



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Cardiovascular effects of a novel SIRT1 activator, SRT2104, in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers

Citation for published version:

Venkatasubramanian, S, Noh, RM, Daga, S, Langrish, JP, Joshi, NV, Mills, NL, Hoffmann, E, Jacobson, EW, Vlasuk, GP, Waterhouse, BR, Lang, NN & Newby, DE 2013, 'Cardiovascular effects of a novel SIRT1 activator, SRT2104, in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers', *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 2, no. 3, e000042. <https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.113.000042>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1161/JAHA.113.000042](https://doi.org/10.1161/JAHA.113.000042)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Journal of the American Heart Association

Publisher Rights Statement:

Copyright © 2013 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley-Blackwell. This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Cardiovascular Effects of a Novel SIRT1 Activator, SRT2104, in Otherwise Healthy Cigarette Smokers

Sowmya Venkatasubramanian, MD; Radzi Mohd Noh, MD; Shruti Daga, MD, PhD; Jeremy P. Langrish, MD, PhD; Nikhil V. Joshi, MD, PhD; Nicholas L. Mills, MD, PhD; Ethan Hoffmann; Eric W. Jacobson, MD; George P. Vlasuk, PhD; Brian R. Waterhouse, MS; Ninian N. Lang, MD, PhD; David E. Newby, PhD, MD

Background—We examined the effect of the oral SIRT1 activator SRT2104 on cardiovascular function in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers.

Methods and Results—Twenty-four otherwise healthy cigarette smokers participated in a randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial and received 28 days of oral SRT2104 (2.0 g/day) or matched placebo. Plasma SRT2104 concentrations, serum lipid profile, plasma fibrinolytic factors, and markers of platelet and monocyte activation were measured at baseline and at the end of each treatment period together with an assessment of forearm blood flow during intra-arterial bradykinin, acetylcholine, and sodium nitroprusside infusions. Three hours postdose, mean plasma SRT2104 concentration was 1328 ± 748 ng/mL after 28 days of active treatment. Compared with placebo, serum lipid profile improved during SRT2104 administration, with reductions in serum total cholesterol (-11.6 ± 20 versus 6 ± 21 mg/dL), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (-10 ± 17 versus 3 ± 21 mg/dL), and triglyceride (-39.8 ± 77 versus 13.3 ± 57 mg/dL) concentrations ($P < 0.05$ for all). All vasodilators produced a dose-dependent increase in blood flow ($P < 0.0001$) that was similar during each treatment period ($P > 0.05$ for all). No significant differences in fibrinolytic or blood flow parameters were observed between placebo and SRT2104.

Conclusions—SRT2104 appears to be safe and well tolerated and associated with an improved lipid profile without demonstrable differences in vascular or platelet function in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers.

Clinical Trial Registration—<http://www.clinicaltrials.gov>. Unique identifier: NCT01031108. (*J Am Heart Assoc.* 2013;2:e000042 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000042)

Key Words: cigarette smokers • endothelium • forearm plethysmography • platelet activation • SIRT1 • sirtuins • vascular

Originally identified in yeast, sirtuins represent a class of highly conserved nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD)-dependent histone deacetylases that have 7 identified members in mammalian species.^{1,2} They have been implicated in the beneficial effects of calorie restriction on longevity in several species and are promising drug targets for a variety of diseases of aging.³ Sirtuin (silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog) 1 (SIRT1) is the best-known

member of this class of proteins and is expressed broadly in multiple tissues and highly expressed in the vascular endothelium.⁴ SIRT1 inhibition is associated with vascular dysfunction and arterial thrombosis⁵ as well as alterations in fibrinolysis.⁶ Conversely, SIRT1 activation is associated with improved endothelial function,⁷ enhanced lipid metabolism,⁸ and inhibition of atherogenesis.⁹

Smoking tobacco remains one of the most important and consistent modifiable risk factors for coronary heart disease and is associated with an up to 7-fold increased risk of nonfatal myocardial infarction.¹⁰ It is associated with both accelerated atherosclerosis¹¹ and a propensity for acute coronary thrombosis.^{12,13} This is mediated through a variety of mechanisms including alterations in vascular, endothelial, fibrinolytic, and platelet function.^{14–17} The precise cellular mechanism for these effects is as yet unknown, but cigarette smoke is associated with oxidative stress, endothelial nitric oxide synthase acetylation, and increased endothelial cell senescence that has been attributed to reduced SIRT1 levels.⁴

To date, there have been few clinical studies to assess the effect of SIRT1 activation in vivo in humans. Therefore, the

From the Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK (S.V., R.M.N., J.P.L., N.V.J., N.L.M., N.N.L., D.E.N.); GlaxoSmithKline, UK (S.D.); Sirtris, a GSK Company, MA (E.H., E.W.J., G.P.V.); GlaxoSmithKline, PA (B.R.W.).

Correspondence to: Sowmya Venkatasubramanian, MD, University of Edinburgh, SU305 Chancellor's Building, 49 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, United Kingdom. E-mail: s.venkat@ed.ac.uk

Received December 16, 2012; accepted March 5, 2013.

© 2013 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley-Blackwell. This is an Open Access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

aim of the present study was to examine the *in vivo* effects of a novel oral SIRT1 activator, SRT2104, on the lipid profile and vascular, endothelial, and platelet function in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers. We hypothesized that SIRT1 activation could improve the cardiovascular risk profile and reverse or improve the vascular and endothelial dysfunction associated with cigarette smoking.

Methods

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee, was given Clinical Trial Authorization by the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Authority (MHRA), and carried out at the MHRA Phase 1 accredited Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility at the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, United Kingdom, between June 2010 and September 2011. Written informed consent was obtained from each volunteer, and the study was carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Study Participants

Twenty-four otherwise healthy male and female volunteers aged between 18 and 70 years who smoked ≥ 10 cigarettes daily for at least 1 year were eligible for the study. Exclusion criteria included the presence of significant comorbidities, chronic illness, renal or liver impairment, history of gastrointestinal diseases or surgeries influencing drug absorption, history of alcoholism, history of neoplastic disease within the last 5 years, a positive urinary test for recreational drugs, pregnancy, and participation in other clinical trials or blood

donation within the last 3 months. Eligibility of participants including absence of relevant medical history was confirmed through a standardized form completed by the registered general practitioners after informed consent. Tests for pregnancy (serum human chorionic gonadotrophin [HCG] concentrations at screening and urinary HCG concentrations at study visits) were conducted on all female participants of child-bearing potential.

Study Design

This was a prospective double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled crossover study (1:1 SRT2104:placebo). Subjects were randomized to receive 2.0 g daily of oral SRT2104 or matched placebo (Sirtris Pharmaceuticals Inc) for a 28-day period, followed by crossover to the alternate study arm for another 28 days, giving a total dosing duration of 56 days. An end-of-study visit was conducted on day 70, with a phone call follow-up on day 86. Assessment of drug safety, tolerability, and efficacy on vascular function was carried out at baseline and during and at the end of each treatment period (Figure 1).

Vascular Studies

Vascular studies were undertaken before and at the end of each 28-day trial period. All studies were performed with the patient lying supine in a quiet temperature-controlled (22°C to 25°C) room. Participants were fasted and asked to refrain from smoking for 10 hours before the study and to avoid caffeine and alcohol for 24 hours before the study. Venous




Figure 1. Study design: schematic representation of study design. PK indicates pharmacokinetic; SCR, screening.

cannulas (17G) were inserted into large subcutaneous veins in the antecubital fossae of both arms at the start of the study to facilitate periodic venous sampling. Supine heart rate and blood pressure were monitored at intervals throughout the study using a semiautomated noninvasive oscillometric sphygmomanometer (Omron 705 IT).

Forearm Venous Occlusion Plethysmography

Forearm blood flow was measured in the infused and noninfused forearms using forearm venous occlusion plethysmography as described previously.¹⁷ Subjects underwent brachial artery cannulation in the nondominant forearm with a 27 standard-wire-gauge steel needle. After a 20-minute baseline infusion with 0.9% saline, incremental intra-arterial doses of bradykinin (American Peptide Co) at 100, 300, and 1000 pmol/min (an endothelium-dependent vasodilator that evokes tissue plasminogen activator [t-PA] release); acetylcholine (Chem. Pharm Fabrik GmbH) at 5, 10, and 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$ (an endothelium-dependent vasodilator that does not evoke t-PA release); and sodium nitroprusside (Hospira Inc) at 2, 4, and 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$ (an endothelium-independent vasodilator that does not evoke t-PA release) were infused for 6 minutes at each dose, with a 30-minute 0.9% saline washout infusion between drugs. The order of drugs was randomized between subjects but kept constant for each subject across the 3 visits.

Blood Sampling

Paired venous blood samples were obtained from each forearm before and during the infusion of intra-arterial bradykinin. Samples were collected into acidified buffered citrate (Stabilyte; Trinity Biotech Plc) and citrate (BD Vacutainer; BD UK Ltd) for determination of t-PA and plasminogen-activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) concentrations, respectively. Samples were placed on ice before centrifuging at 2000g for 30 minutes at 4°C. Platelet-free plasma was decanted and stored at -80°C before further analysis. Venous blood samples were collected into EDTA at the beginning and end of the vascular study to determine hematocrit.

Plasma t-PA antigen and activity (t-PA Combi Actibind t-PA ELISA kit; Technoclone, Vienna, Austria) and PAI-1 antigen and activity (Elitest PAI-1 Antigen and Zymutest PAI-1 Activity; Hyphen Biomed) concentrations were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs).

Platelet and Monocyte Activation

Flow-cytometric measurements of platelet-monocyte aggregation (PMA) and platelet surface expression of P-selectin and monocyte CD11b expression (Mac-1/CD11b) were performed at baseline and at the end of each treatment

period as described previously.^{16,18,19} Briefly, peripheral venous blood was drawn from a large antecubital vein and anticoagulated with the direct thrombin inhibitor D-phenylalanyl-L-arginine chloromethyl ketone (75 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{L}$ PPACK; Cambridge Biosciences) and immunolabeled within 5 minutes of phlebotomy for subsequent flow cytometric analysis. Directly conjugated monoclonal antibodies were obtained from DakoCytomation and Serotec. Samples were stained with the following conjugated monoclonal antibodies: phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated CD14, PE-conjugated CD62p, PE-conjugated CD11b, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated 42a, and FITC-conjugated CD14 and appropriate control isotypes. Once stained, samples were incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature before being fixed with FACS-Lyse (Becton-Dickinson). All samples were analyzed using a FACS Calibur flow cytometer using CellQuestPro software (Becton-Dickinson).

Venous blood was collected in citrate at baseline and after each dosing period to assess plasma-soluble CD40 ligand (sCD40L) concentrations. Blood was centrifuged at 1500g for 15 minutes at 4°C, and plasma was decanted and stored at -80°C for further analysis by ELISA (Bender Medsystems).

Safety and Pharmacokinetic Analyses

Venous blood samples were collected biweekly to measure hematological and biochemical analytes including full blood count, coagulation profile, liver and renal function, creatine phosphokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, lipid profile°C and free fatty acids. Analyses were conducted by the regional clinical hematology and biochemistry reference laboratories using an automated hematology analyzer (XE2100, Sysmex Corporation and ACL TOP, Instrumentation Laboratory), an automated chemistry analyzer using colorimetric, kinetic and enzymatic ultraviolet and color assays (AU2700/AU640 analyzers, Beckman & Coulter), ion-selective electrodes (sodium, potassium, and chloride assays) and 2-point and multiple-point rate assays (Ortho Clinical Vitros 250 analyzer).

Venous blood samples were taken into pre-labeled heparinized sodium tubes for pharmacokinetic assessment of plasma SRT2104 concentrations (Simbec Laboratories Limited). Serial blood samples were collected on days 1, 28, and 56 immediately before (0 minutes) and 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 480, 720 and 1440 minutes following study medication. Plasma was separated by centrifugation of whole blood at 1500g at 4°C for 15 minutes, and decanted and stored at -80°C until analyzed.

Methodology of SRT2104 Analysis

Plasma concentrations of SRT2104 were measured using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry

detection in positive ion mode. High-pressure liquid chromatography was performed using Betasil silica–100 columns using a Phenomenex C18 guard column.²²

Data Analysis and Statistics

Plethysmographic data were analyzed as described previously.²¹ Estimated net release of t-PA and PAI-1 antigen and activity was defined as the product of forearm plasma flow (based on blood flow and hematocrit) and the difference in plasma antigen (or activity) concentrations between the 2 forearms. On the basis of previous power calculations,²⁰ a sample size of 20 gives 80% power to detect a change in net t-PA antigen release of 27.0 ng/100 mL of tissue per minute, assuming a standard deviation of 40.0 and a 2-sided $P < 0.05$ (paired t test). To account for a 20% dropout rate, we recruited 24 subjects.

Fibrinolysis and forearm blood flow data were analyzed using a linear mixed-model repeated-measures analysis of covariance. Treatment differences were investigated in a model adjusting for period, treatment by period, vasodilator dose, treatment by vasodilator dose, and vasodilator dose by period using SAS for UNIX (version 9.1.3 or higher; SAS Institute). Values for these parameters are expressed as model adjusted (least square means) and 95% confidence intervals. Between-day reproducibility of forearm venous occlusion plethysmography data was assessed using the Bland–Altman method, and coefficient of reproducibility was determined for 95% confidence intervals using the Student t distribution. All other values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

Results

Study Participants

Volunteers had a mean age of 38 ± 13 years (median, 37 years) and relatively equal sex distribution (58% male) and were normotensive without any significant coexisting medical conditions. Volunteers had a body mass index of 25 ± 4 kg/m² and a mean cigarette consumption of 17 ± 6 cigarettes per day over 21 ± 14 years. The mean urinary cotinine concentration at screening was 1352 ± 950 ng/mL. All 24 volunteers completed all study visits. Before drug administration, 1 subject was withdrawn from the study because of problems with venous access and was replaced.

Pharmacokinetics, Tolerability, and Safety

Three hours postdose, mean plasma SRT2104 concentration was 1328 ± 748 ng/mL after 28 days of active treatment (Figure 2). The median plasma SRT2104 concentration after 28 days of treatment was 366 ng/mL (IQR, 940 ng/mL). The median time at which the maximum plasma concentration

was observed (T_{max}) on day 28 of dosing was 3.05 hours, which coincided well with study measurements performed on those days (2 to 4 hours postdose). The geometric mean area under the curve ($AUC_{0-\tau}$) was 6412 h · ng/mL. Consistent with previous observations,²² there was substantial intersubject variability in exposure during this study.

All subjects tolerated study medication well. Commonly reported side effects included headache (25%) and rhinitis, nasopharyngitis, and respiratory tract symptoms (17%) (Table 1). The reported adverse events were mild in intensity and resolved without any intervention or sequelae. There were no meaningful differences in the number of events between active treatment and placebo. There was only 1 reported serious adverse event in the study (SRT2104 arm): a traumatic facial bone fracture that was considered unrelated to SRT2104.

Blood pressure and heart rate remained unchanged throughout the study. There were no effects on cardiac rhythm or the 12-lead electrocardiogram, and specifically there were no effects on the corrected or uncorrected QT intervals. There were no clinically significant adverse effects involving any of the clinical hematological or biochemical analytes.

Lipid Profile

Treatment with SRT2104 had a favorable effect on the lipid profile. A statistically significant period effect was observed in the analysis of total and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol concentrations. Baseline values were higher in subjects receiving placebo in the first period. Regardless of treatment arm, the level of change from baseline was greater in period 2 for total and LDL cholesterol and less in period 2 for triglycerides. Adjusted summaries combined over period are presented in Table 2. There was a reduction in total and LDL cholesterol as well as triglyceride concentrations. There




Figure 2. Pharmacokinetics: mean plasma concentration–time curve following oral dosing of SRT2104 on day 1 (closed circles) and day 28 (open circles). Data presented as mean \pm SD.

Table 1. List of Adverse and Serious Adverse Events

System	Symptom (AE/SAE)	Number of Events	
		Placebo (n=24)	SRT2104 (n=24)
Any event	—	18	18
Nervous system disorders	Headache	4	6
	Paresthesia	0	2
	Hypoesthesia	1	2
	Carpal tunnel syndrome	0	1
	Presyncope	1	1
	Burning sensation	0	1
	Sciatica	0	1
Respiratory, thoracic, and mediastinal disorders	Oropharyngeal pain	1	2
	Rhinorrhea	0	1
Gastrointestinal disorders	Flatulence	1	0
	Mouth ulceration	0	1
	Abdominal pain upper	1	0
	Hemorrhoids	1	0
Reproductive system and breast disorders	Dysmenorrhea	3	1
Musculoskeletal and connective tissue disorders	Back pain	2	0
	Musculoskeletal chest pain	1	0
	Musculoskeletal pain	1	0
	Myalgia	0	1
Investigational	Blood bilirubin increased	1	1
	Creatinine phosphokinase increased	1	0
	LDH increased	1	0
	AST increased	1	0
General disorders and administration site conditions	Influenza-like illness	1	0
	Fatigue	1	0
	Catheter site pain	0	1
	Catheter site rash	1	0
	Catheter site-related reaction	1	0
	Catheter site swelling	1	0
	Edema peripheral	0	1
	Pyrexia	0	1
Swelling	0	1	
Infections and infestations	Nasopharyngitis	0	1
	Rhinitis	2	2
	Upper respiratory tract infection	1	0
	Oral herpes	0	1
	Respiratory tract infection	0	1
Injury, poisoning, and procedural complications	Contusion	1	0
	Excoriation	1	1
	Arthropod bite	1	0
	Facial bones fracture*	0	1
	Laceration	1	0

Continued

Table 1. Continued

System	Symptom (AE/SAE)	Number of Events	
		Placebo (n=24)	SRT2104 (n=24)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue	Rash	1	0
Metabolism and nutrition disorders	Decreased appetite	0	1
Vascular disorders	Phlebitis	0	1
Eye disorders	Eye pain	1	0
	Vision blurred	0	1
Ear and labyrinthine disorders	Motion sickness	0	1
Surgical and medical procedures	Vasectomy	1	0

*Serious Adverse Event.

AE indicates adverse event; SAE, serious adverse event; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

Table 2. Effect of SRT2104 on Serum Lipid Concentrations

	Placebo (n=22)	SRT2104 (n=20)
Total cholesterol (mean±SD), mg/dL		
Baseline	174±54	176±50
Day 28/56	180±51	164±47
Change from baseline	6±21	-12±20*
HDL cholesterol, mg/dL		
Baseline	46±11	47±10
Day 28/56	50±13	51±16
Change from baseline	3±7	4±7
LDL cholesterol, mg/dL		
Baseline	99±41	99±37
Day 28/56	102±37	88±34
Change from baseline	3±21	-10±17*
Triglycerides, mg/dL		
Baseline	133±110	140±114
Day 28/56	146±149	100±67
Change from baseline	13±57	-40±77*

* $P<0.05$.

HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

was no effect on high-density lipoprotein concentrations, and the 7% fall in total cholesterol was attributable to the 11% fall in LDL cholesterol concentrations.

Vasomotor Function

Noninfused forearm blood flow remained unchanged throughout all assessment periods, as were the predose measurements of blood flow in the infused arm between visits ($P>0.05$). There was a dose-dependent increase in the infused forearm blood flow with all 3 agonists (acetylcholine,

bradykinin, and sodium nitroprusside) in the presence of either SRT2104 or placebo ($P<0.0001$ for all 3 agonists; Figure 3). There were no significant differences in response to either endothelium-dependent or -independent vasodilators in the presence of SRT2104 compared with placebo (bradykinin, $P=0.1169$; acetylcholine, $P=0.1683$; sodium nitroprusside, $P=0.9039$: placebo versus SRT2104). There were no differences in forearm vasodilatation between the baseline and placebo visits of the study for all 3 agonists ($P=0.5649$, $P=0.4009$, and $P=0.2908$ for bradykinin, acetylcholine, and sodium nitroprusside, respectively), confirming the good reproducibility of the measurements (Table 3).

Endogenous Fibrinolysis and Monocyte and Platelet Activation

There was a dose-dependent increase in bradykinin-evoked net t-PA antigen and activity release ($P<0.0001$ for both) in the infused arm that was unaffected by SRT2104 ($P=0.3691$ and $P=0.1377$, placebo versus SRT2104, for net t-PA antigen and activity, respectively; Table 4). Plasma plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) activity decreased with time during all study visits ($P<0.05$), consistent with its circadian variation and t-PA release. Plasma PAI-1 antigen and activity concentrations were similar in both treatment arms ($P=0.8877$ and $P=0.6635$, placebo versus SRT2104, for plasma PAI antigen and activity, respectively).

SRT2104 had no effect on markers of in vivo platelet or monocyte activation (Figure 4).

Discussion

In this randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial of otherwise healthy cigarette smokers, we have demonstrated that oral SRT2104 is safe and well tolerated at a dose of 2.0 g daily. Importantly, we have shown that




Figure 3. Effect of bradykinin (100, 300, 1000 pmol/min), acetylcholine (5, 10, 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$), and sodium nitroprusside (2, 4, 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$) on absolute forearm blood flow. Blue, placebo; red, SIRT2104; closed circle, infused forearm blood flow; open circle, noninfused forearm blood flow. Data presented as mean \pm 95% confidence interval.

treatment with SIRT2104 was associated with an 11% mean reduction in serum LDL cholesterol concentrations, but without demonstrable differences in vasomotor function,

endothelial function, or platelet activation assessments compared with placebo. The favorable effects on lipid profile suggest that SIRT1 activation may have a beneficial role in

Table 3. Between-Day Repeatability of Forearm Blood Flow

Drug	Dose	Mean of Differences in Forearm Blood Flow (mL/100 mL per minute)	Coefficient of Repeatability (mL/100 mL per minute)
Bradykinin, pmol/min	100	−0.3	5
	300	0.5	6
	1000	−0.2	7
Acetylcholine, μg/min	5	−0.1	8
	10	−0.5	8
	20	0.3	7
Sodium nitroprusside, μg/min	2	0.2	5
	4	0.3	6
	8	0.7	6

Between-day reproducibility (baseline vs placebo visit) in absolute forearm blood flow for bradykinin (100, 300, 1000 pmol/min), acetylcholine (5, 10, 20 μg/min), and sodium nitroprusside (2, 4, 8 μg/min).

patients at risk of developing or with established cardiovascular disease.

Elevated serum cholesterol is an established risk factor for atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease. In general, coronary heart disease risk is reduced by 2% to 3% for each 1% decrease in total cholesterol concentrations.²³ We observed a 7% mean reduction in serum total cholesterol and an 11% mean reduction in LDL cholesterol concentrations without affecting serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations. The mechanism of this lipid-lowering effect is not entirely clear but is consistent with observations associated with SIRT1 activation in animals. Resveratrol (3,5,4'-trihydroxy-*trans*-stilbene) is a naturally occurring polyphenolic compound that is believed to confer health benefits through SIRT1 activation.²⁴ Resveratrol has been found to lower plasma triglycerides and cholesterol accumulation in guinea pigs²⁵ and to suppress atherogenic lesion formation in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice.²⁶ Indeed, SRT2104 also lowers triglyceride levels in preclinical murine models of dyslipidemia, diabetes, and obesity as well as improving insulin sensitivity and metabolic function in these animals.²⁷ One mechanism whereby SIRT1 activators such as SRT2104 could improve lipid profiles may involve a positive regulatory effect on liver X receptor proteins (LXRs), nuclear receptors involved in cholesterol and lipid homeostasis. Nuclear receptor LXR is a substrate for SIRT1. Li and colleagues have shown that SIRT1 deacetylates and positively regulates this receptor, potentially altering cholesterol transport and metabolism.²⁸ Although the exact mechanism of the improved lipid profiles seen with SIRT1 activation remains to be determined, our findings would suggest that SIRT1 activation could provide a therapeutic

adjunct to current lipid-lowering strategies, leading to improvements in cardiovascular disease pathophysiology and thus clinical outcomes.

There are currently no published data directly examining the effects of SIRT1 activation on vasomotor function or endogenous fibrinolysis in vivo in humans. Despite the several beneficial effects of SIRT1 activation on endothelial function observed in preclinical in vitro studies,^{6,7,29–31} we were unable to demonstrate improvements in vascular, endothelial, or platelet function in these otherwise healthy smokers. Why was this?

Did we use appropriate and sufficiently sensitive techniques? Forearm venous occlusion plethysmography is a well-established technique that has been used extensively over the years to study human vascular physiology and has been considered a gold standard in the assessment of vascular function in health and disease.³² Using endothelium-dependent (bradykinin and acetylcholine) and -independent (sodium nitroprusside) vasodilators, we observed a dose-dependent increase in forearm arterial vasodilatation with all 3 agonists. Our results are comparable with those reported in previously published studies^{17,33–35} in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers including impaired t-PA release.^{15,36,37} Moreover, our data had low variance and were highly reproducible when we compared the baseline responses with those obtained during placebo administration. Similarly, flow cytometric analysis is considered a sensitive gold standard for measurement of in vivo platelet activation. We have previously shown that in patients with peripheral arterial disease, measurements of platelet–monocyte aggregates are reproducible and consistently reflect other markers of platelet and monocyte activation.³⁸ In the present study, we again report comparable levels of platelet–monocyte aggregation^{19,39} that were reproducible between visits.

There is a body of published data that confirms a strong association between cigarette smoking, endothelial dysfunction, and impaired endogenous fibrinolysis.^{4,14,17,21,40} We were interested to see if this vascular and endothelial dysfunction could be improved or reversed by SIRT1 activation. There could be numerous explanations why we failed to achieve improvement with SRT2104 in these parameters in the current study. One possibility is that the SRT2104 exposure achieved in this study did not lead to adequate or consistent SIRT1 activation, which would be required to reverse the vascular and endothelial dysfunction in these smokers. Unfortunately, there is no current biomarker for SIRT1 activation or the ability to measure SIRT1 activation directly in humans. Therefore, we do not have a good understanding of the pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic relationship between SRT2104 drug exposure and SIRT1 activation. Although we were able to demonstrate improved lipid profiles, it is unclear whether the same exposure levels

Table 4. Effect of SRT2104 on Endogenous Fibrinolysis

LS Means±95% CI	Placebo				SRT2104			
	Bradykinin Dose, pmol/min				Bradykinin Dose, pmol/min			
	Saline	100	300	1000	Saline	100	300	1000
Net release t-PA antigen, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	0.5 (-8.6 to 9.7)	4.7 (-4.4 to 13.9)	8.8 (-0.3 to 18.0)	28.8* (19.6, 37.9)	-1.0 (-10.9 to 9.0)	5.4 (-4.5 to 15.4)	5.6 (-4.7 to 16.0)	38.3* (28.4 to 48.3)
Net release t-PA activity, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	-0.0 (-4.7 to 4.6)	3.7 (-1.0 to 8.3)	8.6 (3.9 to 13.2)	17.6* (12.9 to 22.2)	0.0 (-5.0 to 5.1)	3.4 (-1.7 to 8.4)	6.5 (1.3 to 11.8)	18.6* (13.5 to 23.6)
Net PAI-1 antigen, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	-5.7 (-68.2 to 56.8)	—	—	2.9 (-59.6 to 65.5)	-1.7 (-69.7 to 66.3)	—	—	-86.0 [†] (-154.0 to -18.0)
Net PAI-1 activity, LS mean±95% c	-0.0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	—	—	-2.3 (-3.5 to -1.1)	0.0 (-1.3 to 1.4)	—	—	3.9 [†] (-5.2 to -2.6)
Day 28/56								
Net release t-PA antigen, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	-1.05 (-8.0 to 5.9)	3.9 (-3.1 to 10.8)	8.8 (1.7 to 15.9)	22.4 (15.1 to 29.7)*	-0.1 (-6.7 to 6.6)	2.6 (-4.3 to 9.6)	9.3 (2.3 to 16.2)	30.7* (23.6 to 37.8)
Net release t-PA activity, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	-0.1 (-3.2 to 3.0)	2.4 (-0.8 to 5.5)	5.8 (2.6 to 9.0)	13.3 (10.1 to 16.6)*	0.0 (-3.0 to 3.0)	2.2 (-0.9 to 5.3)	7.0 (3.8 to 10.1)	18.1* (14.9 to 21.3)
Net PAI-1 antigen, ng/100 mL tissue per minute	-4.8 (-52.2 to 42.6)	—	—	-20.6 (-70.4 to 29.3)	-0.1 (-45.4 to 45.2)	—	—	-43.7 [†] (-92.4 to 5.1)
Net PAI-1 activity, LS mean±95% c	-0.0 (-1.2 to 1.2)	—	—	-3.6 (-4.9 to -2.4)	0.2 (-1.0 to 1.3)	—	—	-3.3 [†] (-4.5 to -2.1)

Data presented as LS mean±95% confidence interval. t-PA, tissue plasminogen activator; PAI-1, plasminogen-activator inhibitor type 1.

*P<0.0001, for dose response to agonist.

[†]P>0.05, SRT2104 vs placebo.




Figure 4. Effect of SIRT2104 on markers of platelet and monocyte activation. Data presented as mean \pm SD. PMA indicates platelet–monocyte aggregate; Mac-1, macrophage-1 antigen; sCD40L, soluble CD40 ligand.

would also lead to improved vascular and endothelial function. There are at least 70 known substrates for SIRT1. SIRT2104 may differentially deacetylate certain substrates in preference to others, depending on the precise interaction between SIRT2104 and the substrates as well as the level and activity of the substrates in a particular disease state. It is also possible that certain abnormalities may be reversed more readily than others through SIRT1 activation. Although a 28-day exposure may be adequate for observing improvement in lipid profiles, longer treatment may be required to reverse some of the vascular and endothelial abnormalities. The small sample size of our study may also be a potential limitation. SIRT2104 is the first selective SIRT1 activator to be studied in human clinical trials. As the biology of SIRT1 becomes more established and additional data are gathered from small exploratory trials such as this one, the optimal approach for developing SIRT1 activators and identifying disease states with the greatest therapeutic potential will become better defined.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the oral SIRT1 activator SIRT2104 is safe and well tolerated in otherwise healthy cigarette smokers and provides positive effects on

lipid profiles, but were unable to demonstrate beneficial effects on vascular, endothelial, or platelet function compared with placebo.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff of the Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility in Edinburgh and Eric Thomson and Neil Johnston for their help with this study. We also thank Alison Hinds and Michelle Rostant-Belle from the Scottish Primary Care Research Network for their help with recruitment and the colleagues at Sirtris Pharmaceuticals Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts, for their support throughout the study.

Sources of Funding

The study was funded and supported by Sirtris Pharmaceuticals Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts. They also supplied the study drug SIRT2104 and its matching placebo.

Disclosures

Drs Venkatasubramanian, Noh, Langrish, Joshi, Mills, Lang, and Newby report no disclosures; Dr Daga is currently an employee of GlaxoSmithKline, United Kingdom; Drs Hoffmann, Jacobson, and Vlasuk are employees of Sirtris Pharmaceuticals, Massachusetts, and own stock; Dr Waterhouse is an employee of GlaxoSmithKline, Pennsylvania, and owns stock.

References

1. Frye RA. Characterization of five human cDNAs with homology to the yeast SIR2 gene: Sir2-like proteins (sirtuins) metabolize NAD and may have protein ADP-ribosyltransferase activity. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 1999;260:273–279.
2. Frye RA. Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2000;273:793–798.
3. Tang BL. SIRT1's systemic protective roles and its promise as a target in antiaging medicine. *Transl Res.* 2011;157:276–284.
4. Edirisinghe I, Rahman I. Cigarette smoke-mediated oxidative stress, shear stress, and endothelial dysfunction: role of VEGFR2. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2010;1203:66–72.
5. Breitenstein A, Stein S, Holy EW, Camici GG, Lohmann C, Akhmedov A, Spescha R, Elliott PJ, Westphal CH, Matter CM, Lüscher TF, Tanner FC. SIRT1 inhibition promotes in vivo arterial thrombosis and tissue factor expression in stimulated cells. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2011;89:464–472.
6. Ota H, Akishita M, Eto M, Iijima K, Kaneki M, Ouchi Y. Sirt1 modulates premature senescence-like phenotype in human endothelial cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* 2007;43:571–579.
7. Mattagajasingh I, Kim C-S, Naqvi A, Yamamori T, Hoffman TA, Jung S-B, DeRicco J, Kasuno K, Irani K. SIRT1 promotes endothelium-dependent vascular relaxation by activating endothelial nitric oxide synthase. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2007;104:14855–14860.
8. Lomb DJ, Laurent G, Haigis MC. Sirtuins regulate key aspects of lipid metabolism. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2010;1804:1652–1657.
9. Stein S, Lohmann C, Schäfer N, Hofmann J, Rohrer L, Besler C, Rothgiesser KM, Becher B, Hottiger MO, Borén J, McBurney MW, Landmesser U, Lüscher TF, Matter CM. SIRT1 decreases Lox-1-mediated foam cell formation in atherosclerosis. *Eur Heart J.* 2010;31:2301–2309.
10. Teo KK, Ounpuu S, Hawken S, Pandey MR, Valentin V, Hunt D, Diaz R, Rashed W, Freeman R, Jiang L, Zhang X, Yusuf S, Investigators IS. Tobacco use and risk of myocardial infarction in 52 countries in the interheart study: a case-control study. *Lancet.* 2006;368:647–658.

11. Ambrose JA, Barua RS. The pathophysiology of cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: an update. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2004;43:1731–1737.
12. Burke AP, Farb A, Malcom GT, Liang YH, Smialek J, Virmani R. Coronary risk factors and plaque morphology in men with coronary disease who died suddenly. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;336:1276–1282.
13. Zieske AW, McMahan CA, McGill HC, Homma S, Takei H, Malcom GT, Tracy RE, Strong JP. Smoking is associated with advanced coronary atherosclerosis in youth. *Atherosclerosis*. 2005;180:87–92.
14. Newby D, Wright R, Labinjoh C, Ludlam C, Fox K, Boon N, Webb D. Endothelial dysfunction, impaired endogenous fibrinolysis, and cigarette smoking: a mechanism for arterial thrombosis and myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1999;99:1411–1415.
15. Newby DE, McLeod AL, Uren NG, Flint L, Ludlam CA, Webb DJ, Fox KA, Boon NA. Impaired coronary tissue plasminogen activator release is associated with coronary atherosclerosis and cigarette smoking: direct link between endothelial dysfunction and atherothrombosis. *Circulation*. 2001;103:1936–1941.
16. Harding SA, Sommerfield AJ, Sarma J, Twomey PJ, Newby DE, Frier BM, Fox KAA. Increased CD40 ligand and platelet-monocyte aggregates in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis*. 2004;176:321–325.
17. Lang NN, Gudmundsdottir IJ, Boon NA, Ludlam CA, Fox KA, Newby DE. Marked impairment of protease-activated receptor type 1-mediated vasodilation and fibrinolysis in cigarette smokers: smoking, thrombin, and vascular responses in vivo. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008;52:33–39.
18. Sarma J, Laan CA, Alam S, Jha A, Fox KAA, Dransfield I. Increased platelet binding to circulating monocytes in acute coronary syndromes. *Circulation*. 2002;105:2166–2171.
19. Harding SA, Sarma J, Josephs DH, Cruden NL, Din JN, Twomey PJ, Fox KA, Newby DE. Upregulation of the CD40/CD40 ligand dyad and platelet-monocyte aggregation in cigarette smokers. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1926–1929.
20. Labinjoh C, Newby DE, Pellegrini MP, Johnston NR, Boon NA, Webb DJ. Potentiation of bradykinin-induced tissue plasminogen activator release by angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2001;38:1402–1408.
21. Newby DE, Wright RA, Ludlam CA, Fox KA, Boon NA, Webb DJ. An in vivo model for the assessment of acute fibrinolytic capacity of the endothelium. *Thromb Haemost*. 1997;78:1242–1248.
22. Hoffmann E, Wald J, Lavu S, Roberts J, Beaumont C, Haddad J, Elliott P, Westphal C, Jacobson E. Pharmacokinetics and tolerability of SRT2104, a first-in-class small molecule activator of SIRT1, after single and repeated oral administration in man. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2013;75:186–196.
23. Gotto AM. Lipid-lowering therapy for the primary prevention of coronary heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999;33:2078–2082.
24. Yu W, Fu Y-C, Wang W. Cellular and molecular effects of resveratrol in health and disease. *J Cell Biochem*. 2012;113:752–759.
25. Zern TL, West KL, Fernandez ML. Grape polyphenols decrease plasma triglycerides and cholesterol accumulation in the aorta of ovariectomized guinea pigs. *J Nutr*. 2003;133:2268–2272.
26. Do G-M, Kwon E-Y, Kim H-J, Jeon S-M, Ha T-Y, Park T, Choi M-S. Long-term effects of resveratrol supplementation on suppression of atherogenic lesion formation and cholesterol synthesis in apo E-deficient mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2008;374:55–59.
27. Qi Y DM HM, Cote AM, Lainez EO, Johnson MO, Gagne DJ, Vlasuk GP, Ellis JL. Activation of sirtuin1 (SIRT1) by the novel molecule SRT2104 promotes body weight loss, increases exercise capacity and improves insulin sensitivity in diet-induced obese mice. ADA 70th Scientific Sessions, Abstract No 390; 2010.
28. Li X, Zhang S, Blander G, Tse JG, Krieger M, Guarente L. SIRT1 deacetylates and positively regulates the nuclear receptor LXR. *Mol Cell*. 2007;28:91–106.
29. Csiszar A, Labinskyy N, Podlutzky A, Kaminski PM, Wolin MS, Zhang C, Mukhopadhyay P, Pacher P, Hu F, de Cabo R, Ballabh P, Ungvari Z. Vasoprotective effects of resveratrol and SIRT1: attenuation of cigarette smoke-induced oxidative stress and proinflammatory phenotypic alterations. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2008;294:H2721–H2735.
30. Donato AJ, Magerko KA, Lawson BR, Durrant JR, Lesniewski LA, Seals DR. SIRT-1 and vascular endothelial dysfunction with ageing in mice and humans. *J Physiol (Lond)*. 2011;589:4545–4554.
31. Orimo M, Minamino T, Miyauchi H, Tateno K, Okada S, Moriya J, Komuro I. Protective role of SIRT1 in diabetic vascular dysfunction. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2009;29:889–894.
32. Wilkinson IB, Webb DJ. Venous occlusion plethysmography in cardiovascular research: methodology and clinical applications. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2001;52:631–646.
33. Noma K, Goto C, Nishioka K, Hara K, Kimura M, Umemura T, Jitsuiki D, Nakagawa K, Oshima T, Chayama K, Yoshizumi M, Higashi Y. Smoking, endothelial function, and rho-kinase in humans. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2005;25:2630–2635.
34. Butler R, Morris AD, Struthers AD. Lisinopril improves endothelial function in chronic cigarette smokers. *Clin Sci*. 2001;101:53–58.
35. Heitzer T, Ylä-Herttuala S, Luoma J, Kurz S, Münzel T, Just H, Olschewski M, Drexler H. Cigarette smoking potentiates endothelial dysfunction of forearm resistance vessels in patients with hypercholesterolemia. Role of oxidized LDL. *Circulation*. 1996;93:1346–1353.
36. Takashima H, Matsumoto T, Nakae I, Yamane T, Horie M. Cigarette smoking impairs bradykinin-stimulated tissue plasminogen activator release in human coronary circulation. *Thromb Res*. 2007;120:791–796.
37. Pretorius M, Rosenbaum DA, Lefebvre J, Vaughan DE, Brown NJ. Smoking impairs bradykinin-stimulated t-PA release. *Hypertension*. 2002;39:767–771.
38. Burdess A, Michelsen AE, Brosstad F, Fox KAA, Newby DE, Nimmo AF. Platelet activation in patients with peripheral vascular disease: reproducibility and comparability of platelet markers. *Thromb Res*. 2012;129:50–55.
39. Lupia E, Bosco O, Goffi A, Poletto C, Locatelli S, Spatola T, Cuccurullo A, Montrucchio G. Thrombopoietin contributes to enhanced platelet activation in cigarette smokers. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010;210:314–319.
40. Xue C, Sun YH, Ding RJ, Fu YY, Hu DY. Impact of acute smoking on artery function in healthy chronic smokers. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi*. 2011;39:410–413.