Prp43 Bound at Different Sites on the Pre-rRNA Performs Distinct Functions in Ribosome Synthesis
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SUMMARY

Yeast ribosome synthesis requires 19 different RNA helicases, but none of their pre-rRNA-binding sites were previously known, making their precise functions difficult to determine. Here we identify multiple binding sites for the helicase Prp43 in the 18S and 25S rRNA regions of pre-rRNAs, using UV crosslinking. Binding in 18S was predominantly within helix 44, close to the site of 18S 3′ cleavage, in which Prp43 is functionally implicated. Four major binding sites were identified in 25S, including helix 34. In strains depleted of Prp43 or expressing only catalytic point mutants, six snoRNAs that guide modifications close to helix 34 accumulated on preribosomes, implicating Prp43 in their release, whereas other snoRNAs showed reduced preribosome association. Prp43 was crosslinked to snoRNAs that target sequences close to its binding sites, indicating direct interactions. We propose that Prp43 acts on preribosomal regions surrounding each binding site, with distinct functions at different locations.

INTRODUCTION

Ribosomes in Saccharomyces cerevisiae consist of 79 ribosomal proteins and four ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). The 25S, 18S, and 5.8S rRNAs are derived from a primary transcript, the 35S pre-rRNA (pre-rRNA), via multiple cleavage, trimming, and modification events, while the 5S rRNA is transcribed independently (reviewed in Venema and Tollervey, 1999; Fromont-Racine et al., 2003; Tschochner and Hurt, 2003; Granneman and Baserga, 2004; Henras et al., 2008). Early cleavages at sites A0–A2 take place in a large complex termed the SSU processome or 90S preribosome, and modification at these sites separates the biogenesis pathways of the two ribosomal subunits. The pre-rRNA intermediates of the pre-60S complexes are processed in the nucleus before nuclear export to generate the mature rRNAs, while the final cleavage step in 18S synthesis, cleavage at site D in the 20S pre-rRNA, occurs in the cytoplasm. Most nucleotide modifications in the rRNA are 2′-O-methylation and pseudouridylation at sites selected by base pairing with box C/D and box H/ACA small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs), respectively (Kiss-Laszlo et al., 1996; Ganot et al., 1997; Bachellerie et al., 2002). Seventy-two modification guide snoRNAs are individually nonessential, although combinations of snoRNA deletions can lead to a lethal phenotype (Kiss, 2002). Other snoRNAs are essential for viability and for the early pre-rRNA cleavages at the sites A0–A2: the box C/D snoRNAs U3 and U14, and the box H/ACA snoRNA snR30 (Li et al., 1990; Hughes and Ares, 1991; Beltrame and Tollervey, 1995; Liang and Fournier, 1995; Morrissey and Tollervey, 1997). In general, the base pairing of the pre-rRNA with box H/ACA snoRNAs is predicted to be less stable than for box C/D snoRNAs, which have long complementary sequences of up to 19 nucleotides. However, both classes of snoRNA can be detected in stable association with 60–90S preribosomes on sucrose density gradients.

Besides the components of the small nucleolar ribonucleoprotein particles (snoRNPs), more than 180 nonribosomal cofactors are involved in the ribosome biogenesis pathway. These include exonucleases and endonucleases involved in pre-rRNA processing, as well as GTPases, kinases, and RNA helicases (see, for example, Fromont-Racine et al., 2003; Henras et al., 2008). Nineteen putative RNA helicases are known to function in ribosome biogenesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. All of these are designated as DEAD/H or DExD box proteins with the exception of the DEVH box helicase Dob1/Mtr4, a cofactor of the exosome complex required for 3′ maturation of the 5.8S rRNA (de la Cruz et al., 1998; Linder, 2006). Dbp4, Has1, and Rok1 were shown to be required for release of small numbers of specific snoRNAs from preribosomes (Kos and Tollervey, 2005; Liang and Fournier, 2006; Bohnsack et al., 2008), but for no helicase was the binding site(s) on the preribosomes known. The molecular functions of all other RNA helicases acting in ribosome biogenesis have remained elusive so far. It seemed possible that other helicases would also act to displace snoRNPs from preribosomes, but attempts to demonstrate this by screening all 75 snoRNAs in a panel of mutants were largely unsuccessful (Bohnsack et al., 2008).
Based on defects observed in RNA processing following genetic depletion, most putative helicases are predicted to act during either 40S or 60S ribosome subunit synthesis: Dbp4, Dbp8, Dhr1, Dhr2, Fal1, Rok1, and Prp3 are required for 20S pre-rRNA synthesis and 40S biogenesis, whereas Dbp2, Dbp3, Dbp6, Dbp7, Dbp9, Dbp10, Drs1, Mak5, and Spb4 are required for 27S pre-rRNA processing and 60S biogenesis (Rocak and Linder, 2004; Bleichert and Baserga 2007; Cordin et al., 2006 and references therein). However, Has1 and the DEAH box helicase Prp43 are implicated in both the 40S and the 60S biogenesis pathways (Emery et al., 2004; Lebaron et al., 2005).

Prp43 was initially identified as a pre-mRNA splicing factor acting in the release of the intron lariat from the spliceosome (Arenas and Abelson, 1997; Martin et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2007) but was subsequently shown to function in ribosome synthesis (Lebaron et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006). Depletion of Prp43 results in accumulation of 35S pre-rRNA, combined with a reduction in the levels of downstream pre-rRNA intermediates and mature rRNAs. Tandem affinity purification (TAP) with tagged Prp43 precipitated pre-rRNAs including the 20S and 27S precursors, indicating its involvement in both 40S and 60S subunit synthesis. Genetic analyses implicated Prp43 in cleavage of the 20S pre-rRNA that generates the 3' end of the 18S rRNA (Pertschy et al., 2009). However, the binding sites and molecular function of Prp43 remained unclear.

Here, we used an unbiased crosslinking and cDNA analysis (CRAC) approach to identify binding sites of Prp43 on the prerRNA—and find complex results. In line with previous copericitation analyses, we identified major binding sites in both the 18S and the 25S regions of the pre-rRNA. Our results are consistent with the proposed role for Prp43 in site D cleavage but also imply that Prp43 is required to displace a subset of box C/D snoRNAs from preribosomes and mature rRNAs. Tandem affinity purification (TAP) with tagged Prp43 precipitated pre-rRNAs and mature rRNA—find complex results. In line with previous coprecipitation analyses, we identify major binding sites in both the 18S and the 25S regions of the pre-rRNA. Prp43 is required to displace a subset of box C/D snoRNAs from preribosomes and mature rRNAs. Tandem affinity purification (TAP) with tagged Prp43 precipitated pre-rRNAs—find complex results. In line with previous coprecipitation analyses, we identified major binding sites in both the 18S and the 25S regions of the pre-rRNA. Our results are consistent with the proposed role for Prp43 in site D cleavage but also imply that Prp43 is required to displace a subset of box C/D snoRNAs from preribosomes and mature rRNAs. Tandem affinity purification (TAP) with tagged Prp43 precipitated pre-rRNAs including the 20S and 27S precursors, indicating its involvement in both 40S and 60S subunit synthesis. Genetic analyses implicated Prp43 in cleavage of the 20S pre-rRNA that generates the 3’ end of the 18S rRNA (Pertschy et al., 2009). However, the binding sites and molecular function of Prp43 remained unclear.

**RESULTS**

**Prp43 Binds to Distinct Sites on Ribosomal RNA**

Prp43 and Rok1 were previously reported to function in ribosome biogenesis (Venema et al., 1997; Lebaron et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006). Rok1 was implicated in release of snR30 from preribosomes (Bohsack et al., 2008), but its interaction site(s) with any of the RNAs remained unknown. For Prp43, neither its interaction sites with pre-rRNA nor its molecular function in the pathway had been identified. In order to locate binding sites for Prp43 and Rok1 on RNA, we made use of the CRAC UV crosslinking method (Granneman et al., 2009). **PRP43** or **ROK1** were genomically tagged with a C-terminal His<sub>6</sub>-TEV-ProteinA (HTP) tag, allowing a first purification step on IgG Sepharose, elution by cleavage with tobacco etch virus protease (TEV), and final purification of protein-RNA complexes on nickel beads under denaturing conditions. Rok1 was not detectably UV crosslinked to RNA, perhaps reflecting very transient interactions with the pre-rRNA or recruitment that predominately involves protein factors. In contrast, Prp43 crosslinking to RNA was readily detectable by radiolabeling of crosslinked RNA (see Figure S1A available online). UV crosslinking was performed either in vivo in living cells on ice or in vitro after TEV elution of Prp43 containing complexes from IgG beads. RNA molecules crosslinked to Prp43-HTP were partially digested with RNase A + T1, ligated to linkers, and amplified by RT-PCR. Binding sites were identified either by cloning and Sanger sequencing or direct Solexa sequencing of PCR products. The resulting sequences were assigned to genomic locations by NOVOALIGN (http://www.novocraft.com/) (Granneman et al., 2009) and grouped into functional categories (see Table 1, Figure S1).

Interestingly, in vivo CRAC with cells expressing Prp43-HTP (Table 1, Figure S1B) resulted in 50-fold enrichment of box C/D snoRNAs as compared to the control strain (Table 1, Figure S1D).

### Table 1. Prp43 Crosslinks to Cellular RNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Hits</th>
<th>Percent total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prp43 In Vivo Crosslinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes</td>
<td>157,016</td>
<td>16.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intronic genes</td>
<td>4,356</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rDNA</td>
<td>726,570</td>
<td>74.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box C/D snoRNAs</td>
<td>27,562</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box H/ACA snoRNAs</td>
<td>3,543</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snRNAs</td>
<td>31,268</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNAs</td>
<td>23,496</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic tRNAs</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of mapped reads</td>
<td>974,621</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prp43 In Vitro Crosslinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes</td>
<td>24,118</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intronic genes</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rDNA</td>
<td>1,478,415</td>
<td>98.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box C/D snoRNAs</td>
<td>2,024</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box H/ACA snoRNAs</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snRNAs</td>
<td>2,419</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNAs</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic tRNAs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of mapped reads</td>
<td>1,508,199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Control In Vivo Crosslinking</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genes</td>
<td>122,505</td>
<td>23.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intronic genes</td>
<td>2,489</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rDNA</td>
<td>374,291</td>
<td>72.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box C/D snoRNAs</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box H/ACA snoRNAs</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snRNAs</td>
<td>887</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNAs</td>
<td>14,803</td>
<td>2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic tRNAs</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of mapped reads</td>
<td>516,230</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
while box H/ACA snoRNAs were enriched less than 4-fold. No significant enrichment of intron-containing genes was observed, whereas snRNAs were enriched 17-fold. In both the Prp43-HTP and parental strain, almost 75% of all sequences were of ribosomal origin. Ninety-eight percent of sequences derived from in vitro crosslinked Prp43-containing complexes were rRNA sequences (Table 1, Figure S1C), suggesting that more transient interactions were lost during cell lysis and complex enrichment.

Ribosomal sequences obtained by CRAC were aligned against the rDNA, and the number of hits was determined for each individual nucleotide. Peaks represent clusters of hits covering the same sequence and are therefore potential binding sites for Prp43 (Figure 1). In total, five major peaks were identified by in vivo and in vitro crosslinking (see the supplemental sequence alignments in the Supplemental Data). In addition, multiple smaller clusters were found, some of which were also present in the control. A very similar distribution of hits was obtained by cloning and Sanger sequencing of the RT-PCR products (data not shown). In vivo crosslinking of Prp43-HTP enriched two major regions relative to the control (Figure 1A). A bipartite peak was observed over rDNA nucleotides 2440 and 2470, corresponding to sites within helix 44 near the 3′ end of 18S (Figure 2A). These sites are located close to pre-rRNA cleavage site D, where processing of the 20S pre-rRNA to the mature 18S rRNA occurs. This observation is consistent with the model that Prp43 acts to promote pre-rRNA cleavage at site D by the endonuclease Nob1 (Pertschy et al., 2009). One site of RNA 2′-O-methylation is located within this region, which is directed by snR70 (Figure 2A). The other major in vivo peak was observed over rDNA nucleotides 4427–4440, which was centered at 25S+1145, between helices 39 and 40. This 25S peak is located close to the 2′-O-methylation site (Am1124) directed by the box C/D snoRNP snR61 and to the pseudouridylation site (J1124) directed by box H/ACA snoRNP snR5 (Figure 2B).

In vitro crosslinking identified three major binding sites for Prp43 in the 25S rRNA region (Figure 1B). Crosslinking seen over rDNA nucleotides 3648–3664 was centered at 25S+370 between helices 23 and 24. This site lies within the region of interaction between the 25S and 5.8S rRNAs, which are stably base paired in 60S ribosomes (Figure 2C). No sites of snoRNA-directed rRNA modification are located in this region. The highest peak of Prp43 sequence reads was over rDNA nucleotides 3988–4002, centered at 25S+710 in helix 34 (Figure 2D). This site lies close to a cluster of 2′-O-methylation sites directed by box C/D snoRNPs snR39 (Am807), snR39b (Gm805), snR40 (Um898), snR50 (Gm867), snR58 (Cm663), snR59 (Am807), snR60 (Am817, Gm908), and snR72 (Am876), and the box H/ACA
snoRNA snR80 (Psi776) (Figure 2D). Crosslinks over nucleotides 5941–5960 (centered at 25S+2680 in helix 83) (Figure 2E) also lie close to sites of modification by snR48 (Gm2791, Gm2793), snR51 (Um2729), snR67 (Gm2619, Um2724), snR68 (Am2640), and snR189 (Psi2735).

We conclude that Prp43 has several distinct interaction sites on preribosomal RNA. The binding site at helix 44 in the 18S rRNA is close to a site of pre-rRNA cleavage, in which Prp43 is implicated. In contrast, the 25S rRNA crosslinks are not predicted to lie close to processing sites, but the helix 39/40 crosslink lies close to rRNA modification sites, while helixes 34 and 83 are located close to prominent clusters of box C/D snoRNP-directed modification sites. Notable differences were observed in the major sites of crosslinking in vivo and in vitro. These presumably reflect differences in residence time at the various sites during in vivo ribosome assembly, compared to differences in stability of binding to these sites during preribosome purification in vitro.

**Prp43 Crosslinks to a Subset of Box C/D snoRNAs and the U6 snRNA**

Small nuclear RNA sequences obtained after in vivo crosslinking of Prp43-HTP expressing cells or controls are shown as heat maps, indicating the percentage of mapped reads for each snoRNA (Figure 3A) or snRNA (Figure 3B). In vitro crosslinking of Prp43-containing complexes did not significantly enrich for small noncoding RNAs (Table S2).

For several box C/D snoRNAs, reads were much more frequent following Prp43 in vivo CRAC than in the control experiment (Figure 3A and Table S2), snR51, snR60, and snR72 were most frequently recovered, with lower but still significant recovery of other snoRNAs including snR40 and snR61. snR51 guides methylation close to the Prp43-binding site in 25S helix 83 (Figure 2E), snR72, snR60, and snR40 guide methylation at sites close to the helix 34-binding site (Figure 2D), and snR61 binds close to the in vivo Prp43 crosslink at helices 39/40 (Figure 2B).

When the reads were mapped onto the snoRNA sequences, they clustered around the guide sequence close to box D in case of snR51 (Figure 3C), while for snR72 (Figure 3D) and snR60 (Figure 3E) an additional cluster was found at box D’, partially covering the second guide sequence of snR60 (see the supplemental sequence alignments in the Supplemental Data). Granneman et al. (2009) reported that nucleotide substitutions or deletions could reveal precise crosslinking sites. We mapped specific mutations in or close to guide sequences, strongly supporting direct contacts between Prp43 and the guide sequences of these snoRNAs.
We conclude that Prp43 directly binds both snoRNAs and regions of the pre-rRNA in close proximity to their sites of modification.

Three spliceosomal snRNAs (U2, U5, and U6) were previously reported to be enriched in Prp43 coprecipitation experiments (LeBaron et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006). Prp43 was significantly crosslinked to snR6 (U6), but not to snR7S/L (U5) or LSR1 (U2) (Figure 3B). When the reads were mapped onto the U6 sequence, two peaks of Prp43 binding were observed, over positions 18–43 and 76–83 (Figure 3F). The 3' peak partially overlaps the U4-interaction domain (nucleotides 55–80), but no corresponding hits were enriched for U4, consistent with the proposal that Prp43 acts in spliceosome disassembly when U4 and U6 are expected to have dissociated (Arenas and Abelson, 1997; Martin et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2007).

Depletion of Prp43 Traps snoRNAs in Preribosomes

Three RNA helicases were previously implicated in release of snoRNAs from preribosomes (Kos and Tollervey, 2005; Liang and Fournier, 2006; Bohnsack et al., 2008). The observation that Prp43 crosslinked to pre-rRNA regions that are heavily modified by snoRNPs prompted us to analyze whether Prp43 is required for incorporation or release of snoRNAs.

We analyzed the effect of Prp43 depletion on the distribution of snoRNAs in sucrose density gradients, comparing free snoRNAs at the top of the gradient to fractions containing 40–90S preribosomes. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was used to simultaneously analyze all 75 yeast snoRNAs (Bohnsack et al., 2008) in a strain that carries genomic PRP43 under the control of the tetracycline/doxycycline repressible promoter (Ptet-prp43 strain). Analyses were performed 6 hr after doxycycline addition, prior to the appearance of any growth defect. The results were expressed as the ratio of preribosome bound to free snoRNA, normalized to the ratio of wild-type (WT) samples processed in parallel, and set as 1 (Figure 4).

Analysis of the effects of Prp43 depletion revealed changes in the distribution of many box C/D snoRNAs. Among snoRNAs...
that showed decreased abundance in preribosomal fractions, only snR64 and snR67 were reduced below the value of 0.48 (95% confidence; marked in gray). Several other snoRNAs, including snR61, were just above the 95% confidence threshold. snR67 has target sequences flanking the Prp43-binding site at helix 83 (Figure 2E), whereas snR61 targets sequences close to the Prp43-binding site at helix 39/40 (Figure 2B).

Conversely, the snoRNAs snR39, snR39b, snR50, snR55, snR59, snR60, and snR72 were found to shift from the free pool to preribosomal fractions to above the value of 2.1 (95% confidence) upon depletion of Prp43 (Figure 4, in gray), while the snoRNAs snR40 and snR70 fell just below this threshold. The value for U14 was also just below the threshold, but this snoRNA was previously shown to be affected by depletion of multiple individual helicases (Bohsack et al., 2008). For snR55 (light gray in Figure 4), previous qPCR results suggested that this snoRNA was affected by depletion of several different helicases (Bohsack et al., 2008). However, these apparent alterations could not be confirmed by northern blot analysis of the corresponding samples, and this is also the case for Prp43 depletion (data not shown).

The modification sites of snR39, snR39b, snR40, snR50, snR59, snR60, and snR72 cluster together, close to the major of Prp43 binding site at 25S+710 in helix 34 (Figure 2D), whereas snR70 targets sequences close to the Prp43-binding site at 18S helix 44.

To substantiate the qPCR results, the degree of preribosome association of several affected snoRNAs and controls was assessed by northern hybridization of gradient fractions (Figure 5). RNA was extracted from sucrose density gradient fractions of cells grown in the presence (WT) or absence (Ptet-prp43) of Prp43. The snoRNAs U3, snR30, U14, and snR41 were either unaltered or only modestly shifted to lower fractions. However, for snR39, snR39b, snR50, and snR72, the shift from the free form (pool 1) into the fractions containing preribosomal particles (pool 2) was more marked, reflecting increased accumulation of these snoRNAs in the preribosomal fractions, while the total levels of the snoRNAs remained unchanged (Figure S2). This indicates that depletion of Prp43 leads to accumulation of these snoRNAs in preribosomes.

Mutations in DEAH Box Motifs I or III in Prp43 Block snoRNA Release

DEAD/H box RNA helicases are characterized by a conserved helicase domain composed of motifs I–VI (reviewed in Cordin et al., 2006; Bleichert and Baserga, 2007). Motif I represents a Walker A motif that is required for nucleotide binding, whereas motif III has been proposed to interlink the ATPase and helicase domains (Pause and Sonenberg, 1992; Plumpton et al., 1994).
To determine whether the RNA helicase activity of Prp43 is required for snoRNA release, we made use of a point mutation in motif I (prp43-T123A), which was previously shown in vitro to block Prp43 ATPase activity, and a mutation in motif III (SAT domain; prp43-S247A) (Martin et al., 2002). WT and mutant forms of Prp43 were expressed from a centromeric plasmid in the prp43-null strain. Growth complementation was analyzed in liquid culture and on plates by spotting a 10-fold dilution series, for cells carrying plasmids with WT, prp43-T123A, or prp43-S247A genes, or the empty vector (Figure S3). Cells carrying the plasmid expressing WT Prp43 retained the WT growth rate after depletion of genomic-encoded Prp43 by doxycycline treatment. Expression of the Prp43 mutants from its own promoter did not result in the dominant-negative effects previously observed upon strong overexpression from a P<sub>GAL</sub> promoter. Expression of Prp43-T123A gave the same growth defect as the empty plasmid indicating that the motif I mutation was lethal, as previously reported (Martin et al., 2002), whereas the Prp43-S247A mutant in motif III supported intermediate growth.

The effects of the mutations in Prp43 on snoRNA release from preribosomes were tested by qPCR (Figure 6). The snoRNAs, U3, and snR65 showed no significant changes in any of the strains tested following doxycycline treatment for 10 hr in minimal medium. The distribution of snR64 also showed no clear differences. However, the rate of cell growth and ribosome synthesis in this experiment was lower than in the experiment shown in Figure 4, due to the use of selective minimal medium for plasmid analyses. A decreased growth rate can correlate with an increase in the free pools of snoRNAs (see, for example, Kos and Tollervey, 2005), perhaps favoring efficient pre-rRNA association in the absence of functional Prp43. In contrast, the snoRNAs snR39, snR39b, snR50, and snR59 showed preribosomal accumulation in Prp43-depleted cells (empty vector) and cells expressing the Prp43-T123A (domain I) or Prp43-S247A (domain III) mutants (Figure 6A).

Comparison of different depletion times (Figure 6B) showed that expression of either of the Prp43 mutants resulted in a dominant-negative phenotype after 7 hr depletion, since snoRNA accumulation in preribosomes was greater than for the Prp43-depleted, empty vector control. However, the phenotypes of the mutants were similar to Prp43 depletion after 10 hr.

Prp43 fulfills multiple functions during ribosome biogenesis and splicing. A possible explanation for the difference in strength of the effects caused by the mutations would be that there could be mechanistic differences in the various functions of Prp43. We therefore tested the levels of several pre-rRNAs and actin (ACT1) mRNA (as an example of a spliced mRNA) in the complementation strains after 10 hr of Prp43 depletion (Figure S4). ACT1 mRNA levels were lowest in the strain carrying empty vector, indicating that the mutants might partially complement under these conditions, but little pre-mRNA accumulation was seen. The reduction in 27S and accumulation of 35S was highest in the mutant strains, consistent with dominant-negative effects (Figure S4). The differences may reflect the participation of distinct Prp43 cofactors on its diverse substrates.

We conclude that ATP binding, and probably ATP hydrolysis by Prp43, is required for efficient snoRNA release from preribosomes.

**DISCUSSION**

To identify binding sites of Prp43 on RNA substrates, we used the CRAC technique, which allows the cloning and sequencing of short RNA fragments that correspond to the binding site(s) of a tagged protein (Granneman et al., 2009). Crosslinking was either performed in vivo before cell lysis or in vitro after enrichment of Prp43-containing complexes. Since Prp43 has been implicated in pre-mRNA splicing and pre-rRNA processing, we expected to find binding sites in both classes of RNA.

The spliceosomal snoRNAs U2, U5, and U6 were previously reported to coprecipitate with Prp43 (Lebaron et al., 2005;
Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006], in line with the function of Prp43 in spliceosome disassembly. In our analyses, U6 was significantly crosslinked to Prp43 in vivo, whereas U2 and U5 were not (Figure 3). This suggests that Prp43 directly contacts U6, while U2 and U5 may associate indirectly with Prp43 as components of a postsplicing complex. No significant enrichment of intronic or exonic sequences was observed for Prp43 relative to the control (Table 1, Figure S1). It may be that interactions between Prp43 and the pre-mRNAs are very transient, or are lost during incubation of the cells on ice prior to and during UV irradiation. Prp43 interacts with the G-patch splicing factor Spp382 (Ntr1) and is a component of the NTR complex (Tsai et al., 2005, 2007; Boon et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2007). This suggests that Prp43 recruitment to the spliceosome might predominiately be mediated by protein-protein interactions and U6, with only transient interactions to other RNA species during unwinding.

The major Prp43 crosslinking sites, making likely that further Prp43-binding sites do indeed exist.

One putative binding site of Prp43 was located at the base of helix 44 in the 18S rRNA sequence, while the others were located in the 25S sequence (Figure 2). Prp43 was previously shown to coprecipitate with the 20S and 27S pre-rRNAs (Lebaron et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006), but not the mature rRNAs. This indicates that the helicase is recruited to these regions of the pre-rRNAs prior to final rRNA maturation. Prp43 and its cofactor Pfa1 interact genetically with Ltv1, a component of late pre-40S ribosomes, and with the endonuclease Nob1 that mediates cleavage of the 20S pre-rRNA at site D (Pertschy et al., 2005; Combs et al., 2006; Leeds et al., 2006), in line with the function of Prp43 in spliceosome disassembly. In our analyses, U6 was significantly crosslinked to Prp43 in vivo, whereas U2 and U5 were not (Figure 3). This suggests that Prp43 directly contacts U6, while U2 and U5 may associate indirectly with Prp43 as components of a postsplicing complex. No significant enrichment of intronic or exonic sequences was observed for Prp43 relative to the control (Table 1, Figure S1). It may be that interactions between Prp43 and the pre-mRNAs are very transient, or are lost during incubation of the cells on ice prior to and during UV irradiation. Prp43 interacts with the G-patch splicing factor Spp382 (Ntr1) and is a component of the NTR complex (Tsai et al., 2005, 2007; Boon et al., 2006; Tanaka et al., 2007). This suggests that Prp43 recruitment to the spliceosome might predominiately be mediated by protein-protein interactions and U6, with only transient interactions to other RNA species during unwinding.
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role for Prp43 in snoRNA interactions in the helix 83 region was shown by the identification of numerous crosslinks with theguide region of snR51, which directs modification close to helix 83 at U2729, overlapping an snR67-binding site. In an attempt to better understand these interactions, the profile of crosslinked rRNA sequences obtained for this region was analyzed in closer detail. Only few hits were found from the region immediately encompassing the modified nucleotides 2724 (snR67) and 2729 (snR51), whereas rRNA sequences from the other base pairing site of snR67 around G2619 were strongly enriched with Prp43 (nucleotides 2605–2625). This suggested that Prp43 bound to helix 83 allows access of snR67 to its base pairing site around G2619 in helix 80. However, Prp43 may be redundant with other factors for the access and release of snR51 and snR67 in helix 86.

Prp43 depletion was also associated with reduced preribosome association of snR64, and consistent with this, methylation of C2337 in 25S rRNA was previously reported to be defective in strains lacking Prp43 (Leeds et al., 2006).

Even within clusters in which snoRNA binding was affected, depletion or mutation of Prp43 did not affect the binding or release of all snoRNAs predicted to associate with the pre-rRNA in the vicinity of its binding sites. This may reflect a degree of functional redundancy between some of the 19 helicases implicated in ribosome synthesis. Alternatively, different combinations of snoRNAs and helicases may function together at distinct times during ribosome maturation. Within snoRNA clusters, overlapping, mutually exclusive sites of snoRNA binding on the pre-rRNA are common, and there is some evidence for a temporal order in snoRNA binding (Kos and Tollervey, 2005). It is also possible that at least some snoRNAs are able to bind and dissociate from the pre-rRNA without the aid of helicase activity.

DEAD/H box RNA helicases have been proposed to act nonprocessively in RNA strand displacement, within a radius of their binding site (see, for example, Yang et al., 2007). The apparent role of Prp43 in promoting the association and/or dissociation of multiple snoRNAs within an rRNA domain would strongly support such a model. To our knowledge, Prp43 is the first RNA helicase involved in eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis for which binding sites on the pre-rRNA have been identified—and a surprisingly complex picture has emerged. Prp43 makes multiple, probably transient and functionally distinct interactions with the preribosomes. Roles in pre-rRNA cleavage and/or snoRNA binding and release can be assigned to Prp43 proteins bound at distinct individual sites. Whether such striking complexity is common among RNA helicases and/or the many other known ribosome synthesis factors remains to be determined.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Yeast Strains and Growth Complementation**

For the CRAC approach, the genomic copy of *PRP43* (or *ROK1*) was C-terminally tagged (Longtie et al., 1998) for expression as a Prp43-His6-TEV-2ProteinA fusion in the BY4741 (MATa; his3Δ1; leu2Δ0; met15Δ0; ura3Δ0) background. For complementation analysis, WT Prp43 and the indicated mutants (including 465 nucleotides upstream and 446 nucleotides downstream of the open reading frame) were cloned into the pRS415 vector for expression from the own promoter. Oligonucleotides used for cloning are shown in Table 51. Plasmids were transformed into a BY4741 derivative (YM119; Bohnsack et al., 2008) with the genomic copy of *PRP43* under control of the tet**

**Promoter (P**, **Prp43). For complementation analysis, cells were grown on plates or in liquid culture containing minimal medium without leucine for plasmid selection, while deletions for Figures 4 and 5 were carried out in YPD medium. Depletion was induced by addition of 20 μg/ml−1 or 5 μg/ml−1 doxycycline for complementation (Figure 6) or standard deletions (Figures 4 and 5), respectively. For complementation analysis on plates, cells were grown to OD600 0.2 under permissive conditions, doxycycline was added for depletion conditions, and cells were kept in exponential phase for an additional 4 hr before spotting.

**Crosslinking and Identification of Prp43-Binding Sites**

The CRAC method was performed as previously described in detail (Graneman et al., 2009). Briefly, crosslinking was performed using a Stratalinker (Stratagene) either in vivo in living cells or in vitro after the first step of complex enrichment. Complexes were first purified on IgG Sepharose followed by elution with TEV protease and immobilization on Nickel-NTA for RNA trimming, dephosphorylation, phosphorylation, and linker ligation steps. Reverse transcription and PCR were carried out after Proteinase K digestion. PCR products were either cloned and sequenced by the Sanger method or directly submitted for Solexa sequencing. The resulting sequences were analyzed by BLAST against a yeast genomic database.

**RNA Preparation and Quantitative PCR**

RNA was prepared from cells grown in depletion conditions as previously described (Bohnsack et al., 2008). Briefly, cells were harvested and lysed, and soluble material was loaded on 12 ml 10%–45% sucrose gradients and centrifuged for 16 hr at 23,500 rpm in a TST41.14 rotor. Fractions (500 μl) were collected, and RNA was extracted as described (Sambrook et al., 1989). cDNA was prepared for qPCR as described (Bohnsack et al., 2008). cDNA from fractions containing free (pool 1) or preribosome bound snoRNAs (pool 2) was pooled (as indicated in Figure 5) followed by quantitative RT-PCR analyzing all yeast snoRNAs. Data analysis was performed as described (Bohnsack et al., 2008). Five experiments were performed for Figure 4. The value showing the highest deviation from the median was omitted, and averages of the remaining four values are shown in Figure 4. The lines at values 2.1 and 0.48 in Figure 4 represent the 95% confidence cutoff calculated from the distribution of the logarithmic values for all 75 snoRNAs.

**Northern Blotting**

Northern transfer and hybridization were performed as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). Hybridization probes are shown in Table S1.

**Analysis of Solexa Data**

This was performed using Novoalign 2.04 as described previously (Graneman et al., 2009). Cluster generation used Cluster 3.0 and Java Treeview.

**SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

Supplemental Data include four figures, two tables, and supplemental sequence alignments and can be found with this article online at http://www.cell.com/molecular-cell/supplemental/S1097-2765(09)00699-6.
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