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Relation of birth variables to death from cardiovascular disease

EDITOR—D J P Barker and colleagues’ study puts a further nail in the coffin of those who doubt that the intrauterine environment influences later health—in this instance, death from cardiovascular disease.1 A theme running through the Sheffield group’s work is that maternal nutrition is often inadequate, but there is nothing paradoxical about this. Central sensitisation may also occur in such cases as part of a secondary “wind up” phenomenon in the dorsal horn. Occasionally this may lead to uncontrolled pain, with an N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor blocker such as ketamine.2 Central sensitisation in neuropathic pain is more complex and, as Bowsher points out, demands a range of alternative measures.3

D P DAVIES
M JTHMES
Department of Child Health, University College of Medicine, Cardiff CF4 4XN

Paradoxical pain

EDITOR—David Bowsher defines paradoxical pain as pain that is not responsive to morphine.4 It is more generally understood as pain that is made worse rather than better by increasing doses of morphine. It has been reliably reported with large doses of intrathecal morphine and diaphragm and probably occurs occasionally with large daily doses of the same drug intravenously. Bowsher and his colleagues have made a good case for paradoxical pain being the result of a genetic inability to metabolise morphine to the potent morphine 6-glucuronide, which leaves large quantities of morphine 3-glucuronide (a putative morphine antagonist or a non-specific cerebral stimulant, or both) unopposed. It is difficult, therefore, to understand why Bowsher has opted for an alternative definition. It is also disturbing that he has used “overwhelming pain” as a synonym for paradoxical pain. Overwhelming pain is a term used to emphasise a catastrophic result of chronic unrelieved severe cancer pain. It almost always responds to adequate amounts of morphine, coanalgesics if appropriate, and, usually, an anxiolytic. A comparable situation is sometimes seen despite large doses of morphine whose patient anxiety and fears have not been addressed. Thus, in one case, a patient with inoperable cancer of the oesophagus was still in pain despite receiving 12 g of oral morphine a day when he was admitted to a hospice; a week later, when he was free of pain when taking 60 mg of morphine a day and 10 mg of diazepam at night. His seemingly morphine resistant nociceptive cancer pain responded to listening, explanation, and the setting of positive rehabilitation goals.

Nociceptive pain is also relatively resistant to morphine and other opioids when there is peripheral or central neural sensitisation. Sensitisation occurs in damaged tissue and the surrounding area and in areas subserved by either an injured peripheral nerve or an involved part of the central nervous system. Pain associated with inflammation is a typical example of peripheral sensitisation, hence the need to use a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug in most patients with painful soft tissue injury. Paradoxical pain is an example of central sensitisation, and morphine alone is often inadequate, but there is nothing paradoxical about this. Central sensitisation may also occur in such cases as part of a secondary “wind up” phenomenon in the dorsal horn. Occasionally this may lead to uncontrolled pain, with a N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor blocker such as ketamine.1 Central sensitisation in neuropathic pain is more complex and, as Bowsher points out, demands a range of alternative measures.4

ROBERT TWYCROSS
Sir Michael Sobell House,
Churchill Hospital,
Oxford OX3 7LJ


In 1967 Cicely Saunders described the concept of total pain, which encompasses the psychological, emotional, and spiritual turmoil of some patients with severe pain. Might this be what Bowsher refers to as overwhelming pain? G W C HANKS
W M O’NEILL
M T FALCON
United Medical and Dental Schools, Division of Oncology, Department of Palliative Medicine, St Thomas’ Hospital, London SE1 7EH


EDITOR—David Bowsher’s editorial oversimplifies a complex and contentious issue.1 Paradoxical pain may well exist but is neither well documented nor common; it does not account for the majority of cases of uncontrolled pain, and we are not aware of any evidence that it was an important factor in the care of the patient in the recent highly publicised court case.2

We agree that morphine paradoxical pain is caused by abnormal metabolism of morphine is plausible but built on shaky foundations. The evidence in rats that morphine 3-glucuronide may antagonise the analgesic actions of morphine3 is unsubstantiated and is hard to explain given that morphine 3-glucuronide has a much lower binding affinity for opioid receptors than either morphine or the active metabolite, morphine-6-glucuronide.4 Furthermore, large interspecies variations exist not only in the metabolism of morphine but also in the distribution of opioid receptors.5 Thus animal data on this subject cannot, and should not, be extrapolated to humans and many questions remain.

Though recognition of this potential therapeutic problem is welcome, until the clinical importance of the morphine metabolites in humans is completely understood these rare cases of paradoxical pain will remain unexplained.

CAROL J. DAVIS
ROSE TURNER
Palliative Care Unit, Royal Marsden Hospital, Sutton, Surrey SM2 9PT


EDITOR—The concept of paradoxical pain and its relation to morphine metabolites raises many questions.1 There are several conceptual errors inherited in this description. One of the most fundamental is that the pain syndromes as described should at any time actually respond to opioids. This makes the assumption that so called paradoxical pain is nociceptive pain, with the second assumption that all nociceptive pain
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