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Dysregulation of Diurnal Rhythms of Serotonin 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} and Corticosteroid Receptor Gene Expression in the Hippocampus with Food Restriction and Glucocorticoids

Megan C. Holmes, Karen L. French, and Jonathan R. Seckl

Molecular Endocrine Laboratory, Molecular Medicine Centre, University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, Scotland, United Kingdom

Both serotonergic dysfunction and glucocorticoid hypersecretion are implicated in affective and eating disorders. The adverse effects of serotonergic (5-HT\textsubscript{2C}) receptor activation on mood and food intake, the antidepressant efficacy of 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor antagonists, and the hyperphagia observed in 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor knockout mice all suggest a key role for increased 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor-mediated neurotransmission. Glucocorticoids, however, downregulate 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor mRNA in the hippocampus, and it is unclear how increased 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor sensitivity is achieved in the presence of elevated glucocorticoid levels in depression. Here we show a monophasic diurnal sensitivity is achieved in the presence of elevated glucocorticoid receptor mRNAs and produces, unusually, both elevated corticosterone and increased GR. The mismatch between elevated glucocorticoids and maintained 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptor and increased GR gene expression in the hippocampus provides a new model to dissect mechanisms that may underlie affective and eating disorders.
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Abnormalities of both serotonergic (5-HT) neurotransmission and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activity are found in depression and eating disorders and may play important pathogenic roles. Both conditions are frequently associated with elevated plasma cortisol levels (Carroll et al., 1976) and insensitivity to glucocorticoid (dexamethasone) feedback (Carroll, 1982), presumed to be caused by increased central drive on the HPA axis. Abnormal 5-HT neurotransmission is also believed to be a key factor in depression (Meltzer and Lowy, 1987). Many clinically efficacious antidepressants alter 5-HT neurotransmission, improving both mood and hypercortisolemia (Ogren et al., 1979; Linkowski et al., 1987).

Glucocorticoids act via intracellular high-affinity mineralocorticoid receptors (MRs) and lower-affinity glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) (Reul and de Kloet, 1985; McEwen et al., 1986). In contrast, 5-HT binds to a number of distinct cell membrane sites (Peroutka, 1993). The hippocampus has a dense 5-HT innervation, highly expresses both corticosteroid and 5-HT receptors (Palacios et al., 1990; de Kloet, 1991; Wright et al., 1995), and is an important locus for the interaction of the two systems. Serotonin is important for the maintenance of corticosteroid receptor gene expression in the hippocampus (Seckl et al., 1990; Yau et al., 1994). Similarly, glucocorticoids alter hippocampal expression of at least two 5-HT receptor subtypes, 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} (Chalmers et al., 1993; Zhong and Ciaranello, 1995) and 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} (Holmes et al., 1995b). 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} and 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptors are excellent candidates to mediate functional abnormalities in depression and both are highly expressed in the hippocampus (Mengod et al., 1990; Chalmers and Watson, 1991). Sets of antidepressant drugs act on each receptor (Robinson, 1993), and both are implicated in HPA regulation (Fuller, 1992). m-Chlorophenylpiperazine (mCPP), which binds to 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptors with high affinity, increases plasma glucocorticoid levels, reduces food intake (Blundell, 1992; Clifton et al., 1993), attenuates locomotion, and exerts dysphoric effects in some depressive illnesses (JosephVanderpool et al., 1993; Jacobsen et al., 1994), whereas 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} (and -2A) receptor antagonists (e.g., ketanserin and ritanserin) are clinically efficacious antidepressants (Robinson, 1993).

The mRNAs encoding 5-HT\textsubscript{2C} receptors, GR, and MR, but not 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors, exhibit a circadian rhythm in the hippocampus (Herman et al., 1993; Holmes et al., 1995a,b). Affective disorders are frequently associated with blunting (elevation) of the normal afternoon/evening nadir of the cortisol circadian rhythm (Linkowski et al., 1987). Central 5-HT activity also shows a diurnal rhythm, with higher 5-HT release in the hippocampus during the active period (darkness in rats) (Kalén et al., 1989). Other
diurnal functions (e.g., sleep, psychological performance) are also disrupted in depression (Healy, 1987), and clearly, diurnally varying processes underlying the interaction between 5-HT and corticosteroid and serotonin receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus throughout the 24 hr period, (2) any link between diurnal hippocampal 5-HT2C receptor mRNA changes and possible diurnal rhythms in 5-HT2C receptor-mediated behaviors, and (3) the role of diurnal glucocorticoid effects and food restriction (a chronic intermittent and perhaps pathophysiologically relevant stressor) on the diurnal patterns of receptor gene expression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Behavioral experiments

Open field behavior in response to mCPP. Male Han–Wistar rats were given mCPP (1 mg/kg, i.p.; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) or saline 30 min before testing in the open field (novel environment). Animals were placed in a large Perplex box (60 × 40 cm) divided into eight zones for 5 min. The number of times the rat crossed into a new zone and the number of rearsings were recorded. Animals (six to eight per group) were tested at 9 A.M., 1 P.M., or 5 P.M.

Inhibition of food intake in response to mCPP. Sixteen rats (~300 gm) were housed singly in a light-controlled environment (lights on from 7 A.M. to 7 P.M.). To test the feeding response to the 5-HT2C receptor agonist, animals were fasted overnight, and then 30 min after an intra-peritoneal injection of saline or mCPP (1 mg/kg), the amount of food ingested over a 1 hr period was determined. mCPP or saline were given in a random design, spaced 1 week apart at 9 A.M. and 1, 5, and 9 P.M.

Food restriction

Han–Wistar rats (~250 gm) were housed in pairs and handled daily for 1 week before the study. Lights were on from 7 A.M. to 7 P.M. For food restriction studies, rats were allowed access to food pellets only between 10 A.M. and noon. Water was available ad libitum. The animals were entrained to the food restriction regimen for 3 weeks before they were killed. Controls had tap water and rat chow available ad libitum from 7 A.M. to 7 P.M.). To test the feeding response to the 5-HT2C receptor agonist, animals were fasted overnight, and then 30 min after an intra-peritoneal injection of saline or mCPP (1 mg/kg), the amount of food ingested over a 1 hr period was determined. mCPP or saline were given in a random design, spaced 1 week apart at 9 A.M. and 1, 5, and 9 P.M.

Adrenalectomy and constant corticosterone replacement

Food restriction caused two peaks in plasma corticosterone (the normal diurnal evening peak and an additional morning peak at the normal nadir). To determine whether these changes in corticosterone were responsible for alterations in gene expression, animals were bilaterally adrenalectomized by the dorsal approach under halothane anesthesia, and a continuous-release corticosterone pellet (100 mg, 60-day release pellet; Innovative Research of America, Toledo, OH) was inserted subcutaneously to produce constant corticosterone levels approximating the 24 hr mean. Sham-operated animals were given a placebo pellet. Animals were allowed to recover from anesthesia and placed in cages in pairs (with 0.9% saline to drink for the adrenalectomized rats) for 7 d before they were killed at either 8 A.M. or 8 P.M. Groups contained five to six animals.

Adrenalectomy and pulsatile corticosterone replacement

To determine whether the timing of the corticosterone peak was important in the regulation of hippocampal receptor gene expression, rats were adrenalectomized and given corticosterone injections (20 mg/kg, s.c., in 200 μl of corn oil for 7 d) at various times of day. One group received corticosterone injections at 8 A.M., the normal diurnal nadir of corticosterone. Another group received corticosterone at 6 P.M. to reproduce the normal elevation of plasma corticosterone levels at the onset of the dark phase. Controls were sham adrenalectomized and received 200 μl of corn oil daily. Rats (four per group) were killed at 8–10 A.M., 2–4 P.M., and 8–10 P.M.; the brains were removed and processed for in situ hybridization histochemistry, as above.

5-HT and GR subtype in situ hybridization histochemistry

In situ hybridization histochemistry was performed as described previously (Seckl et al., 1990; Yau et al., 1994). In brief, sections were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed in 2× SSC. For 5-HT receptor subtype mRNA detection, sections were prehybridized with hybridization buffer at 50°C for 2 hr at 50°C. In situ hybridization reactions were performed under identical conditions. [35S]UTP-labeled mRNA antisense probes were transcribed in vitro from linearized plasmids of rat 5-HT1A receptor cDNA (Albert et al., 1990) and 5-HT2C receptor cDNA (Julius et al., 1988), GR, and MR cDNA as described previously (Seckl et al., 1990). Probes (10–20 × 106 counts/ml) were denatured, added to hybridization buffer, applied to sections, hybridized, and washed under stringent conditions, as reported previously (Seckl et al., 1990). Slides were dipped in Kodak NTB2 emulsion, exposed at 4°C for 4 weeks, developed, and counterstained (1% pyronin). Expression was quantified by counting silver grains overlying identified neurons under bright-field illumination using a computer-driven image analysis system (Seescan, Cambridge, UK) and rate-of-change filters (Aldridge and Seckl, 1993). Expression was estimated over at least 15 cells per subfield for each animal. Specificity was demonstrated using 35S-labeled “sense” RNA probes of similar specific activity, hybridized under identical conditions. No specific cellular hybridization signal was seen with any sense probe (data not shown; but see Seckl et al., 1990).

Plasma corticosterone measurements

Corticosterone was measured in trunk blood samples by specific radioimmunoassay, as described previously (MacPhee et al., 1989) with anti-serum donated by Dr. C. Kenyon, Edinburgh. The detection limit of the assay was 6 nmol/l.

Statistical analysis

For the in situ hybridization results, the average number of grains counted over each hippocampal area was standardized for each experiment as percentage grains of the 8 P.M. control group (n = 4–6 per group). All results were analyzed by a one- or two-way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett’s post hoc test. Significance levels were taken as p < 0.05. For the mCPP-induced effects, the drug group was compared with the control group at each time of day using a t test (n = 6–8).

RESULTS

Circadian rhythm of hippocampal 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression and 5-HT2C receptor agonist-induced behavior

A diurnal rhythm of 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression was seen in the ventral CA1 region of the hippocampus (Fig. 1A) as well as dorsal CA1 and subiculum. When 5-HT2C receptor agonist-induced behavior was compared at different times of day, a diurnal rhythm in agonist effectiveness was apparent (Fig. 1B,C). In the open field test, mCPP significantly inhibited the locomotor activity of the rats, as determined by the number of crossings of the open field zones, at 9 A.M. but not at 1 or 5 P.M. (Fig. 1B). Similarly, the number of rearsings was significantly reduced in the
mCPP-treated animals at 9 A.M. and 1 P.M., but not at 5 P.M. (Fig. 1C); however, there was no effect of time of day on the efficacy of mCPP to inhibit feeding (Fig. 1D).

**Figure 1.** Diurnal variation of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression and mCPP-induced behaviors. A, 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression in the CA1 subregion of the hippocampus over a 24 hr period. Receptor mRNA levels were determined by in situ hybridization histochemistry. The mean number of grains/subregion/rat was standardized to the expression observed in the control rats at 8 P.M. (20) (100%). Values represent mean ± SEM; n = 4. *p < 0.05 compared with 8 A.M. (8). B–D, Sensitivity to mCPP-induced inhibition; B, locomotor behavior; C, rearings in an open field. The % number of crossings of open field zones and % number of rearings in a 5 min period after mCPP (1 mg/kg, i.p.) 30 min before testing, compared with controls (saline injected) tested at the same time of day. Numbers per group = 6–8. D, The % inhibition of food intake 30 min after mCPP (1 mg/kg, i.p.) compared with control animals tested at the same time of day. Animals were fasted overnight before testing, and the test period was for 1 hr. Number of animals per group = 8. All columns represent mean results per group ±SEM. *p < 0.05 compared with controls tested at the same time of day. Time of day: 9 = 9 A.M.; 13 = 1 P.M.; 17 = 5 P.M.; 21 = 9 P.M.

**Figure 2.** Twenty-four hour profile of plasma corticosterone (Plasma B) levels in control (●) and in animals after 3 week food restriction (□). Values represent mean ± SEM; n = 4–8. □ represents period of food availability for food-restricted animals, and black bars represent period of darkness. Time notation as in Figure 1 legend.

The effect of food restriction on plasma corticosterone and hippocampal receptor gene expression

**Body weight and food intake**

During the 3 week period of the food restriction experiment, the control rats increased their weight by 16%, whereas the animals fed for only 2 hr/d maintained their initial body weight. The food intake per day was 14 ± 1 gm for the animals on food restriction compared with 22 ± 1 gm for the control rats fed ad libitum.

**Plasma corticosterone**

In control animals, plasma corticosterone showed a well defined diurnal rhythm, with a nadir at 8 A.M.-noon and a peak at 8 P.M. (Fig. 2). Chronic exposure to food restriction (food available only from 10 A.M.-noon) produced a biphasic rhythm in plasma corticosterone, with a novel peak at 8 A.M. (just before food availability) as well as the usual diurnal peak at 8 P.M. (just after lights off and at the beginning of the activity period). Food-restricted rats, however, showed a plasma corticosterone nadir, although delayed, at 4 P.M. (Fig. 2). The total 24 hr secretion of corticosterone in food-restricted rats was significantly greater (94% higher) than in controls with access to food ad libitum.

**5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression**

5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression, measured in various subregions of the hippocampus at 4 hr intervals, exhibited a monophasic rhythm in dorsal CA1, ventral CA1, and subiculum, peaking at 4–8 A.M. and falling to a nadir at 8 P.M. (Fig. 3A). The rhythm in receptor expression is similar to the rhythm in plasma corticosterone; however, it is shifted to the right (delayed) by 4–8 hr. In contrast to plasma corticosterone, the rhythm in 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor gene expression in dorsal CA1, ventral CA1, and subiculum were unaltered in food-restricted animals (Fig. 3A). No circadian changes were seen in 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression in CA3 in either group (data not shown).

**5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor mRNA expression**

No changes in 5-HT$_{1A}$ receptor mRNA expression were observed over the 24 hr period in any region of the hippocampus measured (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, food restriction exerted no effect on
5-HT₁A receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus (DG, CA1, and subiculum) at any time of day (Fig. 3B).

**MR mRNA expression**

In control rats, hippocampal MR gene expression exhibited a clear significant circadian rhythm, with a peak at 8 A.M. and a nadir between midnight and 4 A.M., in both dentate gyrus and CA1 neurons (Fig. 4A). No diurnal variation in MR mRNA was found in CA3. After food restriction the rhythm of MR gene expression was disrupted with a nonsignificant variance with time. Within this, the peak MR mRNA expression was delayed until 4 P.M., although the nadir remained at midnight.

**GR mRNA expression**

There was also a clear significant diurnal rhythm of GR mRNA expression in controls, again confined to the dentate gyrus and CA1. The diurnal peak was at 8 A.M. and the nadir at midnight (Fig. 4B). Food restriction altered the rhythms of GR mRNA in both dentate gyrus and CA1, although significant variance with respect to time of day persisted. In the dentate gyrus, the GR mRNA peak shifted to 8 P.M., whereas in CA1 peaks at noon and 8 P.M. occurred (Fig. 4B), a biphasic pattern similar to plasma corticosterone levels (correlation of GR mRNA changes with plasma B changes; p = 0.03). No significant changes in GR gene expression were observed over time in the CA3 region of the hippocampus in either group.

**The effect of constant corticosterone levels on circadian variations in hippocampal receptor gene expression**

In rats sham-adrenalectomized with placebo pellet, plasma corticosterone levels showed a normal circadian variation (low morning and high evening levels) (Fig. 5). Adrenalectomized rats with 100 mg corticosterone pellets had plasma corticosterone levels modestly elevated over the control morning nadir but fixed throughout the day (Fig. 5). Sham-adrenalectomized controls had higher hippocampal 5-HT₂C receptor mRNA expression at 8 A.M. than at 8 P.M. (Fig. 6a), as seen in the (unoperated) controls in the first study; however, constant corticosterone levels abolished the diurnal rhythm. Expression was fixed at levels similar to the 5-HT₂C receptor mRNA nadir at 8 P.M., most notably in ventral CA1 and the subiculum. Similarly, the normal diurnal variation in MR and GR gene expression in the hippocampus was lost in animals with constant corticosterone levels (Fig. 6c,d). GR
mRNA levels approximated the evening diurnal nadir with constant corticosterone, whereas MR mRNA expression was not clearly repressed, although diurnal variation was absent. No differences were observed in 5-HT1A receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus of any group (Fig. 6).

The effect of pulsatile corticosterone on hippocampal 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression

To examine the possibility that the absence of a double diurnal peak in hippocampal 5-HT2C receptor gene expression in parallel to plasma corticosterone in food-restricted rats might reflect anergy of the response to elevated glucocorticoids in the morning, corticosterone was administered by injection in the morning and at the normal diurnal peak (evening). Injection of corticosterone produced elevated plasma corticosterone for 12 hr (Fig. 7). Corticosterone injection at 8 A.M. resulted in a constant level of 5-HT2C receptor gene expression throughout the day in all hippocampal subfields (CA1 data shown in Fig. 7B). In contrast, injection of corticosterone at 6 P.M., just before lights off, produced a rise in 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression in CA1 (Fig. 7C) and subiculum (not shown) at 8 A.M., similar to that in sham-adrenalectomized (Fig. 7A) and other control animals.

Variations of 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression at extra-hippocampal sites

To determine whether the circadian variation is specific to the hippocampus, 5-HT2C receptor mRNA expression in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), preoptic area, bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), retrosplenial granule cortex, paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN), ventromedial nucleus, dorsomedial nucleus, lateral hypothalamus, and amygdala. Most areas did not show any variation of expression with time of day. The two exceptions were the BNST, which like the hippocampus had higher 5-HT2C receptor gene expression at 8 A.M., and the PVN, which had an opposite rhythm, showing higher expression at 8 P.M. (Fig. 8).

Figure 4. Twenty-four hour profile of (A) MR and (B) GR mRNA expression in control (■) and food-restricted (△) animals in various hippocampal subregions. Receptor mRNA levels were determined by in situ hybridization histochemistry. The mean number of grains/subregion/rat was standardized to the expression observed in the control rats at 8 p.m. (20) (100%). Values represent mean ± SEM; n = 4. *p < 0.05 compared with peak value. Time notation as in Figure 1 legend.
DISCUSSION

Circadian expression of hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA and 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor agonist-mediated behavior

This study confirms and extends our previous observation of higher 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression in discrete subregions of the hippocampus in the morning (Holmes et al., 1995a) to show a clear monophasic diurnal rhythm of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor gene expression. To determine whether the diurnal changes in hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression reflect changes in the number of binding sites available and hence 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor-mediated neurotransmission, two behavioral tests for 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor agonist-mediated behavior were used (there are no radioligands that are sufficiently selective to discriminate 5-HT$_{2A}$ and -2C receptor binding sites). It has been shown previously that the 5-HT$_{2C}$ agonist mCPP inhibits locomotor activity in the open field test (Kennett and Curzon, 1988a) and induces hypophagia (Kennett and Curzon, 1988b). We found that mCPP-induced inhibition of locomotor behavior and rearing in the open field test exhibits a circadian periodicity, with inhibition more apparent at 9 A.M., which correlates well with the rhythm of hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression. mCPP inhibition of feeding, however, an effect likely to be mediated by 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors outwith the hippocampus, showed no circadian rhythmicity, data that concur with the lack of diurnal changes in 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor gene expression at most other brain sites and also suggest that the diurnal rhythm observed in open field behavior is not attributable to any diurnal variance in metabolism of the drug (which would produce generalized effects). Thus it seems probable that the diurnal rhythm in hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression is translated into a rhythm of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor protein and hence 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor-mediated behaviors.

Corticosterone

Chronic food restriction altered the normal diurnal profile of plasma corticosterone, producing a biphasic rhythm with an extra peak at 8 A.M., just before food availability, as well as the expected rise at the beginning of the dark phase. Krieger and Hauser (1978) have shown previously that food restriction has a potent influence on the diurnal rhythm of plasma corticosterone. In this study, plasma corticosterone levels over 24 hr were considerably greater in food-restricted animals than in controls. Thus, the previously reported HPA activation with short-term food restriction (Akana et al., 1994) persists for several weeks. The marked if episodic chronic hypersecretion of corticosterone suggests that long-term food restriction is a model of chronic intermittent stress and hence may be relevant for the study of molecular and other processes in some affective and eating disorders.

5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors

We have demonstrated previously that glucocorticoids regulate hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression (Holmes et al., 1995a,b); however, the relationships are not straightforward. Thus, the normal diurnal rhythm of hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor gene expression persists in adrenalectomized rats (Holmes et al., 1995b), implying that this is determined by factor(s) other than the glucocorticoid rhythm, possibly associated with the light–dark cycle cues. 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA, however, is suppressed in the hippocampus by continuously elevated glucocorticoids [at diurnal maximum levels (Donaldson et al., 1993)] in chronic arthritis stress (Holmes et al., 1995a). This suppression is also seen with continuously but very modestly elevated corticosterone levels using low-dose pellets in this study, suggesting that whenever glucocorticoids are persistently elevated above low basal levels they suppress the rhythm of hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA (a summary of the correlation of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus with plasma corticosterone profiles is presented in Fig. 9).

These effects of glucocorticoids, however, are clearly dependent on the manner and timing of exposure. When corticosterone levels were increased during the dark phase (mimicking the normal circadian rhythm), a normal rhythm in 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA was observed. This rhythm was abolished, with suppressed receptor gene expression, if the same dose of corticosterone was given with a peak in the daylight hours. These data suggest that a nadir of corticosterone coinciding with the light-phase period of inactivity is required for the normal nocturnal increase in hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA. This contention is supported by the effects of food restriction. This procedure did not suppress the rhythm of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus, despite markedly elevated mean plasma corticosterone levels. There was a clear diurnal nadir of corticosterone (~100 nmol/l) during the day, however, which thus may allow the later rise of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor transcripts. Of course, providing the mRNA is translated (data from the behavioral studies suggest that this hypothesis is likely), then food restriction may inappropriately maintain 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor sensitivity in the face of chronic intermittent stress and increased 5-HT transmission, perhaps amplifying the deleterious actions on mood and behavior mediated by this receptor subtype (Kennett et al., 1989, 1994). In contrast, continuously elevated glucocorticoids or loss of the association between the light–dark cycle and corticosterone suppresses the 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor rhythm and therefore presumably reduces the overall sensitivity to activation of 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors. Perhaps much greater levels of stress or glucocorticoids are required under such circumstances to overcome the “compensatory” decrease in receptor expression before adverse affective events may occur. The food restriction protocol thus may be useful to investigate intermittent stress or mismatch effects, perhaps as occur in depression and eating disorders (Fig. 9).

The implications of the different effects of chronic intermittent versus continuous glucocorticoid excess (i.e., stress vs Cushing’s...
disease or pharmacotherapy) on mood pathology remain to be explored; however, increased 5-HT _2C_ binding sites occur in animals exposed to chronic unpredictable stress (an animal model of depression) when plasma corticosterone levels are allowed to reach nadir levels between stresses (Moreau et al., 1993). Furthermore, animals reared in isolation have increased sensitivity to 5-HT _2C_ agonists (Fone et al., 1996), confirming in another model of depression the importance of 5-HT _2C_ receptor sensitivity. Patients with depression exhibit abnormal circadian rhythms of plasma cortisol, with a prolonged peak and early timing of the nadir, although a transient (or elevated) nadir usually occurs (Linkowski et al., 1987). This nadir, however, may be sufficient to maintain the diurnal rhythmicity and sensitivity of 5-HT _2C_ receptors in depression, even in the presence of elevated glucocorticoids. Moreover, there is a diurnal variation in performance of various neuropsychological tasks in normal and depressed individuals; however, the variations are often reversed in patients with depression (Moffoot et al., 1994). Some tasks, particularly those involving short-term memory, are dependent on hippocampal processing, and hence the diurnal expression of the 5-HT _2C_ receptor may be an important factor in the production of these diurnal differences.

5-HT _2C_ receptor mRNA is also expressed in extra-hippocampal sites, including the SCN, which generates/regulates diurnal rhythms; however, we found high expression limited to a few cells around the dorsal cap of the SCN, along with lower expression in a subgroup of cells within the body of the SCN. Whatever the cause of the discrepancy, no circadian rhythm of receptor gene expression was observed in any SCN subfield, and thus 5-HT _2C_ receptors seem unlikely to be directly involved in or responsive to SCN rhythms. Most other loci of 5-HT _2C_ receptor mRNA showed no diurnal changes; the exceptions of the BNST and the PVN are discussed below. The rhythm of 5-HT _2C_ was selective in as far as no circadian changes in 5-HT _1A_ receptor mRNA expression in the hippocampus were documented.

**GR and MR rhythms**

Both MR and GR mRNA expression in the hippocampus show circadian variation (Herman et al., 1993; Holmes et al., 1995a), findings confirmed here. A monophasic rhythm of both transcripts was seen, with the data fitting better with other diurnal processes (and the corticosterone rhythm) than the biphasic rhythm of MR reported previously by Herman et al. (1993). GR mRNA was clearly suppressed by the continuously if very modestly elevated corticosterone levels with fixed-replacement, suggesting sensitive autoregulation (Burnstein et al., 1991). In

---

**Figure 6.** The effect of constant corticosterone levels on the diurnal rhythm of (a) 5-HT _2C_ receptor (5-HT _2C_ R mRNA), (b) 5-HT _1A_ receptor (5-HT _1A_ R mRNA), (c) MR (MR mRNA), and (d) GR (GR mRNA) mRNA expression in hippocampal subfields. Expression was measured in sham-operated animals at 8 A.M. (black columns) and 8 P.M. (open columns) and compared with adrenalectomized rats with a corticosterone pellet at 8 A.M. (striped column) and 8 P.M. (stipled column). Receptor mRNA levels were determined by *in situ* hybridization histochemistry. The mean number of grains/subregion/rat was standardized to the expression observed in the control rats at 8 P.M. (100%). Values represent mean ± SEM; n = 5–6. *p < 0.05 compared with value at 8 A.M. in sham-operated rats.
food-restricted rats, however, there was clear divergence from the expected inverse relationship between corticosterone levels and GR gene expression, with overall hypersecretion of corticosterone associated with increased GR mRNA in CA1 at some time points and maintained expression at all others. These data suggest that the increase in GR gene expression reflects other factors (stress, neurotransmitter release) in food restriction, again producing a mismatch, this time between corticosteroid receptors and their ligand. The MR mRNA rhythm was also abolished by fixed-level corticosterone replacement, suggesting that glucocorticoids are important; however, MR levels were not reduced to the usual diurnal nadir and clearly other cues are important in MR mRNA control. Again, food restriction disrupted MR diurnal variation, effects that may interfere with the normal MR-associated facilitation of hippocampal neuronal activation (Joëls and de Kloet, 1991). Why GR and MR (and 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptors) in CA3 should be exempt from diurnal variation and other regulatory influences in this study and others remains an unexplored anomaly. The various promoters of the MR gene show some site-specific expression and may underlie such effects (Kwak et al., 1993).

**HPA axis regulation**

The highest hippocampal 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA expression is in ventral CA1 and the subiculum, areas showing circadian changes. Efferents from the subiculum project to the PVN, either directly (Kiss et al., 1983) or via the BNST (Herman et al., 1994). Lesioning of the fimbria-fornix pathway to the BNST has been reported to abolish the circadian rhythm of glucocorticoids (Fischette et al., 1980), although this has been contested (Bradbury et al., 1993). It is therefore possible that changes in subicular 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor activity could alter the recognized hippocampal regulation of HPA axis activity (Jacobson and Sapolsky, 1991). Interestingly, the BNST relay is also under serotonergic control, and here too 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA is highly expressed and shows a diurnal cycle. Moreover, the PVN expresses 5-HT$_{2C}$ receptor mRNA,
again with a circadian variation, although this is opposite (highest levels in the evening) to the hippocampus/subiculum and BNST (highest in the morning). This may be relevant to the negative influence of the hippocampus on the PVN. The pathway from the BNST to the PVN is GABAergic and inhibitory (Herman et al., 1994). Therefore, an increase in receptor number in the hippocampus and BNST may reinforce a decrease in receptor number at the PVN.
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