiation as well as other putative electrophysiological processes related to learning and memory (Diamond et al., 1992; Pavlides et al., 1993); it also adversely affects hippocampal neuronal structure and eventually, perhaps, neuronal survival (Sapolsky, 1996). Glucocorticoids appear to be causal, because manipulations that keep glucocorticoid levels low throughout life prevent the emergence with age of memory impairments and hippocampal neuronal damage (Landfield et al., 1981; Meaney et al., 1988). In elderly humans, a link has also been established between elevated plasma cortisol levels, hippocampal atrophy, and the development of cognitive impairments (Lupien et al., 1998), underscoring an important clinical component of chronic glucocorticoid excess.

A critical target for manipulating blood glucocorticoid levels is GR density in brain regions involved in negative feedback control of circulating hormone levels. The hippocampus is of prominent importance, because changes in GR density in this region have been associated with altered plasma glucocorticoid levels (Meaney et al., 1989; Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991). There are two types of receptors for glucocorticoids in the hippocampus: the mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and the GR; both are members of the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily, and both are involved in glucocorticoid feedback (De Kloet, 1991). Recent studies have shown the key importance of monoaminergic neurotransmitters, particularly serotonin [5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT)] and norepinephrine (NE), in the maintenance and regulation of GR and MR expression in the hippocampus (Seckl et al., 1998). Antidepressant drugs, which alter monoaminergic neurotransmission, increase hippocampal GR and MR expression (Seckl and Fink, 1992) and indeed normalize HPA function in depression, even before clinical improvement occurs (Holsboer and Barden, 1996). We have shown that antidepressants decrease glucocorticoid levels and improve HPA feedback control in aged, cognitively impaired rats (Yau et al., 1995; Rowe et al., 1997).

In our previous studies, however, although administration of the tricyclic antidepressant amitriptyline for 2 months facilitated hippocampal (spatial) learning and memory in young rats, this treatment failed to improve memory or prevent deficits in cognition in already aged (24- to 26-month-old) rats (Yau et al., 1995). This lack of efficacy might have been attributable to a loss of...
plasticity of an already subtly impaired brain. In the present study, we treated rats with amitriptyline for 8 months from middle age (16 months) and tested their performance in a spatial learning water maze task after 2 months of treatment (to compare with our previous work) and after 8 months of treatment when the rats were 24 months of age. Anxiety-like behaviors were also assessed in the elevated plus-maze at 24 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. Male Lister hooded rats (Charles River, Kent, UK) were obtained at 3 months of age and maintained undisturbed before commencing the study. Rats were housed three per cage under conditions of controlled lighting (lights on from 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M.) and temperature (22°C), with access to food (Clark’s rodents maintenance diet cubed; Special Diet Services, Essex, UK) and tap water ad libitum. Animals with overt signs of respiratory distress, infection, or tumors were excluded. All procedures were performed in strict accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act.

Antidepressant drug administration. Rats were 16 months of age when randomly assigned to oral treatment with amitriptyline (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) via their drinking water for 8 months. Controls received tap water. Drinking bottles were light-protected, and solutions were renewed on alternate days. Drug intake and animal weight were monitored on a weekly basis. Control rats drank 26.8 ± 0.3 ml/d, and amitriptyline-treated rats drank 23 ± 0.6 ml/d. The average dose of amitriptyline consumed was 8.2 ± 0.2 mg • kg⁻¹ • d⁻¹, which is comparable with previously reported doses that alter HPA axis function (Reul et al., 1993; Yau et al., 1995).

Behavioral testing. The spatial memory performances of the aged rats were assessed in the water maze after 2 months and finally after 8 months of amitriptyline treatment. Young controls (6 months of age) were also tested in the water maze twice, 2 months apart, for comparison.

Rats were trained in a 1.8-m-diameter open-field water maze filled with water (20°C) and made opaque with latex liquid (Yau et al., 1995). Prominent extra-maze visual cues around the room remained in fixed positions throughout the experiment. During behavioral testing, animals were required to locate a hidden submerged platform 10 cm in diameter (1.5 cm below the surface), which remained in the same position across trials for individual animals but was counterbalanced across animals. Four equally spaced points (north, south, east, and west) around the edge of the pool were used as starting positions. The animals were given four trials per day for 4 d. Trials began with the rat placed in the pool facing the side wall at a start position and ended once the animal had found the platform; if the rat had not found the platform within 120 sec, it was guided there by hand. After a period of 30 sec on the platform, the rat was immediately re-placed in the pool at a different start position for the next trial. The latency and swim paths of the rats were monitored by a video camera mounted in the ceiling and by a computerized tracking system (video image analyzer, HVS Image, Hampton, UK) and Acorn Archimedes computer (Acorn Computer Group, Cambridge, UK). On day 5, rats were given a retention (probe) test. For this, the platform was removed, and the swim path and time spent in the platform (“training”) quadrant were recorded over 60 sec.

Elevated plus-maze. The elevated plus-maze (Panlab, Barcelona, Spain) was a cross-shaped platform made of black plastic. The apparatus consisted of two opposing open arms (10 × 10 cm) and two arms of the same size but enclosed by walls 40 cm high. A central area of 10 cm² was connected to four arms. The maze was elevated 46 cm from the floor. At the start of the test, the rat was placed in the central area facing one of the open arms and allowed to explore the maze freely for 5 min. During this period, the number of open-arm and closed-arm entries and the time spent on open arms and closed arms were measured. The apparatus was wiped clean with ethanol between rats.

Blood sampling. Blood samples (<300 μl) for determination of basal plasma corticosterone levels were taken within 30 sec of a tail nick at 1 hr into the light (8:00 A.M., morning sample) or dark (8:00 P.M., evening sample) phase. To avoid multiple sampling in any one animal, tail-nick blood sampling from an equal number of rats randomly selected from each group was performed either 3 or 6 months after antidepressant treatment had been initiated. Blood samples were taken into EDTA-coated Eppendorf tubes, placed on ice, centrifuged, and stored at −20°C. Plasma corticosterone levels were measured by a previously described radioimmunoassay (Al Dujaili et al., 1981), modified for microtitre plate scintillation proximity assay (Amersham Biosciences, Little Chalfont, UK) with a highly specific antiserum (Dr. C. Kenyon, Edinburgh University) and [3H]corticosterone (Amersham Biosciences). The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 9.4% and 9.2%, respectively.

Statistical analysis. Only data from rats that completed the full experimental protocol were assessed. Data were assessed by ANOVA followed by the Scheffé post hoc test. Frequency distribution was assessed by the χ² test. Significance was set at a value of p < 0.05. Values are means ± SEM.

RESULTS

Antidepressant effects on cognitive performance

The aged rats were tested in the water maze initially at 18 months of age after 2 months of amitriptyline treatment and again at 24 months of age after 8 months of amitriptyline. All young and 18-month-old rats were able to learn the hidden-platform task efficiently, showing a decrease in escape latency with days of training (young, F(11,36) = 12.0; aged controls, F(22,69) = 49.4; aged amitriptyline-treated, F(10,33) = 37.0; all p < 0.001) (Fig. 1). Young rats (6 months of age) showed a lower mean escape latency on the first day of training than aged rats (p < 0.05) but did not differ on the other days of training; all groups achieved a similar escape nadir (220 sec) after 4 d of training (Fig. 1). At 18 months of age, amitriptyline treatment for 2 months had no effect on mean escape latency. Young rats given amitriptyline show improved spatial memory retention as measured in the “probe test” (percentage of time spent in the training quadrant) but not improved acquisition, as determined by escape latency (Yau et al., 1995). However, probe test times in 18-month-old rats after 2 months of amitriptyline treatment were similar to both water-treated 18-month-old and young controls (young 6-month-old controls, 41.6 ± 4%; 18-month-old controls, 42.9 ± 2.0%; 18-month-old amitriptyline-treated, 46.2 ± 2.6%).

When the rats were 24 months of age (after 8 months of amitriptyline or water alone), they were retested in the water maze together with the young controls (8 months of age). The aged rats continued to show learning, with a decrease in mean escape latency across the first 2 d of training (water controls, F(34,105) = 6.57, p < 0.001; amitriptyline-treated, F(28,57) = 14, p < 0.001). Indeed, the mean escape latency on the last day of training was not significantly different from that of the young controls. Amitriptyline did not significantly alter the overall escape latency in the aged rats compared with aged controls (p = 0.2) (Fig. 1). The average swim speeds were comparable for both
amitriptyline treatment showing the mean classiﬁed performance of an aged rats were 24 months of age. * time spent in the different quadrants. The young controls were 8 months of age; the dashed line represents performance at the 31% probe test times in aged controls. B, Final probe test after 8 months of amitriptyline treatment showing the mean ± SEM percentage of time spent in the different quadrants. The young controls were 8 months of age; aged rats were 24 months of age. *p < 0.01 compared with young controls. †p < 0.01 compared with aged controls, old(W), Water-treated aged controls; old(A), amitriptyline-treated aged rats.

All of the aged rats were ranked according to their final probe test times and classed as poor performers if probe times were <31%, which is 2.5 SD below the mean for a large cohort of young rats in this task in our water maze (25% represents chance). Note that all of the contemporaneous young animals performed within this limit in this study. The proportion of poor performers among aged rats was 33% in controls and 7% in amitriptyline-treated rats (p < 0.01) (Fig. 2A). The aged cognitively impaired control rats (probe test percentage times of <31%) had swim speeds similar to those of the aged cognitively unimpaired rats (swim speeds: impaired, 19.3 ± 0.8 cm/sec; unimpaired, 18.5 ± 0.6 cm/sec), suggesting that sensorimotor differences do not underpin the variation with aging. Amitriptyline treatment signiﬁcantly altered the distribution of water maze learning abilities in the aged rats, such that the overall performance (probe test time) was improved compared with aged controls (25% increase; p < 0.01) but did not differ signiﬁcantly from that of young controls (Fig. 2A,B). This was not a survival effect, because there were equal numbers of age-related deaths in each group (controls, 34%; amitriptyline-treated rats, 35%).

Corticosterone levels and adrenal weights
Plasma corticosterone levels at 21 months of age (water controls) were significantly higher than those of young controls during both the morning (p < 0.01) and evening (p < 0.001) phases of the diurnal cycle (Fig. 3). This was reﬂected in the adrenal weights, which were signiﬁcantly increased (p < 0.01) in the aged rats compared with young controls (Table 1). Amitriptyline treatment decreased the adrenal weights (p < 0.05) but had no effect on body weight (Table 1). Plasma corticosterone levels were not signiﬁcantly altered during the morning phase of the cycle after 3 or 6 months of amitriptyline treatment (Fig. 3). However, amitriptyline signiﬁcantly decreased corticosterone levels during the
Table 1. Adrenal weight expressed as absolute weight of both adrenals and relative to body weight in the antidepressant- and vehicle (water)-treated 24-month-old rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adrenal weight (mg)</th>
<th>Body weight (gm)</th>
<th>Adrenal weight/body weight (mg/gm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle (water) (32)</td>
<td>80.6 ± 2.9†</td>
<td>523 ± 12</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amitriptyline (26)</td>
<td>70.7 ± 3.0†</td>
<td>507 ± 15</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.01†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young controls (15)</td>
<td>53.2 ± 4.2</td>
<td>504 ± 12</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers of rats per group are in parentheses. *p < 0.01 compared with young controls; †p < 0.05 compared with aged controls. Values are means ± SEM.

evening phase of the cycle after 3 months of treatment (26% decrease; p < 0.01 compared with vehicle controls), and this was maintained after 6 months of treatment (30% decrease; p < 0.01) (Fig. 3).

Elevated plus-maze
There was no effect of age or amitriptyline treatment on locomotion on the elevated plus-maze [number of open (F(2,28) = 2.7; p = 0.08) and closed (F(2,28) = 0.6; p = 0.6) arm entries]. Amitriptyline significantly reduced “anxiety-associated” behaviors in the aged rats [increased time spent in the open arms (103% increase; p < 0.01 compared with aged controls), decreased time spent in the closed arm (51% decrease; p < 0.05 compared with aged controls), and increased percentage of time spent in the open arms (82% increase; F(2,28) = 3.9; p < 0.05 compared with aged controls)] (Fig. 4). Age itself had no significant effect on the percentage of time spent on the open arms (p = 0.13 compared with young controls).

DISCUSSION
The present study suggests that the use of antidepressants may serve as a useful therapeutic approach in attempting to ameliorate the occurrence of cognitive impairments among populations of aged individuals (Meaney et al., 1988; Yau et al., 1995; Rowe et al., 1997). An intervention paradigm such as chronic administration of amitriptyline starting at middlelife resulted in an overall improvement in water maze performance and a significant reduction in the proportion of animals that normally would have shown memory deficits at 24 months of age. This amitriptyline treatment regimen also lowered plasma corticosterone levels and reduced anxiety-related behaviors in the aged rats, suggesting a more generalized improvement both in stress-related hormone levels and in behavior.

Chronic amitriptyline treatment reduces evening corticosterone levels and preserves spatial memory in aged rats
Previous cross-sectional studies have suggested that elevated corticosterone levels occur in ~30% of aged rats (Issa et al., 1990; Yau et al., 1995; Rowe et al., 1997). Such animals are more likely to exhibit cognitive impairments in the water maze task, whereas aged rats with lower plasma corticosterone levels typically show normal spatial learning. In fact, individual spatial memory performance in aged rats correlates negatively with contemporaneous basal plasma corticosterone levels (Yau et al., 1995). It may be argued that when treatment was started, the distribution of performance of the rats in the treatment groups may not have been equal. However, when morning and evening blood samples were tested at 19 months of age, plasma corticosterone levels were not significantly different from those of young controls. Moreover, spatial learning did not differ at 14 months of age compared with young controls (J. L. W. Yau and J. R. Seckl, unpublished observations), nor did it differ when rats were tested 2 months after commencement of amitriptyline treatment at 18 months in the present study. Therefore, all of the aged rats appeared to be cognitively intact at the onset of treatment. In the aged controls, plasma corticosterone levels became significantly elevated (in the morning and in the evening) only later in life, at 22 months of age, consistent with previous studies (Yau et al., 1995; Rowe et al., 1997).

The lack of effect of amitriptyline on spatial memory performance after 2 months of treatment is in contrast to our previous finding that 2 months of amitriptyline treatment improved spatial memory in young rats (Yau et al., 1995). This discrepancy may be partly a result of the different route of drug administration (Yau et al., 1995) and/or the different ages of the rats at the start of treatment. The latter is an intriguing alternative, because it would imply that the cognitive plasticity to amitriptyline seen in young rats can also occur in middle-aged animals, but perhaps with a slower onset. Consistent with our previous study (Yau et al., 1995), evening corticosterone levels were selectively decreased.
after 3 months of amitriptyline treatment, and this decrease was maintained after 6 months of treatment. This suggests that chronic amitriptyline treatment lowers HPA activity. The unaltered basal HPA axis activity during the morning is consistent with some (Reul et al., 1994; Yau et al., 1995; Rowe et al., 1997) but not other (Reul et al., 1993) studies and may reflect differences among studies related to age, treatment duration, route of administration, or strain. A plausible explanation for the lack of a morning effect may arise from the finding that the evening phase, or active phase of the diurnal rhythm, is believed to be the period of enhanced brain drive, whereas the morning corticosterone release may result from peripheral sources (Dallman et al., 1987). Animals treated with corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) antisera exhibit an attenuated evening peak in ACTH levels, whereas morning levels remained undisturbed (Carnes et al., 1989, 1990). This latter finding suggests that the source of the morning signal is not necessarily dependent on a CRH signal; however, central CRH is required for the evening rise in plasma corticosterone levels.

**HPA axis feedback, corticosterone levels, and spatial memory**

In cognitively impaired aged rats, stress-induced rises in plasma corticosterone levels take longer to fall back to baseline than in cognitively unimpaired aged rats or young rats, suggesting defects in CNS feedback sensitivity (Issa et al., 1990). Middle-aged rats treated with stress levels of corticosterone for 3 months showed impaired learning in the water maze (Bodnoff et al., 1995). In contrast, the performance of rats in the water maze was improved by administration of corticosterone immediately after training (Sandi et al., 1997). Therefore, it appears that in certain circumstances, a transient increase in plasma corticosterone levels induced by training may facilitate memory processing (Sandi et al., 1997), but that longer durations or chronically elevated corticosterone levels often associated with aging (and reflecting poor HPA-negative feedback regulation) (Issa et al., 1990) may impair memory (Bodnoff et al., 1995). The present results suggest that amitriptyline improves glucocorticoid negative feedback efficacy in aged rats, as reflected in the reduced evening corticosterone levels. This is consistent with our previous finding, in which chronic desipramine treatment enhanced glucocorticoid-induced negative feedback efficacy and restored basal plasma corticosterone levels in aged cognitively impaired rats (Rowe et al., 1997). An enhanced glucocorticoid negative feedback efficacy may suppress water maze training-induced increases in plasma corticosterone levels more efficiently, such that plasma corticosterone levels do not remain high for longer than necessary to facilitate memory.

Interestingly, chronic amitriptyline treatment altered a specific aspect of water maze learning in the aged rats. The escape latency to find the hidden platform, thought to reflect the consolidation of spatial information via GRs (Oitzl and de Kloet, 1992), was unchanged. Furthermore, aged controls and amitriptyline-treated aged rats both had approximately equivalent declines in performance over the 6 month interval from initial testing in the water maze. This suggests that long-term memory does not appear to be preserved by amitriptyline treatment. In contrast, the search–escape strategies during the probe trial, thought to be more reflective of the actions of the MR (Oitzl and de Kloet, 1992), were enhanced in amitriptyline-treated aged rats. Together, this suggests that amitriptyline treatment may induce changes in hippocampal MR to alter the probe test times without affecting escape latencies. Previous studies have indeed shown that antidepressants, including amitriptyline, induce a more prominent effect on increasing hippocampal MR than GR expression and that the magnitude of this increase is dependent on treatment duration (Reul et al., 1993, 1994; Yau et al., 1995). Moreover, several studies suggest that it is the MR in the hippocampus (rather than the GR) that shows the more robust decline with aging (van Eekelen et al., 1991; Rothuizen et al., 1993; Hassan et al., 1999).

**Antidepressant effects on monoaminergic systems**

The mechanisms underlying the effects of long-term amitriptyline on water maze learning remain to be fully elucidated. The process may be glucocorticoid-mediated, or it may occur via the NE or the 5-HT system or a combination of several of these systems. Reversal learning slows down with age, a change related to age-associated changes in monoaminergic systems (Tanaka et al., 1992). Activation of the NE system in the basolateral amygdala appears to be an essential step in mediating glucocorticoid effects on memory storage (Quirarte et al., 1997) and in inducing glucocorticoid-mediated plasticity in the hippocampus. This suggests that successful long-term antidepressant therapy regimes may require more recruitment of NE-related systems. This contention is supported by recent preliminary findings that long-term treatment with desipramine (a selective NE-reuptake inhibitor) may also eliminate the emergence of cognitive deficits in a cohort of aged rats (Rowe et al., 1998), whereas venlafaxine, which has a greater potency for 5-HT reuptake than NE reuptake (Beique et al., 1998; Redrobe et al., 1998), had no significant effect on spatial learning in aged rats (Yau and Seckl, unpublished observations). Amitriptyline, in contrast, is approximately equally active as an inhibitor of 5-HT reuptake and NE reuptake (Meltzer and Lowy, 1997).

**Anxiolytic effects of amitriptyline**

Differences in anxiety-related behaviors could have a secondary effect on the rate of learning (reflecting an effect akin to pseudodementia in humans). Increased fearfulness or sensorimotor disturbances may cause performance impairments in the water maze that can sometimes be misinterpreted as spatial memory deficits (Miyakawa et al., 1996; Cain, 1997). Pretraining of animals in the water maze has been shown to reduce the general (nonspatial) aspects of solving the task (Cain, 1997). In the present study, the extensive training given (twice tested in water maze) most likely would have reduced the nonspatial aspect of learning the water maze task by the stage when all rats were finally retested. Furthermore, the aged cognitively impaired rats had swim speeds and thigmotaxic behavior (indicative of fearful-ness) that were not significantly different from those of the aged cognitively unimpaired rats. Thus, the learning impairments found in this subgroup of aged controls apparently does not reflect merely sensorimotor deficits or heightened anxiety and is most likely caused by spatial memory deficits. Amitriptyline treatment significantly reduced anxiety-like measures in the aged rats, consistent with other reports showing anxiolytic effects of antidepressants (Kurt et al., 2000). The effects of amitriptyline on the affective state of the animal, together with the reduced evening corticosterone level, may therefore contribute to the improved cognitive function in the aged rat. Interestingly, the time spent in the open arms of the elevated plus-maze has been shown to correlate negatively with poststress corticosterone levels in rats (Vallee et al., 1997). The underlying mechanism whereby chronic
amitriptyline treatment improves anxiety in aged rats is unclear but may be related to changes in CRH expression in the central amygdala. Central or intra-amygdaloid administration of CRH is anxiogenic (Dunn and Berridge, 1990), whereas the administration of CRH antagonists has anxiolytic activity (Britten et al., 1986). Furthermore, CRH mRNA expression has been shown to be decreased in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus after treatment with the tricyclic antidepressant imipramine in rats (Brady et al., 1991).

Chronically elevated glucocorticoid levels appear to cause hippocampal-dependent memory impairments in aged rats and humans (Seckel and Olsson, 1995; Lupien et al., 1998). Hence, the chronically lowered plasma corticosterone levels after amitriptyline administration may, at least in part, reduce the emergence of cognitive impairments with aging. Other effects of amitriptyline may also contribute to the preserved learning in the aged rats. For example, its serotoninergic actions via postsynaptic 5-HT receptors expressed in limbic brain regions, such as the 5-HT6 receptor, which has been implicated recently in learning and memory (Woolley et al., 2001), may add to the positive cognitive effects. Whether the binding density or affinity of the 5-HT6 receptors in the hippocampus are altered by the chronic amitriptyline treatment in the aged rats remains to be determined. Changes in synaptic plasticity of hippocampal function are another possible effect of amitriptyline. Age-related defects in spatial memory have been associated with impaired hippocampal long-term potentiation, and this can be attenuated by drugs that enhance the AMPF signaling pathway (Bach et al., 1999). Because chronic antidepressant treatment upregulates the AMPF cascade (Thome et al., 2000), alterations in synaptic plasticity in the amitriptyline-treated aged rats may also contribute to preserving cognitive function. Although additional work is necessary to unravel the mechanisms underlying the beneficial effects of chronic amitriptyline on cognitive function with aging, perhaps long-term treatment with antidepressant drugs that reduce circulating glucocorticoid levels may represent a novel and potentially useful therapeutic approach in preventing the emergence of cognitive deficits among an aging human population.
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