A Molecular Switch for Photoperiod Responsiveness in Mammals

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1016/j.cub.2010.10.048

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
Current Biology

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
A Molecular Switch for Photoperiod Responsiveness in Mammals

Hughes Dardente,1,* Cathy A. Wyse,1 Mike J. Birnie,1 Sandrine M. Dupré,2 Andrew S.I. Loudon,2 Gerald A. Lincoln,3 and David G. Hazlerigg1,*
1Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Zoology Building, Tillydrone Avenue, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK
2Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK
3Queen’s Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, UK

Summary

Seasonal synchronization based on day length (photoperiod) allows organisms to anticipate environmental change. Photoperiodic decoding relies on circadian clocks, but the underlying molecular pathways have remained elusive [1]. In mammals and birds, photoperiodic responses depend crucially on expression of thyrotrophin β subunit RNA (TSHβ) in the pars tuberalis (PT) of the pituitary gland [2–4]. Now, using our well-characterized Soay sheep model [2], we describe a molecular switch governing TSHβ transcription through the circadian clock. Central to this is a conserved D element in the TSHβ promoter, controlled by the circadian transcription factor thyrotroph embryonic factor (Tef). In the PT, long-day exposure rapidly induces expression of the coactivator eyes absent 3 (Eya3), which synergizes with Tef to maximize TSHβ transcription. The pineal hormone melatonin, secreted nocturnally, sets the phase of rhythmic Eya3 expression in the PT to peak 12 hr after nightfall. Additionally, nocturnal melatonin levels directly suppress Eya3 expression. Together, these effects form a switch triggering a strong morning peak of Eya3 expression under long days. Species variability in the TSHβ D element influences sensitivity to TEF, reflecting species variability in photoperiodic responsiveness. Our findings define a molecular pathway linking the circadian clock to the evolution of seasonal timing in mammals.

Results and Discussion

Circadian and Photoperiodic Influences on Pars Tuberalis TSHβ Expression

Recently it has become clear that the pars tuberalis (PT) region of the anterior pituitary gland is a master controller of seasonal biology in mammals and birds [5]. Cells in the PT produce thyroid-stimulating hormone (TSH, also known as thyrotrophin) at levels that are increased by exposure to long days and suppressed by short days [2–4]. This photoperiodic control is mediated through changes in TSH β subunit RNA (TSHβ) expression. PT-derived TSH acts by a retrograde mechanism on TSH receptor-expressing cells in the neighboring basal hypothalamus, which are the principal sites of type 2 thyroid hormone deiodinase (Dio2) expression in the hypothalamus [6]. This enzyme is a gatekeeper for the effects of thyroid hormone in the hypothalamus, controlling the availability of the active form of thyroid hormone, triiodothyronine, and dictating the expression of summer phenotypes [7, 8].

A unique feature of mammalian seasonal biology [2–5] is that these effects of day length on PT function depend on the pineal hormone melatonin, which acts via a high density of melatonin receptors localized in the PT [9, 10]. Melatonin is a circadian signal, with a nocturnal waveform proportional to the length of the night, and consequently, in the PT, melatonin controls the rhythmic expression of multiple transcription factors implicated in circadian function [11–15]. Hence, we hypothesized that photoperiodic effects on TSHβ expression in the PT are initiated through melatonin’s effects on circadian transcription factors in this tissue.

Circadian gene expression depends on three main classes of canonical circadian response element: E boxes (CANNTG), D elements (RTTAYGTAAY), and retinoid-related response elements (ROREs; WAWTRGGTCA), each of which are regulated by cognate transcription factors [16]. Examination of the TSHβ promoter revealed a highly conserved D element located a short distance from the transcription start site (Figure 1A; see also Figure S1A available online), potentially sensitive to the PAR-bZIP family members thyrotroph embryonic factor (TEF), D element-binding protein (DBP), and hepatic leukemia factor (HLF), which are considered important outputs of the circadian clock [17]. In luciferase reporter assays, using ~3 kb of the sheep TSHβ promoter (TSHβ-luc), we observed transcriptional sensitivity to each of these factors (Figure 1B), and consistent with the original assignment of TEF as a transactivator of the TSHβ promoter [18], the order of potency of these effects was TEF > HLF > DBP. Moreover, in electrophoretic mobility shift assays, TEF bound to a 35 bp oligonucleotide centered on the TSHβ D box more strongly than either HLF or DBP (Figure 1C). Although several E boxes are also present in the proximal 3 kb of the TSHβ promoter region, these are poorly conserved between species and do not respond to the core circadian transcription factors CLOCK and BMAL1 in the sheep (Figure S1B). No consensus ROCRE could be found.

We next considered whether Tef gene expression is photoperiodically regulated in the PT and, if so, whether these changes precede photoperiodic induction of TSHβ expression. We used a long-day induction protocol developed previously to study seasonal neuroendocrine changes in Soay sheep [19]. This involves acclimating sheep to short photoperiod (SP, 8 hr light/16 hr dark) and then switching them to long photoperiod (LP, 16 hr light/8 hr dark) by delaying lights off by 8 hr (Figure 1D). This acutely shortens the melatonin signal, delaying its evening rise by 8 hr [19]. Stimulation of increased TSHβ immunoreactivity in the PT, hypothalamic Dio2 expression, and plasma prolactin levels ensues during the subsequent 15 days (Figures S1C–S1E). The expression of TSHβ RNA increases rapidly (p < 0.001 by two-way analysis of variance [ANOVA]), so that levels across the 24 hr cycle (area-under-the-curve estimate) are some 2.5-fold above baseline by the third day of LP (LP3), and nearly 6-fold increased by
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Figure 1. Pars Tuberalis Expression of TSHβ is Regulated by TEF and Photoperiod
(A) The TSHβ promoter harbors conserved D and MEF3 elements; base substitutions used for loss-of-function mutation of the D element (mut D box) are shown.
(B) Luciferase assay performed in COS7 cells demonstrating the transactivating effects of expression vectors for DBP, HLF, and TEF or an empty vector (ev) on a TSHβ promoter-reporter construct (TSHβ-luc).
(C) Electrophoretic mobility shift assays demonstrating binding of TEF, and to a lesser extent HLF, to a 35 bp oligo centered on the TSHβ D element. Nuclear extracts from COS7 cells transfected with an empty vector (ev) or Myc-tagged TEF, HLF, or DBP were incubated with 32P-labeled oligo probe (lanes 1, 2, 6, and 10), probe plus excess of unlabeled mut D box oligo (lanes 3, 7, and 11), probe plus excess of unlabeled wild-type oligo (lanes 4, 8, and 12), or probe plus anti-Myc antibody (lanes 5, 9, and 13). The lower and upper arrowheads indicate shifted and supershifted complexes, respectively.
(D) Photoperiodic induction of TSHβ and PAR-bZIP factor gene expression in the pars tuberalis (PT). Soay sheep acclimated to 8 hr light per day were transplanted at LP3 and LP15 (Figure 1D). Over the same period, no significant increase in the expression of Tef, Dbp, or Hlf was observed, although the phase of peak expression of all of these genes was delayed by approximately 8 hr (p < 0.01 by two-way ANOVA for photoperiod x zeitgeber time [ZT] interaction in all cases). This effect was also seen across a wider selection of genes associated with circadian function (Figure S1F), reflecting the importance of the timing of melatonin onset for the phasing of rhythmical gene expression in the PT [12, 13, 19].

Eya3 Is a Photoperiodically Induced Coactivator for TEF-Induced TSHβ Transcription
The absence of a photoperiodic effect on the amplitude of Tef expression in the PT suggested to us that additional factors interact with TEF to control TSHβ expression in this tissue. Two recent studies highlight the transcriptional coactivator Eya3 (Eya3) as being strongly induced by increasing photoperiod in the PT [4, 20]. Eya3 is of particular interest because it is a member of a developmental regulatory network including the Pax and sine oculis (Six) gene families believed to be critical for the formation of structures including the eyes and pineal and pituitary glands [21–23]. Intriguingly, in the PT of the Japanese quail, expression of Eya3 rises in parallel with that of TSHβ following exposure to long days [4], hinting at a role early in the photoperiodic induction process.

We therefore compared the daily profiles of Eya3 and TSHβ expression in the sheep PT under SP and at LP3 and LP15 (Figure 2A). Under all three conditions, Eya3 showed a transient peak in expression approximately 12 hr following dark onset, with an amplitude that increased 3-fold by LP15 (p < 0.001 by two-way ANOVA for photoperiod x ZT interaction). Under LP, peak Eya3 expression preceded that for TSHβ by some 4 hr, supporting the concept that EYA3 may be involved in transcriptional activation of TSHβ.

EYA3 is thought to act by dimerizing with SIX-family proteins, forming a transcriptional coactivator complex [21–23]. We therefore analyzed tissue expression patterns for the sheep orthologs of various Six family members by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction and in situ hybridization (Figures S2A and S2B). Whereas Six1 had a highly PT-enriched pattern of expression, other members were ubiquitously expressed (Six4), enriched in neighboring hypothalamic sites (Six6), or undetectable (Six2). Although Six1 expression in the PT was not rhythmic (Figure S2B), it increased slightly with LP exposure, so that mean levels were some 50% higher at LP15 than in SP controls (p < 0.05).
Returning to the TSHβ promoter, we identified a putative MEF3 site, predicted to mediate EYA3/SIX1 actions [24, 25], downstream of the D element (see Figure 1A; Figure S1A); this is also conserved across mammals. In further reporter assays, SIX1 had a mild potentiating effect on the actions of TEF, with the TSHβ-luc response enhanced 2.5-fold. Strikingly, cotransfection of EYA3 (25–100 ng) with SIX1 and TEF (25 ng each) caused a dramatic synergistic enhancement of reporter activity, increasing the response by an order of magnitude compared to that seen for TEF alone (Figure 2B). This effect of EYA3 appeared to be independent of its reported tyrosine phosphatase activity, because a phosphatase-dead EYA3 (pdEYA3) in which a single point mutation disrupts the catalytic domain (D263A; see [25]) showed a potency similar to wild-type (WT) EYA3 for potentiating TEF/SIX1 action (Figure 2C). No independent effects of EYA3 or SIX1 on TSHβ-luc activity were observed (Figure S2C).

Mutations of the putative MEF3 site present in the TSHβ promoter had a negligible effect on the combined TEF/SIX1 response (Figure S2D), supporting the concept that EYA3 and SIX1 act as coactivators of TEF rather than as an independently transcriptionally active heterodimer. In line with this, we found that mutating the D element to destroy the palindromic half-site organization suppressed both the direct effects of TEF and the potentiating effects of SIX1 (Figure 2D), suggesting that this element is crucial for photoperiodic regulation of TSHβ expression.

Circadian and Melatonin-Dependent Control of Eya3 Transcription

We next considered the mechanism whereby Eya3 expression is increased by the transfer to LP. Exploration of the Eya3 promoter demonstrated that it is controlled through three conserved E boxes sensitive to CLOCK and BMAL1 and through a conserved D element sensitive to TEF and SIX/EYA3, all located with 500 bp of the transcription start site (Figures 3A–3C; Figure S2E). This promoter organization accounts for the rhythmical expression of Eya3, which, like other rhythmic genes in the PT, is controlled by melatonin [14]. Melatonin onset at lights off is the major phase-resetting signal in this tissue, so that long days generate waves of gene expression in the PT peaking later relative to dawn than do short days [14].

We have shown previously that, in addition to setting the phase of gene expression rhythms in the PT, melatonin directly suppresses the expression of a range of E box-controlled genes [15]. Similarly, melatonin implants given to sheep acclimated to LP and then exposed to constant light suppress Eya3 expression (Figure 3D). These effects probably stem from melatonin’s suppression of cAMP signaling, because derepression of cAMP-dependent pathways following melatonin withdrawal at dawn is critical for the morning peak of Per1 expression seen in the PT [13]. We are currently investigating possible cAMP-dependent regulation of the Eya3 promoter.

These two classes of effect of melatonin on Eya3 expression, phase synchronization and direct suppression, lead to a molecular model linking the circadian system to the photoperiodic response (Figure 4). The model postulates that the phase of Eya3 expression in the PT is critical for determining whether a strong peak does or does not occur. Independent of day length, Eya3 peaks some 12 hr after dark and melatonin onset. This means that under short days, the peak occurs during the night, while the melatonin level is high and exerting a suppressive effect, and so the peak is small. Contrastingly, under long days, the Eya3 peak occurs the following morning when the melatonin level is minimal, and so the peak is large. This classic “external coincidence timer” mechanism, in which a circadian oscillation interacts with a light-dependent stimulus [1], limits EYA3/TEF synergism to long days, controlling the onset of a summer phenotype.

In addition to this primarily circadian photoperiodic induction process, we suggest that the further amplification of the Eya3 peak, seen between LP3 and LP10, may also be due to amplification through transcriptional autoregulation at the Eya3 promoter via TEF/EYA3/SIX1 effects through the D element (see Figure 3C). The Tef gene also includes a promoter sensitive to E boxes and D elements in combination (Figure S3), and recent work has identified Tef-driven, D element-mediated effects as crucial for light entrainment of the E box-driven period gene rhythmicity at the core of the zebrafish circadian
the D element motifs from the marmoset and the domestic pig: species that are not overtly photoperiodic, because of their natural ecology or artificial selection during domestication, respectively [27–29]. This contrasts with the case of the rhesus macaque, an example of a seasonally photoperiodic primate [30], carrying a mouse-like TSHβ D element. Hence, along with variability in melatonin signal production and TSH receptor signaling [31], TSHβ promoter organization is a likely contributor to photoperiodic sensitivity. An intermediate level of response was seen for the human-like form, suggestive of attenuated photoperiodic sensitivity through the TEF-dependent pathway. In this regard, it is noteworthy that the seasonal melatonin response pathway has been implicated in seasonal affective disorder [28], and it will be of interest to explore genetic variability in the human TSHβ promoter locus.

**Variation in TSHβ D Element Function Is Correlated with Photoperiodic Sensitivity**

Our observations implicate the proximal D element in the TSHβ promoter as a convergence point in the transcriptional network controlling the photoperiodic response. Across mammals, there is pronounced variability in the strength of photoperiodic influences on seasonal physiology [27]. We therefore explored the possibility that species variation in photoperiodic sensitivity might be due to sequence variability in the TSHβ D element (see Figure S1). Like sheep, melatonin-proficient strains of mice show photoperiodic TSHβ expression [3] and a G→A substitution at position 5 of the core D element (Figure 5); variability at positions 3 and 4 is seen among primates and in pigs, among which the expression of seasonal photoperiodism varies considerably. We therefore mutated the sheep TSHβ-luc reporter to contain human-, marmoset-, pig-, or mouse-like D elements and assessed sensitivity to TEF activation (Figure 5). Remarkably, this produced a high degree of variability in TEF response, with the maximal effect being seen with the mouse-like form, which is a perfect eight-base palindrome, followed by the sheep-like variant. The weakest response occurred with clock [26]. Hence, E box/D element interactions are a recurrent feature of light-modulated circadian circuits.

Figure 4. Model for Photoperiodic Induction of Eya3 Expression in the Pars Tuberalis

See text for details.
Conclusion

In conclusion, we have defined a pathway linking day length to seasonal biology via a circadian, melatonin-dependent pathway. This pathway is the mammalian form of an ancestral photoperiodic timer, and we predict that a similar clock-controlled, Tef/Six1/Eya3-dependent mechanism will emerge as the conserved driver of seasonal change in birds and other vertebrate groups, even though the importance of melatonin for this process appears peculiarly mammalian [5]. Within the mammalian photoperiodic system, circadian properties are seen at multiple levels, notably the suprachiasmatic nucleus mammalian photoperiodic system, circadian properties are of clock-controlled genes lying upstream in the TSH-pathway. This pathway is the mammalian form of an ancestral photoperiodic timer, and we predict that a similar clock-controlled, Six1-dependent mechanism will emerge.
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