Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.5194/acp-7-815-2007

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

Publisher Rights Statement:
This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited.

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Remote sensed and in situ constraints on processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone

B. Sauvage¹, R. V. Martin¹,², A. van Donkelaar³, X. Liu², K. Chance², L. Jaegle³, P. I. Palmer⁴, S. Wu⁵, and T.-M. Fu⁵

¹Department of Physics and Atmospheric Science, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
²Atomic and Molecular Physics Division, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
³Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
⁴School of GeoSciences, University of Edinburgh, UK
⁵Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

Received: 5 October 2006 – Published in Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.: 17 November 2006
Revised: 7 February 2007 – Accepted: 12 February 2007 – Published: 14 February 2007

Abstract. We use a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to evaluate the consistency of satellite measurements of lightning flashes and ozone precursors with in situ measurements of tropical tropospheric ozone. The measurements are tropospheric O₃, NOₓ, and HCHO columns from the GOME satellite instrument, lightning flashes from the OTD and LIS satellite instruments, profiles of O₃, CO, and relative humidity from the MOZAIC aircraft program, and profiles of O₃ from the SHADOZ ozonesonde network. We interpret these multiple data sources with our model to better understand what controls tropical tropospheric ozone. Tropical tropospheric ozone is mainly affected by lightning NOₓ and convection in the upper troposphere and by surface emissions in the lower troposphere. Scaling the spatial distribution of lightning in the model to the observed flashes improves the simulation of O₃ in the upper troposphere by 5–20 ppbv versus in situ observations and by 1–4 Dobson Units versus GOME retrievals of tropospheric O₃ columns. A lightning source strength of 6±2 Tg N/yr best represents in situ observations from aircraft and ozonesonde. Tropospheric NOₓ and HCHO columns from GOME are applied to provide top-down constraints on emission inventories of NOₓ (biomass burning and soils) and VOCs (biomass burning). The top-down biomass burning inventory is larger than the bottom-up inventory by a factor of 2 for HCHO and alkenes, and by a factor of 2.6 for NOₓ over northern equatorial Africa. These emissions increase lower tropospheric O₃ by 5–20 ppbv, improving the simulation versus aircraft observations, and by 4 Dobson Units versus GOME observations of tropospheric O₃ columns. Emission factors in the a posteriori inventory are more consistent with a recent compilation from in situ measurements. The ozone simulation using two different dynamical schemes (GEOS-3 and GEOS-4) is evaluated versus observations; GEOS-4 better represents O₃ observations by 5–15 ppbv, reflecting enhanced convective detrainment in the upper troposphere. Heterogeneous uptake of HNO₃ on aerosols reduces simulated O₃ by 5–7 ppbv, reducing a model bias versus in situ observations over and downwind of deserts. Exclusion of HO₂ uptake on aerosols increases O₃ by 5 ppbv in biomass burning regions, reducing a model bias versus MOZAIC aircraft measurements.

1 Introduction

Ozone (O₃) in the tropical troposphere is a major component of atmospheric radiative forcing (de Forster et al., 1997; Lacis et al., 1990) and plays a key role in the global oxidizing power of the atmosphere (Logan et al., 1981). Indeed tropical regions present high ultraviolet radiation and humidity that promote hydroxyl (OH) creation through O₃ photolysis (Thompson et al., 1992). Tropical tropospheric O₃ production is limited by nitrogen oxides (NOₓ=NO+NO₂) emitted from biomass burning (Chatfield and Delany, 1990), biogenic sources, fossil fuel combustion, and lightning (Jacob et al., 1996). The motivation of this study is to better understand processes affecting tropical tropospheric O₃, using a global chemical and transport model constrained with satellite and in situ data.

Considerable uncertainty remains in the magnitude and distribution of tropical O₃ precursor emissions, such as NOₓ (Lee et al., 1997; Holland et al., 1999). Lightning produced NOₓ (L-NOₓ) are the most uncertain with recent estimates varying by an order magnitude from 1 to 13 Tg N/yr (Nesbitt et al., 2000; Price et al., 1997). Lightning NOₓ emissions are largest over the Tropics, in the Inter Tropical Convergence
Zone (ITCZ) area (Christian et al., 2003), and are directly emitted into the free troposphere where long lifetimes and efficient O$_3$ production make the O$_3$ burden very sensitive to those emissions (Martin et al., 2002a). Surface sources from biomass burning and soils are also highly uncertain (around 3–13 Tg N/yr and 4–21 Tg N/yr respectively (Holland et al., 1999)). Soils have been highlighted to be an underestimated NO$_x$ source (Jaegle et al., 2004). Biomass burning accounts for half of the global CO emissions (Andreae et al., 1993). Bottom-up estimates of these tropical emissions have been limited by the lack of measurements in this remote region.

The goal of the present study is motivated by 2 objectives: 1. use a global chemical transport model to evaluate the consistency of satellite measurements of lightning flash counts and O$_3$ precursors with in situ measurements of tropospheric O$_3$, and 2. interpret these multiple data sources with a global chemical transport model to better understand what controls tropical tropospheric O$_3$. Indeed evaluation of satellite data has been limited over the tropics because of a lack of in situ measurements especially for O$_3$ precursors. Understanding of tropical tropospheric O$_3$ is also limited by current uncertainties on anthropogenic and natural O$_3$ precursors sources, which can be readily inferred from satellite observations. Global measurements of nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) atmospheric concentrations from space provide a top-down constraint on NO$_x$ emissions (Martin et al., 2003a; Jaegle et al., 2005; Leue et al., 2001). Tropospheric NO$_2$ columns are closely related to surface NO$_x$ emissions since NO$_2$ is the dominant form of NO$_x$ in the boundary layer and the NO$_x$ lifetime against oxidation in the tropical boundary layer is several hours. Similarly, volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions, critical for understanding radical chemistry in the troposphere, can be constrained by formaldehyde (HCHO) columns measured from space (Palmer et al., 2003). Indeed HCHO is a high-yield product of VOC oxidation with a lifetime of hours (Sprengnether et al., 2002). Interpretation of these molecules is then fundamental to evaluate the location and intensity of O$_3$ precursor emissions. In situ measurements from the Measurements of ozone and water vapor by in-service Airbus aircraft (MOZAIC) program (Marenco et al., 1998; Thouret et al., 2006) and the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes SHADOZ network (Thompson et al., 2003a; 2003b) provide vertical profile information at higher resolution than is available from satellite. Few studies have used at the same time these different datasets, to better understand tropical tropospheric O$_3$. A global chemical transport model is a useful tool to relate measurements from these disparate sources.

We provide an overview of the data in Sect. 2. A complete description of the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model is in Sect. 3.1. Then we introduce the modified simulation used in this study, based on improvements described in the same Sect. 3.2. These improvements enable a better understanding of factors controlling tropical tropospheric O$_3$. In Sect. 4, we first evaluate the simulation and integration of satellite information with in situ data and satellite data; then we assess the dynamical and chemical processes driving tropical tropospheric O$_3$.

2 Presentation and overview of the data

The following measurements are used to evaluate and improve the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model.

2.1 In situ data. Aircraft and ozonesonde measurements

Since 1994, the MOZAIC aircraft program has been providing regular measurements of ozone (the overall precision is ±2 ppbv+2%) and water vapor at high spatial and temporal resolution (Marenco et al., 1998). Current information is available at http://mozaic.aero.obs-mip.fr. Additional CO measurements have been made onboard five aircraft (Nédélec et al., 2003) since the end of 2000 with an overall precision of ±5 ppbv, ±5%. Table 1 contains char-
Table 1. Characteristics of the MOZAIC and SHADOZ sites. Abbreviations are given in parenthesis. Number of CO measurements are given in parenthesis where available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Lon/Lat</th>
<th>Total number of O$_3$ and RH profiles</th>
<th>Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caracas (CAR)</td>
<td>67.0° W/10.5° N</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>northern South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cayenne (Cay)</td>
<td>52.3° W/4.9° N</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>northern South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogota (Bog)</td>
<td>74.0° W/4.5° N</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>northern South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Cristobal (San C)</td>
<td>89.6° W/0.9° S</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>northern South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramaribo (Par)</td>
<td>55.2° W/5.8° N</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>northern South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rio de Janeiro (Rio)</td>
<td>43.2° W/22.8° S</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Paolo (SAO)</td>
<td>46.6° W/23.5° S</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>South America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakar (Dak)</td>
<td>17.4° W/14.5° N</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>north Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagos (LAG)</td>
<td>3.3° E/6.5° N</td>
<td>354 (139)</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abidjan (Abi)</td>
<td>4.0° W/5.4° N</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douala (Dou)</td>
<td>9.7° E/4.0° N</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>West Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazzaville (BRA)</td>
<td>15.3° E/4.2° S</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>Central Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luanda (Lua)</td>
<td>13.2° E/8.5° N</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Central Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windhoek (Win)</td>
<td>17.4° E/22.4° S</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johannesburg (Joh)</td>
<td>28.0° E/26.2° S</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi (Nai)</td>
<td>36.7° E/1.1° S</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>East Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abu Dhabi (Abu)</td>
<td>54.6° E/24.4° N</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubai (DUB)</td>
<td>55.3° E/25.2° N</td>
<td>559 (89)</td>
<td>Middle East</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombay (BOM)</td>
<td>72.8° E/19.0° N</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi (DEL)</td>
<td>77.3° E/28.5° N</td>
<td>678 (274)</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madras (Mad)</td>
<td>80.0° E/13.0° N</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok (BAN)</td>
<td>100.5° E/13.9° N</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal (Nat)</td>
<td>35.3° W/5.4° S</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension (ASC)</td>
<td>14.4° W/7.9° S</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>Atlantic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion Island (REU)</td>
<td>55.4° E/21.0° S</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>Indian Ocean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuala Lumpur (Kua)</td>
<td>112.6° E/−7.5° S</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiji (Fij)</td>
<td>178° E/18.0° S</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa (SAM)</td>
<td>170.5° W/14.2° S</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>Pacific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Characteristics of the MOZAIC sites, with their locations shown in Fig. 1 in blue. We use profiles over 19 of the 30 cities sampled by the MOZAIC program between 30° N–30° S, the most sampled ones, with 15 to 60 flights per month for a site. This corresponds to a total of 6750 flights over all regions.

We analyze the data in monthly average for the 1994–2005 period, except for West Africa where measurements began in 2001 (Sauvage et al., 2005). For each site, we remove data within 15 km of a site, to avoid local pollution that is not representative of the broader region. This criterion removes the lowest 25–50 hPa.

The SHADOZ network complements the MOZAIC coverage as shown in Fig. 1 in black. It provides regular ozonesonde measurements (Thompson et al., 2003a,b), at different tropical stations, at least twice a month. Further details can be found on the SHADOZ Web site: http://croc.gsfc.nasa.gov/shadoz/. We use measurements over the 1998–2004 period.

For clarity and conciseness, we present a subset representative of the broader region indicated by the black rectangle in Fig. 1. We also examined other sites within each region, and found similar features.

### 2.2 Space-based observations. The LIS, OTD and GOME instruments

The Optical Transient Detector (OTD) (Boccippio et al., 2000b) was launched in 1995 on the MicroLab-1 satellite. The OTD spatial resolution is 10 km over a field of view of 1300 km × 1300 km. The OTD detects both intra-cloud (IC) and cloud-to-ground (CG) discharges during day and night conditions. The Lightning Imaging sensor (LIS) was launched in 1997 aboard the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) Observatory into a nearly circular orbit inclined 35 degrees. It detects lightning with storm-scale resolution of 3–6 km (3 at nadir, 6 at limb) over 550 × 550 km. The system is able to detect weak lightning (Christian et al., 1989).

The Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) (Burrows et al., 1999) instrument onboard the European Re-
remote Sensing-2 satellite provided the capability for continuous global monitoring of O$_3$, NO$_2$ and HCHO atmospheric columns through observation of solar backscatter over 1995–2003. GOME observes the atmosphere in the nadir view with a 40 km along track by 320 km across track. Global coverage is achieved every 3 days with an overpass time over the tropics between 10–11 local time (crossing the equator at 1030 local time). In this work we use GOME measurements for the year 2000.

We begin with tropospheric NO$_2$ line-of-sight (slant) columns retrieved from the GOME observations by Martin et al. (2002b) version 2 (Guerova et al., 2006), and HCHO slant columns retrieved by Chance et al. (2000). Following Palmer et al. (2001) we calculate vertical columns by applying an air mass factor (AMF) algorithm to account for atmospheric scattering. The AMF is computed as the integral of the relative vertical distribution of the trace gas (shape factor), weighted by the altitude dependent scattering weights computed from the LIDORT radiative transfer model (Spurr et al., 2002). Coincident NO$_2$ and HCHO shape factors are from the modified GEOS-Chem simulation described in Sect. 3. The cloud correction uses local cloud information from GOME (Kurosu et al., 1999) as described in Martin et al. (2002b). The aerosol correction uses aerosol profiles from the GEOS-Chem model following Martin et al. (2003a). The stratospheric NO$_2$ column is removed using observations over the central Pacific where there is little tropospheric NO$_2$, and subtracting the corresponding column from the ensemble of GOME scenes for the appropriate latitude and month. The result is corrected for the small amount of tropospheric NO$_2$ over the Pacific. Variability in the stratospheric NO$_2$ columns is accounted for using assimilated stratospheric NO$_2$ columns from Boersma et al. (2004), a minor issue in the Tropics.

Martin et al. (2004) evaluated the GOME retrieval with airborne in situ measurements of NO$_2$ and HCHO over the Southeastern United States. Uncertainties include absolute errors of $1 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ for tropospheric NO$_2$ (Martin et al., 2002b) and $4 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ for HCHO (Chance et al., 2000) from the spectral fitting, the stratospheric NO$_2$ column and instrument artifacts. Other uncertainties arising from the AMF calculation include random and systematic contributions from surface reflectivity, clouds, aerosols, and the trace gas profile (Martin et al., 2003a; Boersma et al., 2004). The monthly mean uncertainty is $\pm (5 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ $+30\%)$ for tropospheric NO$_2$ and a 30% (Millet et al., 2006) error on the HCHO column retrieval that increases in the presence of biomass burning aerosol (Fu et al., 2007). van Noije et al. (2006) compared three different retrievals of tropospheric NO$_2$ columns from GOME, and found the greatest degree of consistency in the tropics, well within the error estimates reported here.

For O$_3$, we use version 2 of the tropospheric O$_3$ columns retrieved by Liu et al. (2005). The retrieval uses an optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000). GOME retrievals and GEOS-Chem simulations are mapped onto a common regular grid. For each grid cell we apply GOME averaging kernels (Liu et al., 2006) to GEOS-Chem ozone profiles, to get the convolved GEOS-CHEM TOC. For all GOME molecules, we exclude observations in which the fraction of backscattered intensity from clouds exceeds 50% of a GOME scene.

### 3 General description of the GEOS-Chem model – original and modified versions

A global 3-D model of tropospheric chemistry provides a quantitative tool to assess the processes affecting tropospheric ozone. We use the GEOS-Chem chemical and transport model (Bey et al., 2001). In the following we first introduce the original model version (7-02-04 http://www-as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/geos/index.html). Then we describe the "modified" simulation, focusing on developments to improve the original simulation.

#### 3.1 Original version

The model is driven by assimilated meteorological data for 2000 from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS-4) at the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO). The model version has 30 vertical sigma-levels (surface to 0.1 hPa), and a horizontal resolution of 1°C latitude by 1.25°C longitude, which can be degraded to 2°C latitude by 2.5° longitude and 4° latitude by 5° longitude for computational expediency. We use the latter two resolutions in the study. The data have 6-hour temporal resolution (3-hour for surface variables and mixing depth). We present sensitivity simulations using GEOS-3 as discussed in Sect. 4.4.

The GEOS-Chem model includes a detailed simulation of tropospheric O$_3$-NO$_x$-hydrocarbon chemistry as well as of aerosols and their precursors, using 41 tracers, around 90 species, and 300 reactions. The model presently includes sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, black and organic carbon, mineral dust and sea salt (Park et al., 2004, 2005; Alexander et al., 2005; Fairlie et al., 2007). The aerosol and gaseous simulations are coupled through formation of sulfate and nitrate, HNO$_3$(g)/NO$_3^-$ partitioning of total inorganic nitrate, heterogeneous chemistry on aerosols (Jacob, 2000; Evans et al., 2005), and aerosol effects on photolysis rates (Martin et al., 2003b).

Table 2 contains annual global NO$_x$ emissions used in the model. Soil NO$_x$ emissions are computed using a modified version of the algorithm of Yienger and Levy (1995) with the canopy reduction factors described in Wang et al. (1998). The biomass burning inventory is based on estimates of biomass burned from Lobert et al. (1999), emission factors from Staudt et al. (2003), interannual variation inferred from satellite observations of fires by Duncan et al. (2003), as implemented by Martin et al.(2002). Emissions of lightning
NO\textsubscript{x} are linked to deep convection following the parameterization of Price et al. (1992) with vertical profiles from Pickering et al. (1998) as implemented by Wang et al. (1998). The northern midlatitude lightning source is prescribed as 1.6 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) for consistency with INTEX-A aircraft observations (Hudman et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2007) and cloud-scale modeling (DeCaria et al., 2005; Off et al., 2007).

The model has been previously applied to interpret satellite observations of HCHO (Palmer et al., 2001, 2003, 2006; Shim et al., 2005; Millet et al., 2006), NO\textsubscript{2} (Martin et al., 2002b, 2003a; Jaeglé et al., 2004, 2005; Guerova et al., 2006), and tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} (Martin et al., 2002a; Chandra et al., 2002, 2003; Kim et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2006). However, none of these studies examined all three species together.

3.2 Modified version

In the following section we present several developments which are necessary for accurate understanding and evaluation of the processes affecting tropical tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} described in Sect. 4. These improvements deal with emissions and heterogeneous chemistry that are included in our modified simulation. GOME observations of NO\textsubscript{2} and HCHO are applied to constrain surface emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs. Lightning flash counts are used to better represent its spatial distribution. Heterogeneous chemistry on aerosols is updated to reflect recent measurements.

3.2.1 Soil NO\textsubscript{x} emissions

Strong signals from soil NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are apparent in satellite observations of tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} columns (Bertram et al., 2005). We use the a posteriori soil NO\textsubscript{x} emission inventory derived from GOME observations of NO\textsubscript{2} columns via inverse modeling with GEOS-Chem model by Jaeglé et al. (2005) for the year 2000. They used the spatio-temporal distribution of remotely sensed fires and a priori inventory information on the locations of regions dominated by fuel combustion to partition among the different NO\textsubscript{x} sources. The resulting annual tropical soil emissions are 35% higher in the a posteriori inventory (Table 2) and account for 22% of tropical NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. During March-April-May (MAM) and June-July-August (JJA), emissions increase by a factor of 3 over tropical ecosystems of Africa, reflecting a better constraint on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions associated with the monsoon (Jaeglé et al., 2004). Emissions increase by 20% during the rainy season over South America, and the agricultural region of North India.

3.2.2 Biomass burning emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs

We apply tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} and HCHO columns retrieved from GOME to provide top-down constraints on regional biomass burning emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and reactive VOCs. Richter et al. (2002) found a strong signal from biomass burning in the GOME NO\textsubscript{2} columns. Our inversion for biomass burning NO\textsubscript{x} is conducted after application of the a posteriori soil NO\textsubscript{x} inventory from Jaeglé et al. (2005). The NO\textsubscript{x} inversion accounts for the local NO\textsubscript{2}/NO ratio and the local NO\textsubscript{x} lifetime following Martin et al. (2003a). The inversion is applied here at regional scale in the form of NO\textsubscript{x} emission factors that should be applicable to simulations for other years.

Figure 2 shows the seasonal NO\textsubscript{x} biomass burning emissions arising from the a priori (left) and top-down (right) inventories. Annual tropical NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are 30% higher in the top-down versus the a priori (Table 2). NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from Africa and eastern regions increase by 30%, whereas they decrease from South America by 30%. The largest absolute difference occurs in DJF over Northern Africa with top-down emissions of 3.84 Tg N/yr compared to 1.64 Tg N/yr, likely reflecting emission factors that were too low in the original simulation. There is also a 15% increase in emissions from Central/South Africa during JJA to 4.24 Tg N/yr.

Palmer et al. (2003) showed that HCHO columns over North America are closely related to isoprene emissions, and exploited that relationship to infer continental isoprene emissions from the GOME HCHO columns. Meyer-Arnke et al. (2005) found signals in the GOME HCHO columns from both biogenic and pyrogenic sources over Africa. Shim et al. (2005) extended the approach of Palmer et al. (2003) to infer global isoprene emissions, but found that large increases in biomass burning emissions were necessary to reconcile the GOME observations. More recently, Fu et al. (2007) found over East and South East Asia a biomass burning source derived from GOME almost 5 times the estimate of a bottom-up emission inventory. We similarly find over the tropics an underestimate of more than a factor two in the GEOS-Chem HCHO columns during biomass burning. Neither scaling of the current GEIA isoprene emission inventory, nor application of the recently developed MEGAN inventory (Guenther et al., 2006), was able to account for the discrepancy without introducing biases outside of the biomass burning season. A recent compilation by Andreae (personal communication, 2005) of in situ measurements of emission factors contain values that are higher than those used in GEOS-Chem for

Table 2. Annual NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in the GEOS-Chem simulations for the year 2000. The tropical emissions are over 20° S–20° N

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Original, Global/Tropics (Tg N/yr)</th>
<th>modified, Global/Tropics (Tg N/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biomass Burning</td>
<td>5.9/4.0</td>
<td>7.0/5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning</td>
<td>6.0/3.3</td>
<td>6.0/3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils</td>
<td>6.0/2.3</td>
<td>8.9/3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic</td>
<td>23.9/2.1</td>
<td>23.9/2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuels</td>
<td>2.2/0.7</td>
<td>2.2/0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft</td>
<td>0.5/0.1</td>
<td>0.5/0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Seasonal biomass burning emissions ($10^9$ molec N cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$) for December–February (DJF) and June–August (JJA). The left panels represent emissions used in the original simulation. The right panels represent top-down emissions determined from GOME observations of tropospheric NO$_2$ columns.

HCHO and alkenes. We tentatively attribute the regional difference between GOME and GEOS-Chem HCHO columns to biomass burning emissions of alkenes and HCHO, and calculate a tropical mean emission ratio for reactive VOCs emissions that is a factor of 2 larger for both species.

3.2.3 Lightning NO$_x$ emissions

We use space-based observations of lightning flash counts from the seasonally varying climatological OTD/LIS (Boccioppio et al., 2000a, 2001) dataset (High Resolution Annual Climatology – HRAC – data) to constrain GEOS-Chem lightning flashes, by applying a local seasonal rescaling factor, $R$:

$$R = \frac{\text{Local}_{\text{LIS/OTD}}\text{flashes}}{\text{Global}_{\text{LIS/OTD}}\text{flashes}}_{\text{season}} \div \frac{\text{Local}_{\text{GEOS-Chem}}\text{flashes}}{\text{Global}_{\text{GEOS-Chem}}\text{flashes}}_{\text{season}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

This approach is motivated by the seasonal latitudinal variation in tropical lightning activity that is not well represented by the GEOS fields. The seasonal scale factor is applied to a 10-year average of the simulated and observed flashes, such that inter-annual variability of the lightning NO$_x$ emissions as represented by the model is retained. The climatology is a 0.5°×0.5° gridded composite of total intra-cloud and cloud-to-ground (IC+CG) lightning bulk production over 1995–2004. Lowpass temporal filtering of 110 days for the combined LIS/OTD is applied. Observations in the LIS/OTD v1.0 reanalysis have been corrected by the LIS Science Team for flash detection efficiency, applied as a function of sensor, viewing time, date of mission, and (for OTD) geographic location. For the entire dataset, these corrections correspond to average flash detection efficiencies of 47% (OTD) and 82% (LIS) (Boccioppio et al., 2002; Christian et al., 2003). The adjustments derive from a combination of laboratory calibration, ground validation, and cross-normalization between OTD and LIS. The uncertainty in these corrections is ±10%.

Figure 3 shows the seasonal average lightning NO$_x$ emissions (L-NO$_x$) during DJF and JJA, for the original (left), and modified (right) simulations. The LIS/OTD seasonal climatologies and the improved L-NO$_x$ emissions in GEOS-Chem exhibit higher spatio-temporal correlations ($r^2$=0.97–0.98) than in the original simulation ($r^2$=0.4–0.57). Annual emissions are unchanged (Table 2). However substantial regional differences are inferred by the local rescaling. Emissions decrease over Africa by 16%, over South America by 42%, and increase from the Eastern tropics by 55% (mostly over Australia). During JJA, continental L-NO$_x$ emissions decrease south of the ITCZ by 50% whereas they increase by 45% over North Africa. During DJF continental emissions decrease in general by around 50%. Oceanic emissions increase by a factor of 2.9.

3.2.4 Heterogeneous chemistry

The original simulation used a reaction probability $\gamma$ of HO$_2$ on all aerosols equal to 0.2. Laboratory measurements by Thornton et al. (2005) demonstrated that HO$_2$ uptake on aerosols is negligible at temperatures warmer than 270 K in the absence of Cu or Fe ions that would catalyze the reaction. Field measurements of biomass burning aerosol (Yama-
soe et al., 2000) found insufficient Cu or Fe ions to catalyze that reaction. We exclude this reaction for biomass burning aerosols.

Following Bauer et al. (2004) we implement HNO$_3$ uptake on mineral aerosols in the modified simulation using $\gamma$(HNO$_3$)=0.1. Laboratory experiments have shown that HNO$_3$ uptake on mineral dust is promoted by its alkalinity (Goodman et al., 2000; Grassian, 2000; Underwood et al., 2001; Michel et al., 2002; Hanisch and Crowley, 2003). Field measurements also support HNO$_3$ uptake (Tabazadeh et al., 1998; Thakur et al., 1999). Rapid sedimentation of nitrate on mineral dust could reduce recycling of NO$_x$ from HNO$_3$, and in turn O$_3$, with lower tropospheric O$_3$ decreases of 8–30% over and downwind of deserts (Bian et al., 2003; Bauer et al., 2004; Umann et al., 2005; Liao et al., 2005).

There have been few comparisons with in situ measurements to evaluate these heterogeneous processes. In Sect. 4.3 we perform sensitivity studies to evaluate the uptake of HO$_2$ on biomass burning aerosols and uptake of HNO$_3$ on mineral dust.

4 Assessment of the dynamical and chemical processes affecting tropical tropospheric ozone

Of particular interest is 1. the ability of the model to accurately simulate the distribution of tropospheric ozone and its precursors in order to 2. accurately understand what controls tropical tropospheric ozone. We first give an overview of the distribution of tropospheric ozone columns. We then discuss the processes affecting its distribution in the context of the MOZAIC and SHADOZ vertical O$_3$ profiles, as well as the GOME tropospheric NO$_2$ and HCHO columns. Figure 4 shows seasonal tropospheric ozone columns (TOC) from GOME observations (left) and our modified simulation (middle). TOC are calculated as the integral from the surface to the GEOS-Chem thermal tropopause. The simulated and retrieved O$_3$ columns exhibit similar spatio-temporal variation over the Tropics (monthly $r^2$=0.91–0.98; seasonal bias=1.4–4.4 DU). Both show enhancements in the downwelling branches of the Hadley circulation, smaller values in the Tropics, and a zonal wave-one pattern, with maximum TOC between 40W-60E. The original and retrieved TOC are less consistent (monthly $r^2$=0.67–0.87) although the tropical mean bias remains unchanged.

The right panels show large regional changes of 5 DU in the simulated O$_3$. In the following sections we focus on the consequences of our developments on the comparison of the model versus observations.

4.1 Sensitivity to lightning

Here we discuss how the local rescaling of lightning flashes affects the comparison with O$_3$ observations. Then we discuss the sensitivity of the simulation to lightning intensity and to lightning vertical distribution.

4.1.1 Satellite constraint – lightning rescaling

As demonstrated below, the local rescaling of lightning flashes to match OTD/LIS measurements yields substantial improvement in the modeled versus observed ozone. We first compare the original and modified simulations at MOZAIC
and SHADOZ sites that exhibit the largest sensitivity to lightning. These sites are generally in subsidence regions downwind of lightning activity, allowing for O$_3$ production during transport. Figure 5 shows the seasonal O$_3$ vertical profiles for the in situ measurements (MOZAIC, SHADOZ, black lines); the original simulation (blue line), and the modified simulation (red line). Both simulations are generally within one standard deviation of the in situ measurements. However improvements due to the lightning rescaling are apparent in the modified simulation in the middle and upper troposphere.

The first page of Fig. 5 shows continental sites with O$_3$ concentrations of 40–50 ppbv throughout the year in the middle and upper troposphere, sustained by L-NO$_x$ emissions in the South American Convergence Zone (SACZ) or the ITCZ. The lightning rescaling reduces L-NO$_x$ emissions in South America (Fig. 3) decreasing upper tropospheric O$_3$ during DJF and MAM by 5–10 ppbv over Sao Paolo and by 10–15 ppbv over Caracas. The Middle East is frequently under the influence of an anticyclonic circulation in the middle and upper troposphere (Hoskins and Rodwell, 1995) and of easterly flow through the Tropical Easterly jet in the upper troposphere, which brings lightning outflow during the Indian monsoon (Li et al., 2001), mainly during JJA as depicted by the easterly ozone flux (Fig. 4). Reductions in Indian L-NO$_x$ emissions improve the simulation at Dubai by 5–10 ppbv in JJA and SON. Bangkok is influenced by lightning mostly during the dry season from November to May when the circulation is convergent. Here lightning rescaling improves the O$_3$ simulation by 5–15 ppbv. Other continental sites exhibit less sensitivity due to their proximity to L-NO$_x$ emissions.

The effect of local lightning rescaling is also apparent in the TOC. Table 3 contains the TOC for the modified simulation, the in situ measurements, and the GOME retrievals. Lightning rescaling has a considerable effect on O$_3$ over South America (Fig. 4, right panel) reducing the model bias versus the in situ measurements to within 2 DU over Caracas and within 4 DU over Sao Paolo, compared to a difference of more than 8 DU in the original simulation. The simulation is closer to in situ TOC than to GOME observations over both regions. Over the Middle East, lightning rescaling improves the simulated TOC by 3–5 DU to within 2–5 DU. The remaining bias at Dubai arises from the O$_3$ overestimate below 600 hPa (Fig. 5). GOME measurements are within 2 DU of the MOZAIC TOC except during DJF when there is a 5 DU underestimate that probably originates from the lower troposphere as noted by Liu et al. (2006). Over South East Asia there is a positive bias of GEOS-Chem TOC of 4 to 7 DU compared to GOME. The lightning rescaling clearly yields better modeled TOC versus MOZAIC to within 1–4 DU, compared with 7 DU for the original simulation.

The second panel of Fig. 5 show that lightning rescaling also yields improvements over oceanic sites. Lightning rescaling increases emissions over the South Pacific Convergence Zone (Fig. 3) especially in DJF and SON resulting in a 5–10 ppbv increase in O$_3$ in the middle and upper troposphere (Samoa, Fig. 5). Over Reunion Island there
Fig. 5. Seasonal vertical profiles of O$_3$ in ppbv. The black line indicates MOZAIC and SHADOZ measurements of O$_3$. Horizontal bars represent one standard deviation of measurements. O$_3$ simulations are in blue (original) and red (modified). The solid green line indicates a simulation with enhanced intracloud NO$_x$ emissions. The dashed green lines are from a simulation with L-NO$_x$ emissions of 4 TgN/yr and 8 TgN/yr.

is improvement in DJF due to a 7 ppbv increase in O$_3$. Over the Atlantic, Ascension and Natal depict similar O$_3$ vertical profiles near the maximum of the zonal-wave one, with enhanced mid-upper tropospheric O$_3$ throughout the year. Lightning is a significant source of this enhancement (Thompson et al., 2000; Martin et al., 2002a; Sauvage et al., 2007b). The main improvements are in DJF and MAM with O$_3$ increases of 7–10 ppbv from more lightning over Central...
Africa in the modified simulation (Fig. 3). During SON, both simulations in the middle troposphere and upper troposphere underestimate O$_3$ by 10–20 ppbv, but O$_3$ remains maximum during that season (Thompson et al., 2003b). In the following Sects. 4.1.2b and 4.4, we discuss possible reasons of this remaining local bias.
The TOC over oceans are generally consistent between the modified simulation, GOME, and in situ measurements, within 5 DU everywhere. Over Ascension the modified simulation is closer than the original one to in situ measurements by 1–3 DU in DJF and MAM. However there is still an underestimate of 4 DU in SON. Better agreement is found over the Pacific and Indian Ocean, within 1–3 DU compared to in situ measurements, and within 2–5 DU versus GOME TOC.

The seasonal cycle of the modeled TOC is reproduced for all sites, except over Caracas. The last line of Table 3 shows that for the TOC averaged for the tropical sites, the three datasets are within 2 DU bias and within 1σ of the measurements. The seasonal cycle is well reproduced, with maximum in SON, minimum in MAM, as depicted by the southernhemispheric zonal-wave one pattern (Thompson et al., 2003b; Sauvage et al., 2006).

4.1.2 Lightning intensity and distribution

a. Sensitivity to intensity

In order to evaluate the lightning NOx source of 6 Tg N/yr, we conduct sensitivity studies based on the modified simulation that vary the intensity over 4–8 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\).

Figure 5 shows the sensitivity of the seasonal O\(_3\) vertical profiles to L-NO\(_x\) intensity, using either 4 or 8 Tg N/yr (dashed green lines). Lower concentrations reflect the simulation with 3 Tg N/yr. In general O\(_3\) is perturbed throughout the entire troposphere by 5–10 ppbv. The simulation remains nearly within one modified deviation of measurements. However 4 Tg N/yr is generally too low. In contrast 8 Tg N/yr is generally too high. The largest sensitivity to intensity is found over the Atlantic region where O\(_3\) concentrations change by 10–20 ppbv. The simulation with 8 Tg N/yr reduces the model bias versus in situ measurements in SON at Ascension (Fig. 5), but creates a bias during other seasons, and at most other sites. Emissions of 10.7 Tg N/yr would be necessary to achieve in situ O\(_3\) concentrations in SON at Ascension. Another process is likely responsible for the bias.

In summary, 6±2 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) represents the plausible range of lightning NOx emissions. Outside of that range, simulated O\(_3\) becomes increasingly inconsistent with in situ measurements. Martin et al. (2007) found a similar magnitude of 6±2 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) best agreed with space-based measurements of NO\(_2\), O\(_3\) and HNO\(_3\). Schumann and Huntrieser (2007) estimate a comparable magnitude of 5±3 Tg N yr\(^{-1}\) in a very thorough review of observations and simulations over the last decades.

b. Sensitivity to distribution

The vertical distribution of lightning emissions is also important (Labrador et al., 2005). Most previous studies assumed much higher NO\(_x\) emissions per flash for cloud to ground (CG) flashes than intra-cloud (IC) flashes (Price et al., 1997; Pickering et al., 1998). However, recent studies provide evidence that the IC/CG ratio may be between 0.5–1.0 (DeCaria et al., 2000; Fehr et al., 2004). The implications had not yet been evaluated versus tropical in situ O\(_3\) data. We explore the implications of increasing the IC/CG ratio to 0.75, instead of 0.1 in our modified simulation. The additional NO\(_x\) from IC flashes is distributed within the cloud anvil while preserving the global lightning NO\(_x\) rate.

The simulation using enhanced IC emissions is shown in Fig. 5 (solid green line). Generally, this lightning parameterization overestimates middle-upper tropospheric O\(_3\), but remains within one modified deviation of measurements. The
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**Table 3.** Seasonal tropospheric O\(_3\) column (DU) from the GEOS-Chem modified simulation (difference with original simulation is given in parenthesis)/MOZAIC or SHADOZ (standard deviation 1σ is in parenthesis)/and GOME. For MOZAIC we complete the column between the aircraft ceiling of 185hPa and the tropopause with a fixed ozone mixing ratio of 70 ppbv.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>DJF</th>
<th>MAM</th>
<th>JJA</th>
<th>SON</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caracas</td>
<td>26.6 (−4.2)/22.2 (3.2)/27</td>
<td>29.6 (−3.1)/28.8 (4.5)/26.9</td>
<td>27.6 (−1.8)/26.3 (4)/27.9</td>
<td>25.3 (−2.5)/25.2 (3.8)/25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sao Paolo</td>
<td>32.7 (−0.5)/29.4 (4.6)/35.4</td>
<td>29.4 (−4.1)/24.7 (3.2)/32</td>
<td>30.1 (−0.2)/29.4 (4.1)/29.5</td>
<td>37.4 (−0.6)/34.7 (5)/39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubai</td>
<td>40 (±0.1)/38.8 (3.6)/34</td>
<td>43.9 (−1.7)/41.6 (4.5)/44.5</td>
<td>50.1 (−2.7)/45.2 (4.7)/43.5</td>
<td>40.9 (−2.2)/36 (3.6)/35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samoa</td>
<td>17.4 (±1.8)/18.4 (3.2)/16.4</td>
<td>19 (±0.9)/17.9 (5)/19.5</td>
<td>20.4 (±1)/20.2 (4)/24.4</td>
<td>22.1 (±3.2)/23 (5)/23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>32.6 (±2.2)/32.4 (4.5)/30</td>
<td>31 (±1)/32 (6)/31.5</td>
<td>34.1 (±0.4)/34.7 (5)/33</td>
<td>43.4 (±1.1)/45.2 (5)/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascension</td>
<td>36 (±3.2)/35.4 (5)/32.8</td>
<td>31 (±1)/30.9 (5)/30.5</td>
<td>38.2 (−0.6)/40.6 (6.2)/34.5</td>
<td>40.7 (−0.9)/44 (6.4)/37.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natal</td>
<td>34.3 (±2.5)/34.2 (4.5)/33.5</td>
<td>27.2 (−1.2)/26 (5)/29.4</td>
<td>33.8 (−0.4)/36.2 (4.2)/32.7</td>
<td>37.3 (−0.7)/41 (6)/37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>40.1 (±5.9)/40.5 (3.9)/34</td>
<td>36 (±2.7)/37.5 (2.2)/32.5</td>
<td>32.5 (±2.4)/33 (3.2)/31</td>
<td>32 (±0.1)/32.5 (2.6)/29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazzaville</td>
<td>34.5 (±4.8)/36.7 (3.3)/29.5</td>
<td>31.5 (±2)/32.5 (3.4)/29.4</td>
<td>48.8 (±6.3)/49 (3.6)/37.5</td>
<td>40.3 (±1)/43(2.8)/34.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windhoek</td>
<td>32.8 (±0.9)/31.5 (2.6)/32</td>
<td>29.3 (−0.1)/28.7 (3.1)/29.7</td>
<td>33.3 (±0)/32.4 (3.2)/33.1</td>
<td>41.2 (±1.2)/42 (3.4)/42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombay</td>
<td>40.7 (±4.0)/40.1 (5)/33.3</td>
<td>41.6 (−2.5)/40.3 (4)/35.5</td>
<td>34.9 (−2.1)/30.3 (5.4)/28.6</td>
<td>36.1 (±1.1)/35.6 (4.1)/31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>31.8/32.7 (3.9)/30.7</td>
<td>31.7/30.9 (4.1)/31</td>
<td>34.8/34.3 (4.3)/32.3</td>
<td>36.0/36.4 (3.3)/33.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
effects vary with season and location, with for example negligible effect at Caracas, a negative bias at Bangkok, and a large impact at Ascension. Over Ascension, O\textsubscript{3} concentrations are biased high in DJF and MAM by 10–15 ppbv, but the model bias in SON is eliminated, suggesting a seasonal variation in the IC/CG ratio. In summary, a uniform increase in the IC/CG ratio is unsupported by the in situ O\textsubscript{3} profiles, but it could be higher for particular geographical regions.

4.2 Sensitivity to biomass burning and soils

In this section we address the following questions: What are the consequences of the modifications to surface emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} and VOCs on O\textsubscript{3} distributions? Do these changes improve the simulated tropospheric O\textsubscript{3} compared to in situ measurements?

4.2.1 Satellite constraint

Figure 6 shows seasonal average GOME (left) and GEOS-Chem modified (middle) and original (right) tropospheric columns of NO\textsubscript{2}, during 2000. The GOME and GEOS-Chem modified NO\textsubscript{2} columns are highly consistent over the Tropics during the 4 seasons. The coefficient of determination of the retrieved columns versus the modified simulation during the 4 seasons ($r^2=0.8–0.9$, $p<0.0001$) is higher than versus the original simulation, which are in the range of $r^2=0.6–0.8$. Correlations of the retrieved slant columns with the model vertical columns for the modified simulation ($r^2=0.7–0.8$) and the original simulation ($r^2=0.6–0.7$) confirm that the model shape factors have a minor role on the agreement. The annual mean absolute difference between the modified simulation and retrieved columns over the Tropics is $0.2\times10^{15}$ molecules cm\textsuperscript{-2} compared with $0.7\times10^{15}$ molecules cm\textsuperscript{-2} in the original simulation. The modified simulation better reproduces seasonal NO\textsubscript{2} maxima observed by GOME. For instance over Northern Africa during DJF and MAM, top down biomass burning NO\textsubscript{x} emissions enhance lower tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations by a factor of 2.6, reducing a regional model bias. Over Central Africa, the regional bias in JJA and SON is reduced, however a local bias remains during JJA reflecting the regional emission factor applied here. Over India NO\textsubscript{2} tropospheric column are reduced by a factor 4 during the biomass burning season of MAM, better representing GOME columns. During May to July the a posteriori soil NO\textsubscript{x} emission inventory better reproduces the NO\textsubscript{2} column enhancement over the Sahel. Further studies should investigate whether top-down inventories for a specific year improve simulations for other years.

Figure 7 shows seasonal average GOME (left) and GEOS-Chem (middle) and original (right) tropospheric columns of HCHO during 2000. The spatio-temporal correlation of the retrieval columns with the modified simulation ($r^2=0.7–0.9$) is quite high compared with 0.6–0.75 versus the original simulation. The coefficients of determination for the retrieved slant columns versus
the model vertical columns are 0.6–0.8 for the modified simulation and 0.5–0.65 for the original simulation. The mean absolute difference between GOME and the modified simulation is $0.06 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, versus $0.2 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ with the original simulation. Previous regional differences of more than a factor of 2 are reduced during the biomass burning season to 20% in the modified simulation over Northern Africa in DJF-MAM and to 35% over Central Africa and South America in JJA-SON. The remaining model biases likely reflect isoprene emissions.

4.2.2 Evaluation with in situ data

Figure 8 shows $O_3$ profiles at MOZAIC sites that have the greatest sensitivity to surface emissions. West equatorial (Lagos, Abidjan) and Central Africa (Brazzaville) sites exhibit $O_3$ enhancements related to seasonal biomass burning fires (Fig. 8) driven by the lower tropospheric Harmattan and trade flow. The new CO measurements confirm the sensitivity of those sites to biomass burning (as shown in Fig. 9) and as noted by Edwards et al. (2003) in their analysis of CO retrieved from the MOPITT satellite instrument. During DJF, $O_3$ enhancements in the lower troposphere over West Africa have the highest tropical CO concentrations measured by the MOZAIC program, with 200–500 ppbv at Lagos below 700 hPa (Fig. 9) and 200–400 ppbv below 500 hPa at Douala (not shown). High CO concentrations originating from biomass burning fires over Central Africa are measured near 600–700 hPa over Lagos and Douala during JJA. Trade winds allow this CO transport and the associated $O_3$ enhancement (Sauvage et al., 2005). Aghedo et al. (2006) also found a high influence of biomass burning on surface $O_3$. A persistent CO enhancement that may reflect local pollution is observed at Delhi, with more than 150 ppbv below 800 hPa. No CO measurements are performed south of the ITCZ.

As a result of the GOME constraints on surface emissions, the simulation better reproduces lower tropospheric $O_3$. During DJF over Lagos and Abidjan, the intensity of the lower tropospheric $O_3$ enhancement is now well reproduced mostly because of the higher NOx emission factors that increase $O_3$ by 15–20 ppbv (+45%) compared to the original version. Five ppbv of the 15–20 ppbv increase are attributed to the additional biomass burning VOCs. Moreover, Brazzaville shows an $O_3$ enhancement in the lower troposphere through inter-hemispheric transport (+15/20 ppbv (+55%) compared to the original version).

During JJA over Brazzaville the intensity of the $O_3$ maximum is also better reproduced (+10 ppbv/+14%), as a consequence of both the higher NOx and VOC emissions. These emissions also yield a better reproduction of the $O_3$ enhancement at Lagos through inter hemispheric transport. The enhancement near 600–700 hPa is also increased by 7 ppbv due to the a posteriori soil NOx emissions.

---

**Fig. 7.** Seasonal averaged tropospheric HCHO columns ($10^{16}$ molec cm$^{-2}$). The left panels are for GOME, the middle are for GEOS-Chem modified and the right for GEOS-Chem original. White areas represent persistent clouds.
There are improvements associated with biomass burning emissions over the South American Cerrado in SON, and over India in MAM. \(\text{O}_3\) decreases in the lower troposphere of Bombay by around 5–7 ppbv (8-10%). However \(\text{O}_3\) is still too high in the lower troposphere, perhaps reflecting a combination of local sea breeze not resolved at the coarse resolution of the model, missing halogen chemistry (Dickerson et al., 1999; Stehr et al., 2002), or inefficient \(\text{O}_3\) production in urban areas (Dickerson et al., 2002).

The top-down emissions also affect the TOC (Table 3). Over the Gulf of Guinea (Lagos) the modified simulation is within 1 DU of MOZAIC versus 6 DU for the original simulation. Over Central Africa the modified simulation is within 2 DU versus MOZAIC during DJF compared to 6 DU in the original simulation. The seasonal cycle is well reproduced, with maximum during JJA and minimum during MAM over Central Africa, maximum during DJF and minimum during JJA over West Africa. Over Windhoek the bias is within 1–2 DU for all the seasons. Over India modeled TOC is within 1–5 DU of MOZAIC during all seasons except JJA, reflecting the lower tropospheric bias.

Comparisons between GOME and GEOS-Chem TOC also show substantial improvements. Most of the differences between GOME and the modified GEOS-Chem TOC are within 3 DU. The largest differences appear in the northern tropics, with a negative bias of 5 to 8 DU between GOME and GEOS-Chem. Table 3 shows that the GOME TOC underestimate MOZAIC in this region, perhaps reflecting the low sensitivity of GOME to lower tropospheric \(\text{O}_3\), especially in the presence of aerosols from biomass burning or mineral dust. A retrieval of tropospheric \(\text{O}_3\) using the scan-angle method better captures lower tropospheric \(\text{O}_3\) and seasonal TOC variation.
by exploiting the difference in retrieval information between nadir and high viewing angles (Kim et al., 2005). Instrument sensitivity may also play a role over Central Africa during JJA, when GOME TOC biased low by 10 DU compared to MOZAIC at Brazzaville.

4.2.3 Biomass burning emission factors

We compare the modified simulation with a sensitivity simulation using a recent compilation of biomass burning emission factors (EF) from Andreae (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae, personal communication, 2005), that were compiled from in situ measurements. The main differences versus the original simulation are a 23% lower NOx EF for savannas/grassland and a 15% higher NOx EF for tropical forest fires. The new EF for savanna and grassland also feature 100% higher values for HCHO and 200% for alkenes.

The green line in Fig. 8 shows the O3 simulation using the in-situ-based emission factors. The in-situ-based emission factors reduce the original bias versus O3 over West Africa but still yield insufficient O3 in contrast with the top-down emissions. Over Central and South Africa, in-situ-based emission factors increase O3 by 10–15 ppbv in JJA with respect to the original simulation, 5 ppbv more than the modified simulation. Over India during the biomass burning season, the new emission factors have no effect on the lower tropospheric O3 distribution, in contrast with the space-based constraint, which decreases O3 by 5 ppbv yielding a simulation more consistent with in situ measurements. The amount of biomass burned may be responsible for the bias in the original simulation for India as evident from a similar bias in CO (Heald et al., 2003).
In summary the recent compilation has similarities with the top-down emissions, but less successfully reproduces O$_3$ observations. We go on to infer regional NO$_x$ and VOC emission factors from the top-down inventory over Africa and the bottom-up estimate of biomass burned. The resulting emission factors for savanna/grassland fires are 2.9 g NO/kg over North Africa, 4.3 g NO/kg over Central/South Africa and 3.1 g NO/kg over the South American Cerrado. This leads to 3.4 g NO/kg mean for savanna/grassland, at the upper limit of the recommendation from Andreae (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae, personal communication, 2005) with 2.3±1.1 g NO/kg. For tropical forest fires the top-down EF are 2.3 g NO/kg over North Africa, 2.6 g NO/kg over Central/South Africa and 2.0 g NO/kg over South America leading to 2.3 g NO/kg mean versus 1.8±0.7 from Andreae (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae, personal communication, 2005).

The resulting emission factors for savanna/grassland fires are 0.96 g/kg for alkenes, and 0.7 g/kg for HCHO close to the recommendation by Andreae (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae, personal communication, 2005) with 1.1±0.6 g/kg and 0.7±0.4 g/kg for HCHO.

4.2.4 Sensitivity to biogenic emissions

We explore whether the HCHO bias in the original simulation could be related to isoprene emissions by conducting a sensitivity simulation using the recent Model Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) inventory (Guenther et al., 2006). This inventory yields 600 Tg C/yr of isoprene emissions and has improved the HCHO simulation over the United States (Palmer et al., 2006). However the simulation using MEGAN (not shown) increases HCHO columns over the Amazonian and Equatorial African forest, for all seasons. The general effect is to introduce an overestimate of the tropospheric HCHO columns outside of the wet and biomass burning seasons. Moreover the MEGAN inventory generally decreases O$_3$ in the lower and middle troposphere by 3–5 ppbv, reducing agreement with in situ O$_3$ data. In summary there is a higher consistency in the comparison of GOME vs. the GEOS-Chem modified simulation than in the comparison of GOME vs. the GEOS-Chem simulation using MEGAN, supporting the previous conclusion of an underestimate of biomass burning VOCs in the bottom-up emission inventory.

4.3 Sensitivity to heterogeneous chemistry

Here we examine the implications of the heterogeneous chemistry updates described in Sect. 3.2.4, specifically the neglect of HO$_2$ uptake on biomass burning aerosols, and the uptake of HNO$_3$ on mineral dust. We also explore the effect of direct O$_3$ destruction on mineral dust. This section provides a first overall evaluation of these processes, through comparison with in situ O$_3$ measurements over a broad area.

The exclusion of HO$_2$ uptake on biomass burning aerosols in our modified simulation systematically increases modeled O$_3$ over biomass burning regions by 5–7 ppbv, improving the consistency with in situ measurements as shown in Fig. 8. Elsewhere no effect is found over the Tropics.

Figure 10 shows vertical profiles of O$_3$ at locations and seasons in which HNO$_3$ uptake had a large effect. As found by Bauer et al. (2004) heterogeneous uptake of HNO$_3$ reduces O$_3$ primarily over and downwind of deserts, i.e. northern Africa and South America, the Arabian peninsula, and India. We find that the reduction in O$_3$ reduces model biases compared to in situ measurements. There is significant improvement over Dubai and Bombay during March to November, when O$_3$ is reduced by 10–15%. The simulated O$_3$ column enhancement over the Middle East is reduced by this process. Over Caracas, there is a 3–5 ppbv (10%) O$_3$ decrease below 800 hPa from November to August, when there is a long range transport from the Sahara. Over Lagos HNO$_3$ uptake reduces O$_3$ by a maximum of 5%.

The uptake of HNO$_3$ on mineral dust implemented here, using a reaction probability formulation for convenience, likely represents an upper limit. The particle alkalinity would likely be consumed during continued exposure to HNO$_3$ and H$_2$SO$_4$ and would be better represented in an equilibrium partitioning. Aerosol nitrate could photolyze to regenerate NO$_3$ (Andastasio and Mc Gregor , 2001). Nonetheless, we find observational evidence in support of the reaction.

We also explored the effect of direct O$_3$ destruction on mineral dust using $\gamma$($O_3$)=10$^{-5}$ as recommended from recently laboratory measurements by Hanisch and Crowley (2003). The effect of this reaction on O$_3$ is smaller than that of HNO$_3$ uptake as found by Bauer et al. (2004). However O$_3$ uptake had a large negative role over Lagos during DJF in the lower troposphere, leading to a 15–20% reduction of the O$_3$ biomass burning enhancement.

In summary, HNO$_3$ uptake on mineral dust and the exclusion of HO$_2$ uptake on biomass burning aerosols improves the simulation versus MOZAIK and SHADOZ sites. This is not the case for O$_3$ uptake, which had no effect over the Middle East and India, and a negative effect over West Africa.

4.4 Sensitivity to dynamics

In this section we compare the effect on chemical species of two different assimilated meteorological datasets provided by the Goddard Earth Observing System data assimilation system at the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation office: GEOS-3 and GEOS-4. GEOS-3 uses the Relaxed Arakawa Schubert (Moorthi and Suarez , 1992) convective parameterization. GEOS-4 uses the Zhang and McFarlane (1995) parameterization for deep convection and the Hack (1994) parameterization for shallow convection. The major differences between GEOS-3 and GEOS-4 are in the convective parameterizations, cloud optical depths, and cloud top heights. These differences have been outlined in several stud-
ies. As discussed by Folkins et al. (2006) the tropical cloud divergence is quite weak at all altitudes with GEOS-3, and is stronger in the upper troposphere with GEOS-4 model. Cloud optical depths are smaller in GEOS-4 than GEOS-3 leading to more active photochemistry (Liu et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2007). Cloud top heights are higher in GEOS-3 than GEOS-4 implying an increased altitude of injection of lightning NOx emissions.

In these simulations it is then challenging to quantify the effect of one process, as differences may result from additive or compensating multiple effects. In the following we discuss qualitatively the processes that could drive differences on chemical species distributions. We compare our modified simulation driven with GEOS-4 meteorological fields with one driven with GEOS-3 at the MOZAIC and SHADOZ sites for O3 (Fig. 10); and also for CO and RH (Fig. 9). For clar-
The main differences in O$_3$ between the simulations using GEOS-4 and GEOS-3 are found in the middle and upper troposphere. GEOS-3 substantially overestimates O$_3$ compared to measurements over all regions, by 10–25 ppbv (15%–50%) with even higher overestimates over South America, the Middle East, and the Pacific. The main difference is found over Caracas, Sao Paolo and Ascension. The major effect over subsident areas likely reflects the increased altitude of injection of lightning NO$_x$, leading to more ozone production during transport. Convective transport has considerable implications for upper tropospheric CO, RH and O$_3$ (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1999; Lawrence et al., 2003; Diab et al., 2004; Folkins and Martin, 2005; Rasch et al., 1997). The remaining discrepancy above 400 hPa could reflect an underestimate of convective detrainment of O$_3$ depleted air (Folkins et al., 2006). The radiative effect is negligible in the upper troposphere (<4%), consistent with Liu et al. (2006). Differences in upper tropospheric RH also cannot explain the O$_3$ differences. At NO$_x$ levels typically found in the upper troposphere, water vapor enhances net O$_3$ production (Klonecki et al., 1997). The reduced RH in the upper troposphere in GEOS-3 would tend to decrease O$_3$ net production reducing the O$_3$ difference with GEOS-4.

The role of convection is apparent in a zonal average. Figure 11 shows a zonal average (5° W–30° E), along MOZAIC flight altitudes, 200–300 hPa, during the monsoon season (JJA). MOZAIC data depict the ITCZ position over Africa (0°–10° N) with depleted O$_3$ and enhanced RH (Sauvage et al., 2007a). GEOS-3 overestimates O$_3$ and underestimates RH in contrast with GEOS-4.

There are a few instances where MOZAIC measurements are more consistent with GEOS-3 than with GEOS-4. For example during SON over Lagos, Ascension (Fig. 10), and Reunion, upper tropospheric O$_3$ measurements are better reproduced with GEOS-3, than with GEOS-4. This bias at Ascension appears to reflect the low altitude of lightning outflow in GEOS-4, as supported by the improvement in the simulation with enhanced intracloud lightning. It confirms that the seasonal bias at Ascension, described on Sect. 4.1.1 reflects an issue in lightning altitude injection.

The two assimilation schemes also affect trace gases in the lower troposphere at some locations (Fig. 10, Lagos, Ascension, Bombay). The GEOS-3 simulation exhibits lower O$_3$ concentrations than with GEOS-4 and in situ measurements. In contrast both GEOS-3 and GEOS-4 underestimate lower tropospheric CO at Lagos and Delhi (Fig. 9), but the simulation is within one standard deviation of the measurements and the CO seasonal cycle well reproduced. Both simulations are able to capture the lower tropospheric maximum in CO associated with the biomass burning season, in DJF and MAM over Lagos, and MAM over Delhi. In the lower troposphere GEOS-4 CO is lower than GEOS-3, likely reflecting lower cloud optical depth that results in an increase in photolysis rates and active photochemistry, and more active convection that would transport CO from the lower troposphere.

The lower tropospheric CO underestimate with both GEOS-3 and GEOS-4, suggests an underestimate of CO emissions in both the modified and original simulations. We did not attempt to correct this bias. However we examine the possible implications in our O$_3$ simulation by increasing CO biomass burning emissions by a factor 2. The effect on O$_3$ is negligible, increasing the O$_3$ background by 3 ppbv.

More than a simple overview of two different meteorological databases, this comparison clearly shows convection and cloud features as major processes driving tropospheric O$_3$. These processes may be as important as the remaining uncertainties in chemical processes and emissions sources.
5 Conclusions

We used a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to evaluate the consistency of multiple satellite measurements and to examine the processes affecting tropospheric O_3 over the Tropics. Space-based observations from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME), Optical Transient Detector (OTD) and Lightning Imaging sensor (LIS) instruments are used to constrain the model emissions necessary for an accurate estimation and understanding of processes affecting tropical tropospheric 

O_3.

In-situ measurements from the Measurements of Ozone and water vapor by in-service Airbus aircraft (MOZAIC) program and the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozone sondes (SHADOZ) ozonesonde network, were subsequently used to evaluate the simulation.

Our modified simulation featured substantial modifications to the original simulation. A climatology of flash counts from the OTD and LIS instruments is used to improve the spatial distribution of lightning NO_x emissions in the model. Tropospheric NO_2 and HCHO columns retrieved from GOME are applied to provide top-down constraints on emission inventories of NO_x (biomass burning and soils) and VOCs (biomass burning). We remove HO_2 uptake on biomass burning aerosols, and implement HNO_3 uptake on mineral dust.

Upper tropospheric O_3 is highly sensitive to the spatial distribution of lightning NO_x emissions. The lightning rescaling improves the simulation of middle and upper tropospheric O_3 for tropical sites, by 5–15 ppbv (10%–45%) versus in situ measurements from SHADOZ and MOZAIC. Biases in the simulation of tropospheric ozone columns are reduced by 1–6 DU versus GOME, MOZAIC and SHADOZ measurements. We evaluate lightning emissions in terms of intensity, by testing ±2 Tg N/yr around the 6 Tg N/yr used in the modified simulation; and in terms of distribution by increasing the NO_x emitted from intracloud lightning. A lightning source strength of ±2 Tg N/yr best represents in situ observations from MOZAIC and SHADOZ. Increasing the ratio of intra-cloud (IC) to cloud-ground (CG) lightning NO emissions from 0.1 to 0.75 generally introduces an O_3 overestimate compared to in situ measurements. However, substantial improvements are found at Ascension and Reunion during SON. A global mean increase in intra-cloud lightning NO_x is not supported by in situ O_2 profiles. Prognostic determination of the IC/CG ratio could yield an improved simulation of tropical ozone. Further study should investigate the possibility of seasonal and spatial variations in the IC/CG ratio.

The top-down constraints on NO_x emissions inferred from GOME NO_2 columns increase biomass burning emissions, by a factor of 2.6 over North Africa. The NO_x emission factor inferred from GOME NO_2 columns over savanna/grassland is 3.4 g NO/kg dm, 40% higher than the recommendation by Andreae (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Andreae and Merlet, personal communication, 2005) but within the given range. The GOME HCHO columns provide a measure of reactive VOC emissions. An increase in HCHO and alkenes emissions by a factor of 2 over biomass burning regions is necessary to reproduce GOME observations of HCHO columns. The top-down emissions increase the simulation of lower tropospheric ozone by 5–20 ppbv, improving the simulation versus MOZAIC in situ measurements, mainly over Africa where O_3 is most sensitive to surface sources. The improvement in simulated O_3 provides an indirect validation of the retrieved tropospheric NO_2 and HCHO columns. The modeled TOC are within 1–3 DU of GOME, and within 1–4 DU compared to in situ measurements. The seasonal variations are well reproduced.

We evaluate the biogenic/a posteriori NO_x emission inventory (Jaeglé et al., 2005) versus in situ O_3 measurements. The largest influence appears over Africa and adjacent regions in MAM/JJA, with O_3 increasing by 5–7 ppbv, and reducing a regional model bias.

We drive GEOS-Chem with two different assimilation schemes, GEOS-3 and GEOS-4, that feature different convective parameterizations and cloud fields. The two different dynamical schemes have considerable effect on the ozone simulation. GEOS-4 better represents O_3 observations by 5–20 ppbv due to enhanced convective detrainment in the upper troposphere, compared to GEOS-3 which overestimates O_3. The role of enhanced convective outflow is particularly apparent in relative humidity and O_3 in the upper troposphere across the ITCZ over Africa. The two assimilated fields most affect carbon monoxide in the lower troposphere, and observations are better reproduced with GEOS-3 which has higher cloud optical depths.

Recent laboratory and field measurements provide evidence for uptake of HNO_3 (γ_{HNO_3}=0.1) on mineral dust, and the absence of HO_2 uptake on biomass burning aerosols. We evaluate those processes with in situ measurements of O_3. HNO_3 uptake reduces a regional model bias by 5–15% downwind of deserts. The neglect of HO_2 uptake on biomass burning aerosols increases simulated O_3 by 5 ppbv, improving our simulations versus in situ measurements in biomass burning regions. Direct uptake of O_3 (γ_{O_3}=10^{-5}) on mineral dust introduces a large model bias compared to MOZAIC O_3 measurements over West Africa.

We have shown that satellite observations of lightning and O_3 precursors improve substantially the simulation of tropical tropospheric O_3 with a global chemical transport model due to better representation of emissions. The most prominent outstanding issues are related to lightning and convection. Future development of a prognostic parameterization of lightning that reproduces observed flash counts, should improve the accuracy of O_3 simulations. In-situ measurements of trace gases in close proximity to deep convection in the Tropics would enable disentangling of issues related to lightning vertical profile and convective transport. Recent high resolution space-based data, such as from Aura (Schoeberl et
al., 2006), or MetOp-A should continue to provide additional insight into tropical tropospheric ozone.
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