Volcano–ice interactions at Prestahnu´kur, Iceland: rhyolite eruption during the last interglacial–glacial transition
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ABSTRACT. Prestahnu´kur is a 570 m high rhyolite glaciovolcanic edifice in Iceland’s Western Rift Zone with a volume of 0.6 km3. Uniform whole rock, mineral and glass compositions suggest that Prestahnu´kur was constructed during the eruption of one magma batch. Ar-Ar dating gives an age of 89 ± 24 ka, which implies eruption during the transition (Oxygen Isotope substages 5d to 5a) between the Eemian interglacial and the Weichselian glacial period. Prestahnu´kur is unique among published accounts of rhyolite tuyas because a base of magmatically-fragmented tephra appears to be absent. Instead, basal exposures consist of glassy lava lobes and coarse hyaloclastite, above which are single and multiple lava sheets with matrix-supported basal breccias and hyaloclastite upper carapaces. Steepening ramp structures at sheet termini are interpreted as ice-contact features. Interactions between erupting magma and water/ice have affected all lithologies. A preliminary model for the construction of Prestahnu´kur involves an effusive subglacial eruption between 2–19 years duration which never became emergent, into an ice sheet over 700 m thick. If 700 m of ice had built up during this interglacial–glacial transition, this would corroborate models arguing for the swift accumulation of land-based ice in rapid response to global cooling.

INTRODUCTION
An important focus of climate research is the transition from interglacial to glacial conditions. The most recent such transition between the Eemian interglacial and the Weichselian glacial (Imbrie and others, 1989) was a period involving climatic instability linked with rapid temperature fluctuations (Guiot, 1990; Follieri and others, 1989; McManus and others, 1994).

The main aims of this paper are to present a model for an Icelandic rhyolite eruption that occurred during this transition, and to show how studies of ice–volcano interactions combined with Ar-Ar dating can provide improved estimates of past ice thicknesses. (Detailed accounts of the physical volcanology and petrology of Prestahnu´kur will be published elsewhere.)

GEOLOGICAL SETTING
Prestahnu´kur is an isolated rhyolite edifice (Fig. 1), elliptical (2.5 km NNE-SSW axis and 2 km WNW-ESE axis), with a volume of ~0.6 km3 dense rock equivalent (DRE). The summit of 1226 m lies ~570 m above the lowest exposures (roughly defined by the 650 m contour). Its northwest flanks are overlain by the 1069 m high Hádegisvík syðra (a basalt glaciovolcanic edifice), and its east-northeast flanks may be overlain by the 1390 m high Geitlandsjökull basalt tuya; however, contacts are obscured by scree. Glaciated beds of basaltic hyaloclastite (which probably originated during the formation of Geitlandsjökull) occur across much of the edifice, which are usually <0.5 m but occasionally up to 6 m thick and contain rhyolite clasts from Prestahnu´kur, particularly in the coarser beds.

VOLCANOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS
Prestahnu´kur can be subdivided into three lava tiers: upper, lower and southern (Fig. 2). The lower tier is only exposed on the west flank, where it crops out continuously for nearly 2 km. The upper tier forms a summit plateau and feeds slope-draping lava sheets on the southeast and east flanks. The southern tier lies beneath the upper tier and feeds slope-draping lava sheets on the south and southwest flanks (Fig. 2). While it is possible that all three tiers originally formed one high-level tier that was dismembered by normal faulting, the amount of throw required (over 300 m) seems unlikely given the much smaller throws on nearby faults (Piper, 1973; Saemundsson, 1992). The various lava and fragmental lithofacies are now described and interpreted.

Fragmental lithofacies
A range of fragmental lithofacies are present. These are broadly divided into four groups: (1) lobe-bearing breccias; (2) coarse polymictic breccias; (3) well-bedded breccias, sandstones and gravels; and (4) pumice-ash breccias.

Lobe-bearing breccias
These dominate the lower parts of the edifice and may constitute >30% of its total volume. They form a clast-supported breccia of coarse and moderately vesicular or micro-vesicular blocks (pale grey and flow-banded, normally less than 10 cm, but ranging from 2 cm up to 1 m in size (Figs 3 and 4), with slightly elongate vesicles oriented parallel to flow bands. The blocks are often prismatically jointed and variably fractured (jigsaw fit), while abundant grey, silty ash infills fractures. Within the breccias occur shattered but coherent glassy lava lobes (up to 10 m in size)
which show irregular columnar joint development (usually <10 cm) with hackly and pseudopillow fractures (Lescinsky and Fink, 2000) and whose margins grade into the surrounding breccia. This lithology shows little evidence of oxidation, and has been extensively perlitised.

Quenching (by water) can explain the observed combination of abundant obsidian and glassy textures, the matrix of ash, the lack of oxidation and the generally smaller block size when compared to subaerial rhyolites (Anderson and others, 1998). While smaller blocks can be generated during high effusion rates (Anderson and others, 1998), the higher proportions of obsidian glass and ashy matrix (relative to subaerial lavas), the extensive fracturing of the lava lobes (Lescinsky and Fink, 2000) and the extensive perlitisation of the lobes and breccias all suggest that external water was present during the eruption. The breccias are therefore
interpreted as the quenched outer carapaces of the lava lobes: they are hyaloclastite (Goto and Tsuchiya, 2004). Lobe-hyaloclastite complexes are commonly formed by subaqueous eruptions of silicic magmas (e.g. Goto and Tsuchiya, 2004; Stewart and McPhie, 2006). This lithology is therefore broadly analogous to the pillow lava sequence found at the base of basaltic tuyas, where extensive interaction with meltwater is well established (e.g. Smellie, 2000). However, other lithologies characteristic of true subaqueous rhyolite eruptions (e.g. re-deposited hyaloclastite) are absent (Yamagishi and Dimroth, 1987; DeRita and others, 2001; Stewart and McPhie, 2006).

**Coarse polymictic breccias**

This lithology occurs at all stratigraphic levels in a variety of forms. Only two examples are given here: (a) self-supported angular clasts (<20 cm) of flow-banded rhyolite (~50%), microvesicular rhyolite (40%) and obsidian (10%) in an ash matrix; and (b) clast-supported polymictic breccia with angular clasts up to 10 cm dominated by microvesicular rhyolite clasts (with only minor clasts of obsidian or flow-banded rhyolite).

Example (a) is interpreted as the deposits of pyroclastic density currents (block and ash flow) generated by small explosions and collapses of lava bodies during extrusion; such explosions were common during the emergent phases of the 1953–57 Tulumau eruption (Reynolds and others, 1980). Example (b) is interpreted as the quenched carapace of a lava body and/or the product of glacial reworking of such material.

**Well-bedded breccias, sandstones and gravels**

Isolated exposures of well-bedded volcanioclastics occur on the edifice (Fig. 5). The beds are usually shallow-dipping
with a variety of orientations (including dipping back into the slope). Beds range from 1 m to <5 cm in thickness, with better sorting in the thinner and finer-grained beds. Although some exposures contain monolithologic beds (e.g. of grey microvesicular rhyolite, grading from coarse breccia into gravel and then sandstone), more commonly a variety of clast types (including obsidian and flow-banded rhyolite) occur. In the southwest, a 1.5 m thick sequence consists of 75 cm of well-sorted, diffusely cross-stratified brownish sand with obsidian granules, overlain by 75 cm of extremely fine ash. The basal part of the ash contains beds up to 2 cm thick bearing crystals and obsidian fragments.

This lithology, exhibiting sorting and well-defined bedding, is interpreted as the product of debris flows deposited in water. This suggests that regions of standing water existed at the edifice at different levels and times during its construction. Poor exposure does not enable the original sizes of the water bodies to be determined, but their localized nature suggests that they were small and isolated. Much of this material has been reworked from a variety of sources. The southwest locality, whose fine grain size in a proximal location indicates phreatomagmatic explosions (Cas and others, 1990), suggests that at least some of this material is primary in origin.

**Pumice-ash breccias**

This uncommon lithology forms beds up to 5 m thick containing angular clasts of both white (highly vesicular) and grey (moderately vesicular) pumice supported by a matrix of granules of pumice, obsidian and of fine ash. Such deposits form the bulk of the basal parts of other rhyolite tuyas (Tuffen and others, 2002; Stevenson, 2005) and were interpreted to have formed by magmatic (vapour-driven) fragmentation. They appear to be rare at Prestahnúkur.

**Fragmental lithologies – summary**

These fragmental lithologies represent the products of a range of eruptive styles and environments, and demonstrate that water, which was involved in both fragmentation and deposition, played a significant role throughout the eruption.

**Lava lithofacies**

The three lava tiers comprise single and multiple lava sheets of variable thickness (~5–60 m) with orientations from sub-horizontal to slope-parallel (up to 40°). The lower tier appears to consist of one sheet some 30–60 m thick, ~2 km wide, which probably flowed <1 km from the (unexposed) vent. Both the upper and southern tiers (Fig. 2) comprise single and stacked lava sheets which have fed slope-draping sheets (up to 400 m long and 100–250 m wide). The constituent lava is strongly flow-banded with abundant lithophysae and spherulites constituting up to 80% of the rock; the largest lithophysae are 19 cm in diameter. General features of the lava sheets are described and interpreted.

**Sheet bases**

Lava sheet bases are underlain by a zone of interaction with the material beneath (Fig. 4). This zone comprises matrix-supported breccias up to 12 m thick (although 2–5 m is more typical) consisting of angular, flow-banded and glassy rhyolite clasts of variable size (Fig. 6) set in a matrix of (mm to sub-mm) comminuted lava fragments and ash. Close to the lava, larger clasts (10–30 cm) define mainly jigsaw-fit domains. These grade outwards into smaller clasts defining both jigsaw-fit and clast-rotated domains.

These breccias are interpreted as the products of quench fragmentation of the lava sheet bases that flowed over soggy substrate, in a process akin to peperite formation (White and others, 2000), although sediment, sensu stricto, is not involved. Explosive mixing has been most energetic nearer the substrate contact, leading to comminution and back injection of the small lava clasts (derived from the sheet) and ash (derived from fragmentation of microvesicular substrate blocks). Larger jigsaw-fit clasts reflect only minor disruption of the sheet well above the zone of explosive mixing.

**Sheet tops**

Although sheet tops are poorly exposed (either removed by erosion or obscured by scree/till), massive interior lava (often glassy) can be seen grading upwards into carapaces comprising unconsolidated pale-to-reddish microvesicular pumice breccias (clast sizes typically 3–20 cm), within which selvedges of flow-banded and contact-parallel obsidian
occur (Fig. 7). Some sheets have carapaces up to 30 m thick, but <10 m is more typical. Flow banding in most of the sheet is generally contact-parallel, but steep ramps develop in the (glassy) upper ~5–10 m within which incipient brecciation can be seen. Where carapaces have been completely removed, lobes (glassy with small columns) are exposed (Fig. 8).

These carapaces comprise smaller blocks than the upper surfaces of subaerial rhyolites (typically 20–30 cm, Anderson and others, 1998) and they are also much thicker (Fink and others, 1992; Fink and Anderson, 2000; Stevenson and others, 1994). They are more akin to the hyaloclastite carapaces of silicic sills described by Hunns and McPhie (1999) and Orth and McPhie (2003). This implies that the sheets were wetted from above and that brecciation (i.e. hyaloclastite formation) was encouraged by water accessing upper parts of the flow via downward-propagating tension cracks (Stevenson and others, 1994).

Sheet termini
A number of sheets (both sub horizontal and slope-parallel) terminate in steep glassy walls (Fig. 9), with flow banding steepening dramatically towards the vertical over short distances (<50 m) and in extreme cases becoming overturned. The tips of downward-propagating tension cracks associated with brecciation are often prominent.

We interpret the localized steepening of flow banding at sheet termini as ice-contact features, where (ice) resistive forces became higher than the forces promoting flow of lava. Much of the entire ~2 km long termini of the lava sheet comprising the lower tier shows this localized steepening, which also occurs at some upper tier flows (e.g. at ~1100 m altitude on the north flank). Overturning of flow ramps may suggest pinning of the lava sheet’s upper surface to an ice roof while ductile flow continued below.

Sheets – summary
We consider the sheets to represent sills that intruded ice-edifice interfaces (Wilson and Head, 2002), with intrusion of the slope-draping sheets being aided by gravity. Meltwater played a key role in sheet formation: basal breccias indicate both quenching and explosive mixing, while brecciation at flow ramps and upper carapaces indicate sustained wetting (and penetration of water) from overlying ice. Steeply
ramped flow termini may indicate that ephemeral gaps existed between the growing edifice and the enclosing ice (perhaps aided/created by meltwater escape).

**Vents**

Two vents have been identified. The first is near the summit (upper tier) where a steeply dipping carapace of finely vesicular pumice breccia partly encloses microcrystalline rhyolite (Fig. 10). This vent fed a ~400 m long slope-draping sheet that travelled to the base of the edifice (Fig. 7). The second is in the southwest of the southern flank tier where again, a finely-vesicular pumice breccia carapace partly surrounds microcrystalline and lithophysae-rich rhyolite.

**GEOCHEMISTRY AND MINERALOGY**

To place discussions of ice–volcano interactions in context, it should be established whether a glaciovolcanic edifice has been constructed during just one eruptive event or during several events separated by substantial time gaps (Werner and others, 1996). We use geochemistry and mineralogy to establish this for Prestahňukur.

Detailed studies of Icelandic rhyolites have shown that each magma batch possesses a unique geochemical signature (McGarvie, 1985; MacDonald and others, 1990), with rare exceptions being eruptions from zoned magma chambers (McGarvie and others, 1990). A robust sampling strategy should therefore analyse samples from three or more eruptive units spanning the duration of the eruption. If samples show near-identical trace element concentrations/ratios then the edifice is likely to have been constructed by the eruption of a single magma batch. If differences exist then either the edifice has been constructed by multiple magma batches, or it is monogenetic but produced during a zoned eruption.

At Prestahňukur, six rhyolites were analysed to determine the variability of erupted compositions (Table 1). Major and trace elements show a consistent composition for all six rhyolites with very little variability, even for the alkalis (Na$_2$O and K$_2$O) which often show greatest variability (Baker and Henage, 1977). The rhyolites are sparsely porphyritic (<8% crystals, with ~1–4% being typical). Lath-shaped and tabular oligoclase feldspars up to 2 mm in size dominate, with most being around 1 mm although some larger grains reach 4 mm. Other phenocrysts occur in accessory proportions: clinopyroxene (ferroaugite), fayalitic olivine, ilmenite and allanite. All phenocrysts show uniform compositions, while relationships between phenocrysts and glassy groundmass (i.e. euhedral phenocrysts showing no disequilibrium textures at their margins) suggest mineral-melt equilibria.

Essentially, consistent whole-rock, glass and mineral chemistry – corroborated by textures indicating no disequilibrium between mineral and glass phases – indicate that Prestahňukur was constructed during the eruption of one magma batch over a sufficiently short time span that did not allow for chemical and petrological differentiation of the magma feeding the eruption.

Finally, a striking feature of the rhyolites is their high silica content, with SiO$_2$ whole-rock contents ranging from 76.61% to 77.14% (average 76.93%) and glass contents just over 78% (Table 1). A scrutiny of published analyses (e.g. Walker, 1966; Sigmarsson and others, 1991) suggests that Prestahňukur contains the highest silica rhyolites in Iceland. Such high-silica rhyolites should have higher viscosities than lower-silica rhyolites (e.g. Shaw, 1972).
WATER CONTENTS
The dissolved water content in two obsidian samples was measured using a Thermo Nicolet Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FTIR) at The Open University, UK. The FTIR used a liquid N2-cooled MCT-A detector, KBr beamsplitter, a spot size of 50 μm and the sample chamber was purged with dried air. Five hundred samples were taken between 4000 and 6000 cm⁻¹. The thickness of double-polished sample wafers (~100 μm) was measured with a Mitutoyo digital displacement gauge. The height of the total water absorbance peak at ~3550 cm⁻¹ was used to calculate water contents using the Beer-Lambert law and an absorption coefficient for rhyolitic glass of 78 ± 4 L mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Ihinger and others, 1994). There is insufficient total water in the samples for a significant quantity of molecular water to be present and no CO₂ peak was detectable. The total water contents of samples PK1 (lower tier lava flow) and PK7 (summit lava) were found to be 0.10 ± 0.01 wt% and 0.14 ± 0.01 wt%, respectively. (The accumulated errors from uncertainties in measurements and the absorption coefficient are about 10%.)

### Ar-Ar DATING
Three samples of rhyolite from two eruptive units (PK1 and PK7) were selected for Ar-Ar dating. Sub-millimetre fragments of glass matrix were hand picked under a binocular microscope to avoid phenocrysts that may have experienced a different magmatic history. Each sample was ultrasonically cleaned in methanol and de-ionised water before being irradiated. Samples and the Alder Creek age standard (t = 1.193 ± 0.0001 Ma; Nomade and others, 2005) were irradiated for 0.5 hr in the CLICIT (Cadmium-Lined In-Core Irradiation Tube) facility of the TRIGA reactor at Oregon State University. The f-value was determined from four aliquots of Alder Creek and interspersed among the rhyolite samples to give a weighted mean value of 0.000139 ± 0.000004. Neutron interference corrections were determined from CaF₂ and K₂SO₄ included in the irradiation and lie within the errors of values previously determined by the OSU reactor (Nomade and others, 2005). Ar was extracted from rhyolite samples using 30 minute temperature steps at 500, 1100, 1300 and 1500°C in a resistance furnace, with >70% of the ³⁹Ar released in the 1100°C step. Raw data were corrected for discrimination, radioactive decay and neutron interference. Data from all samples show a good correlation on a ⁴⁰Ar/³⁶Ar–³⁹Ar/³⁶Ar isotope correlation diagram (Fig. 11). The regression line was determined using the method of Williamson (1968) leading to an Ar-Ar age of 84 ± 24 ka (1σ error). The mean squared weighted deviation (MSWD) value of 0.49 (n = 12) indicates an acceptable statistical correlation with ρ = 0.90. However, the low MSWD suggests the analytical errors may be overestimated. Based upon the ⁴⁰Ar intercept value of 296.6 ± 1.4 in Figure 11, the trapped component is most likely to be atmospheric argon. Radiogenic ⁴⁰Ar only accounts for between 8–21% of the total ⁴⁰Ar released at 1100°C.

### DISCUSSION
A model for the formation of Prestahnúkur is proposed and ice–volcano interactions and climatic implications are discussed.
Model for the formation of Prestahnu’kur

A 3-stage preliminary model is proposed. Stage 1 involved effusion at the ice-bedrock interface. Extensive interactions with meltwater caused quenching and fragmentation of the margins of the magma leading to the formation of lobe-bearing hyaloclastite. Significant volumes of hyaloclastite have not been reported from subglacial eruptions of evolved magmas elsewhere, such as the Garbháldir Volcanic Field or The Table (Kolman and others, 2002). In these locations, eruptions took place on steep topography, which would have promoted drainage of meltwater. However, the pre-eruption topography at Prestahnu’kur was almost flat. Although theoretical calculations suggest that meltwater generation from eruptions of evolved magmas is insufficiently rapid to generate water-filled cavities (Hóskuldsson and Sparks, 1997), the lobe-bearing hyaloclastite can be produced provided that meltwater escape is inefficient and the breccia pile has been left soggy. Magmatically-fragmented tephras, which are ubiquitously in basal pedestal deposits at Torfajökull and Kerlingarfjöll rhyolite tuyas (Tufén and others, 2002; Stevenson, 2005) are scarce at Prestahnu’kur. This may reflect one or more of lower initial volatile contents, high confining pressure (which implies thick ice), high magma viscosity or extensive degassing prior to eruption.

Stage 2 involved the formation of the lower tier via sill intrusion at the ice-edifice interface. Contact with wet substrate generated peperite-like sheet bases, while hyaloclastite carapaces indicate flow top wetting (via melting of overlying ice).

Stage 3 involved the formation of the upper and southern tiers, with the production of single, stacked and slope-draping sheets. Peperite-like bases and hyaloclastite carapaces were generated by flow along the edifice-ice boundary. On the upper tier at ~1100 m altitude, sheets on the north and northwest ended at ice walls developing steeply-ramped termini. Explosions and collapses of lava bodies generated clasts which were deposited, along with reworked carapace material, in localized water bodies. These polymictic breccias demonstrate that meltwater was important throughout the eruption.

The original volatile content of the Prestahnu’kur magma is not known. FTIR measurements on obsidians show low water contents of only 0.10–0.14 wt%. However, some volatile loss (degassing) is required to generate the thick pumiceous carapaces on the lava tiers and the vesicular clasts in the lobe-hyaloclastite complexes, and so the original volatile content will have been greater than 0.10–0.14 wt%. Evidence for volatile-driven fragmentation at Prestahnu’kur is however rare, whereas it is abundant at the rhyolite tuyas of Torfajökull and Kerlingarfjöll (Tufén and others, 2002; Stevenson, 2005), where higher volatile contents (determined by FTIR) of up to 0.61 wt% have been reported (Tufén, 2001) on edifices of similar vertical extent as Prestahnu’kur. Consequently, we suggest that the original volatile content of the Prestahnu’kur rhyolite was probably 0.2–0.5 wt%, which would imply that the magma originally had a lower volatile content than that of other Icelandic rhyolite tuyas (Tufén and others, 2002; Stevenson, 2005).

Estimating eruption durations depends on the mass discharge rate selected (e.g. Fink and Griffiths, 1998). Low discharge rates have been proposed: 0.5–1.6 m³ s⁻¹ at an active dacite lava flow (62.5% SiO₂) by Harris and others (2004); 0.1 m³ s⁻¹ for a submarine-emergent silicic lava dome eruption (68–72% SiO₂) in 1934–35 by Maeno and Taniguchi (2006); and 0.1–10 m³ s⁻¹ for the effusive eruption of the Bláhnúkur subglacial rhyolite by Tufén and others (2001). In contrast, higher discharge rates of 100–1000 m³ s⁻¹ were proposed by Hóskuldsson and Sparks (1997) for small-volume fissure-fed rhyolitic eruptions, while Tufén and others (2002) argued that rates of 10–100 m³ s⁻¹ were appropriate for rhyolite tuyas. Using rates of 1, 10 and 100 m³ s⁻¹ and Prestahnu’kur’s DRE volume of 0.6 km³ gives eruption durations of 19 years, 2 years and 69 days, respectively. We consider an average rate between 1 and 10 m³ s⁻¹ to be reasonable given that Pyle (2000) has argued that magma discharge rates are roughly proportional to erupted volume, and given the paucity of volatile-driven fragmentation at Prestahnu’kur. This duration (2–19 years) is broadly consistent with the 3.5–4 year duration of the subaqueous-to-emergent rhyolite eruption of Tuluman (Reynolds and others, 1980), and could have allowed substantial replenishment of melted ice (given rates of inward ice flow of 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁶ m s⁻¹, Ádalgeirsdóttir and others, 2000).

Ice–volcano interactions

Ice-contact features in upper tier sheets at ~1100 m altitude (just ~120 m below the present summit) suggest that ice may have covered the edifice throughout its construction. This would explain the evidence for meltwater interaction at all stratigraphic levels. As no significant emergent phase has been found, Prestahnu’kur could be the product of an entirely subglacial eruption. If this is correct, then as ice cauldron depths of up to 150 m have been observed in ice-sheet surfaces above heat sources that are melting basal ice (Gudmundsson and others, 2004), and assuming that a similarly-sized cauldron formed in the ice above Prestahnu’kur, this would imply that the ice was at least 700 m thick during the eruption. This is likely to be a minimum thickness, as larger cauldrons may form above larger edifices.

Finally, while more sophisticated models could be constructed that incorporate ice roof collapse, ice wall melt-back and encroachment, heavy snowfall and so on, we

Table 2. Compilation of data on the interglacial–glacial transition between the Eemian and Weichselian (Lowe and Walker, 1997)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OI stage/substage</th>
<th>Terminology</th>
<th>5e</th>
<th>5d</th>
<th>5c</th>
<th>5b</th>
<th>5a</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conditions</td>
<td>Eemian interglacial</td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>Cold</td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>Warm</td>
<td>Middle Weichselian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midpoints 5d to 5a (ended 115 ka)</td>
<td>110 ka</td>
<td>100 ka</td>
<td>91 ka</td>
<td>75 ka</td>
<td>Cold (began 70 ka)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air T (°C, lower)</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>6–7</td>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea level drop</td>
<td>60 m</td>
<td>20–25 m</td>
<td>50 m</td>
<td>20–25 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
believe that the present entirely subglacial model provides a sufficient and simple explanation for the volcanological features we have observed.

Climatic implications

Table 2 summarises conditions during the Lower Weichselian transition between the Eemian interglacial (Oxygen Isotope (OI) substage 5e) and full glacial conditions of OI stage 4, while Figure 12 shows relative temperature fluctuations from the Eemian to the Holocene. The span of the ±24 ka (1σ) error on Prestahnu´kur’s 89 ka Ar-Ar age implies eruption from 113 ka (substage 5d) to 65 ka in early OI stage 4. However, as noted earlier, the low MSWD suggests that analytical errors may be overestimated, so we consider it reasonable to assume that eruption occurred during the actual interglacial–glacial transition (i.e. OI substages 5d to 5a).

In Figure 12, the rapid switching between cold and warm (interstitial) conditions over 10^3–10^4 years from 5e to 5a is apparent. Evidence from ice-rafted debris suggests that during cold periods (5d and 5b) the rapid build-up of land ice (McManus and others, 1994) reached ~50% of that at the last glacial maximum (18–22 ka), while evidence from interstadial sediments (Lundqvist, 1986) indicates near-complete deglaciation during warm periods (5c and 5a). Note that ambient air temperatures (even during the 5c and 5a interstadials) were consistently lower than during interglacial conditions (Table 2).

If we assume that Prestahnu´kur was entirely ice-covered during its construction and that it erupted when ice was at a maximum thickness, then this implies eruption when (at a conservative estimate) there was >700 m of ice in this area at the time. Given this ice thickness, eruption is more likely during a cold substage than during a warm substage, but the error on the Ar-Ar age does not allow us to say whether this was during either cold substage 5d (mid-point 110 ka) or 5b (mid-point 91 ka). Nevertheless, the eruption of Prestahnu´kur during this interglacial–glacial transition (when there were rapid fluctuations in temperature) supports models arguing for very rapid accumulation of land-based ice in response to global cooling (e.g. Raymo and others, 1998), as it can be seen from Figure 12 that the timescales involved are ~10^3 years. In conclusion, this is the first time that ice–volcano interactions in combination with Ar-Ar dating has provided a reasonable estimate for ice thicknesses during an interglacial–glacial transition at an Icelandic locality.

CONCLUSIONS

Prestahnu´kur is a glaciovolcanic edifice constructed during the eruption of a single batch of high-silica rhyolite. The eruption was effusive, and initially produced lobe-hyaloclastite breccias followed by a complex sequence of lava sheets, interpreted as sills that intruded the edifice-ice interface.

Exposures reveal no evidence for a substantial early phase of magmatic (i.e. volatile-driven) fragmentation. It is therefore suggested that the erupting magma had a relatively low volatile content (0.2–0.5 wt%), and had an eruption rate of between 1 and 10 m^3 s^-1, generating an eruption duration of 2–19 years. Steep ramping of lava flow termini suggests contact with ice barriers, implying ice thicknesses of at least 450 m. The edifice may have been ice-covered throughout its construction, implying a minimum ice thickness of 700 m. The age of 89 ± 24 ka suggests eruption during OI stage 5 (possibly one of the cold spikes indicated by substages 5d or 5b).

This supports models that argue for the very rapid accumulation of land-based ice even during short-lived cold periods, as both these substages reached their maxima in under ~9 ka.
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