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Lowering blood pressure after acute intracerebral haemorrhage: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis using individual patient data from randomised controlled trials participating in the Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration (BASC)
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Conflicting results from multiple randomised trials indicate that the methods and effects of blood pressure (BP) reduction after acute intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) are complex. The Blood Pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration is an international collaboration, which aims to determine the optimal management of BP after acute stroke including ICH.

Methods and analysis A systematic review will be undertaken according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis of Individual Participant Data (IPD) guideline. A search of Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE and MEDLINE from inception will be conducted to identify randomised controlled trials of BP management in adults with acute spontaneous (non-traumatic) ICH enrolled within the first 7 days of symptom onset. Authors of studies that meet the inclusion criteria will be invited to share their IPD. The primary outcome will be functional outcome according to the modified Rankin Scale. Safety outcomes will be early neurological deterioration, symptomatic hypotension and serious adverse events. Secondary outcomes will include death and neuropsychological and haemodynamic variables. Meta-analyses of pooled IPD using the intention-to-treat dataset of included trials, including subgroup analyses to assess modification of the effects of BP lowering by time to treatment, treatment strategy and patient’s demographic, clinical and prestroke neuroradiological characteristics.

Ethics and dissemination No new patient data will be collected nor is there any deviation from the original purposes of each study where ethical approvals were granted; therefore, further ethical approval is not required. Results will be reported in international peer-reviewed journals.

Strengths and limitations of this study

► The Blood pressure in Acute Stroke Collaboration is an international collaboration with the prospective aim of pooling individual participant data (IPD) from randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of blood pressure (BP) management in acute stroke, including intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH).

► Meta-analysis of IPD is regarded as the gold standard for synthesising evidence from multiple RCTs.

► This study aims to collect sufficient data to determine the optimal management of BP in acute ICH and will facilitate comparisons across subgroups according to patient characteristics, time to treatment and treatment strategy.

► This study will identify associations of treatment-related haemodynamic parameters, which will inform future research.

PROSPERO registration number CRD42019141136.

INTRODUCTION

Rationale

Acute treatments proven to alter the prognosis of stroke due to spontaneous (non-traumatic) intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) are limited. A 2014 Cochrane review that appraised the totality of evidence for blood pressure (BP) lowering within 1 week of acute stroke concluded that the supporting evidence was insufficient to make clear recommendations about this intervention,
Primary objective
To determine the effect of BP lowering on clinical outcomes in patients with acute ICH and elevated BP.

Secondary objectives
1. To determine the effect of BP lowering according to baseline patient clinical and neuroradiological characteristics, BP lowering strategy and timing of the intervention.
2. To determine the effect of BP lowering on radiological outcomes.
3. Where sufficient data are available, report associations of on-treatment haemodynamic parameters, adjusted for confounding factors.

Methods and analysis
This systematic review and IPD meta-analysis will be performed in accordance with the recommendations made by the methods group of the Cochrane Collaboration (http://ipdmgmang.cochrane.org/resources) and the UK Medical Research Council Network of Hubs for Trials Methodology Research. The protocol has been developed in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses of IPD checklist and has been registered with the international prospective register of systematic reviews, PROSPERO.

Patient and public involvement
This protocol was developed without the involvement of patients or members of the public.

Eligibility criteria

Study designs
RCTs of BP reduction during the acute phase (<7 days) of stroke will be included.

Participants
Adults (aged >18 years) with spontaneous ICH will be included. For trials including both ICH and ischaemic stroke, we will include only patients with ICH. Participants with secondary ICH (eg, due to trauma, tumour or vascular malformation) will be excluded. Uncertainty about an individual trial’s eligibility criteria will be clarified with the appropriate investigator.

Interventions
Trials that involve interventions that lower BP including oral, sublingual, transdermal and intravenous agents and single or combination therapy will be considered.

Comparators
Control groups will typically be managed with placebo or according to contemporaneous guideline recommendations.

Outcomes
Trials that report data on death and functional outcome using the seven-level modified Rankin Scale (mRS, where scores range from 0=no symptoms to 5=severe disability and 6=death) at 90 days will be included. Where outcome data are not reported this way, the nearest time point or suitable surrogate will be considered. Data regarding other outcomes (survival, quality of life, cognitive function), serious adverse events (SAEs), haematoma characteristics (growth, final volume, mass effect, oedema) and haemodynamic measures will be sought.

Setting
There will be no restrictions on the type of setting.

Language
There will be no language restriction.

Information sources
Trials will be sought using electronic searches of Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, EMBASE and MEDLINE and in the reference lists of published systematic reviews and ad hoc reviews. Ongoing or unpublished trials will be identified using ClinicalTrials.gov and the WHO’s International Clinical Trials Registry Platform.

Search strategy
Searches of the above bibliographic databases will be conducted using a combination of search terms relevant to the proposed study (online supplementary material 1). Databases will be searched from inception to the present. The search strategy will be enhanced through searches of
the existing BASC reviews, the authors’ publication data-

d bases and reference lists in identified articles.

**Selection process**
All titles and abstracts will be screened against the eligi-
cibility criteria by TJM and ZKL. Any disagreements will be
settle by an adjudicator, PMB. The principal investiga-
tors of eligible studies will be invited to participate in the

**Data management**

**Data sharing**
Investigators of eligible studies will receive a written invi-
tation to share their IPD. To ensure transparency, collabo-


**Data checking**
Initial internal analyses will compare data from each
trial with their published results to ensure that data are
complete and transferred without error: this will include
checking the primary outcome and all baseline variables
or secondary outcomes relevant to the proposed analyses.

The integrity of all data will be checked and any queries
resolved with individual trial investigators.

**Data merging**
Datasets obtained from collaborating studies will be
combined to form a new master dataset, which will
include a variable to indicate the original study.

**Confidentiality, data storage and handling**
Each collaborator will deidentify their dataset to ensure
no patient identifiable information is transferred. Data
will be shared electronically and stored on password-pro-
tected, encrypted hard disks in a locked room, with daily
backup facilitating disaster recovery. Data will not be
shared with anyone outside the collaborating group.

**Data items**
Variables that will be requested from participating investi-
gators are listed in online supplementary material 2, and

can be outlined as follows:

- Trial information
- Demographics
- Medical history
- Medications at the time of admission
- Baseline clinical variables
- Baseline neuroimaging characteristics of the acute
ICH and prestroke features (leukoaraiosis, atrophy,

prior stroke lesions)
- BP treatment and all trial BP data
- Clinical and radiological outcome data

**Study outcomes**

**Primary outcome**
The preferred primary effect variable will be functional
outcome defined by the ordinal distribution of mRS
scores at the end of trial follow-up (usually 3 months).

**Secondary outcomes**
(1) Death and dependency (3–6 on the mRS); (2) death
or severe disability (4–6 on the mRS); (3) all-cause death;
and (4) health-related quality of life (mobility, self-care,
usual activities, pain or discomfort and anxiety or depres-
sion), as assessed with the use of the European Quality of
Life–5 Dimensions questionnaire.

**Safety outcomes**
(1) Early neurological deterioration (as defined by each
individual trial); (2) symptomatic hypotension (as defined
by each individual trial); and (3) other SAEs, as defined
by trial, to include fatal, non-fatal and treatment-related
SAEs (including renal SAEs).

**Radiological outcomes**
(1) Haematoma growth, both absolute and proportional,
will be studied where these data were collected by indi-

vidual trials and is based on central measurements from
semiautomated planimetric or ABC/2 methods; (2) other
imaging outcomes (eg, perihematomal oedema)
will be included in supplemental analyses.

**Subgroup analyses**
Where adequate data are available, heterogeneity in the

effect of BP lowering on outcomes will be assessed in the

following subgroups to determine whether any effects of
BP lowering are moderated by patient’s characteristics
and BP lowering treatment (agent, target, timing or place
of delivery):

- Baseline characteristics: demographic (age, sex,

region), clinical (stroke severity, baseline systolic
BP, prestroke antihypertensive drug use, prestroke
anti thrombotic use) and radiological parameters
(ICH volume, pre-stroke characteristics).
- BP lowering strategy: intervention/class-based treat-
ment, BP target-based treatment.
- Timing of intervention: <2 hours, 2–6 hours,
6–48 hours, >48 hours after onset of ICH.
- Type of trial: prehospital versus hospital and ICH only
versus mixed.

**Associations of calculated BP parameters and primary outcome**
Once all data have been pooled, an assessment of the new
dataset will be made with a view to use trial BP and heart
rate measures to calculate important BP lowering haemo-
donamic parameters, a number of which have been associ-
ated with outcome after ICH. The aim is to determine
the prognostic significance of these calculated variables,
adjusted for all known confounders, and present these
data in secondary analyses.

**Risk of bias in individual studies**
Two investigators (TJM and ZKL) will assess each included
study for bias using the Cochrane Collaboration tool
(http://methods.cochrane.org/bias/assessing-risk-bias-
cludedstudies). A judgement of bias will be made
according to six domains: selection, performance, detec-
tion, attrition, reporting and ‘other’ biases. Disagreements
will be resolved with discussion or by involving PMB if necessary. Any uncertainties about trial design, conduct or analysis methods will be clarified with the individual trial’s investigators.

Statistical analyses

Primary analyses will be performed using the intention-to-treat dataset from each trial with a one-stage approach. Patients without available data or where the above procedure for missing data cannot be applied will be excluded from these analyses.

Online supplementary material 3 contains table and figure shells that will be used to present our findings. In summary, descriptive analyses will be undertaken in order to identify key similarities and differences between trials, therefore providing context for interpretation of between-trial differences in outcomes. Data will be described as mean (SD) or median (IQR) for continuous data or frequency (percentage) for categorical data, and chi-squared or Kruskal-Wallis tests will be used to make comparisons.

Generalised linear mixed models will be used with covariates (age, sex, ICH severity, time from onset to randomisation), and the source trial added as a random effect to account for clustering. For completeness, analyses will also be performed unadjusted. Results from binary and ordinal analyses (eg, death, dichotomised outcomes of mRS scale, neurological deterioration, SAEs, full-scale mRS) will be presented as ORs with 95% CIs. The proportional odds assumption will be checked before ordinal analyses of outcomes on the mRS are undertaken. If the proportional odds assumption is not met, various standard binary cut-points for poor outcome on the mRS will be used. Continuous or pseudocontinuous outcome analysis results will be presented as mean difference with 95% CI. For time-to-event outcomes, a Cox proportional hazards model will be used to determine HRs with the source trial added as a random effect, and the assumption of proportional hazards will be tested.

Additional analyses will be performed in prespecified subgroups, with an interaction term in models to test heterogeneity.

Sensitivity analyses using a two-stage approach will be conducted to test the robustness of our primary results and will permit (1) inclusion of aggregate data from studies where IPD cannot be obtained to address the issue of data availability bias and (2) assessment with and without studies deemed to have high risk of bias.

An assessment of heterogeneity will be performed before data pooling using the Cochrane Q statistic and I² statistic.

An assessment of publication bias will be made by visual inspection for funnel plot asymmetry (with and without studies where IPD is obtained) and with Egger’s regression test. The Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation will be used to evaluate the quality of the synthesised evidence.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

Ethical approval for the original studies was sought and is documented elsewhere. No new patient data will be collected nor is there any deviation from the original purposes of each study; therefore, further ethical approval is not required. Results from this study will be published in international peer-reviewed journals. All publications from this work will be in the name of the BASC Investigators.

DISCUSSION

The proposed study will use pooled IPD from RCTs to address the role of BP management in the acute phase of ICH. Conflicting results from multiple clinical trials indicate that BP reduction is not a straightforward question of whether to treat or not. Rather, the problem is complex and needs to take account of patient characteristics, physiological parameters including baseline BP, stroke severity, the timing of treatment, strategy and class of antihypertensive agent, route of administration and dose or target BP.

Elevated baseline systolic BP is associated with haematoma expansion, perihematoma oedema formation and increased case fatality. There is evidence from some studies that intensive lowering of BP reduces haematoma enlargement, is safe and tolerable and does not alter cerebral blood flow. Current guidelines recommend lowering BP early in the course after ICH and that targeting a goal of systolic BP <140 mm Hg is probably safe in patients presenting with an SBP of 150–220 mm Hg. Nevertheless, other studies reported no effect of BP reduction on haematoma enlargement and the neutral findings of the ATACH-II trial emphasise that all published data need to be appraised together.

Although guidelines recognise the need for very early treatment, none address the role of prehospital BP reduction. Further evidence is also required to confirm associations between key haemodynamic variables, such as BP variability, with poor outcome. Furthermore, the influence of patient’s characteristics that are present prior to ICH on BP lowering interventions is unknown, although these same variables are known to worsen long-term recovery; these include having a prior stroke, white matter lesions or brain atrophy. The proposed investigation aims to provide definitive evidence on these important issues.
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