Reduced prefrontal gyrification in obsessive–compulsive disorder
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Abstract Structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies reveal evidence for brain abnormalities in obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD), for instance, reduction of gray matter volume in the prefrontal cortex. Disturbances of gyrification in the prefrontal cortex have been described several times in schizophrenia pointing to a neurodevelopmental etiology, while gyrification has not been studied so far in OCD patients. In 26 OCD patients and 38 healthy control subjects MR-imaging was performed. Prefrontal cortical folding (gyrification) was measured bilaterally by an automated version of the automated-gyrification index (A-GI), a ratio reflecting the extent of folding, from the slice containing the inner genu of the corpus callosum up to the frontal pole. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA, independent factor diagnosis, covariates age, duration of education) demonstrated that compared with control subjects, patients with OCD displayed a significantly reduced A-GI in the left hemisphere ($p = 0.021$) and a trend for a decreased A-GI in the right hemisphere ($p = 0.076$). Significant correlations between prefrontal lobe volume and A-GI were only observed in controls, but not in OCD patients. In conclusion, prefrontal hypogyria in OCD patients may be a structural correlate of the impairment in executive function of this patient group and may point to a neurodevelopmental origin of this disease.
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Introduction

About 1–3% of the worlds’ population suffer from obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) [4, 20], which causes a high individual and socioeconomic burden [21]. Clinically, OCD is characterized by intrusive unwanted thoughts, ideas, or images that are distressing (obsessions) and urges to perform ritualistic behaviors or mental acts (compulsions) to reduce this distress. Although symptoms tend to wax and wane through the course of the disorder, OCD symptoms rarely remit spontaneously.

Brain imaging techniques suggest that specific neuronal circuits are responsible for the onset and mediation of OCD symptoms [38]. Several structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies revealed evidence for the presence of brain abnormalities in OCD, mainly observing reduced volumes in prefrontal, cingulate, thalamic, and temporo-limbic regions [e.g. 30, 40]. In a meta-analysis of brain volume changes in obsessive–compulsive disorder no volumetric differences were found for the whole brain, intracranial region, gray matter, or prefrontal cortex, but OCD patients did show a reduced volume of the left anterior cingulate cortex and the left and right orbitofrontal...
cortex compared with control subjects [34]. Functional imaging studies reported metabolic and functional abnormalities in nearly the same brain regions, predominantly involving not only the orbitofrontal and anterior cingulate cortices, basal ganglia, and thalamus [e.g. 24, 26, 39, 41], but also lateral prefrontal cortices [16]. With regard to these findings, a dysfunction of particularly prefrontal–striatalt–thalamic–circuitry has been implicated in the pathophysiology of OCD [41].

In schizophrenia as well as in OCD, changes in shape complexity measured by fractal dimension of cerebral cortical surface were observed when comparing to healthy individuals [12]. Both conditions are associated with an increased heritability, and both disorders are assumed of at least in part neurodevelopmental origin [33, 45]. Disturbances of cortical folding are thought to be related to abnormal cerebral development, particularly to anomalies of cortico-cortical [42] and possibly subcortico-cortical connectivity [31]. Cortical folding of the human brain can be determined using the gyrification index (GI), which is a ratio of the inner and the outer contours of the brain [48]. The GI increases proportionally to the number of gyri [13]. During prenatal brain development the GI increases approximately up to the age of one, declines to a stable adult value, and seems to be independent of age-associated atrophy [2].

In schizophrenia, abnormalities of cortical folding compared with healthy controls, especially of frontal and temporal regions, have been demonstrated in several studies through MR imaging and post mortem [13, 43, 44]. In a family study, frontal hypergyrification was confirmed in family members suffering from schizophrenia and in unaffected first-degree relatives compared with healthy control subjects [8] and in high-risk individuals for the development of schizophrenia increased right prefrontal lobe GI served as a predictor for the subsequent progression into schizophrenic disease [14].

While there is enough evidence for disturbed function and abnormal structure, especially of prefrontal cortex in OCD, to our knowledge, no studies were carried out to examine cortical folding as a potential biological marker for the latter disease. In the present study, we used an automated, well-validated method for determining the automated-gyrification index (A-GI) for the comparison of prefrontal cortical folding in OCD patients versus healthy control subjects [27].

Methods

Subjects

Participants of the study were recruited from the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Saarland University, between 2003 and 2007. Diagnostically, the sample consisted of 26 patients with OCD (DSM-IV criteria) and 38 healthy control subjects. Subjects suffering from dementia, neurological illnesses, severe brain injuries, or brain tumors at the time of examination were excluded from the sample. Subjects having a first-degree relative with schizophrenia spectrum disorder were also excluded. Demographic details by diagnostic group are given in Table 1.

After a complete description of the study, written informed consent was obtained from each patient. The local ethics committee approved the protocol, which is in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Diagnostic procedure

The following standardized examinations were performed on each subject: a detailed biographic interview and a consensus diagnosis based on SCID I and II interviews of two independent psychiatrists. The status of healthy controls was confirmed using SCID I and II. For the OCD patients, duration of illness, age of onset, depressive symptoms with the Beck depression inventory (BDI) [5], and severity of OCD symptoms using the Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS) [10] were assessed.

Neuropsychological assessment

To assess cognitive performance of frontal lobe function, we applied a small test battery focusing on executive function. For the detection of deficits in concept formation, cognitive flexibility, and executive functions, the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST [15]), and the Tower of London test (TOL; [37]) were used. Additionally, a test tapping the controlled integration of information from different sensory channels, that is, intermodal comparison (IC, “Intermodaler Vergleich”, subtest of the Attention Test Battery, TAP, Zimmermann, Fimm/PSYTEST) was conducted. For assessment of psychomotor performance and speed, we used the “Zahlenverbindungstest” (ZVT; [28]), a test similar to the trail-making test (TMT, part A).

MRI acquisition

MRI scanning was performed on a 1.5 T Siemens-Magnetom using a T1-weighted MPRAGE sequence with 176 consecutive slices of 1 mm thickness and a pixel size of 1 × 1 mm². Prior to analysis, scans were realigned so that the coronal plane was orthogonal to the AC–PC line.

Details on image preprocessing operations were described in previous work [27]. Briefly summarized, the SPM package (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) [3] was used to
Table 1  Sociodemographic characteristics, prefrontal lobe volumes and GI in diagnostic groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OCD</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at MRI (years)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34.73</td>
<td>9.86</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13.76</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (years)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.45</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (years)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.75</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BDI total score</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.04</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS total score</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS (obsession subscore)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.05</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-BOCS (compulsion subscore)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZVT (T score)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>46.80</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>51.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOL (total score)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>96.38</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>102.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCST perseverative responses (%)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>57.94</td>
<td>13.74</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP IC (mean, ms)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>483.9</td>
<td>87.39</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>441.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP IC (right-false positive)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.20</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI prefrontal right</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.148</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI prefrontal left</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.074</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetry coefficient GI</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right relative PFL volume</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left relative PFL volume</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative prefrontal lobe volume was calculated as the quotient of prefrontal lobe volume and whole brain volume

GI gyrification index, OCD obsessive-compulsive disorder, MRI magnetic resonance imaging, BDI Beck depression inventory; Y-BOCS Yale Obsessive Compulsive Scale; ZVT "Zahlenverbindungstest" (Trail Making Test), TOL Tower of London Test, WCST Wisconsin Card Sorting Test, TAP IC "Test zur Aufmerksamkeitsprüfung" (Attention battery, intermodal comparison), ms milliseconds, PFL prefrontal lobe, n number of subjects, m mean, SD standard deviation; F F-statistic; df degrees of freedom, p probability

a One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)

b $\chi^2$-test
perform preprocessing functions on images used in this study. The T1 candidate scans were segmented in native space using the SPM segment function, after which the SPM brain extraction function recovered a tissue mask for each scan. These masks were combined with the native T1s to give each brain a T1 tissue image with nonbrain tissue and CSF removed. The SPM coregistration function provided a mapping of the extracted brains into the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space to obtain AC–PC registration while maintaining the native space volumes. This mapping into the MNI space without size or shape adjustment was applied to the original T1, the brain tissue mask, and the three native space segments. These AC–PC registered images were then resliced to 1 × 1 × 1 cm³ voxel size, and they formed the base data structure, upon which automated-gyrification index (A-GI) was implemented. Volumes of total gray matter (GM), total white matter (WM), and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) were obtained as per SPM voxel-based morphometry (VBM) protocols using a MATLAB algorithm [3]. Volumes of the prefrontal lobes were obtained using an automated parcellation procedure implemented in the A-GI software [6, 32], and relative prefrontal volumes were calculated by dividing the absolute prefrontal lobe volumes through whole brain volume (sum of GM, WM and CSF).

Automated-gyrification index (A-GI) processing

A full description of the A-GI process was given elsewhere [6, 27]. Briefly, the process can be divided into two procedures, the prefrontal lobe detection and the A-GI extraction. In the first procedure, an automated parcellation procedure is used to locate the left and right prefrontal lobes. In the second automated procedure, the inner and outer contours are traced. In A-GI, the inner trace is a composite of the exposed surface and closed sulci tracings. The outer contour overlaps the inner contour exposed surface traces, except where open sulci occur near the cortical surface. Once the outer and inner contours have been traced, the GI values are calculated for each slice and exported to a tab-delimited text file format. Measurements were taken on every 1 mm prefrontal slice, approximating 40 slices per brain. The GI values for each slice within the region were summed and then divided by the number of slices, producing a mean GI value for each individual. In Fig. 1a and b, the outer and inner A-GI contour of one prefrontal slice is illustrated.

Statistical analysis

The A-GI tool is deterministic and its repeated application to the same scan will generate an intraclass correlation co-efficient (ICC) value of 1.00. In a same-subject rescan repeatability test, it was demonstrated that the A-GI tool obtained an ICC of 0.99, reflecting a low susceptibility to scanner noise [27]. Equivalent discriminatory power and repeated findings were also demonstrated in the comparison between manual and A-GI [27].

All statistical testing was conducted with SPSS for Windows 14 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All tests were two-tailed. The level of significance was set at α = 0.05. Dependent variables were right and left A-GI, and their asymmetry coefficient (2 × (A-GIright − A-GIleft)/(A-GIright + A-GIleft)), and in an additional analysis relative prefrontal lobe volume. Independent variable was diagnosis (OCD patients, healthy controls). For dependent variables, the normality assumption was inspected with the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test.
One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to analyze if there were significant age or education differences between the two diagnostic groups. Chi-square test on independence was used to analyze if the distribution of gender and hand preference was different between the groups. Pearson’s product-moment correlations between dependent variables and age as well as duration of education were calculated for both diagnostic groups. For the control sample, dependent variables were inspected on gender and hand preference differences with one way ANOVA.

These preliminary statistics determined the main analysis. Since age and education correlated significantly with A-GI values, analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with independent factor diagnosis and covariates age and duration of education was performed.

To investigate the influence of clinical variables, partial correlations adjusted for age and duration of education between A-GI and duration of illness, age of onset of the disease, Y-BOCS, and BDI were computed. To determine the influence of brain volume on A-GI, partial correlations (PC) adjusted for age between relative prefrontal lobe volume and corresponding A-GI were calculated.

Results

Sociodemographic, clinical, and neurocognitive parameters

The patients suffering from OCD were significantly less educated than the healthy control subjects; there were no significant differences between the groups with regard to gender, age, and handedness. The cognitive performance of OCD patients compared with control subjects focusing on executive functions was significantly reduced in the WCST (perseverative responses; \( p = 0.018 \)) and TOL (total score; \( p = 0.019 \)). In addition, OCD patients showed a prolonged reaction time in the intermodal comparison subtest of the TAP at a trend level. Detailed sociodemographic, clinical, and neuropsychological data as well as GI measurements of prefrontal lobe are presented in Table 1.

Gyrification index (GI)

For all dependent variables there were no significant deviations from the normality assumption. There was a significant negative correlation between age and A-GI on both sides and in both diagnostic groups (\( p < 0.05 \)) (for details see Fig. 2). In addition, left and right A-GI were significantly positive correlated with duration of education in the total group of subjects (\( r = 0.34, p = 0.01 \) for right A-GI and \( r = 0.36, p < 0.001 \) for left A-GI) and in the subgroup of control subjects (\( r = 0.36, p = 0.03 \); both sides), but not in OCD patients.

Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; factor diagnosis, intervening variables age and duration of education) demonstrated that compared with control subjects, patients with OCD displayed a significantly reduced A-GI on the left side (\( df = 1, 58; F = 5.65; p = 0.021 \)) and a trend for a decreased A-GI on the right side (\( df = 1, 58; F = 3.26; p = 0.076 \)). No significant difference was found with regard to the asymmetry coefficient of the GI (for details see Table 2).

There were no significant differences in GI between males and females in our study sample. However, to rule out a significant influence of gender on dependent variables, we calculated an additional ANOVA (diagnostic group \( \times \) gender) showing no significant effect of gender.

There were no significant correlations between A-GI values and duration of illness, age of onset of the disease, Y-BOCS (total score), or BDI (total score). In addition, we
Toms (comparing OCD patients displaying only moderate symptoms (left GI only when comparing OCD patients with severe these two subgroups, we observed a significant difference =\text{df}
\begin{align*}
\text{groups (left: } \text{df} & = 1, 61, F = 2.89, p = 0.094; \text{ right: } \text{df} = 1, 61, F = 2.89, p = 0.26) \text{ (see Table 2).}
\end{align*}

Clinical variables (age of onset, duration of illness, BDI, Y-BOCS) did not show a significant correlation with prefrontal lobe volume in OCD patients. However, we could demonstrate a significant positive correlation between prefrontal A-GI and corresponding relative prefrontal lobe volume in healthy controls (right: PC = 0.41; \text{df} = 35; p = 0.01; left: PC = 0.40; \text{df} = 35; p < 0.005), but not in OCD patients (right: PC = 0.17; p = n.s.; left: PC = 0.30; p = n.s.).

Influence of medication

Exact medication records were available for 20 OCD patients: eight receiving SSRIs, three receiving tricyclic antidepressants (TCA), and nine patients receiving no antidepressants. To rule out the influence of medication, we repeated our analysis including only the nine medication-naïve OCD patients. We found that this subgroup of unmedicated OCD patients displayed significant reduced prefrontal GI on both hemispheres compared with control subjects (GI right 3.7% reduction in OCD patients; 2.113 vs. 2.195; p = 0.003 and GI left 3.4% reduction in OCD patients; 2.056 vs. 2.129; p = .004). This underlines that medication could not be responsible for the GI difference between OCD patients and control subjects.

Discussion

In our study, OCD patients demonstrated significant left-sided hypogyrification and at a trend level right-sided reduced gyrification of prefrontal lobe compared with healthy control subjects. This difference occurred in the absence of significant prefrontal lobe volume differences. Interestingly, we found a significant correlation between relative prefrontal lobe volumes and gyrification (A-GI) in control subjects, but not in OCD patients. This may reflect that reduced cortical folding in the prefrontal lobe serves as an independent biological vulnerability marker for OCD. A not-significant association between volume and folding in OCD patients could strongly point towards disturbed neurodevelopment or brain maturation in OCD patients. Volumetric and functional abnormalities of prefrontal and temporo-limbic cortical regions in OCD were found in several imaging studies, but this is the first study to report on gyrification and cortical folding in OCD patients. In so far these results have to be replicated in further investigations with different samples to prove this finding as a stable marker of disturbances in late neurodevelopment in OCD patients. Interestingly, a recent volumetric MRI study showed that thalamic volumes were significantly negatively correlated with orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) volumes in OCD patients, but not in healthy subjects [35]. The authors suggested with additional support of a meta analysis that structural alterations of both the thalamus and the OFC are inversely and specifically related in OCD. This fits

Table 2: Gyrification and prefrontal lobe volume in OCD versus control subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI right\text{a}</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI left\text{a}</td>
<td>&lt;0.0005</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI asymmetry coefficient\text{b}</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right relative PFL volume\text{c}</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left relative PFL volume\text{c}</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\text{GI} \text{ gyrification index, OCD obsessive–compulsive disorder, PFL prefrontal lobe, } F \text{ } F\text{-statistic, } \text{df} \text{ degrees of freedom, } p \text{ probability}

\text{\text{a} Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; factor diagnosis, intervening variables: age, duration of education)}

\text{\text{b} Only right-handed subjects; analysis of variance (ANOVA; diagnosis, no intervening variables)}

\text{\text{c} Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA; factor diagnosis, intervening variable: age)}
to the results of our study that not only volume alterations of one brain area but also the relationship between connecting brain regions (e.g. thalamus and OFC) or mismatches between structural parameters (e.g. prefrontal lobe volume and GI) may contribute to the neurobiological vulnerability for OCD.

While the meaning of GI is not completely understood, it seems to be obvious that cortical folding serves as a marker of late ontogenetic brain development. Axonal tracts begin to develop before the onset of cortical folding [32] and lesions of tracts effect different gyral patterns [31] suggesting the importance of axonal connectivity to cortical folding. This assumption is in line with the tension-based theory of morphogenesis [42]. According to this model, differences in mechanical tension along axons connecting different cortical regions effect cortical folding. The gyrifold pattern specific to humans is largely complete by birth and displays smooth and continuous development. Gyri and sulci appear in a specific order: the least variable “primary” gyri are first to appear, followed by the moderately variable “secondary” gyri, and then finally, the most variable “tertiary” gyri develop [2]. In humans, the sulco-gyral development continues until early adulthood. Although maximal GI values are reached in infancy, a process of “compensation” allows for continued growth to be accommodated by compensatory tertiary folding which maintains GI levels [2].

However, focal hypo- or hyper-gyria can be a consequence of disturbed fetal blood supply, intrauterine infection, or prenatal toxic events in human subjects as well. Animal experiments have demonstrated wide ranging misconnections in the neighborhood of focal disturbances of gyriation [9]. Disturbed gyriation, as now detected in OCD and as previously described in schizophrenia, seems to be associated with an abnormal development of cortical connectivity.

Structural MRI investigations revealed evidence for a reduction of orbitofrontal volume in OCD patients compared with healthy controls, strongly indicating the involvement of the prefrontal cortex in the pathogenesis of OCD [19]. In our study, OCD patients demonstrated tendentially but not significantly smaller relative prefrontal lobe volumes on the left side compared with healthy controls; nevertheless, prefrontal A-GI differed significantly on the left side and at a trend level on the right side. Interestingly, we found a significant correlation between relative prefrontal lobe volumes and A-GI only in control subjects, but not in OCD patients. This implies the possible implications discussed earlier. It could mean that reduced cortical folding in the prefrontal lobe is a biological vulnerability marker independent from reduced prefrontal lobe volume in OCD patients. Alternatively or additionally, the not-significant association of volume and folding in OCD patients could support strongly the hypothesis of a disturbed neurodevelopment or brain maturation in OCD.

Functional imaging studies [23] demonstrate abnormalities of glucose metabolism in the prefrontal cortex in OCD patients, which normalize after the successful treatment of symptoms [18]. This finding is another hint of the clinical relevance of the prefrontal cortex for the pathogenesis of OCD.

In one recent study, relatives of OCD cases from the community had a 8.5-fold increase in the prevalence of definite OCD, and relatives from OCD cases from outpatient clinics had a 4.9-fold increase in the prevalence of definite OCD compared with relatives from control cases [11]. Older twin studies on OCD found concordance rates in monozygotic versus dizygotic twins of 80 versus 20% [17] and 87 versus 47% [7], altogether suggesting that there is a high genetic vulnerability. A family study investigating GI in affected and unaffected first degree relatives of schizophrenia patients showed focal hypergyrification also in unaffected family members supporting a genetic liability of GI [8].

Our findings of a significant negative correlation between prefrontal GI and age differ from the results of other investigations showing that the GI is age-independent [2], especially when we found the correlation in both, OCD patients and healthy control subjects. It has been previously reported that GI is a stable measure, fixed in early development and therefore relatively independent of age [48]. It is theoretically possible that medications taken by OCD patients may have affected these results, but then the effect of drugs would not be seen in healthy controls, as it could be demonstrated in our study. In addition, other studies have also identified age-related changes in GI [6, 36]. This suggests that GI may not be as stable a measure as previously thought to be and raises the possibility that, while the degree of cortical folding may relate to early developmental factors, GI itself is vulnerable to other factors such as age-related changes in the shape of gyri and the depth of sulci [22]. It was reported that sulcal depth reduces with age, making the curvature at the crown of the gyri more gradual [25]. These effects give rise to a shortening of the inner contour and hence lower GI values. In turn, this raises the possibility that the differences in GI which we report may result from a process occurring after the early developmental period. Furthermore, GI did correlate significantly with education in the control subjects, a result that could not be found in previous studies of our research group. In this sample, we did not repeat the measurement with A-GI by manual tracing and calculating the manual measured GI (M-GI) used in the schizophrenia studies, but we compared both methods in a sample of twins. We found
that although measured A-GI is not numerically identical with the M-GI (A-GI is lower), the observed differences between the diagnostic groups were similar [46].

There is an increased prevalence of comorbid OCD in patients with schizophrenia, reaching from 0.5 to 59.2%, and of obsessive–compulsive symptoms (OCS) in schizophrenia from 3.5 to 46%, depending on the specific sample [29]. There is a controversial discussion going on if this increased prevalence reflects a true comorbidity or a diagnostic subcategory of schizophrenia. There are some hints that schizophrenic patients with OCS showed a different extent of volume reductions compared with schizophrenic patients without OCS. In this subgroup, the volume reductions were more pronounced in, e.g., left hippocampus and frontal lobes [1]. In addition, in some studies schizophrenic patients with OCS demonstrated more neurological soft signs, motor symptoms including catatonia, and extrapyramidal symptoms [29]. Until today, there are no studies available measuring GI in schizophrenia patients with OCS. Nevertheless, it can be speculated that these patients may show a more pronounced reduced GI compared with schizophrenia patients without OCS.

Some limitations of this study should be borne in mind when interpreting the results. First, although the number of subjects is still relatively large in comparison to other imaging studies, the results are still in need of replication. Second, we did not find a correlation of reduced gyrification in OCD patients to clinical variables; therefore, the clinical impact of our finding beyond that of increased vulnerability for the development of OCD still remains unclear. Third, we did not assess depressive (BDI) and OCD (Y-BOCS) symptoms in the healthy control group for analysing a possible relationship between minor degree symptomatology and GI in healthy people. At least, we did not correct for multiple testing, which limits our results. In our small sample, we focused primarily on our hypothesis that GI is different between OCD patients and controls. All other analyses were secondary analyses, not causally linked to our primary outcome. Adjustment for multiple testing would lead to nonsignificant results in nearly all of our primary and secondary outcome parameters.

While structural MRI studies revealed evidence for brain abnormalities in OCD, gyrification has not been studied so far in OCD patients. Here, for the first time, we have found evidence of reduced prefrontal gyrification in OCD. Our findings support and extend other studies reporting structural and functional brain abnormalities of prefrontal cortex in OCD and provide further evidence that OCD is associated with neurodevelopmental processes affecting prefrontal cortex and its associated executive functions.
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