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ABSTRACT: As members of the family of heme-dependent enzymes, the heme dioxygenases are differentiated by virtue of their ability to catalyze the oxidation of L-tryptophan to N-formylkynurenine, the first and rate-limiting step in tryptophan catabolism. In the past several years, there have been a number of important developments that have meant that established proposals for the reaction mechanism in the heme dioxygenases have required reassessment. This focused review presents a summary of these recent advances, written from a structural and mechanistic perspective. It attempts to present answers to some of the long-standing questions, to highlight as yet unresolved issues, and to explore the similarities and differences of other well-known catalytic heme enzymes such as the cytochromes P450, NO synthase, and peroxidases.

BACKGROUND

Prior to the publication of structural information (or the development of recombinant expression systems), there had been a considerable effort directed toward understanding the mechanism and reactivity of heme dioxygenases. This has been reviewed previously, and we do not re-rehearse it here. It is, though, instructive to briefly summarize the main conclusions that had been drawn from these studies, because they provide context and a useful framework for the discussion that follows.

A number of different indicators had led to the convincing and well-cited idea that the dioxygenase active site contained a distal histidine residue that was catalytically important. To start with, "tryptophan pyrrolase" (and even "tryptophan peroxidase-oxidase") only later did the nomenclature converge on either tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO) or indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO). Actually, both TDO and IDO catalyze the same reaction in Scheme 1, with the different denominations merely reflecting the generally wider substrate specificity of the IDOs compared to the more substrate-specific TDOs.

The first report of a heme dioxygenase enzyme came more than 70 years ago, but the vast majority of the spectroscopic and kinetic studies were conducted in the 1970s and 1980s. In 1996, an informative summary of this early dioxygenase literature was published as part of a larger review of heme-containing oxygenases. At that time, recombinant expression systems for dioxygenases were not widely available and there was no published structural information. In the past 10 years, there have been substantial developments, including high-resolution structural information, new bacterial expression systems, important contributions from computational chemistry, and emerging mechanistic data from site-directed mutagenesis, all of which has moved the field forward and has meant that the original mechanistic proposals have required revision. This review summarizes these recent contributions. It is not an exhaustive account; instead, this article focuses specifically on structural and mechanistic aspects and attempts to present a concise, comparative summary that is relevant to those with a broader interest in heme protein structure and function.

Scheme 1. Reaction Catalyzed by the Heme Dioxygenases

![Scheme 1](image)
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the reaction mechanism was known to involve O₂ binding to the heme: this was highly reminiscent of O₂ binding to the heme-containing globins in which hydrogen bonding interactions between bound O₂ and a distal histidine were well documented⁶ (the peroxidase enzymes also employ similar hydrogen bonding interactions⁷⁻¹⁰). Reassuringly, there was also sequence homology with a group of IDO-like myoglobins that were also presumed to contain a distal histidine (reviewed in ref 11). Further evidence of a distal histidine residue arose from spectroscopic data (reviewed in ref 5) that had revealed the presence of a low-spin heme species under certain conditions. Many of these spectroscopic features (which were later observed for the recombinant proteins, too¹²,¹³) were similar to those found for bis-nitrogenous heme coordination, and it was thus concluded that the dioxygenase distal pocket contained a histidine. Perhaps most compelling of all were studies with enzyme inhibitors,¹⁴ from which it had been concluded that the reaction mechanism was critically dependent on the presence of an active site base, presumed to be histidine, which was responsible for abstraction of the proton on the indole nitrogen in the first step of the mechanism (Scheme 2).

On the whole, proposals for the later stages of the mechanism were less well formulated, mainly as a consequence of the difficulty of isolating reaction intermediates. The reaction had been proposed to occur through either Criegee¹⁵ or dioxetane¹⁶ pathways (Scheme 2).

Against this background of information that the following discussion is based.

### FIRST GLIMPSE OF THE DIOXYGENASE ACTIVE SITE: THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF HUMAN IDO

The dioxygenase enzymes have proved to be reluctant participants in structural biology efforts, but the emergence of expression systems for different recombinant dioxygenases has meant that suitably high concentrations of protein can now be generated in some cases. Table 1 gives a summary of bacterial expression systems for various dioxygenases, and in a few cases, structures have been published.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ The first of these was that of recombinant human IDO,¹⁷ which was crystallized with the inhibitor 4-phenylimidazole bound to the heme iron. The structure revealed two distinct domains (large and small) (Figure 1A) connected by a small loop of 17 residues.¹⁷

The active site (Figure 1B) confirmed some of the earlier predictions but also contained some unexpected surprises. The structure revealed the presence of a proximal histidine, which was in agreement with early predictions about the heme ligation from spectroscopy⁵ and with more recent mutagenesis work in which His346 had been predicted⁰ to be the ligand. Asp274 on the proximal side had been suggested from mutagenesis²⁰ either to bind directly to the heme, which the structure shows not to be the case, or to maintain a suitable conformation of the heme pocket. This latter hypothesis proved to be correct because there is an electrostatic interaction between this residue and Arg343 that is presumably important for maintaining the overall structure (Figure 1B).

---

**Scheme 2. Previous Literature Proposals⁵,¹⁴,¹⁵ for the Reaction Mechanism for Heme Dioxygenasesᵃ**

---

**Table 1. Summary of Expression Systems for a Number of Dioxygenase Enzymes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>enzyme species</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase human</td>
<td>12, 17, 54, 56, 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouse</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shewanella oneidensis</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase human</td>
<td>28, 29, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rat</td>
<td>59—61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthomonas campestris</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralstonia metallidurans</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mosquito</td>
<td>62, 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scallop</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** (A) Overall structure of human IDO,¹⁷ showing the large (red) and small (blue) domains, the loop region (yellow), the heme (in green), the proximal histidine residue (His346, red), and the bound inhibitor, 4-phenylimidazole (green). (B) Active site of human IDO¹⁷ with the heme colored red. There is one molecule of the inhibitor 4-phenylimidazole (green) bound to the heme iron in the structure and two molecules of the crystallization buffer (CHES, not shown) in the active site. Figures 1 and 2 were prepared using Pymol.⁶⁶
Overall, the IDO molecule contains a large number of hydrophobic Phe and Tyr aromatic residues (not shown), including those in the distal heme pocket (Figure 1B). This was to be expected to accommodate binding of the rather hydrophobic tryptophan substrate. Most surprisingly, and in direct conflict with literature proposals about the mechanism,\textsuperscript{5} there was no histidine residue in the distal pocket.\textsuperscript{6} At least for IDO, therefore, the low-spin (presumed bis-nitrogenous\textsuperscript{3}) species observed spectroscopically\textsuperscript{12,13,21} cannot arise from coordination of a distal histidine to the heme. Spectroscopic artifacts (often at cryogenic temperatures) relating to heme coordination are well-known in other catalytic heme enzymes, and the earlier interpretations for TDO may well also have been complicated by the fact that the heme groups of the tetramer have now been shown to be inequivalent.\textsuperscript{22} In fact, the entire IDO active site is almost completely devoid of polar residues: Ser167 is the only candidate (Figure 1B), but this residue was later shown by mutagenesis\textsuperscript{23} not to be essential for O$_2$ or substrate binding or turnover. This absence of a distal histidine presented a further obvious difficulty: because the reaction mechanism was presumed to involve base-catalyzed abstraction of the indole proton (Schemes 2 and 3A), the absence of the necessary base meant that this clearly now needed reassessment. As an alternative, it was suggested\textsuperscript{17} that abstraction of protons by the bound O$_2$ was more likely [a suggestion first put forward by Terentis et al.\textsuperscript{13} (Scheme 3B)]. These suggestions are developed further below.

### SUBSTRATE BINDING INTERACTIONS: THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF BACTERIAL TDOS

The crystal structure of the bacterial \textit{X. campestris} TDO to a large extent confirmed the generally hydrophobic nature of the dioxygenase active site and revealed the high degree of structural similarity between the TDO and IDO active sites (Figure 2A). Structures for the \textit{R. metallidurans} TDO\textsuperscript{19} and \textit{S. oneidensis} IDO\textsuperscript{18} enzymes have also been published and show similar features in the active site (but substrate is not bound in these structures).

The most significant structural information was that for the substrate-bound complex of the \textit{X. campestris} enzyme (Figure 2B). This revealed, for the first time, the binding interactions holding the substrate inside the pocket. Most provocatively, in this case there is a distal histidine residue (His55) that overlays with the equivalent (Ser167) residue in human IDO and forms hydrogen bonds to the indole proton on N1! Although, in principle, this seemed to imply that the base-catalyzed abstraction mechanism may be possible (Scheme 2), site-directed mutagenesis data\textsuperscript{24} do not support such a role because the \textit{X. campestris} H55A variant is still catalytically active, albeit at a lower level ($k_{\text{cat}}$ that is ~10% of the wild-type value).\textsuperscript{7} In cases where His is present in the active site [for \textit{X. campestris} and \textit{R. metallidurans} TDO, for instance, and also assumed for hTDO (His76)], its full role is not yet established, although it might be involved in holding the substrate in a very precise orientation suitable for cleavage of the C$^2$–C$^3$ bond. This would fit with spectroscopic work\textsuperscript{26} that shows that substrate binding “locks” the bound O$_2$ into a single conformation (presumably suitably oriented for C$^2$–C$^3$ bond cleavage). In this context, we note that the closely related heme- and O$_2$-dependent PrnB enzyme binds its substrate differently:\textsuperscript{27} in this case, the C$^2$–N$^1$ bond of 7-chloro-L-Trp is cleaved (without insertion of O$_2$), and crystallography shows L-tryptophan binding in an orientation different from that of \textit{X. campestris} TDO (Figure 3).

In \textit{X. campestris} TDO, there are also ionic interactions with Arg117, also presumably present in hIDO (to Arg231) and \textit{R. metallidurans} TDO (Arg134), and with the heme propionate (Figure 2B). These ionic interactions would explain why substrate binding is sensitive to substitutions at these charged positions [e.g., d-Trp, tryptamine, indole propionic acid, and tryptophanol\textsuperscript{18,19,25,26,28} (Scheme 4)] and in some cases [e.g., tryptamine, indole propionic acid, and tryptophanol (Scheme 4)] essentially eliminates activity altogether.\textsuperscript{28}

### MECHANISM

As we highlighted in the introductory section, the briefest glance at the literature would lead one to the conclusion that there was little left to learn about the mechanism of tryptophan oxidation. The mechanism presented in Scheme 2 was first suggested more than 40 years ago\textsuperscript{15} and has been widely reproduced. It is a curiosity of the dioxygenase literature that...
these proposals became deeply entrenched despite the fact that there was no experimental evidence for either of them.

One feature of the proposed mechanism (Scheme 2) that immediately stands out is that only a single initial reduction of the heme is required for turnover and that there is no further change in oxidation state of the heme iron during turnover. If correct, this quite obviously differentiates the heme dioxygenases from other O₂-dependent heme enzymes (e.g., P450s and NO synthases) in which a second reduction of the iron and protonation leads to rapid formation of an oxidized ferryl heme (Compound I), which then needs further re-reduction from a suitable reductase. This is discussed further below.

First Step: Base-Catalyzed Abstraction or Not? The first step of the mechanism had been proposed as a base-catalyzed abstraction of the indole proton. The suggestion was first put forward by Hamilton15 and was based on the idea that only substrates containing a proton on the indole nitrogen were active. Others14 drew similar conclusions on the basis of the observation that 1-methyltryptophan (Scheme 4) was an inhibitor of dioxygenase activity. Because base-catalyzed abstraction is not possible for a 1-methylated substrate, the presence of an active site histidine (as the base) was assumed (Scheme 3A). As we explain above, the absence of an active site base in hIDO meant that this mechanism needed revision, and the mechanism as shown in Scheme 3B was proposed.13,17 In fact, there are chemical difficulties with both mechanisms drawn in panels A and B of Scheme 3, because the electrons in the N=C bond are perpendicular to the π-orbitals of the aromatic ring and so could not be used to directly activate the aromatic ring for electrophilic attack on oxygen.

Computational work from Chung and co-workers32 raised the first questions about the likelihood of a base-catalyzed abstraction mechanism, and electrophilic addition was suggested as one of a number of alternative mechanisms. To date, the main experimental evidence comes from mass spectrometry work33 that has shown that 1-Me-Trp is actually a slow substrate for several dioxygenase enzymes, thus ruling out both mechanisms in panels A and B of Scheme 3, because neither is possible for a 1-methylated substrate, the presence of an active site histidine (as the base) was assumed (Scheme 3A). As we explain above, the absence of an active site base in hIDO meant that this mechanism needed revision, and the mechanism as shown in Scheme 3B was proposed.13,17 In fact, there are chemical difficulties with both mechanisms drawn in panels A and B of Scheme 3, because the electrons in the N-C (σ) bond are perpendicular to the π-orbitals of the aromatic ring and so could not be used to directly activate the aromatic ring for electrophilic attack on oxygen.

Computational work from Chung and co-workers32 raised the first questions about the likelihood of a base-catalyzed abstraction mechanism, and electrophilic addition was suggested as one of a number of alternative mechanisms. To date, the main experimental evidence comes from mass spectrometry work33 that has shown that 1-Me-Trp is actually a slow substrate for several dioxygenase enzymes, thus ruling out both mechanisms in panels A and B of Scheme 3, because neither is possible for the methyl-substituted indole. This would be consistent with mutagenesis data that show that when a distal histidine is present its removal does not shut down activity completely.24 Spectroscopic work using 1H ENDOR26 has since shown that the indole NH group is not hydrogen bonded to the bound O₂ in the ternary complex, further arguing against the mechanisms shown in panels A and B of Scheme 3. Others24 have drawn similar conclusions from computational work, and there now seems to be a consensus that base-catalyzed abstraction does not occur.

In fact, the chemistry of indoles has a long and well-documented history, and they do not react by base-catalyzed abstraction.35 This then raises the question of what happens instead. There are two alternative mechanisms, both of which would accommodate the reactivity of 1-Me-Trp33 and would avoid the conceptual difficulty of needing to deprotonate an indole NH group that has a theoretical pKₐ that is very high (pKₐ ≈ 1736). In the first case, the lone pair on nitrogen initiates electrophilic addition to the bound O₂ ligand33 (Scheme 3C). This route was identified computationally,32 and electrophilic addition would be consistent with the known chemistry of indoles; however, O₂ is typically not a very good electrophile, and certainly in the case of the globins, the ferrous–oxygen bond is best formulated as an Fe⁺–O₂ species.37 An alternative is radical addition (P. Ortiz de Montellano, personal communication) (Scheme 3D), again identified as a contender by computational work.33,34,38 This too would allow for reactivity of 1-Me-Trp and is appealing in

Scheme 3. Variously Proposed Reaction Mechanisms for Heme Dioxygenases

(A) The base-catalysed abstraction mechanism.14,15 (B) An alternative to the base-catalysed mechanism, using abstraction of protons by the bound O₂.15,17 (C) Electrophilic addition.32,33,42 (D) Radical addition.32,34,38,42 The majority opinion from crystallography,17 mass spectrometry,23 mutagenesis,24 and computational work32 concludes that mechanisms A and B are unlikely. It is not yet known whether addition at C3 or C2 is most likely; both have been suggested.32–34,38,42,65.

Scheme 4. Structures of the Various Tryptophan Analogues Mentioned in This Work

In fact, the chemistry of indoles has a long and well-documented history, and they do not react by base-catalyzed abstraction.35 This then raises the question of what happens instead. There are two alternative mechanisms, both of which would accommodate the reactivity of 1-Me-Trp33 and would avoid the conceptual difficulty of needing to deprotonate an indole NH group that has a theoretical pKₐ that is very high (pKₐ ≈ 1736). In the first case, the lone pair on nitrogen initiates electrophilic addition to the bound O₂ ligand33 (Scheme 3C). This route was identified computationally,32 and electrophilic addition would be consistent with the known chemistry of indoles; however, O₂ is typically not a very good electrophile, and certainly in the case of the globins, the ferrous–oxygen bond is best formulated as an Fe⁺–O₂ species.37 An alternative is radical addition (P. Ortiz de Montellano, personal communication) (Scheme 3D), again identified as a contender by computational work.33,34,38 This too would allow for reactivity of 1-Me-Trp and is appealing in
Second Step: Criegee or Dioxetane? The Criegee mechanism is well-known in the non-heme iron literature (see, for example, ref 39) but there is no experimental evidence of either a Criegee15 or a dioxetane16 mechanism in the heme dioxygenases. As Scheme 2 makes clear, neither mechanism requires a formal change in oxidation state during turnover (which is unusual for heme-mediated catalysis). However, there is more than one report implicating formation of a ferryl heme species during dioxygenase turnover. The first came from Yeh and co-workers,38 who used resonance Raman methods to observe a stretching frequency characteristic of ferryl heme ($\nu_{Fe-O}$), assigned as Compound II, during turnover in hIDO (but, curiously, not in hTDO). A similar stretching frequency has been independently observed in IDO.30,41 This has led to the suggestion38 that simultaneous incorporation of both atoms of $O_2$, as dictated by Scheme 2, does not occur but that instead sequential (stepwise) insertion of oxygen into the substrate and accompanying oxidation to ferryl heme is the preferred route, as depicted in Scheme 5A. Recent computational work42 supports epoxide formation as the first oxygenation step (although pathways involving highly valent iron ferryl heme had also been suggested by previous work5). If correct, there are features of the mechanism shown in Scheme 5A that align with known behavior in related enzymes. Epoxide formation is, of course, well established in the cytochrome P450 literature, but through direct reaction of a Compound I intermediate with the substrate. We note also that isomerization of endoperoxides by the heme enzymes prostacyclin synthase and thromboxane synthase has also been suggested to occur by homolytic cleavage of the bound endoperoxide O=O bond and formation of ferryl heme.43 There is also an analogy with the non-heme iron enzymes (e.g., tyrosine hydroxylase46) in which formation of an Fe$^{III}=O$ species, from ferrous iron and $O_2$, also occurs.

There is still no experimental evidence relating to the final part of the mechanism, conversion of the proposed intermediates into NFK. Computational work has, so far, provided the only information2,42 and the most recent conclusions42 do not support either Criegee or dioxetane mechanisms. From a chemical perspective, we note that the epoxide intermediate would be expected to undergo facile C2=O bond cleavage (because of the adjacent nitrogen lone pair), which may mean that the first step in the C2=C3 bond cleavage process involves ring opening as we show in Scheme 5A. Indeed, there is precedent for such epoxide ring opening from studies on the chemical epoxidation of tryptophan by peracids.45 This would agree with recent computational analyses42 that also support ring opening of the proposed epoxide intermediate, possibly assisted by the protonated amine group of the substrate (which, from spectroscopic data,26 is thought to be within hydrogen bonding distance of the bound distal $O_2$) (Scheme 5B). Subsequent steps in the detailed mechanism of conversion of the epoxide to NFK have been proposed42 (Scheme 5B), but these ideas have yet to be verified experimentally. There are interesting parallels to be drawn with other systems, most notably in the PrnB enzyme mentioned above27,46 and in indole alkaloid biosynthesis,47 because in both cases the involvement of the substrate amine group in the reaction mechanism is likewise implicated. These systems may provide important clues as the details of the dioxygenase mechanism are unravelled.

**MECHANISTIC SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES WITH OTHER HEME ENZYMES**

Oxygen activation by heme enzymes can be achieved in various ways but often occurs via formation of highly oxidized iron intermediates (Compound I and Compound II). The most well-known examples are in the cytochrome P450s, nitric oxide synthases, cytochrome c oxidases, and heme peroxidases.7,46,48 Typically, the reductive activation of $O_2$ begins from the ferrous oxy intermediate and is followed by a further reduction and a protonation, to form a ferric—hydroperoxy species (which is also
used in the heme oxygenase reaction mechanism\(^52,53\)). Rapid heterolytic cleavage of the O–O bond leads to the “activating” Compound I species, which is also accessed via a direct reaction with hydrogen peroxide (e.g., in the peroxidase enzymes) (Scheme 6). This cycling through a high-valent Compound I species during turnover of course demands continuous reduction of the heme group, and thus the need for an appropriate reductase partner. The physiological partner reductase for the dioxygenases is not known with certainty, but for IDO, there is evidence of it being a cytochrome \(b\)\(^54,55\). Regardless of the source of the electrons, the evidence so far is that the dioxygenases require only a single, initiating reduction of ferric heme; further reduction and protonation of the ferrous–oxy heme would presumably not be a productive pathway for a dioxygenase, because it leads to the formation of Compound I. In fact, there is evidence from ENDOR work\(^26\) that substrate binding shields the ferrous–oxy complex from protonation in dioxygenases, which may be one of the strategies that the dioxygenases employ to control the reactivity of the ferrous–oxy species and unproductive mechanistic pathways.

### Future Directions

In this review, we have focused on structural and mechanistic information. We know much more about what makes a heme dioxygenase than we did even five years ago. Literature mechanisms have slowly unraveled as new information has emerged, and new proposals are being put forward that have themselves yet to stand the test of time. Many questions and challenges remain though. As we noted at the beginning, the absence of any information about the reaction intermediates has been a long-standing difficulty that has hindered progress and the precise mechanism has yet to be established. Further work on identification of these reaction intermediates, both for the transient heme species and indeed for the substrate as it turns over to form NFK, will surely be essential in this overall quest and will require contributions from spectroscopists, enzymologists, structural biologists, and theoreticians alike. Together, this should provide the necessary fuel for further informative debate.
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### Notes

\(^{a}\)A small anomaly of the structure was that the enzyme crystallized as a cross-linked dimer linked by a disulphide bridge (Cys308 in each monomer), even though in solution there is no evidence of such a cross-link.

\(^{b}\)His303 in IDO had been considered as a possible candidate\(^12,13\), but this proved to be incorrect because the crystal structure revealed that this residue was not in the active site.

\(^{c}\)The interpretation is not completely unambiguous however, and others interpreted similar data differently.\(^25\) In hTDO, the residue corresponding to His55 in \(X.\) campestris is thought to be His76; mutation of His76 also reduces activity and by a similar amount (\(k\)\(_{\text{cat}}\) that is \(\sim10\%\) of the wild-type value) compared to the H55 variants of \(X.\) campestris, and this has been interpreted as evidence of an essential role for His76 in TDO. There is no crystal structure yet for hTDO, to confirm the location of His76.

\(^{d}\)There are two independent reports\(^28,29\) that the ferric form of hTDO (but not IDO) is also catalytically active, but the mechanism of this reaction has not been established.
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