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Chicken Microchromosomes Are Hyperacetylated, Early Replicating, and Gene Rich

Heather A. McQueen,1,2 Giorgia Siriaco,1 and Adrian P. Bird

Institute of Cell and Molecular Biology, University of Edinburgh, Darwin Building, King’s Buildings, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, UK

The chicken karyotype consists of 39 chromosomes of which 33 are classed as microchromosomes (MICs). MICs contain about one third of genomic DNA. The majority of mapped chicken genes are assigned to macrochromosomes (MACs), but a recent study indicated that CpG islands (CGIs), which are associated with most vertebrate genes, map predominantly to MICs. The present work establishes that chicken genes are concentrated on MICs by several criteria. Acetylated (lysine 5) histone H4, which is strongly correlated with the presence of genes, is highly enriched on MICs by immunocytochemistry. In addition, detailed analysis of chicken cosmids shows that CGI-like fragments are approximately six times denser on MICs than on MACs. Published mapping of randomly chosen genes by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) also shows a significant excess of microchromosomal assignments. Finally, the finding that MICs replicate during the first half of S phase is also compatible with the suggestion that MICs represent gene-rich DNA. We use the cosmid data to predict that ~75% of chicken genes are located on microchromosomes.

[The sequence data described in this paper have been submitted to the GenBank data library under accession nos. AJ001643 and AJ001644.]

Avian karyotypes invariably comprise microchromosomes (MICs) and macrochromosomes (MACs) (Bloom et al. 1993). In the chicken, MICs 11–39 constitute approximately one-quarter of the genome and are cytologically indistinguishable from each other because of their small size (Bloom et al. 1993). MICs contain GC-rich DNA (Auer et al. 1987), are enriched for repetitive sequences (Stefos and Arrighi 1974; Matzke et al. 1990), and contain heterochromatin by the criterion of C banding (Schmid et al. 1989). About two-thirds of the chicken genome (65%) is found on MACs 1–6 (Stubblefield and Orro 1982), which are the only chicken chromosomes larger than the smallest human chromosomes. Chromosomes 7–10 are intermediate in size and have been arbitrarily designated as larger MICs (Bloom et al. 1993). In contrast to the MIC group, MACs show only faint C banding caused by heterochromatin in centromeric and telomeric regions. However, R bands, which are associated with transcriptionally active DNA, are also faint on MACs, having lower intensity and contrast than those of mammalian chromosomes (Schmid et al. 1989). MACs contain much AT-rich DNA (Auer et al. 1987) and, unlike MICs, are relatively rich in (CA)n microsatellite repeats (Primmer et al. 1997). MICs were originally thought to be genetically inert (Newcomer 1955), but are now known to be bona fide chromosomes that are maintained at a constant number and have conserved telomere sequences (Solveé et al. 1994). Many genes have recently been assigned microchromosomal locations (Bloom and Bacon 1985; Dominguez-Steglich et al. 1990, 1992a, 1992b, 1993; Jones et al. 1997). Nevertheless, published gene-mapping data remains biased in favor of macrochromosomal assignments (Burt et al. 1995).

As in other vertebrates, the majority of genes in chicken have CpG islands (CGIs) (Cooper et al. 1983; McQueen et al. 1996). CGIs are associated with the promoters of genes and can be differentiated from bulk DNA by their high GC content, lack of depletion of the dinucleotide CpG, and lack of methylation (for review, see Cross and Bird 1995). It is possible to exploit these characteristics for purification of CGIs from bulk DNA (Cross et al. 1994). In situ hybridization with a chicken CGI library constructed by this technique indicated that CGIs are
Microchromosomes Are Selectively Enriched for Acetylated Histone H4

The histone H4 acetylation profile of chicken chromosomes was determined by immunofluorescence with an antiserum raised against histone H4 acetylated at lysine 5 (a gift from Bryan Turner; Turner and Fellows 1989). For comparison, Figure 1a shows hybridization of the CGI library against chicken chromosomes (McQueen et al. 1996). The acetylation pattern for the chicken (Fig. 1b) is strikingly similar to the CGI localization in that MICs are strongly labeled. MACs aggregate somewhat under the exceptionally mild fixation conditions that are required to detect histone immunofluorescence and we cannot, therefore, be certain whether all MICs are stained with equal intensities. There is some evidence for heterogeneity of hybridization between MICs with the CGI probe (Fig. 1a), but one would need to compare the same MIC on different photomicrographs to be sure that the variation is real. This is impossible because MICs are indistinguishable. MACs are less stained than MICs by the antibody, but there is evidence for an uneven pattern of acetylated histone H4 along their length. The sharp distinction between hyperacetylated and hypoacetylated regions of the chicken genome appears to be more exaggerated than found in mammalian autosomes, although the inactive X chromosome of mammals is dramatically hypoacetylated (Jefferson et al. 1992; Jefferson and Turner 1993).

Microchromosomes Replicate During the First Half of S Phase

Replication timing of chicken chromosomes was assessed by labeling unsynchronized DT40 cells with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) for between 1 and 10 hr before arresting with colcemid. Incorporated BrdU was detected in arrested metaphases with a fluorescein-conjugated antibody. Labeled cells that reached metaphase a short time after the pulse must have been in late S phase when the BrdU pulse occurred. Conversely, labeled cells reaching mitosis 10 hr after the pulse must have started to incorporate BrdU during early S phase. We estimated S phase to last no more than 10 hr for this lymphoblastoid culture, as complete labeling of the chromosome set was observed when label was present during the 10

Finally, we have estimated CGI density on microchromosomal DNA relative to macrochromosomal DNA by counting CGI-like sequences on cosmids whose genomic origin is known. We find a significant enrichment of CGIs on MICs.
hr prior to mitosis (Fig. 2). Chromosomes that have incorporated BrdU for 10, 7, 4, and 2 hr prior to mitosis are shown in Figure 2. Little or no BrdU incorporation into MICs was noted during the last 4 hr of S phase, although parts of the MACs were strongly banded by replication during this period of mid-to-late S phase. In contrast, virtually all of the microchromosomal BrdU incorporation occurs within the first 2-3 hr of S phase. These results were confirmed by detection of microchromosomal incorporation before arrest with methotrexate at mid-S phase, but not after release from the block (data not shown).

Microchromosomal Cosmids Are Enriched for CGI-Like Fragments

To compare the density of CGIs on MICs and MACs, 20 chicken cosmids were selected for analysis. The presence of insert was confirmed for all 20 by digestion with NotI and HindIII. All 20 cosmids were labeled with either biotin or digoxigenin and the resulting probes were used for FISH against chicken metaphase spreads. Cosmids that hybridized to one of the 6 largest chromosomes were designated macrochromosomal, whereas those mapping to one of the 33 smallest chromosomes were termed microchromosomal. Of the 20 cosmids, 11 were microchromosomal, 1 of these (cosmid 9) originating from an intermediate MIC, and 9 were macrochromosomal (Table 1). To estimate the number of CGIs, cosmids were cut with the enzyme MseI to leave most CGIs intact (Cross et al. 1994; McQueen et al. 1996) and were methylated to completion. By passing the end-labeled fragments over methyl-CpG binding domain columns (MBD columns; Cross et al. 1994), it was possible to separate strongly bound CGI DNA from weakly bound bulk genomic DNA. Weakly bound fragments were eluted from the column with 0.5 M NaCl, and strongly bound fragments were eluted at 0.7-1.0 M NaCl. The total MseI fragment pattern was visualized by autoradiography.
and compared with the pattern of bound CGI-like fragments. In this way we were able to identify and number CGI-like fragments, as indicated for five examples in Figure 3. The cosmid vector (pWE15) has four MseI fragments >500 bp in size that have an average G + C content of 53.0%, the greatest being 57.0%, with no apparent under-representation of the CpG dinucleotide. Because of the CGI-like nature of their sequences, these fragments bound tightly to the MBD column after de novo methylation, and their presence within the bound fraction therefore served as an internal control for the functioning of the MBD column. This was particularly valuable in cases in which no CGI was present in the cosmid insert (see Fig. 3). The average G + C content for chicken CGI MseI fragments is 68% (McQueen et al. 1996). As a result, chicken CGI DNA was expected to bind to MBD columns at least as tightly as vector fragments. Any band of weaker intensity than similar sized vector was therefore discounted, as was any band less than 500 bp in size. The results for each of the 20 cosmids are summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Cytogenetic Location and CGI-Like Fragment Number for Each of 20 Cosmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cosmid</th>
<th>Insert size (kb)</th>
<th>Locationa</th>
<th>CGI-like fragments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Ma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>I. mi</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a(Ma) Macrochromosomal; (I. mi) located on an intermediate MIC; (mi) microchromosomal.

Figure 3  Binding of CGI-like fragments from 5 cosmids to MBD columns. For each cosmid the fragment profile of MseI-digested DNA that was loaded onto the column is shown beside the tightly bound fragments that eluted at high salt. The vector bands present in the eluate are arrowed and labeled V. Bound insert fragments for each cosmid are numbered. The profiles refer to 2 macrochromosomal cosmids (M) with no CGI-like chicken fragments and 3 microchromosomal examples (m) with 1, 3, and 5 CGI-like fragments.

Figure 2  BrdU incorporation patterns on chicken metaphase chromosomes after labeling with BrdU for 10, 7, 4, and 2 hr prior to mitosis. BrdU incorporation is stained green. Little or no microchromosomal incorporation is detected throughout the last 4 hr of S phase, whereas some regions of MACs are replicated at later and some specifically at earlier time points.
1 and Figure 4. Seven of the nine macrochromosomal cosmids did not have any CGI-like fragments according to our assay, and only one macrochromosomal cosmid had as many as two CGI-like fragments (Fig. 4). In contrast, the numbers of CGI-like fragments in microchromosomal cosmids ranged from zero to five and averaged two. The methylation status of CGI-like fragments of cosmid 23 was tested by using the gel-purified fragments as radioactive probes on Southern blots of chicken genomic DNA. The DNA was cut with *Mse*I alone, and *Mse*I plus *Hpa*II or *Msp*I (methylation-sensitive and methylation-insensitive, respectively). All three fragments were part of unmethylated tracts (data not shown) as expected of CGI DNA.

Column-Purified CGI-Like Fragments Were Associated with Genes

To extend the observed enrichment of CGI-like fragments on MICs to bona fide genes, the CGI-like fragments of cosmids 7 were cloned and sequenced. The CGI-like nature of these fragments was confirmed with G + C contents ranging from 63% to 71% and CpG densities (expressed as the ratio of observed over expected CpGs) of up to 1.18. Three fragments showed identity to genomic chicken DNA in the EMBL database: two *Mse*I fragments from the CGI of the polyubiquitin gene and a fragment from a second CGI which lies ~2 kb upstream to polyubiquitin and probably belongs to a separate gene. The positions and GC characteristics of these three fragments are shown in Figure 5. The predicted protein encoded by a fourth fragment from this cosmid contained a 42-amino-acid region of protein that was 64%–66% identical to a region found in the human Cla-1 membrane glycoprotein and in scavenger receptor proteins of mouse and Chinese hamster. This suggests that a gene related to human Cla-1 and rodent scavenger receptor genes resides, with polyubiquitin, on cosmid 7 although further data is required to confirm the identity of this chicken gene. Cla-1 and polyubiquitin are known to be syntenic on human chromosome 12. Thus, CGI-like fragments selected by the column can correspond to CGI-associated genes. As cosmids 7 is of microchromosomal origin, our data establishes a microchromosomal location for the previously unmapped polyubiquitin gene.

DISCUSSION

Preferential staining of MICs with antibody against acetylated H4 provides strong evidence for elevated gene density. The antibody, in mammals, detects only the most highly acetylated H4 isoforms and is specific for lysine 5 in the H4 tail. Acetylation of amino-terminal lysines 8 and 12 correlates with acetylation of lysine 5 in histone H4 (Belyaev et al. 1996). Therefore the results indicate that microchromosomal H4 is acetylated at multiple lysine residues. Histone acetylation is a feature of gene-dense regions of the genome (Hebbes et al. 1991; Brownell et al. 1996), and is therefore a strong indicator that genes are concentrated on MICs. At first sight it is paradoxical that the smallest MICs have been described as heterochromatic (Schmid et al. 1989), as our data suggest that most, if not all MICs, including the smallest subset, are heavily acetylated at H4. The results can be reconciled if we propose that MICs contain both constitutive heterochromatin (most probably near the centromeres) and active euchromatin. Although the smallest MICs are near the size limit of light microscopy at metaphase, they are estimated to contain at least 7 Mb of DNA (Bloom et al. 1993). There is therefore room for regions of activity and regions of silence. Chicken MICs were at one time equated with plant B chromosomes, but in contrast to MIC hyperacetylation as described here, underacetylation of the B chromosomes of the plant Brachycome dichromosomatica
has been demonstrated recently with the same antibody (Houben et al. 1997).

In calculating the frequency of CGIs on cosmids, we assumed that fragments retained by the MBD-column represent genuine CGI DNA rather than the generally GC-rich DNA that has been detected in MICs by chromomycin staining (Auer et al. 1987). The validity of this assumption rests on several observations. Firstly, the MBD protein binds methylated DNA according to the number of CpG dinucleotides, not the overall G + C content. Thus GC-rich DNA would not be retained by the column unless it had an undepleted CpG frequency. Previous studies have shown that GC-rich DNA with the expected frequency of CpGs is invariably derived from CGIs (Matsuo et al. 1993) and CpG frequency therefore appears to be a reliable means to distinguish CGI from non-CGI DNA. Sequencing of retained fragments from cosmid 7 confirmed that they are CGI-like in GC content and CpG frequency and in their association with genes (Fig. 5). In contrast, bulk genomic DNA of chicken has an average CpG frequency (observed over expected) of 0.27 (Setlow 1976). Methylation analysis was also used to confirm the CGI-like nature of fragments retained by the column.

Do the number of retained fragments accurately reflect the number of CGIs on a cosmid? Errors in CGI counting may be expected due to multiple large fragments derived from the same island (leading to an overestimate), or multiple small fragments that are below the 0.5-kb threshold for scoring by our assay (leading to an underestimate). However, plots of MseI fragments around 14 chicken CGIs showed 11 to contain only one fragment larger than 0.5 kb, two to contain multiple large fragments, and one to be cut into fragments, all of which were <0.5 kb (Table 2). From this analysis we consider that our method for CGI counting is reasonably accurate.

Based on our estimates of CGI density, we have calculated the approximate number of genes expected on microchromosomal versus macrochromosomal DNA. Comparing the 22 CGIs on 11 microchromosomal cosmids with the 3 CGIs found in 9 macrochromosomal cosmids gives a sixfold enrichment for CGIs in microchromosomal DNA. A database survey suggests the proportion of genes with CGIs to be roughly similar in chicken and humans (McQueen et al. 1996). Importantly, we find that genes with CGIs can be found on both MICs and MACs, and that genes without CGIs are present on the MICs (Table 2). Thus, there is no evidence for genomic segregation of CGI-genes from non-CGI genes. Assuming 60% of genes to have CGIs, we calculate that there is a gene on average every 10 kb of microchromosomal DNA. This is similar to the average gene density of one gene every 7 kb in Fugu. Because the MIC group, including those of intermediate size, constitutes 35% of the chicken genome (Stubblefield and Orro 1982), we estimate a total of 42,000 genes in the microchromosomal compartment and 13,000 genes in the macrochromosomal compartment. The derived total number of genes in the chicken genome of 55,000 must be regarded as very approximate. The estimate is somewhat lower than previous estimates of 80,000 (Antequera and Bird 1993), 64,000 (Fields et al. 1994), and 60,000 (Elgar 1996) for gene number in vertebrates. Given the assumptions involved, and the relatively small sample of genomic DNA on which the chicken estimate is based, we do not consider the difference significant at this stage.

A discrepancy remains between the predominantly macrochromosomal location of mapped chicken genes (Burt et al. 1995) and our finding that over 75% of genes reside on MICs. We suggest that the chicken genome map has become biased for two reasons. Firstly, physical mapping of microchromosomal genes has been technically difficult because...
of the intrinsic difficulty of identifying specific MICs; this would have been even more difficult when in situ hybridization used predominantly radioactive probes, as microchromosomal signal and random noise would often have been indistinguishable (Dominguez-Steglich et al. 1991). Secondly, the liberal use of randomly isolated microsatellite markers for the building of the chicken genetic map may have prejudiced against the mapping of microchromosomal genes, since MICs are poorly populated by at least (CA)n microsatellites (Primmer et al. 1997).

An independent and direct assessment of gene density can be derived from assignments of randomly selected genes by FISH. A survey of the recent literature showed that of 17 genes apparently chosen at random, 12 were located on MICs and 5 were on MACs (Dominguez-Steglich et al. 1990, 1992a,b,c,d; Li et al. 1995; Riegert et al. 1996; Nanda et al. 1996, 1997; Marienfeld et al. 1997). The results of this limited data set agree well with our CGI analysis, as does the sequence scanning approach of M.S. Clark et al. (pers. comm.). Altogether, the results of several distinct experimental approaches consistently show that chicken MICs are unusually gene-rich. The asymmetric distribution of genetic activity within the chicken may have implications for our understanding of vertebrate genome evolution and for efforts to construct the chicken genome map. It also suggests that chicken may complement Fugu as a system for gene discovery and sequencing.

**METHODS**

**Immunofluorescence with Acetylated H4-Specific Antiserum**

DT40 cells were arrested in metaphase with colcemid. After 75 mM KCl hypotonic solution treatment, 10^6 cells were spun gently onto each glass slide and permeabilized by immersion in KCM consisting of 120 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 mM EDTA, and 0.1% Triton X-100. The primary antibody, R41/5, was a rabbit serum raised against histone H4 acetylated at Lys-5, and was a gift from Bryan Turner (University of Birmingham, UK). This was detected using TRITC conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (Sigma). Slides were fixed in paraformaldehyde, mounted in antifade containing DAPI, and examined with a fluorescence microscope.

**BrdU Incorporation for Replication Timing**

DT40 cells were arrested in metaphase with colcemid. After 75 mM KCl hypotonic solution treatment, 10^6 cells were spun gently onto each glass slide and permeabilized by immersion in KCM consisting of 120 mM KCl, 20 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 0.5 mM EDTA, and 0.1% Triton X-100. The primary antibody, R41/5, was a rabbit serum raised against histone H4 acetylated at Lys-5, and was a gift from Bryan Turner (University of Birmingham, UK). This was detected using TRITC conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (Sigma). Slides were fixed in paraformaldehyde, mounted in antifade containing DAPI, and examined with a fluorescence microscope.

---

**Table 2. Cytogenetic Assignments for Chicken Genes with and without CGIs, and Number of MseI Fragments per CGI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>CGI</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>MseI fragments (kb)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHC class II β chain</td>
<td>M26306</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MIC 16</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC class II β chain</td>
<td>M26307</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MIC 16</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC class I α chain</td>
<td>M31012</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MIC 16</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polynuobiquitin Ub II</td>
<td>X58195</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MIC (results)</td>
<td>0 frags &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenylate kinase I</td>
<td>D00251</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MIC &gt; 16</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conalbumin</td>
<td>Y00407</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MIC 10-12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-A and α-D globin</td>
<td>X59989</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MIC 10-12</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dmd</td>
<td>X06294</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>MIC 10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming GF β3</td>
<td>X58127</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MAC 5</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transforming GF β2</td>
<td>X58071</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MAC 3</td>
<td>2 frags &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>M11213</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MAC 1</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetylcholine receptor</td>
<td>J05218</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinoblastoma</td>
<td>X72217</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat shock protein 70</td>
<td>J02579</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U plasminogen activator</td>
<td>J05188</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipoprotein lipase</td>
<td>X60547</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 frags &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G protein α-subunit</td>
<td>L24550</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 frag &gt; 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All assignments from ChickGBase at http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk except α-globin genes (Hughes et al. 1979), and the polyubiquitin gene (see Results). (U) Urokinase.
blocked in 1% skim milk before applying FITC conjugated anti-BrdU antibody (Boehringer). Slides were mounted and examined as for FISH.

**FISH**

Chicken metaphase chromosomes were prepared from DT40 cells according to standard procedures. Cosmids were selected, 17 at random and 3 for the presence of CGIs, from a male adult leghorn library (Clontech). Cosmid DNA was prepared using Qiagen plasmid columns and following recommendations for low-copy plasmid purification. Biotin 16-dUTP (Boehringer) and digoxigenin 11-dUTP (Boehringer) were incorporated into cosmid DNA by nick translation and labeled probes were concentrated by precipitation. One hundred nanograms of probe was ethanol precipitated in the presence of 5 µg of salmon sperm DNA as a carrier and 2 µg of sonicated chicken genomic DNA as competitor. The pellet was resuspended in 15 µl of hybridization mix, denatured, and preannealed for 15 min at 37°C to block repetitive sequences. After RNAse treatment and denaturation, slides were hybridized at 37°C overnight. Biotinylated probes were detected with avidin-FITC or avidin-Texas Red followed by biotinylated anti-avidin (all from Vector Laboratories) and an additional layer of avidin-FITC or avidin-Texas Red. Digoxigenin-labeled probes were detected with antidigoxigenin antibody conjugated with fluorescein (Boehringer). Slides were mounted in antifadent containing DAPI and examined with a fluorescence microscope using filter blocks for FITC, Texas Red, and DAPI. Images were collected with a Photometrics CCD camera and Digital Scientific software.

**CGI Purification from Chicken Cosmids**

For each cosmid, 2.5 µg of DNA (and of vector DNA) was cut with the enzyme Msel, methylated de novo with Ss1 methylase (NEB), and after phosphatase treatment, was end labeled with [γ-32P]ATP using polynucleotide kinase. Unincorporated nucleotides were removed by passing labeled DNA over G50 Sephadex columns. MBD columns were prepared according to a previously described method (Cross et al. 1994) except that in this instance only 100 µl of nickel agarose-coupled MBD protein was loaded into the reservoir of a Wizard minicolumn (Promega) for each cosmid. DNA was loaded onto the column, washed, and eluted in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 10% glycerol, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.5 mM PMSF, and NaCl concentrations of up to 1.0 M. DNA was loaded and washed on the columns at 0.5 M salt to remove unbound fragments before eluting at 1.0 M. Collected samples were diluted back to 0.5 M and reloaded onto the column for a second round of purification. CpG-rich fragments were eluted at 0.7–1.0 M salt and were precipitated, counted on a scintillation counter, and loaded onto 1.5% agarose gels. Gels were run at 80–125 V for 4–5 hr before drying and exposing to X-ray film.

Cloning and sequence analysis were carried out using methods and reagents previously described (McQueen et al. 1996). Sequences from both ends of an ∼1-kb fragment of cosmid 7 were submitted to the EMBL database and were given accession numbers AJ001643 and AJ001644, where the latter sequence shows partial homology to Cla-1.
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