Extensive Female-Mediated Gene Flow from Sub-Saharan Africa into Near Eastern Arab Populations
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We have analyzed and compared mitochondrial DNA variation of populations from the Near East and Africa and found a very high frequency of African lineages present in the Yemen Hadramawt: more than a third were of clear sub-Saharan origin. Other Arab populations carried ∼10% lineages of sub-Saharan origin, whereas non-Arab Near Eastern populations, by contrast, carried few or no such lineages, suggesting that gene flow has been preferentially into Arab populations. Several lines of evidence suggest that most of this gene flow probably occurred within the past ∼2,500 years. In contrast, there is little evidence for male-mediated gene flow from sub-Saharan Africa in Y-chromosome haplotypes in Arab populations, including the Hadramawt. Taken together, these results are consistent with substantial migration from eastern Africa into Arabia, at least in part as a result of the Arab slave trade, and mainly female assimilation into the Arabian population as a result of miscegenation and manumission.

Contacts between eastern Africa and Arabia have existed since the establishment of obsidian exchange networks as early as the 7th millennium b.c. They were stimulated by the growth of the Egyptian state from the 4th millennium onward, with possible settlements of people from Arabia in the Horn of Africa as early as the 3rd and 2nd millennia b.c. The Afro-Arabian Tihama cultural complex, for which an African origin seems most likely, arose in the mid-2nd millennium. In addition to the coastal site of Adulis in Eritrea and sites farther inland in Eritrea, Ethiopia, and Sudan, it is represented on the Saudi coastal plains and the western and southern coasts of Yemen. Other traditions appear to have spread in the opposite direction (Fattovich 1997).

Southern Arabs gained control of the Red Sea trade routes in the 12th century b.c., and the first kingdom, Saba, arose in Yemen in ∼800 b.c. As a result, Eritrea and Ethiopia were gradually incorporated into the area of Arab influence. These contacts crystallized in the formation of the Ethio-Sabean state of Daamat on the Tigréan plateau in ∼600 b.c. The archaeological evidence suggests that this is likely to have been the result of small-scale colonization by several Arabian groups (including Sabeans) and acculturation of the indigenous population (Fattovich 1997). This was succeeded, following the decline of Saba and Daamat and several centuries of isolation, by the kingdom of Aksum in ∼A.D. 100, which formed as part of the Roman exchange network extending from Egypt as far as India, trading via the Red Sea port of Adulis. Aksum survived for 800 years and occupied southern Arabia for part of this period. Utilitarian Aksumite pottery has been found in large quantities in deposits from the 5th and 6th centuries in the Yemen Hadramawt, suggesting that there may have been substantial immigration during that period. From the 7th century onward, with the rise of Islam, Muslim communities became established along the coast of Eritrea and Somalia, spreading inland from the late 1st millennium onward (Fattovich 1997). Concomitantly, the Arab slave trade, operating from pre-Islamic times but at its height between A.D. 650 and 1900, carried millions of men and women from the Nile Valley, the Horn of Africa,
and the eastern African coast across the Red Sea to Arabia and many more millions across the Sahara (Segal 2001).

To evaluate the extent and nature of gene flow between Africa and the Near East, we have examined mtDNA variation in numerous populations from the two geographic areas and compared it with that observed in the Y chromosome. These genetic systems are uniparentally transmitted and trace out the female and male genealogies. Moreover, their sequence variation has been subdivided into a number of clades, termed “haplogroups,” each of which has originated at one time and place and been subsequently dispersed by the movement of people. Thus, haplogroups tend to be geographically restricted and are particularly informative for detecting gene flow between continents. The haplogroup profiles of Africans and Eurasians are sufficiently distinct to allow us to address the question of historical gene flow between eastern Africa and Arabia and whether the same patterns are seen in both female and male lines of descent.

We studied the variation in the first hypervariable segment (HVS-I) of the mtDNA control region (encompassing at minimum nps 16090–16365 but as much as nps 16017–16497 in many cases; numbering as per Anderson et al. [1981]) in 533 subjects from Arabic-speaking communities and 960 from non-Arabic–speaking communities in the Near East, including 344 Near Eastern Jews (table 1). (An additional set of 38 Yemeni Jews [Richards et al. 2000] was also included but was kept distinct, since some members may be from the same sample as those reported by Thomas et al. [2002].) We compared these with 74 Ethiopians and 46 Ethiopian Jews, 246 additional East Africans, 447 West Africans, 132 Central Africans, and 98 Khoisan and 416 Mozambican Bantu speakers from southern Africa (table 1). Haplogroup affiliation was defined primarily on the basis of the observed HVS-I motif, with some additional testing of diagnostic RFLP markers to ensure that misassignments were avoided. These were: haplogroups H (−1705 AluI), HV (−14766 MseI), V (−4577 NlaIII), U (+12308 HinfI), K (−9052 HaellII), JT (+4216 NlaIII), T (+15606 AluI), F1 (−12406 HincII), R (+12703 MboII), M (+10397 AluI, +10394 Ddel), D (−5176 AluI), N (+10871 MnlI), X (−14465 Accl), W (+8249 AvaII, −8994 HaellIII), A (+663 HaellIII), L3b (+10084 TaqI), L3d (−8616 MboI), L3e (+2349 MboI), and paragroup L1, along with haplogroup L2 (+3592 Hpal).

We also analyzed Y-chromosome variation in 323 subjects from Arabic-speaking communities and 1,525 from non-Arabic–speaking communities in the Near East. For comparison, we also included 214 Ethiopians, 530 West Africans, 36 Central Africans, 53 southern African Bantu speakers, and 129 southern African Khoisan speakers (table 2).

Human mtDNA and Y-chromosome variation was interpreted within the following phylogeographic frame:

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Population/Area</th>
<th>L1–L3A (%)</th>
<th>U6 (%)</th>
<th>M1 (%)</th>
<th>(pre-HV)1 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arab Near East:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraqis</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedouins</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinians</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrians</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordanians</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen Hadramawt</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Arab Near East:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgians</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenians</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azerbaijani</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurds</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Near Eastern Jews:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iraqi Jews</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iranian Jews</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jews</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgian Jews</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen Jews</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen Jews</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>East Africa:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopians</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopian Jews</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somalians</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nubians</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Sudanese</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenyans</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Africa:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Africa:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbuti and Biaka</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guineans</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Southern Africa:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kung and Khwe</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambicans</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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* j Chen et al. (2000).
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East, therefore, implies gene flow from North Africa.

al. 1998; Macaulay et al. 1999). Its presence in the Near
as characteristic of indigenous North Africans (Rando et
past 20,000–40,000 years and can therefore be regarded
in the Near East), but diverged in North Africa over the
Eurasian ancestry (since haplogroup U probably evolved
these is the African haplogroup U6, which is of ancient
Macaulay et al. 1999; Richards et al. 2000). Among
the Near Eastern/Caucasus region (Torroni et al. 1996;
W, X, and N1b, most of which probably originated in
west Eurasians: H, (pre-HV)1, HV1, U1–U7, K, J, T, I,
groups within haplogroup N that are characteristic of
85% of Near Eastern lineages fall into a set of haplo-
sub-Saharan haplogroups as “L1–L3A.” Approximately
2000, 2001; Richards et al. 2000). For convenience, we
Quintana-Murci et al. 1999; Richards and Macaulay
NOTE.—Y-chromosome nomenclature is that established
by the Y Chromosome Consortium (2002).

a NA = not available.
b Thomas et al. (2000).
c Nebel et al. (2001).
d Wilson et al. (2001).
e Weale et al. (2001).
f Underhill et al. (2000).
g Semino et al. (2002).
h Cruciani et al. (2002).

Two haplogroups, (pre-HV)1 and M1, have a distri-
bution that spans the Red Sea. Haplogroup (pre-HV)1
occurs widely throughout the Near East, reaching highest
frequency in Arabia, but is also common in Ethiopia and Somalia (Watson et al. 1997; Richards et al. 2000). Given its close phylogenetic relationship with other Eurasian clusters, this haplogroup probably originated in the Near East and spread later into eastern Africa. Haplogroup M1, however, has been assigned an African origin, despite the facts that (i) all other basal branches of haplogroup M are restricted to South Asia, East Asia, and Australasia, and (ii) the diversity of M in Asia is greater than in Africa (Quintana-Murci et al. 1999). It is restricted to the Near East and north and eastern Africa, concentrated in Somalia and Ethiopia (Watson et al. 1997). It is therefore unclear whether any particular M1 sequence type in the Near East arrived recently from Africa; an Asian origin with back-migration to Africa is possible.

Our estimates of sub-Saharan African ancestry in the Near East are, therefore, based on haplogroup L1–L3A lineages, but we show also the distribution of these other clusters present both in the Near East and East Africa.

Our Y-chromosome nomenclature follows the phylo-
genetic scheme established by the Y Chromosome Con-
sortium (2002). The principal diagnostic haplogroups for
sub-Saharan Africa are the ancient haplogroups A (de-
fined by the derived form of the M91 marker) and B
derived at M60 and M181) and a derived form of hap-
logroup E, E3a (derived at M2), which occurs in Bantu-
speaking populations at high frequency. The remainder
of haplogroup E (with the YAP+ M1 marker and derived
at M96) is also present throughout Africa and in the
Near East (Hammer et al. 1998, 2001; Scozzari et al.
1999, 2001; Rosser et al. 2000; Semino et al. 2000;
Thomas et al. 2000; Underhill et al. 2000, 2001; Cru-
ciani et al. 2002). It is unfortunate that haplogroup B
was not distinguished from some Eurasian haplogroups
before the introduction of high-resolution binary mark-
ers (Underhill et al. 2000) and cannot, therefore, be used
to identify gene flow between eastern Africa and Eurasia
in most published data sets. However, haplogroup B oc-
curs only at low frequencies in eastern Africa and has
not been detected outside Africa in global surveys (Un-
derhill et al. 2000, 2001; Hammer et al. 2001), so this
is unlikely to lead to serious bias. Indeed, Y-chromosome
variation is often characterized by very steep frequency
gradients, which, in some respects, may make phyloge-
ographic inferences more straightforward than for other
markers.

The distribution of mtDNA haplogroups in the Near
East and Africa is shown in table 1. Haplogroups L1–L3A
have so far been found at highest frequencies in Central
Africans and southern African Khoisan speakers, where
they comprise ~100% of extant lineages. For example,
the sampled Pygmies include only L1 and L2 lineages.

### Table 2
Percentages of Y-Chromosome Haplogroups A, E, and E3a in Near Eastern and African Populations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP AND POPULATION/REGION</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
<th>E (%)</th>
<th>E3a (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arab Near East:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen Hadramawt f</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yemen Sena f</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedouin f</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palestinians f</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrians f</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Arab Near East:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgians f</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenians f</td>
<td>734</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurds f</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azeris f</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turks f</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeks f</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurdish Jews g</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sephardi Jews g</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashkenazi Jews g</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopians f</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Africans gb</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Africans f</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern African Bantu f</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern African Khoisan f</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE.—Y-chromosome nomenclature is that established
by the Y Chromosome Consortium (2002).

- *A* = not available.
- Thomas et al. (2000).
- Nebel et al. (2001).
- Weale et al. (2001).
- Underhill et al. (2000).
- Semino et al. (2002).
- Cruciani et al. (2002).

Quintana-Murci et al. 1999; Richards and Macaulay 2000, 2001; Richards et al. 2000). For convenience, we refer to African L3 lineages (which do not form a clade) as “L3A” (Rando et al. 1998) and to the entire set of sub-Saharan haplogroups as “L1–L3A.” Approximately 85% of Near Eastern lineages fall into a set of haplogroups within haplogroup N that are characteristic of west Eurasians: H, (pre-HV)1, HV1, U1–U7, K, J, T, I, W, X, and N1b, most of which probably originated in the Near Eastern/Caucasus region (Torroni et al. 1996; Macaulay et al. 1999; Richards et al. 2000). Among these are the African haplogroup U6, which is of ancient Eurasian ancestry (since haplogroup U probably evolved in the Near East), but diverged in North Africa over the past 20,000–40,000 years and can therefore be regarded as characteristic of indigenous North Africans (Rando et al. 1998; Macaulay et al. 1999). Its presence in the Near East, therefore, implies gene flow from North Africa.
L1–L3A make up 89% of mtDNAs in West Africa, >90% in southern East Africa, ~70% in Somalia, and ~55% in Ethiopia.

The reason for the lower frequency of haplogroups L1–L3A in Ethiopia is the presence both of haplogroups (pre-HV)1 and M1 (at high frequencies) and of the west Eurasian haplogroups T, J, U, and HV, which are indicative of substantial gene flow from the Near East. West Eurasian mtDNAs are elsewhere very rare in sub-Saharan Africa, the main previously discovered examples having entered Nubia from Egypt (Krings et al. 1999) and into the western Sahara from northwest Africa (Rando et al. 1998). In the case of Ethiopia, the west Eurasian types mostly match types in Arabia, with only a couple of exceptions of rare derived types not previously seen elsewhere. Haplogroups (pre-HV)1 and M1 are found primarily both in eastern Africa and the Near East. In Ethiopia, by contrast with the other west Eurasian types, instances of both (pre-HV)1 and M1 types tended to be unique types or to match others found only in eastern Africa. These patterns—as well as the rather higher frequencies of (pre-HV)1 and M1 in Ethiopia—suggest that the (pre-HV)1 and M1 lineages in Ethiopia may be the result of fairly ancient interactions between East Africa and Eurasia, whereas the other west Eurasian types may be the result of more recent historical gene flow. Our estimate of recent Near Eastern mtDNA input (22%) amounts to considerably more than the 5% of Passarino et al. (1998). Contra Passarino et al. (1998), this does not seem to differ from the recent Y-chromosome input from the Near East signaled by Y-chromosome haplogroup J, which they estimate at ~25% but which has been subsequently shown to vary substantially in different Ethiopian populations (Semino et al. 2002).

Haplogroups L1–L3A in the Near East reach their highest frequency in the Yemen Hadramawt (~35%). Other Arab populations—Palestinians, Jordanians, Syrians, Iraqis, and Bedouin—have ~10%–15% of lineages of sub-Saharan African origin. These types are rarely shared between different Arab populations. By contrast, non-Arab Near Eastern populations—Turks, Kurds, Armenians, Azeris, and Georgians—have few or no such lineages, suggesting that gene flow from Africa has been specifically into Arab populations. For comparison, southern European mtDNAs include only ~2% of these lineages, and northern Europeans <1% (Richards et al. 2000). The only European region to stand out is Iberia, where ~4% of mtDNAs belong to these clusters, probably a trace of the medieval Moorish conquests (Cortereal et al. 1996; Richards et al. 2000). There is also evidence from one sample (Semino et al. 1989) that in parts of Sicily, which was held by the Arabs between 825–1091 A.D., haplogroups L1 and L2 amount to ~4%.

We compared the frequency of haplogroups L1–L3A in Jewish communities from the Near East with that in non-Jewish communities residing historically in the same area (table 1). Near Eastern Jewish groups almost entirely lack haplogroups L1–L3A (as, indeed, do Ashkenazi Jews [Thomas et al. 2002]). The only exception is in Jews from Yemen, but, even here, these lineages amount only to a quarter of their frequency in the non-Jewish sample from the Hadramawt. (L1 and L2 types are completely absent.)

These observations enable us to put a tentative time frame on the widespread appearance of sub-Saharan lineages in the Near East. The eastern Jewish communities probably emerged no earlier than 586 B.C., at the time of the destruction of the First Temple (Mourant et al. 1978). The mtDNAs in these communities show evidence of strong founder effects involving distinct sequence types in each case, in some cases matching types found locally. Therefore, it seems likely that substantial conversion of indigenous women took place at the time of the founding of these communities, followed by subsequent isolation (Thomas et al. 2002). In the case of the Yemeni Jews, this scenario is supported by blood-group evidence and the historical record. Indeed, in the case of the Yemeni Jews, it is likely that the main conversions took place between 70 A.D. and 132 A.D. (Mourant et al. 1978).

Being the case, the lack of haplogroups L1–L3A in these communities implies that these haplogroups were probably absent at the time at which the Jewish communities were founded. This suggests that much of the African gene flow into the neighboring Arab populations most likely postdates the 5th century B.C., in the case of the northern Arab populations, and perhaps even the 2nd century A.D., in the case of the Yemen.

It is conceivable that haplogroups L1–L3A have been lost from the Jewish communities as a result of genetic drift, although the independent loss of both L1 and L2 from all Jewish groups seems unlikely. However, several other lines of evidence also support recent introgression. More than half of the Yemen L1–L3A lineages occur at the tips of the mtDNA tree (cf. Salas et al. 2002), indicating that they have been generated by mutation relatively recently. Furthermore, a majority of the L1–L3A lineages in the Hadramawt—such as members of L2a, L2d, L3b, and L3d—trace back ultimately to West Africa, so that it is likely that they were delivered to East Africa by the Bantu dispersals. Supporting this suggestion, all of the L2a types in the Hadramawt occur at elevated frequency in the Bantu speakers of Mozambique (Pereira et al. 2001; Salas et al. 2002). Moreover, the chief L1a type in the Hadramawt also occurs at elevated frequency in Bantu speakers and is implicated in the Bantu dispersals, albeit having been picked up in East Africa en route (Salas et al. 2002). Bantu speakers are thought to have become first established to the east of the Great Lakes region somewhat <2,000 years ago (Phillipson 1993). Assuming that the sub-Saharan African input into Ara-
Africa is indeed directly from east Africa (rather than including a component from west or southeastern Africa), as is most likely on historical and geographical grounds (Segal 2001), this again limits the main spread into Arabia to within the last ∼2,000 years.

In addition to lineages of west Eurasian and sub-Saharan African origin, the Yemen Hadramawt sample also includes several haplogroup M lineages, some originating in south Asia and some in southeast Asia. These connections may testify to female gene flow along the trading networks established eastward from the Red Sea, along the Indian Ocean, and as far as southeast Asia.

Ethiopian Y-chromosome variation has been characterized in some detail (Passarino et al. 1998; Underhill et al. 2000; Semino et al. 2002). These data show that, as in their mtDNA, Ethiopians differ from other sub-Saharan African populations in their haplogroup profiles. They show ∼68% haplogroup E (including only ∼1% the derived haplogroup E3, which characterizes sub-Saharan Africans), ∼13% haplogroup A, ∼5% the African haplogroup B, and ∼3% the Eurasian haplogroup K*. The remainder is mainly haplogroup J, which occurs at high frequency in most Near Eastern populations.

By contrast, throughout the Near East, haplogroup A is virtually absent, for example, in Bedouin, Palestinians, and Syrians, as well as in Turks, Kurds, Armenians, Azeris, and Georgians and several Jewish groups (table 2). Haplogroup E is present in both Arab and Jewish populations throughout the Near East, as well as at high frequencies throughout most of Africa (Scozzari et al. 1999, 2001; Underhill et al. 2000; Cruciani et al. 2002). However, its distribution in the Near East suggests an ancient presence in the region, rather than indicating recent gene flow: it is present not only in Near Eastern Arab populations, but also in several groups of Jews (12%–23%) and Turks (∼10%), declining to <5% as one moves toward the Caucasus and Europe. Further supporting the suggestion of an ancient presence rather than recent gene flow from East Africa, haplogroup E occurs at only ∼10% in the Yemen Hadramawt, substantially lower than most other Arab and Jewish groups in the Near East. Yet this is precisely the region in which female-mediated gene flow from Eastern Africa reaches its highest levels. Only ∼4% of the Hadramawt sample is the derived sub-Saharan African form, E3a, which indicates recent gene flow from Africa. This subclade is virtually absent from all other Near Eastern populations sampled. Moreover, haplogroup E is entirely absent from a second Yemen sample from Sena (Thomas et al. 2000).

Taken together, these results indicate that historical female-mediated gene flow from Ethiopia to Yemen has been low, in striking contrast to the results from mtDNA. This seems likely to be true for other parts of the Near East, too, again in contrast to the pattern from mtDNA.

The mtDNAs of sub-Saharan origin that are present in Near Eastern populations show a striking phylogeographic pattern. They are virtually restricted to Arab populations, occurring at ∼35% in the Yemen Hadramawt and ∼10%–15% in other Arab populations. They are absent or almost so from Turks, Armenians, Azeris, Georgians, and Near Eastern Jews and present at lower levels in Turkish Kurds. In Europe, they are detected at appreciable levels only in regions which experienced Arab rule during the medieval period. This pattern suggests that most female gene flow from sub-Saharan Africa into the Near East probably took place relatively recently, within the last ∼2,500 years. Y-chromosome data indicate that recent male gene flow was substantially less. This appears to be the case even for the Yemen, where more than a third of mtDNAs derive from Africa.

In summary, these results are consistent with mainly female migration from eastern Africa into Arab communities within the last few thousand years. There have been many opportunities for such migrations between eastern Africa and southern Arabia during this period. However, the most likely explanation for the presence of predominately female lineages of African origin in other parts of the Arab world is that these may trace back to women brought from Africa as part of the Arab slave trade, assimilated into the Arabian population as a result of miscegenation and manumission. Indeed, unlike the situation in the Americas, there are no substantial communities of African descent in the Near East today. This is thought to be because relatively few men—mainly employed in manual labor and military service or castrated and employed as eunuchs—left descendants. Women, by contrast, were imported specifically for the sexual gratification of elite males and for their reproductive potential. The practice of manumission meant that their offspring were born free. Female slaves were, therefore, readily integrated into Islamic society (Lewis 1992; Segal 2001).

A number of recent studies have compared Y-chromosome and mtDNA variation and drawn conclusions about sex-specific migration (Underhill et al. 2001; Salas et al. 2002). Some of these have been associated with patriarchy versus matriarchy (Oota et al. 2001) and others with ethnic-specific long-range dispersal patterns (Thomas et al. 2002). By contrast, this study indicates the long-term effects of a particular socioeconomic system, based on slavery, on the gene pool of an entire region.
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