Edinburgh Research Explorer

Effects of Isosorbide Mononitrate and/or Cilostazol on Hematological Markers, Platelet Function, and Hemodynamics in Patients With Lacunar Ischaemic Stroke: Safety Data From the Lacunar Intervention-1 (LACI-1) Trial

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.3389/fneur.2019.00723

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
Frontiers in Neurology

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Effects of Isosorbide Mononitrate and/or Cilostazol on Hematological Markers, Platelet Function, and Hemodynamics in Patients With Lacunar Ischaemic Stroke: Safety Data From the Lacunar Intervention-1 (LACI-1) Trial

Jason P. Appleton, Gordon W. Blair, Katie Flaherty, Zhe Kang Law, Jane May, Lisa J. Woodhouse, Fergus Doubal, Nikola Sprigg, Philip M. Bath and Joanna M. Wardlaw

Background: Cilostazol and isosorbide mononitrate (ISMN) are candidate treatments for cerebral small vessel disease and lacunar ischaemic stroke. As both drugs may influence hemoglobin and platelet count, and hemodynamics, we sought to assess their effects in the lacunar intervention-1 (LACI-1) trial.

Methods: Fifty-seven lacunar ischaemic stroke patients were randomized to immediate ISMN, cilostazol, or their combination for 9 weeks in addition to guideline stroke prevention. A fourth group received both drugs with a delayed start. Full blood count, platelet function, peripheral blood pressure (BP), heart rate and central hemodynamics (Augmentation index, Buckberg index) were measured at baseline, and weeks 3 and 8. Differences were assessed by multiple linear regression adjusted for baseline and key prognostic variables. Registration ISRCTN 12580546.

Results: At week 8, platelet count was higher with cilostazol vs. no cilostazol (mean difference, MD 35.73, 95% confidence intervals, 95% CI 2.81–68.66, \( p = 0.033 \)), but no significant differences were noted for hemoglobin levels or platelet function. At week 8, BP did not differ between the treatment groups, whilst heart rate was higher in those taking cilostazol vs. no cilostazol (MD 6.42, 95% CI 1.17–11.68, \( p = 0.017 \)). Buckberg index (subendocardial perfusion) was lower in those randomized to cilostazol vs. no cilostazol and in those randomized to both drugs vs. either drug. Whilst ISMN significantly increased unadjusted augmentation index (arterial stiffness, MD 21.19, 95% CI 9.08–33.31, \( p = 0.001 \)), in isolation both drugs non-significantly reduced augmentation index adjusted for heart rate.
Conclusions: Cilostazol increased heart rate and platelet count, and reduced Buckberg index, whilst both drugs may individually reduce arterial stiffness adjusted for heart rate. Neither drug had clinically significant effects on hemoglobin or platelet function over 8 weeks. Further assessment of the safety and efficacy of these medications following lacunar ischaemic stroke is warranted.
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) is a common cause of stroke—both “lacunar” ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke—cognitive impairment and dementia (1). SVD is a disorder of the small perforating arterioles of the brain involving endothelial dysfunction, inflammation (2), and blood-brain barrier breakdown (3). However, there are no specific treatments for either primary or secondary prevention of SVD and its associated clinical manifestations (4). Two medications with potential beneficial mechanisms of action have been highlighted for potential repurposing: cilostazol (a phosphodiesterase 3′ inhibitor used in stroke prevention in the Asia-Pacific Region) and isosorbide mononitrate (ISMN, a nitric oxide donor widely used in ischaemic heart disease). Little is known about the safety and efficacy of these drugs in SVD, particularly in combination, and yet their effects may be synergistic (4). As a mild antiplatelet, cilostazol can cause bleeding sufficient to result in anemia. Further, cilostazol can rarely cause thrombocythaemia (5). Both drugs can lower peripheral blood pressure (BP) and increase heart rate (5, 6), but data regarding their effects on central hemodynamics following ischaemic lacunar stroke are lacking.

The Lacunar Intervention Trial-1 (LACI-1) tested cilostazol and ISMN, alone and in combination, for tolerability in patients with prior lacunar ischaemic stroke (7). Overall, the medications were well-tolerated by participants (8). As both drugs may influence platelet count and hemoglobin level in addition to hemodynamics, we sought to assess these important safety outcomes.

METHODS

Population
LACI-1 was a phase IIa, partial factorial, dose-escalation, prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint (PROBE) trial. Details regarding the trial protocol and statistical analysis plan are available (7), and the main results have been presented and published (8, 9). In summary, LACI-1 recruited 57 patients from stroke centers in Edinburgh and Nottingham, UK, with clinically confirmed lacunar ischaemic stroke, without dependency and able to consent themselves, and randomized them to one of four groups for 9 weeks: ISMN 25 mg twice daily; cilostazol 100 mg twice daily; both ISMN and cilostazol started immediately; or both medications with a 3-week delayed start, thus providing a drug-free control period (see Figure 1 in main paper) (8). Patients were contacted on alternate weeks to enquire about symptoms (headache, nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, and bleeding) using a structured questionnaire. All patients continued to take guideline secondary stroke prevention medications including statins and antihypertensive treatment where appropriate. Hematology and haemodynamic measures were assessed blind to treatment allocation.

All patients provided written informed consent. LACI-1 was approved by the Scotland A Research Ethics Committee (15/SS/0154) and registered (ISRCTN 12580546).

Hematology and Platelet Function Testing
Full blood counts were taken at baseline, and at weeks 3 and 8: hemoglobin (g/L); and platelet count (×10^9/L). Platelet function was assessed with measurement of surface expression of P-selectin (CD63P) using kits sensitive to aspirin (arachidonic acid) or clopidogrel (adenosine diphosphate) at the same timepoints (10). An unstimulated sample provided baseline expression data at each timepoint. Median fluorescence (MF) was recorded for platelet surface expression of P-selectin for each sample using flow cytometry. Platelet P-selectin expression was chosen since blood samples can be obtained and fixed at multiple clinical sites then transported for measurement at a central core laboratory.

Haemodynamic Measures
Hemodynamics were measured at baseline and at weeks 3 and 8. Peripheral blood pressure (BP, mmHg) and heart rate (bpm)
were measured three times sitting and three times standing at each visit using a validated monitor. Central hemodynamics were measured in duplicate using the SphygmoCor device at the same timepoints: mean arterial pressure (MAP); Augmentation index (%)—a measure of arterial stiffness; Buckberg index (%)—a measure of subendocardial perfusion; and pulse wave velocity (m/s)—a measure of arterial stiffness, assessed using non-invasive tonometry measurements taken at the radial and carotid arteries.

**Sample Size and Statistics**

The sample size calculation for LACI-1 was based on the ability to detect a difference of 90 vs. 55% (i.e., an absolute difference of 35%) between those reaching target dose on one drug vs. both drugs. For 80% power, significance 0.05, a sample size of 55 was needed.

Data are number (%), mean (standard deviation, SD), and median [interquartile quartiles, IQR]. Baseline differences between treatment groups were assessed using chi-square and Kruskal-Wallis tests. Differences in hemodynamics and hematological measures were assessed by multiple linear regression, adjusted for baseline values, age, SVD imaging score and time to randomization. Haemodynamic measures were assessed by multiple linear regression, adjusted for baseline values, age, SVD imaging score and time to randomization. Differences in hemodynamics and hematological measures were assessed by multiple linear regression, adjusted for baseline values, age, SVD imaging score and time to randomization. Haemodynamic measures were assessed by multiple linear regression, adjusted for baseline values, age, SVD imaging score and time to randomization.

**RESULTS**

In total, 57 patients were recruited at mean 203 [median 256] days after their index stroke with a mean age of 66 (11) years and 18 (32%) were female (Table 1). Most participants (97%) were on clopidogrel for stroke secondary prevention (guideline therapy in the UK), the remainder on aspirin. Apart from the cilostazol group being slightly older, baseline characteristics were well-balanced across the treatment groups. One participant in the delayed start group withdrew during follow-up.

**Hematology and Platelet Function Testing**

There were no differences in hemoglobin at week 8 between treatment groups (Table 2). Platelet count was slightly higher in those on cilostazol 286.8 ± 10^9/L vs. no cilostazol 249.1 ± 10^9/L (MD 35.73, 95% CI 2.81 to 68.66, p = 0.033). No differences were noted in platelet function between treatment groups in aspirin or clopidogrel tests (Figures 1, 2). There was no difference in bruising or bleeding with either medication in isolation or combination during the study.

**Hemodynamics**

Full haemodynamic data were available for 56 participants. Baseline peripheral BP and heart rate did not differ between treatment groups (Table 1). At week 8, BP did not significantly differ between the treatment groups, whilst heart rate was significantly higher in those taking cilostazol 82.8 bpm vs. no cilostazol 74.5 bpm (MD 6.42, 95% CI 1.17 to 11.68, p = 0.017, Table 3). Central MAP did not differ between treatment groups at week 8. Buckberg index (subendocardial perfusion) was reduced in those randomized to cilostazol 142.2% vs. no cilostazol 160.7% (MD −10.81, 95% CI −21.15 to −0.47, p = 0.040) and in those randomized to both drugs 148.9% vs. either drug 159.9% (MD −7.2, 95% CI −21.01 to −1.72, p = 0.021). Unadjusted Augmentation index was significantly higher (i.e., increased arterial stiffness) in those randomized to ISMN 123.8% vs. no ISMN 119.8% (MD 21.19, 95% CI 9.08 to 33.31, p = 0.001).
In contrast, when adjusted for heart rate there was a tendency toward lower Augmentation index (i.e., less arterial stiffness) in those randomized to cilostazol 18.7% vs. no cilostazol 20.6% (MD $-4.79$, 95% CI $-9.72$ to $0.15$, $p = 0.057$) and ISMN 16.1% vs. no ISMN 23.5% (MD $-4.74$, 95% CI $-9.78$ to $0.30$, $p = 0.066$). No differences in pulse wave velocity were seen.

**DISCUSSION**

In this secondary analysis of the LACI-1 trial—the first trial to assess cilostazol and/or ISMN in lacunar ischaemic stroke patients, and the first trial to assess both together in any ischaemic stroke—we have demonstrated that cilostazol and ISMN in isolation and in combination do not influence hemoglobin levels or platelet function in the short-term when given in addition to usual secondary stroke prevention therapy. Platelet count was significantly higher in those randomized to cilostazol. Cilostazol alone and both drugs in combination, reduced Buckberg index (subendocardial perfusion), whilst cilostazol increased heart rate. Both drugs in isolation may reduce arterial stiffness when adjusted for heart rate.

Due to its mild antiplatelet effects, cilostazol can uncommonly ($\geq 1/100$ to $<1/10$) cause bleeding sufficient to lead to anemia according to the summary of product characteristics (SmPC) (5). Although we have a small population, it is reassuring that hemoglobin was stable between treatment groups during the trial given that all participants were already on guideline antiplatelet therapy. The increase in platelet count seen with cilostazol at 8 weeks needs further investigation, especially since thrombocythaemia, is known to occur rarely ($\geq 1/10,000$ to $<1/1,000$) (5). The lack of any difference in platelet function between the treatment groups is of further reassurance.

ISMN is known to lower BP and increase heart rate and so can commonly lead to postural dizziness ($\geq 1/100$ to $<1/10$) and rarely syncope (6). Cilostazol can increase heart rate and, if co-administered with BP-lowering medication, an additive hypotensive effect with reflex tachycardia is reported (5). Neither symptom were apparent across the trial population (8). Importantly, despite cilostazol increasing heart rate in...
### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peripheral and central hemodynamics by treatment group.</th>
<th>Cilostazol vs. none (2 vs. 1)</th>
<th>ISMN vs. none (1 vs. 2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Peripheral hemodynamics, adjusted for baseline</strong></td>
<td>Cilostazol vs. none (2 vs. 1)</td>
<td>ISMN vs. none (1 vs. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic (mmHg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>134.8 (12.4)</td>
<td>131.4 (19.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic (mmHg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>80.4 (9.7)</td>
<td>78.0 (10.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, mean (SD)</td>
<td>74.3 (9.3)</td>
<td>79.5 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse wave velocity (m/s), mean (SD)</td>
<td>8.4 (13.6)</td>
<td>7.0 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation index 75 (%), mean (SD)</td>
<td>24.0 (10.9)</td>
<td>23.0 (10.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augmentation index (%), mean (SD)</td>
<td>118.6 (28.3)</td>
<td>121.1 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)</td>
<td>99.0 (14.6)</td>
<td>98.8 (11.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Central hemodynamics, adjusted for baseline**

- Systolic (mmHg), mean (SD)
- Diastolic (mmHg), mean (SD)
- Heart rate, mean (SD)
- Pulse wave velocity (m/s), mean (SD)
- Augmentation index 75 (%), mean (SD)
- Augmentation index (%), mean (SD)
- Central blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)

**Cilostazol vs. none (2 vs. 1)**

- N = 14
- Week 3: 131.4 (19.0)
- Week 8: 144.1 (16.5)

**ISMN vs. none (1 vs. 2)**

- N = 15
- Week 3: 139.9 (20.7)
- Week 8: 146.7 (19.4)

**Differences (MD):**

- Systolic: -1.11 (95% CI: -1.06 to -1.06)
- Diastolic: -1.63 (95% CI: -0.65 to -0.52)
- Heart rate: 6.42 (95% CI: 1.17 to 11.68)
- Pulse wave velocity: 0.34 (95% CI: 0.00 to 0.30)
- Augmentation index 75: 4.79 (95% CI: -9.72 to 0.15)
- Augmentation index: 3.46 (95% CI: -8.65 to 15.57)
- Central blood pressure: 2.03 (95% CI: -8.23 to 4.17)
- Buckberg index: 10.81 (95% CI: -0.47 to 6.75)

**CI:** confidence intervals; ISMN, isosorbide mononitrate; MD, mean difference; SD, standard deviation.
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