What is already known on this topic

Although European Directive 95/46/EC allows national law (or a national supervisory body) to exempt healthcare or disease registries from the requirement to obtain informed consent for the processing of personal medical data, many countries have not legislated for any exemptions and there is much debate about the effect of the consent requirement on epidemiological research and surveillance.

What this study adds

The logistical difficulties in obtaining informed consent is a serious threat to the operation of registries that rely on clinician notification or access to medical records, despite extremely low parental refusal. Debate about the right of the individual to be adequately informed and to give consent has eclipsed discussion about research governance and confidentiality procedures that might obviate the need for individual consent.
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Lifetime intellectual function and satisfaction with life in old age: longitudinal cohort study
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What is successful ageing? Current opinion is that "cognitive vitality is essential to quality of life . . . in old age." This depends substantially on people’s cognitive ability from early life, and on how much they decline from their cognitive peak in young adulthood. Early cognitive ability also affects physical health and even survival to old age. But surely happiness and satisfaction with life are also key indices of successful ageing. Happiness was described as "the highest good and ultimate motivation for human action"; this does not seem to be related to current cognitive ability. Cognitive level in youth and the amount of cognitive change across the lifespan are important indicators of cognitive vitality in old age. We examined a unique data set to investigate whether these factors are associated with people being happier.

Participants, methods, and results

The Lothian birth cohort 1921 is a relatively healthy group of 550 older people (mean mini-mental state examination 28.2 (standard deviation 1.7), range 18-30). They were given the same test of mental ability (a version of the Moray House test number 12) at mean ages 10.9 (0.3) and 79.1 (0.6) years old, giving three cognitive measures: early life ability, late life ability, and lifetime cognitive change. Moray House test scores were converted to IQs (standardised to a mean of 100 (15) and adjusted for age at testing. To compute lifetime cognitive change we used the following process. IQ at age 11 was the independent variable in a linear regression with IQ at age 79 as the dependent variable; the standardised residual produced from this equation was used as the measure of lifetime cognitive change.

Participants were mailed the widely validated satisfaction with life scale. This scale has five statements requiring a response from strongly disagree (score 1) to strongly agree (score 7), which we summed to give a
What is already known on this topic
Cognitive vitality has an impact on many aspects of functioning in old age

Satisfaction with life is not related to current cognitive ability, but changes in a lifetime may be associated with satisfaction with life

What this study adds
Cognitive ability at age 11, cognitive ability at age 79, and changes in cognition in a lifetime are not associated with satisfaction with life in old age

Comment
In non-demented people aged about 80, satisfaction with life in adulthood was unrelated to IQ in either childhood or late adulthood and to cognitive change in their lifetime. An association might have been expected as intelligence is a "highly valued resource in this society," and cognition is viewed as a key outcome in ageing. The lack of a cognition-life satisfaction relation could be due to the fact that higher ability is equally likely to lead to positive (increasing one’s resources through entry to better employment, for example), as well as negative outcomes (an awareness of alternative lifestyles or a striving for greater achievement), which may be used when judging subjective wellbeing. Shorter term changes in cognitive function may influence ratings of life satisfaction; continued assessment of the cohort will allow an investigation of this possibility. Or it might be that, if people have sufficient cognitive ability for important aspects of their lives, individual differences do not matter much, as suggested by Thomas Hobbes in Leviathan: "For such is the nature of men, that howsoever they may acknowledge many others to be more witty, or more eloquent, or more learned; Yet they will hardly believe there be many so wise as themselves: For they see their own wit at hand, and other mens at a distance. But this proveth rather wisdom as themselves: For they see their own wit at hand, and other mens at a distance. But this proveth rather the nature of their own wit[...]."

The determinants of cognitive function and satisfaction with life are quite different: both are important for overall wellbeing. In promoting successful ageing it is necessary to know not only what protects cognition but also what predicts happiness.
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Corrections and clarifications
Role of multivitamins and mineral supplements in preventing infections in elderly people: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

Only after the publication of this article by Alia El-Kadiki and Alexander J Sutton (BMJ 2005;330:871, 16 Apr) did the authors and editors become aware that doubts had been raised about the validity of three of the trials included in this systematic review. The BMJ and the authors agreed that further analysis would be helpful, and the authors have therefore conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding data from the three questionable trials. This is now published as a supplement to the original paper (see http://bmj.com/cgi/content/full/bmj.38399.495648.8F/DC2).

The effect on the three outcome measures is as follows: (a) mean difference in number of days spent with infection: only the three questionable studies met the inclusion criteria, so the originally published beneficial difference of 17.5 (95% confidence interval 11 to 24) days is now completely discounted; (b) odds ratio of at least one infection in the study period: no change from published meta-analysis; (c) incidence rate ratio for the difference in infection rates: exclusion of the one questionable trial that was relevant to this outcome means that the pooled incidence rate is now 1.00 (0.85 to 1.17), not 0.89 (0.78 to 1.03) as published.

If the allegations that these three studies are not reliable are true then the remaining evidence base suggests no benefit for the use of multivitamins for preventing infections in elderly people.