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Herpesviruses are characterized as having two distinct life cycle phases: lytic replication and latency. The mechanisms of latency establishment and maintenance, as well as the switch from latency to lytic replication, are poorly understood. Human gammaherpesviruses, including Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) and human herpesvirus-8 (HHV-8), also known as Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), are associated with lymphoproliferative diseases and several human tumors. Unfortunately, the lack of cell lines to support efficient de novo productive infection and restricted host ranges of EBV and HHV-8 make it difficult to explore certain important biological questions. Murine gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV-68, or γHV68) can establish de novo lytic infection in a variety of cell lines and is also able to infect laboratory mice, offering an ideal model with which to study various aspects of gammaherpesvirus infection. Here we describe in vitro studies of the mechanisms of the switch from latency to lytic replication of MHV-68. An MHV-68 gene, rta (replication and transcription activator), encoded primarily by open reading frame 50 (ORF50), is homologous to the rta genes of other gammaherpesviruses, including HHV-8 and EBV. HHV-8 and EBV Rta have been shown to play central roles in viral reactivation from latency. We first studied the kinetics of MHV-68 rta gene transcription during de novo lytic infection. MHV-68 rta was predominantly expressed as a 2-kb immediate-early transcript. Sequence analysis of MHV-68 rta CDNA revealed that an 866-nucleotide intron 5’ of ORF50 was removed to create the Rta ORF of 583 amino acids. To test the functions of MHV-68 Rta in reactivation, a plasmid expressing Rta was transfected into a latently infected cell line, S11E, which was established from a B-cell lymphoma in an MHV-68-infected mouse. Rta induced expression of viral early and late genes, lytic replication of viral DNA, and production of infectious viral particles. We conclude that Rta alone is able to disrupt latency, activate viral lytic replication, and drive the lytic cycle to completion. This study indicates that MHV-68 provides a valuable model for investigating regulation of the balance between latency and lytic replication in vitro and in vivo.

Latency provides unique advantages for herpesviruses to escape host immune surveillance and to establish lifelong persistent infections. However, to maintain viral reservoirs and transmit to other hosts, herpesviruses must be reactivated from latency and enter the lytic replication phase to generate more virus. The balance between viral latency and lytic replication is therefore a critical factor that determines the outcome of infection and the corresponding pathogenesis. If the balance favors lytic replication, lytic infections of herpes simplex virus sometimes lead to morbidity through encephalitis or visual loss through keratoconjunctivitis (43). On the other hand, if the balance favors viral latency, latent infection by Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) can cause lymphoproliferative diseases (29).

The physiological signals that cause reactivation of herpesviruses are not well understood. The molecular mechanisms of reactivation have been most extensively investigated in two human gammaherpesviruses, EBV and human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8). Most of these studies have been carried out in B-cell lymphoma-derived cell lines harboring the latent virus. In EBV, two viral gene products, ZEBRA and Rta, are expressed earliest upon reactivation induced by chemical or biological agents (21, 25, 33, 37) and activate viral promoters triggering lytic gene expression (1–3, 5, 6, 11, 12, 14). To study the functions of ZEBRA and Rta in reactivation, plasmids expressing ZEBRA and Rta were transfected into latently infected B-cell lines to determine whether expression of viral lytic genes and lytic replication of viral DNA were activated. ZEBRA alone is able to activate viral lytic cycle in B cells and epithelial cells latently infected with EBV (5, 15, 19). Rta synergizes with ZEBRA to promote activation of viral lytic gene expression (3, 6, 27, 44), but does not always disrupt latency by itself. In certain latently infected B-cell and epithelial cell lines, Rta can disrupt viral latency (28, 44). ZEBRA and Rta act as transcriptional activators in transient transfection assays with reporter constructs. Moreover, ZEBRA and Rta have been shown to stimulate expression, not only of themselves, but of each other (8, 28, 32, 44, 45), although the levels of activation vary, depending upon the experimental system used. Therefore, it has been proposed that ZEBRA and Rta function in a cooperative manner to activate the viral lytic cycle.

The HHV-8 homologue of EBV Rta has been shown to be sufficient to activate expression of early and late viral lytic genes in B-cell lines latently infected with HHV-8; however, it has not been demonstrated whether viral lytic DNA replication or virus production can be induced (17, 35). Upon reactivation, HHV-8 rta is expressed as an immediate-early gene, but the
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zebra homologue of HHV-8 is an early gene. Moreover, HHV-8 ZEBRA is not able to disrupt latency (35). Although the roles of ZEBRA and Rta in reactivation of EBV or HHV-8 have been investigated, their expression and functions during de novo lytic infection cannot be studied, because there is no efficient in vitro system available. In addition, the lack of an effective animal model has made studies of gammaherpesvirus reactivation in vivo almost impossible.

Murge gammaherpesvirus 68 (MHV-68, also referred to as γHV68), which is phylogenetically related to HHV-8 and EBV, offers an excellent model in which to study the mechanisms underlying the dynamic balance between latency and lytic replication (40). In vitro cell culture systems are available to study de novo lytic infection, latency, and reactivation of MHV-68. Moreover, MHV-68 can establish latent and latent infection in laboratory mice (36), which allows us to address questions regarding the host-virus interactions (22, 23, 30, 33). MHV-68 forms plaques on monolayers of many cell lines, making it relatively easy to genetically manipulate the viral genome. This also makes it possible to examine the functions of individual viral genes in various aspects of the viral life cycle, including reactivation.

The molecular mechanisms of MHV-68 reactivation, however, have not been previously characterized. Efforts have been made to identify the zebu homologue in MHV-68, but so far no homologue has been successful. On the other hand, the rta homologue is readily found in MHV-68 (18), and, in fact, rta is conserved among gammaherpesviruses, including EBV, HHV-8, herpesvirus saimiri (HVS), and bovine herpesvirus 4 (BHV-4). This led us to test the hypothesis that MHV-68 Rta may be the central viral factor governing reactivation. In this study, the kinetics of MHV-68 rta transcription during de novo lytic infection were first examined. Next, the functions of MHV-68 Rta in reactivation were studied by using a B-cell line harboring latent MHV-68. Our results indicate that MHV-68 rta is expressed as an immediate-early gene during de novo lytic infection and is capable of initiating viral lytic replication in latently infected B cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses, cells, and plaque assays. MHV-68 was originally obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (VR1465), and the working stocks were grown by infecting BHK-21 cells (ATCC CCL-10) at 0.1 PFU per cell. BHK-21 cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). S11E is a clonal cell line of S11, which was established from a B-cell lymphoma developed in an MHV-68-infected mouse (36). S11E cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS. To infect BHK-21 cells, the viral inoculum in DMEM was incubated with cells for 1 h with occasional swirling. The inoculum was replaced and refreshed with DMEM plus 10% FBS. For the experiments involving cycloheximide (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) (see Fig. 2), cells were treated at a concentration of 100 or 200 μg/ml 1 h prior to, during, and after viral inoculation until they were harvested. For the experiments using phosphonoacetic acid (PAA) (Sigma) (Fig. 2), cells were treated at a concentration of 200 μg/ml after viral inoculation until they were harvested.

The viral titers were measured by plaque assays, using monolayers of BHK-21 cells overlaid with 1% methylcellulose (Sigma) for 5 days. The cells were fixed and stained with 2% crystal violet in 20% ethanol. Plaques were then counted to determine the titers. To measure the viral titers in the supernatants of transfected S11E cells, the suspension cultures of cells were centrifuged twice at 450 × g, and the supernatants were harvested for plaque assays.

RT-PCR, DNA cloning, and sequencing. For reverse transcription (RT), 0.5 μg of 22-mer oligo(dT) and 2 μg of total RNA isolated from BHK-21 cells infected with MHV-68 (2 × 10⁶ PFU/ml) at 8 h postinfection (hpi) at 37°C were first denatured at 70°C for 10 min, immediately placed on ice, and incubated with 200 U of Superscript II ( Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, Md.) at 42°C for 1 h. Ten percent of the product was then amplified by PCR, with primers R3 (5′-CTGATTTCCGACGGATGGCC TCCGAT-3′) and R4 (5′-CATCTACCCGTTAGTACCCGACT G3′, containing an XhoI site [underlined] upstream of the termination codon [boldface], corresponding to nt 69374). The RT-PCR product was reamplified by using primers R3 and another primer internal to R4, R6 (5′-CTGATTTCCGACGGATGGCC TCCGATG-3′, corresponding to nt 67794 to 67790). The resulting product was cloned into the pCR2.1 T-vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, Calif.). The positive colonies were selected by blue-white screening, and plasmid DNA from those colonies was isolated for DNA sequencing with primer R7 (5′-CATCTACCCGTTAGTACCCGACT G3′, corresponding to nt 67781 to 67660). The nucleotide numbering is according to Virgin et al. (40), and the relative positions of the primers to open reading frame 50 (ORF50) are indicated in Fig. 1C. To construct a Rta expression vector, the rta genomic sequence was amplified by PCR with primers R3 and R4 from total DNA isolated from MHV-68-infected BHK-21 cells. The 2.6-kb PCR product was subjected to XhoI digestion followed by EcoRI digestion and then cloned into a pCDNA3 vector (Invitrogen) containing the cytomegalovirus immediate-early promoter and enhancer.

RNA extraction and Northern analysis. Total RNA was extracted from BHK-21 or S11E cells by the guanidium-acid phenol method, as described by Chomczynski and Sacchi (4). RNA was treated with a mixture of 1 M glyoxal and 50% (vol/vol) dimethyl sulfoxide at 50°C for 30 min (7). Glyoxylated RNA was then separated on 1% agarose gels in circulating 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). A 1-kb ladder (Gibco BRL) and λ HindIII were 5′ end labeled with [γ-32P]ATP, glyoxylated, and loaded onto the gels. The RNAs on gels were transferred to charged nylon membranes (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Arlington Heights, Ill.). The membranes were UV cross-linked and dehybridized at 80°C in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8). Prehybridization and hybridization were carried out at 65°C in 0.5 M K2HPO4 (pH 6.8) containing 7% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 1% bovine serum albumin. The probes were generated by the random-primer method with [α-32P]dCTP with the templates generated by PCR of viral genomic DNA. The membranes were then washed at 65°C in 0.1% sodium phosphate (pH 6.8) containing 5% SDS and 0.5% bovine serum albumin, followed by washing with 40 mM sodium phosphate (pH 6.8) containing 0.5% SDS. Radioactivity was detected and quantitated with a STORM imaging system (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, Calif.). Before rehybridization with a different probe, the membranes were stripped at 80°C in 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) containing 1% SDS.

Transfection. Transfection of S11E cells was carried out by electroporation. S11E cells (10⁷) and 10 μg of plasmid DNA were mixed in a cuvette (Bio-Rad, Hercules, Calif.) and shocked in a Bio-Pulsar II (Bio-Rad) at 400 V and 50 μF (transfection efficiencies, 2 μg of plasmid pEGFP-C1 (Clontech, Palo Alto, Calif.) was included in each transfection, and the percentage of cells expressing green fluorescent protein was determined at 24 h posttransfection by fluorescent microscopy.

Western analysis. Cells were lysed in Laemml buffer containing 0.25 M Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 5% β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.002% bromophenol blue. The lysates were heated to 95°C and subjected to electrophoresis on 10% polyacrylamide gels. The broadest-range prestige protein standard (Bio-Rad) was also loaded onto the gels. Proteins on gels were electrotransferred (Bio-Rad) onto nitrocellulose membranes (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The membranes were blocked in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) plus 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% milk, incubated with the rabbit antiserum to MHV-68 infected rabbit cells (36), washed in PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20, and incubated with antirabbit immunoglobulin G conjugated with horseradish peroxidase. The proteins were detected with the enhanced chemiluminescence detection ECL+ system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and the signals were imaged with a STORM imaging system (Molecular Dynamics).

DNA extraction and Southern analysis. Total DNA was harvested by lysing cells in the buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.5), 50 mM EDTA (pH 8.5), and 0.5% SDS. The lysates were incubated with proteinase K (100 μg/ml) at 50°C overnight and then extracted twice with phenol-chloroform (1:1). DNA was precipitated with ammonium acetate and ethanol, and the DNA pellet was dissolved in Tris-EDTA buffer (pH 8). Total DNA was subjected to restriction enzyme digestion overnight and electrophoresed on 0.8% agarose gels. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide to visualize DNA and then subjected to denaturation, depurination, and neutralization. DNAs on treated gels were transferred to charged nylon membranes (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech). The membranes were UV cross-linked and prehybridized at 68°C in the buffer containing 5% SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate), 10× Denhardt’s solution, 0.5% SDS, and denatured salmon sperm DNA (50 μg/ml). Probes were generated by the random priming method using [α-32P]dCTP and PCR products of genomic viral DNA as templates. The membranes were washed at 68°C in 2× SSC with 0.1% SDS, followed by 0.1× SSC with 0.1% SDS. Radioactivity was detected and quantitated by using a STORM imaging system (Molecular Dynamics).

RESULTS

The structure of the rta gene. MHV-68 ORF50 was previously shown to share homology to ORF50 of HHV-8, EBV,
and HVS (18, 40). The genomic location of ORF50 is shown in Fig. 1A. ORF50 encodes the major portion of the \textit{rta} homologue of gammaherpesviruses. The \textit{rta} genes of HHV-8, EBV, HVS, and BHV-4 share one common feature: the second exon containing ORF50 is spliced to the first exon by removing an intron carrying ORF49 (17, 19, 35, 39, 41). Because of the conservation of the splicing event, we hypothesized that the \textit{rta} gene of MHV-68 undergoes similar RNA processing. To confirm this, total RNA was isolated from MHV-68-infected BHK-21 cells and reverse transcribed with oligo(dT), followed by PCR, with primers flanking ORF50 (R3 and R4). The resultant RT-PCR product was 1.7 kb and smaller than the other major 2.1- and 3.8-kb transcripts, continued to accumulate later than the major 2.6-kb transcript, and their expression was abolished in the presence of cycloheximide (Fig. 2A, lanes 7 and 8). Other transcripts appeared later than the major 2-kb transcript, and their expression was abolished in the presence of cycloheximide (Fig. 2A, lanes 7 and 8), as well as in the presence of PAA (Fig. 2A, lane 11), indicating that they were late viral transcripts.

The same membrane was stripped and rehybridized with a probe derived from the thymidine kinase (TK) ORF (Fig. 2B). Several transcripts were detected, with three predominant species of 2.1, 2.6, and 3.8 kb. The major 2.6-kb species was detected at 2 h postinfection (Fig. 2B, lane 2) and was expressed earlier than the other species of 2.1, 2.8, 3.2, and 3.8 kb, but disappeared at 8 h postinfection (Fig. 2B, lane 6). Its expression, however, was sensitive to cycloheximide treatment (Fig. 2B, lanes 7 and 8), indicating that this was an early viral transcript. The minor 3.2-kb species was expressed at kinetics similar to those of the major 2.6-kb transcript and was also an early viral transcript. Other species of transcripts, including the other major 2.1- and 3.8-kb transcripts, continued to accumulate until 13 h postinfection and then decreased at 24 h postinfection. However, their expression was inhibited by the presence of PAA (Fig. 2B, lane 11), leading to the conclusion that the other species were late viral transcripts. Our results are consistent with a previous study in which several TK-related transcripts were detected, including a 2.6-kb transcript, and the expression pattern of this 2.6-kb transcript was characteristic of an early gene (26).

The membrane was rehybridized with a probe derived from the M9 ORF (Fig. 2C). MHV-68 M9 has homology to ORF65 of HHV-8 (40), which encodes a capsid protein. Several species of RNAs were detected, including two major transcripts of 0.9 and 3.6 kb, which were observed at 4 h postinfection.
gradually increased until 13 h postinfection and then declined by 24 h postinfection. Their expression was abrogated by treatment with PAA (Fig. 2C, lane 11), indicating that they were late viral transcripts. Two minor transcripts of 2.8 and 2.9 kb were also observed. The 2.8-kb RNA was detected at 4 h and disappeared at 24 h postinfection, and the 2.9-kb RNA was not visible until 8 h, and continued to accumulate until 13 h postinfection. Expression of both RNAs was sensitive to the presence of PAA (Fig. 2C, lane 11), indicating that, like the two major transcripts, these two minor transcripts were late viral RNAs.

MHV-68 Rta activated viral lytic gene expression in latently infected S11E cells. To study the function of MHV-68 Rta, the 2.6-kb sequence (nt 66760 to 69373) spanning the initiation methionine and the termination codon was cloned into a eukaryotic gene expression vector, pcDNA3, where expression was driven by the cytomegalovirus immediate-early promoter. Since rta was expressed as an immediate-early gene during de novo lytic infection, we tested whether Rta could disrupt latency and initiate the cascade of lytic gene expression.

Transfected S11E cells were harvested at different times posttransfection for Western analyses by using the rabbit hyperimmune serum against MHV-68-infected rabbit cell lysates. The molecular masses (kilodaltons) of individual proteins in the marker are indicated to the left.

FIG. 2. MHV-68 rta is expressed as an immediate-early gene. Infection was carried out in six-well plates, and RNA was harvested at various times postinfection (p.i.), as indicated at the top of the panels. Half of the total cellular RNA was glyoxalated and subjected to Northern analysis. In each panel, lanes 1 and 2 are a 1-kb DNA ladder and a HindIII, respectively; the sizes of the individual bands are indicated to the left. RNA was collected at 0 (lane 3), 2 (lane 4), 4 (lane 5), or 13 (lane 9) h postinfection. RNA was harvested from cells at 8 h postinfection without (lane 6 [N]) or with cycloheximide treatment at 100 (lane 7 [C1]) or 200 (lane 8 [C2]) μg/ml. At 24 h postinfection, RNA was isolated from cells without (lane 10 [N]) or with (lane 11) 200 μg of PAA per ml added to the medium. The same membrane was hybridized with three different probes, as shown in the three panels. The probe used in panel A was derived from the very last 0.7-kb sequence (nt 68651 to 69378) or ORF50, which was generated by SacI digestion of the PCR product amplified with the R3 and R4 primers. Panel B shows the image of the membrane rehybridized with the probe derived from the PCR product spanning the 1.9-kb TK ORF (nt 32879 to 34813). The probe used in panel C was derived from the PCR product of the 0.5-kb M9 ORF (nt 93962 to 94522). The deduced sizes of the transcripts are indicated to the right of each panel. Radioactivity was detected with a STORM imaging system.

FIG. 3. Induction of viral lytic proteins by Rta. S11E cells (10⁷) were electroporated with pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta (A) or pcDNA3 (B). Total protein from 10⁶ cells was collected at 12 (lanes 4 of panels A and B), 24 (lanes 5 of panels A and B), or 48 (lanes 6 of panels A and B) h posttransfection (p.t.). Proteins from 10⁶ uninfected (U1 [lane 1]) or MHV-68-infected (I [lane 2]) BHK-21 cells or from 10⁵ untransfected S11E cells (UT [lanes 3]) were loaded and subjected to Western analysis with the rabbit hyperimmune serum against MHV-68-infected rabbit cell lysates. The molecular masses (kilodaltons) of individual proteins in the marker are indicated to the left.

ence of PAA (Fig. 2C, lane 11), indicating that, like the two major transcripts, these two minor transcripts were late viral RNAs.
FIG. 4. Activation of viral lytic gene expression by Rta. RNA from S11E cells electroporated with pcDNA3 (lanes 2 to 5) or pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta (lanes 6 to 9) was collected at 12 (lanes 2 and 6), 24 (lanes 3 and 7), 36 (lanes 4 and 8), or 48 (lanes 5 and 9) h posttransfection (p.t.) of lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2B), were visible at 12 h posttransfection. Two minor M9 RNAs were detected as early as 12 h posttransfection. No viral lytic proteins were detected in pcDNA3-transfected S11E cells at any time posttransfection (Fig. 3B). Because 10^5 S11E cells with a 10% transfection efficiency were loaded in each lane (Fig. 3A, lanes 4 to 6), we found that much stronger signals were detected in a similar number of infected BHK-21 cells (10^4) in the control (Fig. 3A, lane 2), indicating higher levels of lytic protein expression in these cells. It was also noted that one lytic protein (Fig. 3A, indicated with an asterisk) was expressed at high levels in infected BHK-21 cells, but was not detected in S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3/ MHV-68 rta.

Northern analyses were also performed to examine lytic gene transcription in transfected S11E cells. The kinetics of TK transcription in each transfected cell. A 90-fold increase in the amount of viral DNA in pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta-transfected cells was detected compared to the amount in untransfected cells (Fig. 5, lanes 2 and 6). Given that the transfection efficiency was 10%, this would be an average of 900-fold induction of viral DNA synthesis in each transfected cell.

FIG. 5. Amplification of viral DNA by Rta. Total DNA from 2 x 10^5 S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3 (lanes 3 to 5) or pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta (lanes 6 to 8) was harvested at 24 (lanes 3 and 6), 48 (lanes 4 and 7), or 72 (lanes 5 and 8) h posttransfection (p.t.) and subjected to digestion with HindIII. The digested DNA were electrophoresed on a 0.7% agarose gel for Southern analysis. HindIII-digested DNA from 5 x 10^6 MHV-68-infected BHK-21 cells was loaded in lane 1 as a positive control (I), and digested DNA from 2 x 10^6 untransfected S11E cells was loaded in lane 2 as a negative control (UT). The probe used to detect the viral DNA was derived from the 6.2-kb PCR product (nt 51 to 6298) spanning the far left end of the viral genome. Based on the sequence (40), a 6.2-kb DNA fragment would be detected with the probe. Radioactivity was detected and quantitated with a STORM imaging system.

scripts in lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2B) were induced to a high level as early as 12 h posttransfection, and their expression decreased at 24 h posttransfection. The other TK-related RNAs, expressed as late viral transcripts in lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2B), were visible at 12 h posttransfection, but continued to accumulate until 24 h posttransfection.

The same membrane was stripped and rehybridized with the M9 ORF probe (Fig. 4B). In lytically infected BHK-21 cells, the major 0.9- and 3.6-kb M9 transcripts were expressed as late viral RNAs (Fig. 2C). As seen in Fig. 4B, transfection of pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 to 9), but not of pcDNA3 (Fig. 4B, lanes 2 to 5), led to expression of 0.9- and 3.6-kb M9 transcripts in S11E cells. The transcripts accumulated to high levels at 24 h posttransfection. Two minor M9 RNAs seen in lytically infected BHK-21 cells were, however, only detected at very low levels in S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta.

MVH-68 Rta induced viral DNA replication in latently infected S11E cells. Since transfection of pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta activated some late viral transcripts, such as those of M9, the expression of which was demonstrated earlier to be dependent on viral DNA replication in lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2C, lanes 10 and 11), viral DNA replication might also have been induced in S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3/ MHV-68 rta. To confirm this, viral DNA replication was analyzed by Southern blotting. As seen in Fig. 5, viral DNA in S11E cells was greatly amplified by transfection of pcDNA3/ MHV-68 rta (lanes 6 to 8), but not by pcDNA3 (lanes 3 to 5). At 24 h posttransfection, a 90-fold increase in the amount of viral DNA in pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta-transfected cells was detected compared to the amount in untransfected cells (Fig. 5, lanes 2 and 6). Given that the transfection efficiency was 10%, this would be an average of 900-fold induction of viral DNA synthesis in each transfected cell.

To confirm that the increase in viral DNA by Rta transfection was due to the induction of viral lytic DNA replication rather than amplification of the viral latent genome, a terminal repeat assay (31) was performed. The linear MVH-68 genome has multiple 1.2-kb tandem repeats at each terminus. In latently infected S11E cells, the MVH-68 genome exists as an episomal circular DNA generated by fusion through the ter-

lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 3A, lane 2). Expression of products peaked at 24 h posttransfection, although some proteins were visible as early as 12 h posttransfection. No viral lytic proteins were detected in pcDNA3-transfected S11E cells at any time posttransfection (Fig. 3B). Because 10^5 S11E cells with a 10% transfection efficiency were loaded in each lane (Fig. 3A, lanes 4 to 6), we found that much stronger signals were detected in a similar number of infected BHK-21 cells (10^4) in the control (Fig. 3A, lane 2), indicating higher levels of lytic protein expression in these cells. It was also noted that one lytic protein (Fig. 3A, indicated with an asterisk) was expressed at high levels in infected BHK-21 cells, but was not detected in S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3/ MHV-68 rta.

Northern analyses were also performed to examine lytic gene transcription in transfected S11E cells. The kinetics of TK and M9 gene expression after Rta transfection are shown in Fig. 4. As described earlier, five major TK-related transcripts were seen in lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2B), and a similar pattern of TK transcripts was also detected in S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta (Fig. 4A, lanes 6 to 9), but not in cells transfected with pcDNA3 (Fig. 4A, lanes 2 to 5). The 2.6- and 3.2-kb transcripts expressed as early tran-
mini of the linear form (38). As illustrated in Fig. 6A, the terminal repeat assay is based on the fact that herpesviral DNA is replicated via a rolling-circle mechanism during the lytic cycle. Monomers of viral genomic DNA with variable numbers of terminal repeats are produced as a result of DNA cleavage at any one of the terminal repeats between monomeric viral genomes on concatemers. After digestion of viral DNA with HindIII (not present in the repeat) and probing with a unique region adjacent to terminal repeats, a 1.2-kb ladder of DNA fragments would be generated, due to variable numbers of repeats at the terminus. If lytic replication does not occur, after digestion of circular viral DNA, a single large DNA fragment containing the fused termini that harbors multiple copies of terminal repeats would be detected on Southern blots. The results of the terminal repeat assay are shown in Fig. 6B. Since viral DNA was greatly increased by Rta at 24 h posttransfection (Fig. 5), DNA was harvested at an additional time point at 12 h posttransfection. In S11E cells transfected with pcDNA3, only a large DNA fragment (≥23 kb) was detected at all time points (Fig. 6B, lanes 2 to 5), indicating that lytic replication did not occur. However, the DNA of cells transfected with pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta gave rise to ladders similar to those seen in lytically infected BHK-21 cells (Fig. 6B, lane 1). The intensity of DNA laddering was increased between 12 and 24 h posttransfection and then declined. These results provide evidence that transfection of Rta induced lytic replication of viral DNA and processing of the replicated viral DNA.

MHV-68 Rta transfection led to production of infectious viral particles from latently infected S11E cells. We have shown that introduction of MHV-68 Rta into S11E cells activated viral lytic gene expression and DNA replication. We further tested whether Rta was sufficient to drive the viral lytic cycle to production of viruses. The supernatants from transfected S11E cells were collected at various time points, and the viral titers were measured by plaque assays. The results are shown in Fig. 7. The viral titers in the supernatants from Rta-transfected S11E cells were much higher than in those from pcDNA3-transfected S11E cells. At 48 h posttransfection, there were ~130-fold more infectious viruses in the supernatant from Rta-transfected S11E cells.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have shown the central roles of HHV-8 or EBV Rta in the switch from viral latency to lytic replication. Since the rta gene is highly conserved among gammaherpesviruses, we studied the gene expression and functions of MHV-68 rta in cell cultures. MHV-68 rta was expressed as an immediate-early gene during de novo lytic infection of BHK-21
MHV-68 Rta most likely functions as a transcriptional activator. Homologues of Rta from other gammaherpesviruses such as EBV, HVS, and BHV-4 have been shown to activate the promoters of viral early genes in transient transfection assays (1, 9, 11, 12, 24, 39). Amino acid sequence alignments of the Rta homologues reveal that the most conserved region is at the N terminus. This conserved portion of EBV Rta is required for dimerization and DNA binding (20). Another well-conserved region is at the C terminus and is rich in acidic residues, which is characteristic of activation domains of many transcriptional activators. It has been shown that this region of EBV Rta is essential for transcriptional activation (20). Therefore, the Rta homologues of gammaherpesviruses share similar amino acid sequences and may also have similar functions in activating viral and possibly cellular promoters. However, it is not clear whether the Rta proteins are capable of substituting for each other to transactivate virus-specific promoters. It has been shown that BHV-4 Rta could not transactivate the viral promoters that were activated by either HVS or EBV Rta, nor could EBV Rta transactivate the viral promoters that were activated by either BHV-4 or HVS Rta (39). This specificity suggests that despite the conservation of the functions in transactivation, virus- or host-specific interactions may be involved in mediating such functions.

MHV-68 Rta alone was sufficient to reactivate the virus in B cells. Transfection of an Rta expression plasmid induced the expression of early and late viral RNAs and proteins, activated lytic replication of viral DNA, and led to the production and release of infectious viral particles. So far, no other reports have demonstrated that Rta alone is sufficient to drive the progression of the viral lytic cycle to the production of infectious virions. In our time course experiments (Fig. 4), the lytic gene expression induced by Rta in S11E cells proceeded in a cascade similar to that observed in de novo lytic infection of BHK-21 cells (Fig. 2). After Rta transfection, the early 2.6-kb TK transcript was expressed to a high level at 12 h posttransfection, followed by the late 0.9- and 3.6-kb M9 transcripts, peaking at 24 h posttransfection (Fig. 4). The highest level of viral DNA was observed at 24 h posttransfection (Fig. 5 and 6), followed by the maximal accumulation of infectious particles in the supernatants occurring at 36 h posttransfection (Fig. 7). Nevertheless, we noticed that there was significantly more intensive viral lytic replication in de novo-infected BHK-21 cells than in S11E cells transfected by pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta. Differences in the replication levels between the two cell types may be due to a suboptimal microenvironment in B cells for viral lytic replication. This interpretation is consistent with the predominantly latent nature of B-cell infection. We also observed that one major lytic viral protein expressed in de novo-infected BHK-21 cells was not seen in S11E cells transfected by pcDNA3/MHV-68 rta. Because the antibody used for Western analysis is polyclonal, the identity of this viral protein is not clear. However, since there are infectious particles produced and released into the medium, the viral protein not detected in Rta-induced reactivation is unlikely to be essential for viral replication in B cells.

Based on our results that rta was expressed as an immediate-early gene during de novo lytic infection and that transfection of an Rta expression plasmid induced the viral lytic cycle in latently infected B cells, we propose a working model for the functions of MHV-68 Rta. In this model, Rta is the central viral factor determining lytic replication or latency of MHV-68. During de novo infection of permissive cells such as BHK-21, Rta, presumably activated by cooperation between a virion protein similar to HSV VP16 and cellular transcription factors, is expressed to drive the viral lytic cycle. In nonpermissive cells...
such as B cells, Rta expression is blocked, which prevents initiation of the viral lytic cascade, leading to latency. Sustained latency may require expression of viral latent genes. However, in response to certain stimuli, Rta expression is either activated or derepressed, and then latency is disrupted and the virus undergoes reactivation. This model strongly points to regulation of Rta expression governing the balance between viral latency and lytic replication. Moreover, controlling the expression of Rta may allow us to tip the balance between latency and lytic replication. Therefore, MHV-68 offers a unique model system in which to study the consequences of such a balance to viral pathogenesis.
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