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Photoperiodically Driven Changes in Fos Expression within the Basal Tuberal Hypothalamus and Median Emination of Japanese Quail

Simone L. Meddle and Brian K. Follett

Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Group on Photoperiodism & Reproduction, School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1UG, United Kingdom

The rapid photoperiodic response in Japanese quail is so precise that it allows neural analyses of how photoperiodic information is transduced into an endocrine response. After transfer from short [SD; 6L:18D (6:18 hr light/dark cycle)] to long (LD; 20L:4D) days, luteinizing hormone (LH) first rises 20 hr after dawn. Using Fos immunocytochemistry, we examined the basal tuberal hypothalamus (BtH) to determine the relationship between brain cell activation and the first endocrine changes. Two separate cell populations within the BtH expressed Fos-like immunoreactivity (FLI) by hour 18 of the first LD. Importantly, this activation occurred before the LH rise. Median eminence activation appeared within glial cells, whereas activated infundibular nucleus cells were neuronal, providing support to the view that gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) release can be controlled at the terminals by glia. The FLI induction parallels LH changes, suggesting that gene expression may be involved in events preceding photostimulation and is the earliest photoperiodically stimulated physiological change yet reported.

Additional experiments provided further support for this hypothesis. First, photoperiodically induced activation is not a result peculiar to castrates because intact birds displayed similar results. Second, the critical length of 14 hr of light had to be exceeded to cause both BtH activation and a LH rise 30 hr from dawn. Finally, valuable evidence of the response specificity was provided by using a unique property of the quail photoperiodic clock in which exposure to 10L:26D, but not 10L:14D, causes photoinduction. The 36 hr paradigm increased both plasma LH and BtH activation.
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The avian hypothalamus plays a critical integrating role in the behavioral neurobiology of birds. Not only does it co-ordinate daily patterns of activity, endocrine function, and other physiological processes, it is crucial for orchestrating seasonal patterns of reproduction, molt, and migration. The precise neural pathways by which day length is detected, measured, and transduced into an endocrine response have yet to be elucidated. Highly photoperiodic Japanese quail present an excellent model to study these mechanisms because their reproductive neuroendocrine axis is activated by a single long day (LD) (Nicholls et al., 1983). We demonstrated previously, using Fos immunocytochemistry, that photostimulation activates cells within the basal tuberal hypothalamus (BtH) by 30 hr after dawn of the first LD (Meddle and Follett, 1995a,b). Fos is a member of a family of immediate early genes (IEGs) that couple short-term signals received at the cell surface to long-term cellular phenotype alteration (Morgan and Curran, 1991). The chicken Fos antibody used in this study was first validated by Meddle and Follett (1995a) and has since been used to investigate avian brain regions activated after copulation (Meddle et al., 1997), hyperosmotic stimuli (Meddle, 1995; Sharp et al., 1995), incubation behavior (Sharp et al., 1996), vocal behavior (Kimpo and Doupe, 1997), and circadian manipulations (King and Follett, 1997).

In the present studies, we provide evidence that cells in the tuberal hypothalamus are specifically activated and involved in the photoperiodic response. First, we investigated whether the photoperiodically driven activation of Fos-like immunoreactivity (FLI) is a result peculiar to castrates. Second, we examined the precise time course of this activation during the first LD and its relationship to luteinizing hormone (LH) induction. Follett et al. (1977) demonstrated that all necessary photoperiodic information must be received by 14.7 hr from dawn. Indeed, melatonin administration shifts the photoinducible rhythm of quail, so that 12 hr of light can be interpreted as stimulatory (Juss et al., 1993). Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) release after LD stimulation occurs 22 hr from dawn (Perera and Follett, 1992). This 7 hr time lag between light coinciding with the photoinducible rhythm and LH output is hypothesized to be a neural or neuroendocrine phenomenon and not caused by unresponsiveness of the pituitary gland (Davies and Bicknell, 1976). Third, we established the critical length of light required to induce both LH secretion and FLI in the BtH.

Ensuring that tuberal hypothalamic activation is caused by photoperiodic induction and is not a consequence of the bird being more active because the lights are on (i.e., increased food and water intake and visual stimuli) is not straightforward. However, substantial support would come if FLI is induced in situations unique to the photoperiodic response. We have taken advantage of a unique...
property of the quail’s photoperiodic machinery in the fourth experiment whereby 10 hr of light can be inductive if given in a 36 hr [10:26 hr light/dark cycle (10L:26D)] but not in a 24 hr cycle (10L:14D) (Follett et al., 1992; Juss et al., 1995).

Preliminary reports on parts of this paper were presented at the Physiological Society meeting in Cardiff, UK, in September 1995 (Meddle and Follett, 1995b), at conferences in Florida (May 1994) and Vienna (August 1994), and at a meeting of the British Neuroendocrine Group in Edinburgh (July 1996).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Japanese quail (Coturnix coturnix japonica) were raised, gonadecto-
mized, and pretreated as outlined in Meddle and Follett (1995a) so that each bird would respond with a photoperiodically driven rise in LH when exposed to a single LD (20L:4D). Intact males used in the first study were 10 weeks of age and had not been exposed previously to a long photoperiod. Blood samples were collected by wing venipuncture, and LH was measured by a micromodification of the radioimmunoassay originally devised by Follett et al. (1972). The results are expressed in terms of nanograms per milliliter against a chicken LH standard (fraction IRC2).

Experimental design

The effects of transfer from short to long days of intact male quail. This experiment followed a similar protocol to that outlined in Meddle and Follett (1995a) in which birds were transferred from a short nonstimulatory photoperiod (SD) (6L:18D) to LDs (20L:4D) and perfused at various time points thereafter using immunocytochemical detection (ICC) of Fos protein as a marker of cell activation. In total, 26 intact male quail (10 weeks old) were reared and maintained on SDs (8L:16D) to LDs (20L:4D) and perfused at different time points. The number of samples varied slightly in experiments 1 and 2.

Results

The detection of Fos protein in these birds was performed as docu-
mented previously (Meddle and Follett, 1995a; Meddle et al., 1997). Briefly, birds were anesthetized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbi-
tone (250 mg/kg, i.m.) and perfused intracardially with 300 ml of mod-
ified Zamboni’s fixative (pH 7.2–7.4). Brains were fixed overnight, and 60 µm sections were cut through the hypothalamus on a vibratome and processed as free floating in PBS buffer, pH 7.4, in small petri dishes on an orbital shaker. Immunocytochemistry was performed using a rabbit polyclonal anti-chicken Fos antibody (code 9/3; gift of P. Sharp, Roslin Institute, Midlothian, UK) raised against the 20 amino acid sequence of the C-terminal of chicken Fos. This antibody has been thoroughly validated for use in immunocytochemical studies in quail (Meddle, 1995; Meddle and Follett, 1995a; Sharp et al., 1995). After endogenous peroxidase was blocked, sections were incubated in the Fos primary antibody at a concentration of 1:5000 at 4°C for 70 hr. The antibody–antigen complex was visualized with a solution of 0.025% diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) con-
taining 0.03% H2O2 (Sigma) in Tris buffer, pH 7.4. Control sections incubated in the presence of 1 mg/ml synthetic peptide (against which the antibody was raised) or with replacement of the primary antiseraum with the preimmune serum from the rabbit in which the antibody was raised resulted in no nuclear staining. For double-staining, the primary Fos antibodies were visualized with nickel-intensified DAB using the above protocol, except that visualization was performed in a solution of DAB-nickel sulfite in 0.0175 M sodium acetate. After visualization, sections were incubated in a polyclonal rabbit anti-cow glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark; Bucks; UK code Z334) antibody (1:1000) for 48 hr and visualized as detailed in Meddle and Follett (1995a) with DAB used as the second chromagen.

Sections were mounted serially on gelatin-coated slides, dehydrated, covered with D.P.X. mountant, coded, and examined under the microscope at 200×. All positively stained FSL cell nuclei were counted within every section that contained the infundibular complex (see Meddle and Follett, 1995a). Typically, this equates to ~20–25 sections per brain. The infundibular complex was divided into two regions (see Fig. 3) for analysis of the infundibular nucleus and median eminence populations. High-power oil immersion was used to identify double-labeled cells. The medial habenular was also analyzed to compare baseline FLI between treatments with control for differences in general brain activation. This brain region occurs in the same section as the infundibular complex and has been used as a control region in previous investigations (Meddle and Follett, 1995a). Statistical analy-

RESULTS

FLI-labeled cells were widespread throughout the forebrain in all animals with density and distribution not varying obviously be-
tween treatment groups. Details have been discussed previously in depth (see Meddle et al., 1997). Briefly, FLI was routinely observed in the hippocampus, hyperstriatum ventrale, septal region, habenular nucleus, and infundibular complex and within the mesencephalon, the intercollicularis nucleus, and the central gray region. Only the habenular and BtH were analyzed in this par-
ticular study because previous investigations have shown changes in BtH activation after photoperiodic manipulations (Meddle and Follett, 1995a).

The effect of photostimulation on LH secretion and FLI in the infundibular complex of intact male quail

After transfer to LDs, intact male quail showed a significant increase in plasma LH early on the second day with absolute levels rising from 1.02 ± 0.23 ng/ml (n = 7) to 5.04 ± 1.09 ng/ml...
that observed on SDs [ANOVA; \( F_{(3,22)} = 35.29; *** p < 0.001 \)]. Changes in plasma LH secretion are shown above the histogram. LH is significantly higher 30 hr after dawn of the first LD (\( ** P < 0.01 \); i.e., early in the second LD). Overall, the data show a significant change over time [one-factor ANOVA; \( F_{(7,44)} = 8.63; *** p = 0.001 \)]. The bar beneath the diagram shows the SD and subsequent LDs (darkness is represented by the shaded areas; light is represented by the nonshaded areas).

(n = 7) (± SEM) at hour 30 after 20L:4D (\( p < 0.01 \)). LH levels remained high thereafter (Fig. 1). This was also reflected in testicular growth with the mass increasing fivefold from 30 to 150 mg after 4 LDs [one-factor ANOVA; \( F_{(3,22)} = 9.89; p < 0.001 \)]. LD stimulation resulted in an increase in the number of FLI-labeled cells within the tuberal hypothalamus, and the magnitude was comparable with that reported for castrated birds (Meddle and Follett, 1995a). A highly significant increase had occurred by early in the second LD (30 hr after dawn of the first LD; Fig. 1), the average number of activated cells per 60 \( \mu \)m section rising from 1.5 ± 0.1 (n = 7) to 23.9 ± 2.9 (n = 7) (unpaired \( t \) test, \( t = 7.81; p = 0.0001 \)) to 33.6 ± 6.7 cells (per 60 \( \mu \)m section) on SDs, and a one-factor ANOVA showed this not to change via treatments [\( F_{(3,22)} = 35.29; p > 0.05 \)] and was similar to that observed in castrates after 5 LDs (Meddle and Follett, 1995a). A one-factor ANOVA of all the results showed a highly significant effect of LD stimulation [\( F_{(3,22)} = 35.29; p < 0.001 \)] with significant increases after 1 and 2 LDs. The medial habenular nucleus served as the control region so that baseline FLI within the hypothalamus could be compared between treatment groups. FLI activation within the habenular averaged 81.7 ± 6.7 cells (per 60 \( \mu \)m section) on SDs, and a one-factor ANOVA showed this not to change via treatments [\( F_{(3,12)} = 0.12; p = 0.959 \); data not shown].

The induction of LH and FLI in castrated quail within the basal tuberal hypothalamus throughout the first 16–30 hr from dawn of a single LD (20L:4D)

This series of experiments analyzed cell activation within the infundibular complex during the first LD by sampling across the first 30 hr and using plasma LH to track the time when photoperiodic induction first occurred. Figure 2 shows the LH changes (nanograms per milliliter ± SEM; postbleed — prebleed) and induction to have begun by hour 20. Absolute plasma LH levels of 0.92 ± 0.15 ng/ml (n = 11) on SDs increased to 2.0 ± 0.48 ng/ml (n = 16) by hour 24 and doubled again to 4.73 ± 2.29 ng/ml (n = 10) by hour 30. The change in plasma LH altered significantly over time [\( F_{(6,63)} = 3.1; p = 0.01 \)]. The first increase in LH became significant at hour 20 [change in LH (ng/ml), 0.43 ± 0.14; n = 7; unpaired \( t \) test, \( t = -2.5; p < 0.02; n = 11 \)] from dawn with the mass increasing fivefold from 30 to 150 mg after 4 LDs [one-factor ANOVA; \( F_{(7,81)} = 7.81; p < 0.005 \)] from dawn. The bar beneath the diagram represents the photoperiodic schedule (darkness is represented by the shaded areas; light is represented by the nonshaded areas).

Figure 1. Cell activation within the basal tuberal hypothalamus and changes in plasma LH secretion (mean ± SEM) in intact male quail after transfer from a SD to LDs. Activation was measured by the number of nuclei containing FLI (± SEM; per 60 \( \mu \)m section). Birds were sampled on SDs (n = 7) and on the 2nd (n = 7), 3rd (n = 6), and 5th (n = 6) LDs. The extent of FLI is highly significant compared with that of SDs [ANOVA; \( F_{(3,22)} = 35.29; *** p < 0.001 \)]. Changes in plasma LH secretion are shown above the histogram. LH is significantly higher 30 hr after dawn of the first LD (\( ** P < 0.01 \); i.e., early in the second LD). Overall, the data show a significant change over time [one-factor ANOVA; \( F_{(7,44)} = 8.63; *** p = 0.001 \)]. The bar beneath the diagram shows the SD and subsequent LDs (darkness is represented by the shaded areas; light is represented by the nonshaded areas).

Figure 2. Activation of FLI cells within the ME (dark hatched bars) and the infundibular nucleus (batched bars) in quail transferred from SDs (6L:18D) to a single LD (20L:4D). Birds were killed at hours 1 (n = 5), 6 (n = 6), 16 (n = 5), 18 (n = 13), 20 (n = 7), 22 (n = 8), 24 (n = 16), and 30 (n = 10). FLI first becomes significantly increased (mean ± SEM) at hour 18 in both the infundibular nucleus [18.9 ± 5.7 (n = 13); \( p < 0.05 \)] and the median eminence [79.7 ± 15.4 (n = 13); ** p < 0.001]. Changes in LH (mean ± SEM) are illustrated above the histogram. The first significant rise in LH occurred at hour 20 (\( p < 0.05 \)) from dawn. The bar beneath the diagram represents the photoperiodic schedule (darkness is represented by the shaded areas; light is represented by the nonshaded areas).
When the brains were analyzed for Fos induction, it was apparent that the distribution of FLI activity differed from that observed in previous investigations. Cells were activated in the tuberal complex, but in addition a discrete population within the median eminence (ME) could be identified. In our earlier studies (Meddle and Follett, 1995a), the ME population was included in the infundibular complex. Activation, particularly early in the photoinduction, was widespread throughout the ME, but primarily it was concentrated within the ependymal layer and the area around the nerve terminals. Therefore for analysis, two areas were defined, first that encompassing only the infundibular nucleus (IN) and second that within the ME (Fig. 3).

The following conclusions were made regarding the two areas (Fig. 2): first, the IN showed increased activation 18 hr after dawn $[18.9 \pm 3.7$ cells per section ($n = 13$) compared with $5.1 \pm 1.8$ cells per section ($n = 5$) 1 hr from dawn (unpaired $t$ test, $t = -2.22; p < 0.05$) and $2.3 \pm 0.6$ cells per section ($n = 6$) 6 hr after dawn (unpaired $t$ test, $t = -2.96; p < 0.01$)]. FLI activity was still low at hour 16 $[4.7 \pm 2.3$ counts per section ($n = 5$); $p = 0.9154$ compared with hour 1]. The level of activation was constant between hours 18 and 22 $[17.8 \pm 2.6$ counts per section; $n = 8$] but rose again by hour 24 $[46.1 \pm 8.4$ counts per section ($n = 16$); $p < 0.03$]. There was no further rise between hours 24 and 30 $[30$ hr, $31.1 \pm 4.3$ counts per section ($n = 10$); $p = 0.194$]. At hour 30, the numbers of activated cells was still much greater than at either hour 1 (unpaired $t$ test, $t = -4.11; p < 0.002$) or hour 22 (unpaired $t$ test, $t = -2.46; p < 0.03$). Second, there was a very marked increase in ME activity across the LD [one-factor ANOVA; $F(7,62) = 7.46; p = 0.0001$; Fig. 2]. Counts rose from SD levels of $5.1 \pm 2.2$ ($n = 5$) at hour 16 to $79.7 \pm 15.4$ ($n = 13$) at hour 18 ($p < 0.01$). This is a 16-fold increase and suggests there were at least 1500 activated cells within the entire ME. After the large increase at hour 18, activation drooped so that by hour 24, the number of cells was $38.9 \pm 6.4$ ($n = 16; p = 0.014$ compared with hour 18; Fig. 4C). A further fall occurred by hour 30 $[13.7 \pm 4.2$ counts per section ($n = 10$); $p < 0.002$ compared with hour 18], but activation at hour 30 was still significantly higher than that in quail sampled at hour 6 ($p < 0.05$). In summary, cellular activation in both the ME and the IN precedes the rise in LH. The activation can be seen in the photomicrograph of a bird sampled 18 hr after dawn of the LD (Fig. 4B).

Given the abrupt increase in FLI within the hypothalamus at hour 18, it was important to show that this alteration was not a circadian (diurnal) change and did not occur under SDs. Birds held on 6L:18D were perfused at hours 18 ($2.2 \pm 0.4$ counts per section; $n = 4$) and 24 ($4.6 \pm 1.8$ counts per section; $n = 5$). These levels of activation are low and significantly less than seen in photostimulated birds (hour 18, unpaired $t$ test, $t = 2.44; p = 0.326$; hour 24, unpaired $t$ test, $t = 3.97; p < 0.002$). In other words, activation in the latter quarter of the LD does not seem to be a consequence of a diurnal rhythm. To explore this point further, we compared activated cell counts within the infundibular complex from all the various SD controls taken previously (Meddle and Follett, 1995a). The data are plotted to give a composite picture (Fig. 5). Although the sets of experiments were performed at different times (and some months apart), inferences can be drawn from these results because all immunocytochemical runs were standardized. There is no significant change in activity across the SD [one-factor ANOVA; $F(12,35) = 1.69; p = 0.113$], and the mean counts ranged only between 2 and 10 (compare Fig. 2). A photomicrograph taken from a SD bird sampled 18 hr after dawn is represented in Figure 4A.

An important question relates to the cellular identity (i.e., neurons or glial cells) of Fos activation in both the ME and IN. This was resolved in a separate experiment in which brains were labeled for glial cells (GFAP, brown cytoplasmic staining) in addition to FLI (black nuclear staining). The GFAP distribution was similar to that described previously for quail by Cameron-Curry et al. (1991). Immunoreactivity for GFAP was observed throughout the diencephalon with immunoreactive elements scattered throughout the entire infundibular complex and the ME. Double staining (both FLI and GFAP) was observed within the ME of birds taken from hours 18 to 30 after dawn of the first LD of photostimulation, and examples are illustrated (Fig. 6). Figure 6A shows the ME of a bird sampled at hour 18 from dawn and double-labeled for both FLI and GFAP. The widespread colocalization argues that the majority of the FLI-labeled cells within the ME 18–24 hr after dawn of a LD are glial cells. No double-labeled cells were observed in the ME of SD birds.

As a test for the photoperiodic specificity of activation within the infundibular complex, the medial habenular nucleus (four from each group) was counted at hours 18 and 24 of a SD (6L:18D) and LD (20L:4D). Figure 7 illustrates that SD ($35.8 \pm 8.5$ counts per section; $n = 4$) and LD ($63.9 \pm 9.6$ counts per section; $n = 4$) birds sampled at hour 18 were not significantly different ($p = 0.0712$). Similarly, activation was not significantly different between birds on SDs ($57.4 \pm 5.6$ counts per section; $n = 4$) and LDs ($70.1 \pm 9.6$ counts per section; $n = 4$) when sampled at hour 24 ($p = 0.299$). The medial habenular nucleus was analyzed across the 24 hr period, and the results are shown in Figure 6. Unexpectedly, there was an effect of time of day on activation with a significant decrease occurring in the middle of the night [$83.9 \pm 7.1$ counts per section ($n = 4$) at lights off decreasing to $35.8 \pm 8.5$ counts per section ($n = 4$) in the middle of the night; one-factor ANOVA; $F(4,15) = 4.11; p < 0.02$]. Photomicrographs of the medial habenular nucleus of SD quail sampled at these times are shown in Figure 4, D and E. There was also a decrease in activated cells just before dawn at hour 24, compared with 6 hr after dawn ($p < 0.03$). Birds sampled just before
The duration of the photophase: its effect on LH secretion and FLI within the tuberal hypothalamus at hour 30 from dawn

This experiment used a paradigm first used by Follett et al. (1977). Primed quail were exposed to a single day containing a varying number of hours of light (6–24), and the degree of photoinduction was measured 6 hr into the following SD (i.e., at hour 30). Birds exposed to light of 14 hr or longer were photo-stimulated, and their LH levels were significantly increased compared with those in birds given 6 hr of light (Fig. 8) (e.g., change in LH for 14 hr, 3.00 ± 1.39 ng/ml; n = 6; for 6 hr, −0.93 ± 0.29 ng/ml; n = 5; t = −2.53; p < 0.05). LH levels at hour 30 after a 14 hr day were similar to those after continuous light (24L:0D; p > 0.05) and were not different from any other day longer than 14 hr. The apparently lower levels in the change in LH secretion after 20 hr of light were not significantly different from that after 18 hr of light (unpaired t test, t = 1.24; p = 0.246; 20 vs 24 hr, unpaired t test, t = −1.46; p = 0.182).

The photoinduction was paralleled in terms of FLI activated cells (Fig. 8). Clearly, the length of the LD plays a significant role...
The data are not shown.
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**Figure 5.** Histogram representing the number of FLI-labeled cells per section (mean ± SEM) within the infundibular complex of castrated quail sampled at various hours throughout a SD (6L:18D). It is a composite graph of all SD control birds used in a previous study (Meddle and Follett, 1995a) in addition to the experiments presented here. There is no significant change in FLI across 24 hr [ANOVA; $F_{(12,35)} = 1.69; p > 0.05$]. The bar beneath the diagram represents the photoperiodic schedule (the shaded areas represent darkness, and the nonshaded areas represent light).

The results demonstrate that cells within the hypothalamus become activated at the time of photoinduction, before the first detectable rise in LH, and this seems to be the earliest photoperiodic effect yet reported in quail (or any other organism). A close relationship between activation of the BTH and the first stages of gonadotropin secretion is evident in several ways. First, the increase in LH and FLI occur late in the first LD. Second, photoperiodic induction builds up progressively over the first days of photostimulation in both intact and castrated quail (so the activation is not an aberration of castration), and this is reflected by sustained FLI induction. How LH release and FLI activation within the infundibular complex are related is still unclear, but the evidence obtained is indicative that they are causally related. The prolonged photostimulated cellular induction in the infundibulum may be a consequence of temporal staggering of Fos and Fos-related antigen expression (Sonnenberg et al., 1989), because the antibody used in our studies detects both (Meddle and Follett, 1995a). Activation within the IN is widespread and is difficult to compartmentalize anatomically, but it is possible that its longevity is a result of different cell populations becoming activated at different times. The increase in activity is not because of a diurnal rhythm because it is absent in SD birds killed at any time point throughout 24 hr. The infundibular complex is of paramount importance to the avian photoperiodic response. If lesioned, photoinduced gonadal growth is blocked (Sharp and Follett, 1969; Ohta and Homma, 1987), even if GnRH fibers remain intact (Juss, 1993). Putative deep brain photoreceptors have been located in this region (Saldanha et al., 1994), and implants of radioluminous paint (Oliver and Baylé, 1982) and light-conducting fibers (Yokoyama et al., 1978) to SD birds induce testicular growth.

The diurnal fluctuations in SD habenular activity have no bearing on the infundibular complex results. Activation within the habenular does not differ across 24 hr in LD birds or when compared with SD birds. The decrease in habenular activation is real, because the infundibular complex exhibits no such diurnal changes. FLI fluctuations in the rat habenular have been described by Chastrette et al. (1991). The habenular has been implicated in exploratory behavior (Sutherland, 1982) that in turn displays circadian variations (Rusak, 1981). The 40% variation in quail habenular activity is similar to that of the rat suprachiasmatic nucleus during 24 hr (Kononen et al., 1990), but further experiments are required to elucidate whether habenular activity is directly driven by the circadian system.

One aspect of the photoperiodic response is the time lag that exists between a LD being registered and the first LH rise. Follett et al. (1977) demonstrated that LH rose around hour 20 of the first LD as long as it exceeded 14.7 hr of light. Similar time lags are observed in White-crowned sparrows (Zonotrichia leucophrys gambelii) (Follett et al., 1975) and black-headed buntings (Emberiza melanocephala) (Kumar et al., 1996). Use of the first-day release system (i.e., exposure to a LD of varying light duration; Nicholls et al., 1983) induces an LH rise (30 hr from its onset)
with a day containing 16 hr of light. This leads to IN activation, with the degree of activation a function of photophase duration. Once critical day length is exceeded, IN activation remains consistent. The best evidence yet to suggest involvement of these activated cells in part of the photoinductive process was provided by the final experiment, whereby quail were exposed to 10 hr of light (below the critical day length) in a resonance paradigm that leads to photoperiodic induction (Juss et al., 1995) compared with 10 hr of light in a 24 hr cycle.

The question arises as to the phenotype of these FLI-activated cells. The avian tuberal hypothalamus contains neurons and receptors of many different types, e.g., vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (Yamada et al., 1982), neuropeptide Y (Aste et al., 1991), aromatase (Balthazart et al., 1990), estrogen receptors (Gahr and Hutchison, 1992), extra retinal photoreceptors (Saldanha et al., 1994, 1995), and glia (Sharp, 1972; Cameron-Curry et al., 1991). It is assumed that IN activation must constitute a neuronal population because they were not GFAP immunopositive. Cells within the ME are exclusively glial (Sharp, 1972), and because these cells were activated, it suggests glial involvement before a LH rise.

Several mechanisms have been postulated to regulate hypothalamic GnRH release. The degree of glial ensheathment of the GnRH terminals has been shown to vary with endocrine status (Kozlowski and Coates, 1985; King et al., 1996). This is analogous to the ensheathment of vasopressin terminals by pituicytes in the pituitary (Tweedle, 1983). Already, the hypothalamus offers examples of the participation of glia on physiological structural plasticity, e.g., oxytocinergic neurons during dehydration and lactation (Theodosis and Poulain, 1984; Theodosis et al., 1986; Chapman et al., 1986). Even seasonal photoperiodic changes have been associated with hypothalamic structural morphological changes (Lee et al., 1995). Glia respond to increased neuronal activity by providing a buffering mechanism for potassium ions. Being sensitive to changes in extracellular potassium, they change shape exposing the neurosecretory nerve endings to allow GnRH release. Recent evidence suggests that glia may be involved in mechanisms of synaptic plasticity orchestrated by gonadal steroids (Montagnese et al., 1988; Olmos et al., 1989; Witkin et al., 1991; Garcia-Segura et al., 1994, 1996; King et al., 1996). Plastic changes appropriately relate to hormone demand, especially in controlling GnRH release (Garcia-Segura et al., 1994; Naftolin et al., 1996). King and Letourneau (1994) reported dramatic changes in the rat ME after castration, whereas Witkin et al.
Mechanisms regulating GnRH secretion are still not defined.

Kozlowski and Coates (1985) stated that it was rare to find rat GnRH terminals in direct contact with pituitary portal capillaries; instead glia ensheathment of GnRH fibers changed with reproductive state. Barres (1992) reviewed evidence that pubertal GnRH release is a direct consequence of estrogen acting on estrogen receptors containing glia (Langub and Watson, 1992), unlike GnRH neurons that are devoid of these receptors (Ojeda et al., 1990; Witkin et al., 1991). Glia cells have been shown to display morphological changes in response to noradrenaline (Van Calker and Hamprecht, 1980) and to have adrenergic receptors (Hosli and Hosli, 1982; Hosli et al., 1982) so that one mechanism could involve cAMP, because stimulation of cAMP causes glial retraction. Dopamine is also thought to control GnRH release at the ME and in the infundibular region in the chick (Fraley and Kuenzel, 1993) and hen (Contijoch et al., 1992). Serotonin (Kiss and Halasz, 1985) and catecholamine (Watanabe and Nakai, 1987) transmitter systems have also been shown to be located in the area of the ME in rodents and play a regulatory role in GnRH release via glia.

Mammalian GnRH neurons have been shown to express Fos during an ovulatory LH rise (Lee et al., 1992). However, we have not observed FLI activation in GnRH cells associated with the photoperiodically driven LH rise in quail. We know that Fos is not required for LH surge initiation in the sheep (Moenter et al., 1993), rodent (Berriman et al., 1992; Lee et al., 1992; Doan and Urbanski, 1994), and monkey (Witkin et al., 1994); rather it is associated with the synthetic requirements resulting from GnRH depletion. Instead, FLI activation within the terminals of the ME offers evidence to suggest that GnRH release is regulated at the terminals.

Mechanisms regulating GnRH secretion are still not defined.
machinery that ultimately leads to GnRH release. Photoinduction must at least involve three different processes from photoreception, daylight measurement, to the stimulation of GnRH release. Whether these activated cells are neuronal or glial, acting singularly or as populations, the integration of such activity must form some component of the photoneuroendocrine system after photostimulation in quail.
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