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Abstract

There is growing consensus that genome organization and long-range gene regulation involves partitioning of the genome into domains of distinct epigenetic chromatin states. Chromatin insulator or barrier elements are key components of these processes as they can establish boundaries between chromatin states. The ability of elements such as the paradigm β-globin HS4 insulator to block the range of enhancers or the spread of repressive histone modifications is well established. Here we addressed the hypothesis that a barrier element in vertebrates should be capable of defending a gene from silencing by DNA methylation. Using an established stable reporter gene system, we find that HS4 acts specifically to protect a gene promoter from de novo DNA methylation. Notably, protection from methylation can occur in the absence of histone acetylation or transcription. There is a division of labor at HS4: the sequences that mediate protection from methylation are separable from those that mediate CTCF-dependent enhancer blocking and USF-dependent histone modification recruitment. The zinc finger protein VEZF1 was purified as the factor that specifically interacts with the methylation protection elements. VEZF1 is a candidate CpG island protection factor as the G-rich sequences bound by VEZF1 are frequently found at CpG island promoters. Indeed, we show that VEZF1 elements are sufficient to mediate demethylation and protection of the APRT CpG island promoter from DNA methylation. We propose that many barrier elements in vertebrates will prevent DNA methylation in addition to blocking the propagation of repressive histone modifications, as either process is sufficient to direct the establishment of an epigenetically stable silent chromatin state.

Introduction

It has been proposed that genes and gene clusters are organized into chromatin domains that are maintained independent of their surroundings through the establishment of boundaries [1,2]. These boundaries may be variable in position, resulting from a balance between countervailing chromatin opening and condensing processes. Alternatively, chromatin boundaries of fixed position could be established by specific DNA sequence elements and their associated binding proteins. Such elements, collectively called insulators, possess a common ability to protect genes from inappropriate signals emanating from their surrounding environment [3–7].

The chicken β-globin genes are clustered within a thirty kilobase domain of nuclease accessible chromatin, the 5' boundary of which is marked by a constitutive DNaseI hypersensitive site called HS4 (Figure 1). The HS4 element has two activities that functionally define insulators. First, it can block the action of an enhancer element on a linked promoter, but only when positioned between the two [8]. The protein CTCF mediates the enhancer blocking activity of the HS4 element [9]. Second, the HS4 insulator acts as a barrier to chromosomal position effect silencing [10]. The activities of HS4 have been mapped to a 275 bp “core” element that contains five protein binding sites revealed by DNase I footprinting [9–11] (Figure S1). The enhancer blocking and barrier activities of HS4 appear to have different underlying mechanisms as they are separable in assay systems. The CTCF binding site footprint II (FII) is necessary and sufficient for enhancer blocking, but can be deleted from HS4 without affecting barrier activity [9,12,13]. The four remaining protein binding sites are all essential for barrier activity (FI, FIII, FIV and FV) but dispensable for enhancer blocking activity [9].

We previously found that the binding of ubiquitous USF proteins to a single site in HS4, footprint FIV, is a necessary component of its barrier activity [14]. USF serves to constitutively recruit several histone modifying enzymes, leading to the enrichment of a panel of histone modifications typically associated with transcriptionally active open chromatin, including H3ac, H4ac, H3K4me2 and H4R3me2as. Knock down of USF expression abolishes the recruitment of active histone modifications and leads to the encroachment of transcriptionally repressive chromatin marked by H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 into the β-globin
locus [14,15]. While USF function is necessary, it is not sufficient for HS4’s barrier activity. Deletion of any one of the three remaining HS4 binding sites FI, FIII or FV disrupts barrier activity without affecting USF-mediated recruitment of histone modifications to HS4 [12,14].

We hypothesized that the FI, FIII and FV sites may contribute to barrier activity by preventing a transcriptional silencing process other than that mediated by repressive histone modifications. We previously observed that transgenes lack promoter DNA methylation when shielded from chromosomal silencing by HS4 elements [16]. Similar results were seen with retroviral transgenes shielded by HS4 [17]. It was not clear from these studies whether the lack of DNA methylation was an indirect consequence of transcriptional activity of insulated transgenes, nor did these studies address whether particular DNA elements or proteins bound at HS4 are specifically responsible for this protection. We now use insulator mutations to demonstrate that HS4 does specifically protect a gene promoter from DNA methylation. We determine which HS4 sequence elements are responsible for protection from methylation and have purified the protein that recognizes these elements in vivo. We also demonstrate that these elements are able to mediate the demethylation of a CpG island promoter.

Results

Three elements within the HS4 insulator protect a promoter from DNA methylation

To investigate whether HS4 acts specifically to counter DNA methylation, we have studied transgenic cell lines that were previously established for the HS4 barrier assay. The assay construct consists of an IL-2R reporter gene driven by an erythroid enhancer and promoter randomly integrated into erythroid 6C2 cells. These transgenes are susceptible to chromosomal silencing over a period of 20–40 days in culture following the removal of selection, with transgenic promoters being subject to DNA methylation and subsequent recruitment of the Mi-2/NuRD corepressor complex [12,16]. In contrast, transgenes flanked by wild-type HS4 insulators are protected from silencing and lack promoter DNA methylation [16,17]. It was unclear from these earlier results whether the lack of methylation was a consequence of transcriptional activity or whether particular HS4 activities mediated protection from methylation.

We have performed clonal bisulfite sequencing on single/low-copy transgenes flanked by HS4 insulators that are either wild type or carry deletions in one of five protein binding site ‘footprints’ (Figure 1). We have studied the same stocks of the same cloned transgenic cells that have been characterized for long term expression and histone modification status [12,14]. The transgene promoter remains free of DNA methylation when insulated from chromosomal silencing by wild type HS4 elements, even following prolonged culture (Figure 2B, WT). Strikingly, we find that transgenic promoters are subject to almost complete DNA methylation if any one of three footprinted sites, FI, FIII or FV, is deleted from the flanking HS4 insulators (Figure 2, A1, A3 and A5). These profiles of DNA hypermethylation are indistinguishable from those observed at non-insulated transgenes [16]. In contrast, deletion of the CTCF site (FI) binding site from HS4 results in little de novo DNA methylation of the promoter (Figure 2B, AII). The deletion of the CTCF site has no effect on barrier activity and the lack of methylation observed is consistent with the transcriptionally active state of this transgene (Figure 2C, [12]). De novo DNA methylation of the transgene promoter has previously been observed to be a secondary consequence of chromosomal silencing in the absence of insulation [18]. This suggested that deletion of the USF binding site from HS4, which abolishes HS4’s barrier activity and results in the loss of active histone modifications [14] and transcriptional silencing [12], should also result in promoter hypermethylation. To our surprise, deletion of the USF (FIV) binding site from HS4 results in little de novo DNA methylation of the promoter (Figure 2B, AIV). These results show that the DNA methylation status of a promoter does not necessarily follow its histone modification and transcription status. They also strongly
Figure 2. Three footprinted sites in the HS4 barrier protect a promoter from DNA methylation. (A) Schematic representation of the IL-2R transgene drawn to scale with the distribution of CpG dinucleotides shown below. Promoter region CpG dinucleotides subject to bisulfite genomic sequencing (BSEQ) analysis are indicated by the gray bar. (B) CpG methylation of transgene promoters flanked by wild-type or mutant HS4 insulators after 30 (left) or 90 (right) days of culture. The percentage of methylation for each CpG from 10 clones is plotted. The average methylation for all CpGs is indicated to the right of each plot. Scoring from individual clones can be found in Table S1. Numbers below each histogram refer to CpG numbering from [16], where CpG 4–11 and 12–18 reside in the promoter and coding sequence, respectively. Data are representative of two independent transgenic lines, with an average methylation variation of 7% or less. (C) Transgenic IL-2R expression for each line in (B) as monitored by flow cytometry. WT and ΔI lines retain IL-2R expression, whereas ΔII, ΔIII, ΔIV and ΔV all succumb to silencing following 20–40 days of culture. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.g002
indicating that three protein binding sites at HS4 (FI, FIII and FV) have a specific role to mediate protection from silencing associated with de novo DNA methylation.

**Mutant insulators are partially methylated**

We next sought to determine how HS4 footprint deletions affect the timing and level of methylation of the mutant insulators in comparison with their linked transgene promoters. The dogma established from previous studies posits that a barrier element like HS4 acts as a passive barrier to the propagation, or spreading, of chromosomal silencing. We therefore expect that when HS4 mutations compromise barrier activity, the mutant insulators would become methylated either prior to, or coincident with, the transgene promoters they are shielding. We performed bisulfite sequencing of the HS4 elements that are located 5’ of the IL-2R transgenes (Figure 3A). Analyses were made following 30 days of culture, typically the period at which epigenetic silencing of the transgene is established in non-insulated lines, and after 90 days, at which point any silencing will be complete. We find that wild type HS4 elements remain unmethylated during long term culture, concordant with the lack of transgene methylation (Figure 3C, WT). Deletion of the FI and FV sites results in partial methylation of HS4 (Figure 3C, ΔI and ΔV). This is in line with the effects of these mutations on promoter methylation. The timing of methylation does not fit the spreading models however, as the transgene promoter becomes methylated prior to the flanking insulator (compare ΔI at day 30 in Figure 2B with that in Figure 3C). Furthermore, deletion of the FIII site does not result in methylation of HS4 despite complete promoter methylation resulting from this mutation (compare ΔIII at day 90 in Figure 3C with that in Figure 2B). We also found that deletion of either the CTCF or USF sites leads to partial methylation of HS4, but with no methylation at the promoter (compare ΔII and ΔIV at day 90 in Figure 3C with that in Figure 2B).

We note that the patterns of partial methylation of mutant HS4 elements are heterogeneous, with none of the individually sequenced clones becoming densely methylated (Table S2). The partial methylation of mutant HS4 elements (20%-50%) contrasts with the near total DNA methylation observed at the silenced promoters flanked by FI, FIII or FV site mutant insulators (90%-100%). These findings reveal a disconnect between the level and timing of de novo DNA methylation at a transgene and flanking insulators.

**Identification of HS4-binding activities**

The footprinted sequences FI, FIII and FV are specifically required for HS4’s ability to protect against DNA-methylation-mediated silencing of a transgene. We wished to identify the factors that interact with each of the FI, FIII and FV sites to better understand this activity. We established gel mobility shift assays for insulator-binding activities using nuclear protein extracts of the chicken early erythroid cell line 6C2 (the cell line in which the barrier assay is performed) and adult chicken red blood cells (an abundant source of nuclear protein for purification purposes). Complexes of similar mobility and intensity are observed between the two nuclear extracts and each of the FI, FIII and FV sites (Figure 4, 6C2 data not shown). Competition assays show that these complexes are all specific for homopolymeric dG-dC strings found in each site. Unlabelled wild type FI duplexes compete efficiently with the formation of the major complex with FI, whereas FI duplexes harboring mutations in the (dG-dC)\(_6\) string are much less effective as competitors (Figure 4A, arrow, compare lanes 2, 4 and 5). The major complex with FIII specifically interacts with the (dG-dC)\(_6\) string at its center (Figure 4B, compare lanes 1, 5, 6 and 7) and the major FV complex also specifically interacts with bases in both of its (dG-dC)\(_6\) strings (Figure 4C, compare lanes 1, 4, 5 and 7).

The same proteins interact with the FI, FIII and FV sites. This is supported by the observation that the three sites can efficiently compete with each other. Unlabelled FIII duplexes compete for nuclear protein interactions with labeled FI (Figure 4A, compare lanes 1 and 6) and FI duplexes efficiently compete for interaction with FIII (Figure 4B, compare lanes 1 and 9), for example. Mutational analysis shows that this cross-competition is dependent upon the dG-dC string bases within each footprint site (data not shown). Competition assays also reveal that the relative affinity of nuclear proteins for the three sites differs somewhat. FI complexes form with approximately 2- and 5-fold greater affinity than FIII and FV complexes, respectively (data not shown). Together, these observations of similar sequence specificity and comparable complex mobilities indicate that common nuclear proteins interact with all of these sites.

**VEZF1 specifically interacts with G-rich sites in the HS4 insulator and the β\(^a\)-globin promoter**

We purified proteins that specifically interact with FI and FIII from chicken red blood cells by conventional chromatography. FI- and FIII-binding activities exactly co-fractionated following ion exchange chromatography with SP- and Heparin sepharose (Figure 5). The active elution peak from Heparin sepharose chromatography was split in two and fractionated in parallel by either FI or FIII DNA affinity chromatography. The resulting purified polypeptides were sequenced by tandem mass spectrometry (Figure S2). The proteins Hsp70, VEZF1, ZF5 and TEF1\(\alpha\) were present in both FI and FIII DNA affinity eluates. The proteins SP1 and SP3 were additionally present in the FI DNA affinity eluate.

We firstly cloned chicken VEZF1 (Refseq NM_001037827.1) and determined whether it interacts with the G-rich footprinted sites of the HS4 insulator, as it was previously reported that human VEZF1 [also known as DB1] interacts with similar G-rich sites [19,20]. We find that in vitro translation of chicken VEZF1 cDNA yields a 65 kDa protein that efficiently interacts with the FI, FIII and FV sites (Figure 6A). The complexes formed with recombinant VEZF1 migrate slightly faster than those formed with nuclear extract. This may be a reflection of differing post translational modifications. Nonetheless, recombinant VEZF1 binds with an identical specificity to that observed for nuclear extract proteins. For example, competition of VEZF1 complexes with unlabelled FI and FIII duplexes is disrupted by mutations within their dG-dC strings (Figure 6A, lanes 1–8).

The (dG-dC)\(_6\) strings present in the VEZF1 sites at HS4 are reminiscent of a site in the chicken β\(^a\)-globin promoter that contains a (dG-dC)\(_{16}\) string. A nuclear factor called Beta Globin Protein 1 (BGP1) was previously characterized as interacting with this site [21]. BGP1 binding site has no effect on transcription in vitro, but was considered to indirectly assist in activation by directing nucleosome placement [22–24]. BGP1 protein of 66 kDa can be purified using poly(dG)-poly(dC) affinity chromatography [25]. We have now sequenced a purified BGP1 sample (a gift from J. Allan, University of Edinburgh) and find it to be VEZF1. We find that recombinant chicken VEZF1 interacts with the β\(^a\) promoter site with an identical specificity to that of erythrocyte nuclear protein(s) (Figure 6A, compare lanes 9–15 with 16–22). At least seven contiguous homopolymeric dG-dC base pairs are required for the efficient formation of complexes between recombinant VEZF1 or nuclear proteins and the β\(^a\) promoter site [25]. Consistent with
Figure 3. Mutations of insulator protein binding sites result in the de novo methylation of HS4 itself. (A) Schematic representation of the IL-2R transgene including the upstream HS4 elements subject to bisulfite genomic sequencing analysis (gray horizontal bar below CpG plot). (B) Schematic representation of a 275 bp HS4 core element, drawn to scale. Footprinted sequences are shaded gray. CpG locations with their assigned numbers are shown above. Horizontal bars indicate bases subject to deletion. (C) CpG methylation of wild-type (WT) or mutant (ΔI - ΔV) HS4 insulators after 30 (left) or 90 (right) days of culture. Both copies of HS4 were sequenced, except for ΔII and ΔIV where only the outermost copy was sequenced (see Materials and Methods). The percentage of methylation for each CpG from 10 clones is plotted. The average methylation for all CpGs is indicated to the right of each plot. Scoring from individual clones can be found in Table S2. Numbers below each histogram refer to CpG numbering as assigned in (B). Horizontal gray bars indicate deleted CpGs. Data are representative of at least two independent transgenic lines, with an average methylation variation of 12% or less.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.g003
this, interaction between VEZF1 and the βA site is competed by unlabelled FI which contains a (dG-dC)9 string (Figure 6A, compare lanes 16 and 20). This competition is disrupted by mutation at the centre of the FI dG-dC string (Figure 6A, compare lanes 20 and 21). However, VEZF1 interaction with the βA site is also competed by FIII which contains only a (dG-dC)6 string (Figure 6A, compare lanes 16 and 22). FIII contains a second short (dG-dC)4 string, as does FV (see figure 4D), which may compensate to form a bipartite recognition motif. Recombinant VEZF1 interacts with the contiguous dG-dC strings of the FI and βA sites with approximately 2- and 5-fold greater affinities than the bipartite dG-dC strings of the FIII and FV sites, respectively (data not shown).

Polyclonal antibodies were raised against a conserved C-terminal fragment of VEZF1, which specifically recognize the 65 kDa VEZF1 polypeptide from chicken nuclear extracts (Figure S7B). VEZF1 antibodies readily supershift/abrogate complexes between recombinant VEZF1 and the FI, FIII, FV and βA sites (Figure 6B). Supershift analysis also reveals that VEZF1 is present in complexes between nuclear extracts and the FI, FIII, FV and βA sites (Figure 6B). VEZF1 appears to be the only factor that interacts with the FIII and FV sites, whereas other factors also appear to bind to the FI and βA sites in vitro.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analyses were performed to analyze the binding of VEZF1 at the chicken β-globin locus in vivo. Chromatin was prepared from the early erythroid line 6C2, which does not express β-globin and nucleated erythrocytes isolated from 10 day chicken embryos, a stage at which approximately 80% of erythrocytes are definitive and express the βA-globin gene. VEZF1 was found to strongly interact with HS4 in both 6C2 cells and erythrocytes, consistent with our in vitro analyses (Figure 7A and 7B). VEZF1 binding to HS4 therefore does not coincide with transcription of the βA-globin genes. VEZF1 does not interact with the 3′HS enhancer blocking element, which does not contain any dG-dC string like motifs and lacks barrier activity [12]. This is in contrast to CTCF, which interacts strongly with both the HS4 and 3′HS insulators in 6C2 cells and erythrocytes (Figure 7A and 7B). We also find that VEZF1 strongly interacts with the βA promoter, consistent with gel mobility shift assays. In contrast to HS4, VEZF1 binding to the βA promoter appears to be restricted to erythrocytes in which the βA gene is expressed (Figure 7A and 7B). None of the other candidate HS4-binding proteins isolated by DNA affinity purification were found to bind in vivo (described in Text S1) (see also Figures S3, S4, S5).

We tested whether VEZF1 requires all three of its sites for binding to HS4 in vivo, as all three VEZF1 binding sites are required for protection from DNA methylation. We found that this was not the case, as VEZF1 remains tightly bound at HS4 when any one of its binding sites is deleted (Figure S6). VEZF1 also remains bound to mutant HS4 elements that have become partially methylated. Consistent with this, we found that VEZF1...
binding to its three sites at HS4 is not affected by CpG methylation in vitro (data not shown). We have attempted to disrupt VEZF1 function at HS4 following knockdown by RNAi. We strived to achieve substantial knockdown of VEZF1 to disrupt its binding to the high affinity sites at HS4. Prolonged knockdown was also required as we have previously found that the de novo DNA methylation of these transgenes is a gradual process that takes many days to establish [18]. We were able to knockdown VEZF1 protein to 3% of wild type levels following 2 weeks of stable miRNA expression. However, ChIP analysis revealed that VEZF1 binding to HS4 was not significantly affected following this prolonged and substantial knockdown (Figure S7). Consequently, we observed no de novo DNA methylation of the HS4 element and no change in HS4’s ability to protect a transgene from silencing during this period (data not shown). The inadequacy of RNAi to strip constitutive transcription factor binding from high affinity sites has also been observed for CTCF [26]. Unfortunately, we are unable to study the role of murine Vezf1’s role in protection from DNA methylation in Vezf1 null ES cells, as we recently found that they are defective for de novo DNA methylation due to the requirement of Vezf1 for full transcriptional activity of the Dom3b gene in these cells [27].

VEZF1 elements protect a CpG island from de novo DNA methylation

To address whether VEZF1 elements also protect CpG island (CGI) promoters from DNA methylation, we investigated VEZF1 binding to the APRT gene promoter and its effects on methylation. SP1-like binding elements have been shown to be required to prevent methylation of the mouse and hamster APRT CpG island elements: two earlier papers have shown that deletion of the SP1-like elements is sufficient to induce methylation in these islands [28,29]. Furthermore, pre-methylated fragments of the hamster APRT CGI that contain three SP1-like elements are subject to demethylation upon integration into mouse ES cells [28]. The SP1 transcription factor itself is not required for the unmethylated state of CGIs however, with the APRT gene remaining unmethylated and expressed normally in Sp1 null ES cells and embryos [30]. Given that VEZF1 recognizes G-rich sequences that are similar to SP1 motifs, we hypothesized that VEZF1 may interact with the APRT CGI elements (Figure 8A). We performed ChIP analyses for the binding of SP1 and VEZF1 to the 720 bp hamster APRT CGI stably integrated into mouse ES cells (Figure 8B). We found SP1 binding at both sites 1/2 and site 3, but site 3 was also occupied by VEZF1. Supershift analysis also shows VEZF1 interaction with site 3 in vitro (Figure S8). Site 3 contains a motif (CCCCCCCTTTCCCC) that is reminiscent of the VEZF1-specific bipartite footprint III site found at the HS4 insulator element (CCCCCCCGATCCCC).

To address whether VEZF1 elements could protect the APRT CGI from methylation, we replaced each of the three SP1-like elements with the VEZF1-specific FIII element from the HS4 insulator (Figure 8A). ChIP analysis shows that VEZF1 binding replaces that of SP1 at the mutant APRT CGI integrated into ES cells (Figure 8B). Supershift analysis also shows that VEZF1 interacts with the mutant sites 1&2 and site 3, while SP1/SP3 binding is lost (Figure S8). We then tested the ability of wild type and mutant APRT CGIs to resist DNA methylation. Firstly, we confirmed that the de novo DNA methylation machinery functioned normally in the ES cells as non-island sequences from the APRT gene body succumb to de novo methylation (Figure 8C). Consistent with previous results [28,29], we find that the wild type APRT CGI is protected from DNA methylation when stably integrated into ES cells (Figure 8D). Furthermore, a pre-methylated wild type APRT CGI is demethylated upon integration (Figure 8E). It has previously been shown that mutation of the SP1-like elements results in the de novo methylation of the APRT CGI [28]. Our results show that substitution of the SP1-like elements with VEZF1-specific FIII elements from HS4 restores the ability of the mutant APRT CGI to be both protected from de novo methylation and to remove pre-methylation (Figure 8E and 8F). VEZF1 elements from HS4 are therefore sufficient to mediate the demethylation and protection of a CGI from DNA methylation.
Figure 6. VEZF1 specifically interacts with dG–dC strings within HS4 footprints I and III and the β-globin promoter. (A) Gel mobility shift analysis of interactions with 32P-labelled HS4 FI, and FIII, or β-globin promoter ‘glo wt’ oligonucleotide duplexes. Unlabelled competitor duplexes (indicated above each lane) were added at 50 fold molar excess. Adult chicken erythrocyte nuclear extract (ery) or recombinant chicken VEZF1 were used as indicated by brackets above the lanes. Arrows indicate footprint sequence-specific complexes. (B) Gel mobility supershift assays using 32P-labelled FI, FIII, FV, and glo wt oligonucleotide duplexes. Proteins were pre-incubated with anti-VEZF1 antibodies (indicated above each lane) prior to incubation with DNA. VEZF1 supershifts are evidenced by either abrogation of specific complexes (asterisks) and/or formation of low mobility ternary complexes (SS). Antibodies alone do not give rise to complexes with any of the duplexes used (data not shown). Supershift experiments shown are cropped from the full gels shown in Figure S3. (C) Sequences present in oligonucleotides used in gel mobility shift assays. dG–dC strings and their mutations are bold and underlined, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.g006
Discussion

Here we have studied the paradigm HS4 element to address our hypothesis that a barrier element in vertebrates must be capable of defending a gene from silencing by DNA methylation and have identified a novel CpG island factor. We have presented six findings: 1) a vertebrate barrier element protects a gene promoter from DNA methylation-mediated silencing, 2) the essential transcription factor VEZF1 is a barrier/anti-methylation factor, 3) there is a modular division of labor at the compound HS4 insulator as VEZF1-mediated protection from methylation is separable from CTCF-mediated enhancer blocking and USF-mediated recruitment of active histone modifications, 4) the de novo DNA methylation activity prevented by the HS4 barrier does not appear to spread from its chromosomal neighborhood, 5) a promoter can be protected from DNA methylation even when it lacks active histone modifications and transcriptional activity, and 6) short DNA elements bound by VEZF1 mediate the demethylation and protection of a CpG island from DNA methylation.

The barrier activity of HS4 consists of separable activities which prevent silencing mediated by either histone or DNA methylation

We have previously demonstrated that the HS4 insulator acts as a barrier to the spread of histone methylation marks associated with repressive chromatin [14,15]. While we found that active histone modifications recruited by USF proteins are an essential component of HS4’s barrier activity, they are not sufficient [14]. Three addition protein binding sites are essential for barrier activity but are not required for the recruitment of active histone modifications [12,14]. These findings, summarized in Figure 9, indicated that there was an additional and separable component to HS4’s barrier activity. Here, we show that all three sites are bound by VEZF1 and are required for HS4’s ability to protect a linked promoter from de novo DNA methylation.

It was previously shown that the transgenes used in this study become marked by dense promoter DNA methylation upon chromosomal position effect silencing [16]. Promoter DNA methylation occurred subsequent to histone deacetylation and transcriptional inactivation of the promoter [18]. While flanking HS4 elements perfectly shield the transgene from silencing and DNA methylation, it was unclear from these experiments whether the lack of promoter methylation was simply a readout of the promoter’s transcription status. We show that VEZF1-mediated protection from DNA methylation of a transgene promoter is retained even when USF site mutations at HS4 lead to histone deacetylation and transcriptional silencing (Figure 9, A-USF). The separation of DNA methylation protection from a promoter’s histone modification and transcriptional status is a strong indication that the VEZF1 sites at HS4 possess a bona fide activity that is protective against DNA methylation.

De novo DNA methylation of a transgene does not appear to propagate via a continuous DNA methylation-dependent spreading mechanism

Determining the source of de novo DNA methylation is key to our understanding of how VEZF1 binding at HS4 could protect a promoter from epigenetic silencing. Previous studies using the same transgene system studied here found that non-insulated transgenes, regardless of integration site, are consistently subject to promoter methylation upon chromosomal silencing, and that flanking with HS4 elements can shield transgenes from this methylation [16,18]. The simplest explanation of these results is that HS4 is acting as a barrier to the encroachment, or spreading, of a silencing mechanisms that results in DNA methylation. The ability of repressive histone modifications and associated chromatin factors to mediate the spreading of gene silencing is well documented for many systems [31]. In the case of the chicken β-globin locus, the spreading of repressive histone modifications is observed upon perturbation of active histone modification recruitment at the HS4 barrier [14,15]. Analyses of progressive CpG island methylation during tumor progression are consistent with models that describe the spreading of DNA methylation [32,33].

Should de novo DNA methylation arise via spreading from the chromosomal integration site in our transgene system, we would expect to see high levels of methylation at compromised mutant insulators either coincident with, or prior to promoter methylation. However, we observe that promoters become methylated prior to the insulators, which remain unmethylated or become partially methylated. The observed independence of methylation states between insulator and promoter argue against spreading and clearly show that there can be no single mechanism that controls the methylation state of both the insulator and promoter. It remains possible that VEZF1 elements at HS4 are acting as a barrier to the spreading of a DNA methylation mechanism, but that additional processes prevent the accumulation of methylation at HS4 itself. An alternative possibility is that DNA methylation does not result from spreading, and that the insulator directly interacts with the promoter to deliver VEZF1 co-factors that prevent promoter methylation. In this model, the promoter itself would have its own program to recruit de novo DNA methylation, and VEZF1 would act as a factor that mediates inhibition of methylation. This would distinguish the activity of VEZF1 from those of USF1/USF2, which bind elsewhere in the insulator element and recruit a number of enzymes that deliver active histone modifications to the reporter gene [14,15,34]. It will be of interest in future to determine whether VEZF1 elements potentiate the expression of nearby genes through the control of DNA methylation.

Intact insulator protein complexes maintain HS4 as a CpG island

The 275 bp “core” HS4 element comprises a CpG island (CGI) that is free of DNA methylation regardless of neighboring gene

Figure 7. VEZF1 interacts with the HS4 insulator in vivo. (A) ChIP analysis of VEZF1 and CTCF interactions at the β-globin locus in (A) 6C2 erythroid progenitor cells or (B) 10 day embryonic erythrocytes. DNA enrichments at the β-globin promoter or the HS4 and 3′HS insulators were normalized to a negative control located in the 16 kb condensed chromatin region upstream of the β-globin locus. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.g007
expression [11,35,36], as well as when it is inserted into the mouse Ig2/H19 domain [37]. Consistent with this, we found that wild type transgenic HS4 elements remain unmethylated during long term culture. The processes that maintain the unmethylated state of the insulator appear to be complex, as we find that the mutation of all insulator protein binding sites results in some degree of de novo DNA methylation of HS4. It has previously been shown that DNA binding proteins can prevent the methylation of their binding sites simply by steric hindrance of de novo DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) [38]. It is possible that the HS4 deletions studied here disrupt cooperative interactions between insulator proteins, thus permitting DNMT access. We performed ChIP experiments on HS4 mutants and found that deletion of any one insulator binding site does not lead to the loss of binding of another (Figure S6). These findings argue against a simple steric protection of HS4 DNA from DNMTs by transcription factor binding. The degree of methylation at mutant HS4 elements was typically moderate (20–50%) and did not increase to the near total methylation seen at the transgene promoter (90–100%) following
VEZF1 elements at CpG island promoters
at HS4.

These observations are consistent with a barrier element. Figure 9. Shielding of a transgene by the multi-component HS4 barrier element. The observed effects of insulator protein binding site mutations on the DNA methylation (this study), histone modification [14] and transcriptional status (this study and [12]) of a transgene insulated by HS4. A schematic representation is shown where HS4 harbors one CTCF (purple), one USF (green) and three VEZF1 (red) binding sites. Transcriptional activity of the reporter gene is indicated with an arrow. The histone modification status is depicted above each transgene, where high and minimal levels of H3ac and H3K4me2 are represented as ac/me and -, respectively. The DNA methylation status of the promoter and upstream insulators is indicated below each transgene where open and filled circles represent unmethylated and methylated bases, respectively. Shades of methylation are an approximation of the data presented in Figure 1 and Figure 2. Mutation of the CTCF site disrupts enhancer blocking activity [9] but has no effect on barrier activity of HS4. USF site mutation disrupts the recruitment of active histone modifications, resulting in transcriptional silencing. The promoter remains unmethylated in USF mutants due to the action of VEZF1. VEZF1 site mutations abrogate barrier activity despite USF-mediated recruitment of histone modifications remaining intact. VEZF1 mutants are characterized by complete promoter methylation. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.g009

long-term culture. These observations are consistent with a balance between activities that add and remove DNA methylation at HS4.

VEZF1 elements at CpG island promoters

All constitutively expressed genes and ~40% of genes with tissue-restricted expression have CGI promoters [39]. CGIs are typically unmethylated, especially in the germline, which ensures that these CGIs are not subject to mutation by spontaneous deamination. It remains to be determined how CGIs resist global de novo methylation during early development, and how they remain hypomethylated irrespective of transcriptional status. Recent epigenomic profiling studies have begun to reveal a significant portion of CGIs that are subject to varying degrees of tissue-specific methylation in human somatic tissues [40–42]. These findings point to the existence of processes that protect CGIs from de novo methylation, which can be selectively inactivated during development and may become defective during cancer progression [43]. Definition of the cis-regulatory elements and trans-acting factors that control CGI methylation status is key to unraveling these processes.

We have revisited the well-established example of the APRT gene CGI promoter. It was previously shown that SP1-like binding elements are required to prevent CGI methylation [28,29], although surprisingly the SP1 transcription factor itself is not required [30]. These findings suggested that other factors function at the G-rich SP1-like motifs, which are commonly found at CGI islands [44]. We show that VEZF1 interacts with site 3 of the hamster APRT CGI. A promoter-less APRT CGI fragment containing only site 3 remains protected from DNA methylation [28]. We were able to abrogate SP factor binding while retaining VEZF1 binding by introducing VEZF1-specific elements. The VEZF1-specific mutant retained its ability to mediate demethylation and protection from de novo DNA methylation. Thus, VEZF1 binding elements can protect a CGI from DNA methylation. We attempted to definitively address the requirement for VEZF1, but discovered that global de novo DNA methylation mechanisms are defective in Vezf1 mutant ES cells [27]. We also show that VEZF1 interacts with the CGI promoter of the DHER gene (Figure S5). Furthermore, ChIP-array analysis in somatic human cells reveals that VEZF1 predominantly interacts with CGI promoters and regulates genes with diverse functions (R.S. and A.W., unpublished observations). It remains to be determined whether VEZF1 plays a widespread role in the control of DNA methylation and what contribution this epigenetic control makes to developmental gene regulation and cancer progression.

DNA methylation and the multiple roles of chromatin boundaries

Experimental evidence has demonstrated that chromatin barrier elements can employ a number of different mechanisms to limit the spread of transcriptionally repressive chromatin, including tethering, nucleosome gaps/masking and histone code manipulation [6]. The constitutive recruitment of histone modifications such as acetylation is considered to be sufficient to establish barrier activity in eukaryotes that do not methylate their genomes, as demonstrated at synthetic barriers in yeast [45]. Our finding that HS4 also mediates protection from de novo DNA methylation adds another tier to understanding the mechanism of barrier elements in vertebrates. It is well established that densely methylated DNA abrogates transcription factor binding and is sufficient to establish all the features of repressive chromatin, including repressive histone modifications [46,47]. We propose that a barrier element in higher eukaryotes must be capable of preventing de novo DNA methylation in addition to blocking the propagation of silencing histone modifications, as either event, if not inhibited, is sufficient to direct the establishment of an epigenetically stable silent chromatin state. We have shown here that a fully effective vertebrate barrier combines both of these properties in a single multi-component element.

Materials and Methods

Bisulfite sequencing analysis

Chicken 6C2 erythroleukemia cells carrying IL-2R reporter transgenes (8103, wild type HS4; 10401, ΔH1; 10506, ΔH2; 10615, ΔH1; 10901, ΔHFI; 8d5, ΔFI) were cultured and assayed for IL-2R expression by FACS as described previously [12,14]. Genomic DNA was extracted from cell lines after 30 and 90 days of culture and bisulfite modified (EZ Methylation, Zymo Research, CA). The upstream double-core HS4 elements and the IL-2R promoter were PCR amplified from each line. We were unable to amplify bisulfite modified double-core HS4 elements from the 10506, ΔHII
line to sufficient levels to provide representative sequence data. We therefore opted to amplify the outermost HS4 copy only. The 6d5, ΔFV line also only contains one copy of HS4 in the upstream location [12]. All PCR products were gel purified and cloned, followed by sequencing (GATC Biotech, Konstanz, Germany) of 10 clones for each region of interest.

Gel mobility shift assays were performed as described previously [14]. Recombinant VEZF1, SP1, SP3 and ZF5 were produced by in vitro translation using rabbit reticulocyte lysate (Promega).

Protein purification
FL- and FIII-binding proteins were purified from adult chicken red blood nuclear protein extracts by ion exchange chromatography. Throughout the purification, eluate fractions were analyzed for FL- and FIII-binding activity with gel mobility shift assays. The binding specificity of partially purified proteins was checked by competition analysis after each purification step. FL- and FIII-binding activities co-fractionated following ion exchange chromatography with SP XL and Heparin sepharose (GE Healthcare). Phosphocellulose, Q and Sephacryl S300 columns were used in early purification attempts to resolve FL- and FIII-binding activities but they co-fractionated in each case (data not shown). FL- and FIII-binding activities both eluted in two distinct fractions of approximately 200 and 400 kDa following gel filtration (data not shown). The active fractions eluted from heparin sepharose were pooled then split into two and fractionated in parallel by FL or FIII DNA affinity as described previously [14]. Polypeptides electrophoresed on 7% Tris-acetate gels (Invitrogen) were excised, digested with trypsin and sequenced at the Harvard Microchemistry Facility by microcapillary reverse-phase HPLC nano-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (μLC/MS/MS) on a Finnigan LCQ DECA quadrupole ion trap mass spectrometer.

cDNA cloning
Chicken VEZF1/BGP1 cDNA was cloned following RT-PCR from 6C2 cell total RNA based on an assumption of conservation of 5′ cDNA sequence with human VEZF1/DB1. The oligonucleotide Adaptor-A 5′CATGCGCCTCGAGCGG were used to amplify a PCR product which was TA cloned into Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). The primers VEZF1_5′, 5′CCATGACCCATGGGCAGAGCCAAAGT and Adaptor 5′CATGCGCCTCGAGCGG were used to amplify a full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned into pCRRI (Invitrogen). VEZF1 cDNA was sub-cloned into pcITE4b (Novagen) to generate pcbVEZFFull for the purpose of in vitro transcription. cDNA encoding chicken ZF5 was isolated by RT-PCR from 6C2 cell total RNA using primers designed from the published sequence (U51640). We found that the bases GpG 1306-7 in the published sequence were GpG in our clone, causing codon 436 to translate as alanine instead of arginine. We obtained 1306-7 in the published sequence were GpC in our clone, causing the bases CpG in our clone, causing the published sequence (U51640). We found that the bases CpG conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence. Full length chicken VEZF1 cDNA by PCR which was TA cloned to GpC conflict with the published sequence.
10.35_Rev: TCTTCTGCGGCTGCCCGATAT
10.35_TM: FAM-TGCAAGTCGCCTCTTTCAGAG

21.54_For: TCGTGTGTCCTCCTGCCAC
21.54_Rev: CCGGGAGGCAGGAGCGAATGA
21.54_TM: 6FAM-CCCAACCCCGAACAGGATGTA

39.8_For: CTGTTGGTCTCCTGCCAC
39.8_Rev: AGGCTGGTCTCCTGCCAC
39.8_TM: 6FAM-ATCTCCGCTTCAGAGCTCAG

ChIP analysis of transcription factor binding in murine E14Tg2A.4 ES cells was performed using formaldehyde crosslinked chromatin prepared from 1×10⁶ cells treated with 1% formaldehyde for 5 minutes. Chromatin was prepared as described above, where fragments sizes ranged from 500–700 bp. Semi-quantitative PCR was performed using the following primers for 5 minutes. The following primers were used in SYBR Green II and HpaII restriction sites in bold.

Mutant APRT CpG island. Mutated bases in blue were introduced by site directed mutagenesis. Overall CpG content increased from 46 to 48 following these mutations. AsoI and HpaII restriction sites in bold.

Non-CpG island from APRT locus. HpaII site in bold.

APRT elements. The following elements were amplified from hamster fibroblast genomic DNA:
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APRT CpG island. Sp1-like motifs in red. AsoI and HpaII restriction sites in bold.

CpG island methylation assays. ~720 bp wild type and mutant APRT CpG islands and an 870 bp non-island region of the APRT gene were cloned into pUC19. Each element was PCR amplified, half of which was subject to in vitro CpG methylation by M. SspI methyltransferase (New England Biolabs). In vitro methylation was validated by HpaII and MspI digestion. 1 μg of each APRT element was transfected into murine E14Tg2A.4 ES cells (BayGenomics) with 1 μg of the Xhol fragment of pREP7 (Invitrogen). Hygromycin resistant clones were grown in LIF without feeder co-culture. Genomic DNA was
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extracted after two weeks of culture. Probes for Southern blotting were generated using Ready-to-go dCTP beads (GE Healthcare).

**Oligonucleotide sequences**

Oligonucleotides were generated on an ABI 394 DNA synthesizer.

The top strand sequences for each of the duplexes used for gel mobility shift analyses were:

- Fl wt 5'-GGAGGTCAAGGGGAGCCCGCCCCCCCCAAGGAGCCAGGG
- FIII wt 5'-aggggggcgcgcgtccggcgcgcct

The bottom strand sequences for each of the duplexes used for gel mobility shift analyses were:

- FV wt 5'-CCTGGAACACCTTGGGGGATACCCGGGAGGAAAAGCTT
- Glo wt 5'-AACGATGATCCGGGGGGAGGGG

Probes for Southern blotting were identical to the wt sequences above except for mutations indicated in Figure 1E.

DNA affinity columns were prepared using the following oligonucleotides:

- FI-DA TOP 5'-gatcTCACCGGACACAGCCCCCCCCCAAGGAGCCAGGG
- FI-DA BOTTOM 5'-gatcTGGGGGCTTTGTTGGGGGGGACGGCTGCCGCTTA

Recombinant chicken SP1, SP3, and ZF5 used in the reactions are indicated by brackets above the lanes. The competition profile of unlabelled competitor duplexes with any of the duplexes used (not shown).

**Supporting Information**

Figure S1 Core HS4 sequences. (A) The 244 bp SaI - HindIII fragment originally defined as the “250 bp core” HS4 element [11]. Underlined bases indicate the positions of five in vitro DNAseI footprints [11]. Bases in bold type have been shown to be essential for DNA-binding of CTCF [9], USF1/2 [14], or VEZF1 (this study). This 244 bp fragment alone has not been tested in either enhancer blocking or barrier assays. (B) The 275 bp HS4 fragment used to define insulator properties of the “250 bp core” in enhancer blocking [9] and barrier assays [12]. Flanking Avr cloning sites present in all functional assays of the HS4 core are shown in italics.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s001 (0.87 MB TIF)

Figure S2 Identification of FI- and FIII-binding proteins. (A) Peptide sequences obtained from tandem MS sequencing of proteins isolated by FI- and FIII-DNA affinity. (B) Alignment of the amino acid sequences of chicken VEZF1/BGP1, human VEZF1/DB1, and mouse Ve1f (accession numbers AA753902, 1082946, and 7710198). Peptides obtained from tandem MS sequencing that match VEZF1 are indicated by lines above the alignment. Six C2H2 zinc fingers motifs are boxed. (C) Schematic representation of the domain structure of VEZF1. Only the zinc finger motifs share homology with factors other than VEZF1 orthologs, the nearest relative being the MAZ transcription factor.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s002 (4.96 MB TIF)

Figure S3 Supershift analysis of VEZF1, SP1, SP3, and ZF5 interactions with HS4 footprints and the β-globin promoter. Gel mobility supershift assays using 32P-labelled Fl (A), FIII (B), FV (C), and glo wt (D) oligonucleotide duplexes. Adult chicken erythrocyte nuclear extract (ery) and recombinant chicken VEZF1, SP1, or SP3 used in the reactions are indicated by brackets above the lanes. Proteins were pre-incubated with antibodies (indicated above each lane) prior to incubation with DNA. Supershifts are evidenced by abrogation of specific complexes (asterisks) and/or formation of low mobility ternary complexes (SS). Antibodies alone do not give rise to complexes with any of the duplexes used (not shown).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s003 (3.49 MB TIF)

Figure S4 Analysis of SP1, SP3, and ZF5 interactions with HS4 footprints I and III. Gel mobility shift assays using 32P-labelled Fl and FIII oligonucleotide duplexes. Unlabelled competitor duplexes (indicated above each lane) were added at 50 fold molar excess. Recombinant chicken SP1, SP3, and ZF5 used in the reactions are indicated by brackets above the lanes. The competition profile of these proteins does not match that of red blood cell nuclear extract (Figure 4) or recombinant VEZF1 (Figure 6A).

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s004 (0.71 MB TIF)

Figure S5 SP1, SP3, and ZF5 do not interact with HS4 in vivo. (A) ChIP analysis of transcription factor interactions at the β-globin locus in 6C2 erythroid progenitor cells. DNA enrichments at the lower case beta).A promoter or the HS4 and 3′HS insulators were normalized to a negative control located in the 16 kb condensed chromatin region upstream of the β-globin locus. (B) ChIP analysis of transcription factor interactions with a stably integrated HS4 element in transgenic human 293 cells. Interactions with the DHFR CpG island promoter are shown as a positive control for SP1 and SP3 binding. DNA enrichments are normalized to an AT-rich negative control locus.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s005 (0.49 MB TIF)

Figure S6 VEZF1 binding to HS4 is resistant to individual binding site mutations. (A) Schematic representation of the IL-2R
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transgene showing location of qPCR primer sets used to analyze the interaction of VEZF1 with the transgenic HS4 and promoter elements. (B–D) ChIP analysis of (B) VEZF1, (C) CTCF, or (D) USF1 interactions at the endogenous HS4 insulator (eHS4) and the transgenic HS4 (tHS4) and promoter (+HL2Pro) elements in the same 6C2 cell lines used for DNA methylation (Figure 3) and histone modification [14] analysis. DNA enrichments were normalized to a negative control located in the 16 kb condensed chromatin region upstream of the $\beta$-globin locus. Deletion of either footprint II or footprint IV disrupts CTCF and USF1 binding as expected. In contrast, deletion of individual VEZF1 sites footprint I, III, or V have no significant effect on overall VEZF1 ChIP efficiency.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s007 (1.09 MB TIF)

Figure S7 VEZF1 binding to HS4 is not significantly affected following VEZF1 RNAi. (A) Quantitative RT-PCR analysis following 48 hours of doxycycline-induction of two chicken 6C2 cell lines harboring lentiviral vectors that express VEZF1-specific miRNA. Expression levels are normalized to those of β-actin (ACTB) and untreated cells. VEZF1 miRNA levels are reproducibly knocked down by 70%. (B) Western blot analysis of chicken VEZF1 protein levels in one of the above lines with and without 14 days of doxycycline induction of VEZF1-specific miRNA. TBP levels were monitored as a loading control. VEZF1 and TBP band intensities visualized on a FUJI LAS9000 imager were quantified using AIDA software (shown below). Following normalization to TBP levels, VEZF1 protein levels were quantified to be knocked down by ~97%. VEZF1-specific miRNA may cause translational inhibition in addition to the mRNA degradation observed by RT-PCR. (C) ChIP analysis of VEZF1 interaction with the endogenous HS4 element following 14 days of induced VEZF1 knockdown. DNA enrichments were normalized to a negative control located in the 16 kb condensed chromatin region upstream of the $\beta$-globin locus.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s008 (1.78 MB TIF)

Figure S8 Transcription factor interactions with APRT CpG island elements. VEZF1 and SP1 interact with the wild type APRT CpG island, but the FII mutant APRT element is only bound by VEZF1. Gel mobility supershift assays using 32P-labelled oligonucleotide duplexes containing either the wild type APRT SP1 sites 1&2 (lanes 1–4) and site 3 (lanes 5–9) or the mutant sites 1&2 (lanes 9–12) and site 3 (lanes 13–16). The core sequences of the duplexes are as shown in figure 8a. Nuclear extracts were pre-incubated with antibodies (indicated above each lane) prior to incubation with DNA. Supershifts are evidenced by the formation of low mobility ternary complexes (SS) in addition to abrogation of specific complexes (asterisk). Antibodies alone do not give rise to complexes with any of the duplexes used (not shown). SP1 and SP3 are detected in complexes with the wild type sites 1&2 and site 3 only. VEZF1 is detected in complexes with all four sites; weakest binding is seen at wild type sites 1&2 and strongest binding is seen at mutant sites 1&2.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s008 (1.78 MB TIF)

Table S1 VEZF1 sites in the HS4 barrier protect a promoter from DNA methylation. Cpg methylation of transgene promoters flanked by wild type or mutant HS4 insulators after 30 or 90 days of culture. The scoring of individual Cpg bases from each clone subject to bisulfite sequencing is shown. Methylated bases are marked as ‘1’ and shaded blue. Average Cpg methylation values are shown in Figure 5. Numbers above each table refer to Cpg numbering from [16], where Cpg 4–11 and 12–18 reside in the promoter and coding sequence, respectively.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s009 (0.02 MB PDF)

Table S2 Mutations of insulator protein binding sites result in the de novo methylation of HS4. Cpg methylation of wild type (WT) or mutant (ΔI - ΔV) HS4 insulators after 30 or 90 days of culture. Both copies of HS4 were sequenced, except for ΔII and ΔV, where only the outermost copy was sequenced (see Materials and Methods). The scoring of individual Cpg bases from each clone subject to bisulfite sequencing is shown. Methylated bases are marked as ‘1’ and shaded blue. Average Cpg methylation values are shown in Figure 3. Numbers above each table refer to CpG numbering as assigned in Figure 3b.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s010 (0.03 MB PDF)

Text S1 DNA binding activities of candidate HS4-binding proteins; RNA interference methods; western blotting methods.

Found at: doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1000804.s011 (0.04 MB DOC)
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