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In Brief
The C-type lectin-domain-containing transmembrane molecule Chondrolectin (Chodl) is expressed by motor neurons. Oprisoaneu et al. show that binding of axonal Chodl to extracellular collagen XIXa1 is needed for proper neuromuscular junction differentiation in mice and zebrafish, as well as axon extension and branching at synapses in zebrafish.
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SUMMARY

Chondrolectin (Chodl) is needed for motor axon extension in zebrafish and is dysregulated in mouse models of spinal muscular atrophy (SMA). However, the mechanistic basis of Chodl function is not known. Here, we use Chodl-deficient zebrafish and mouse mutants to show that the absence of Chodl leads to anatomical and functional defects of the neuromuscular synapse. In zebrafish, the growth of an identified motor axon beyond an “en passant” synapse and later axon branching from synaptic points are impaired, leading to functional deficits. Mechanistically, motor-neuron-autonomous Chodl function depends on its intracellular domain and on binding muscle-derived collagen XIXa1 by its extracellular C-type lectin domain. Our data support evolutionarily conserved roles of Chodl in synaptogenesis and provide evidence for a “synapse-first” scenario of motor axon growth in zebrafish.

INTRODUCTION

In zebrafish, three primary motor axons grow out on a common mid-segmental pathway to the horizontal myoseptum, where axons pause and only the axon of the caudal primary motor neuron (CaP) continues its ventral growth (Beattie et al., 2002; Myers et al., 1986; Westerfield et al., 1986). Although the horizontal myoseptum is a decision point for axon pathfinding, it is also a major synaptic site, where axon growth pauses and axons form the first synapses with so-called muscle pioneers. Contact with the muscle pioneers is not necessary for growth of the CaP axon ventral to the horizontal myoseptum, as shown by ablation of muscle pioneers (Melacon et al., 1997). However, it is unknown whether proper formation of this “en passant” synapse is necessary for the CaP axon’s growth cone to be able to escape the contact with muscle pioneers (Beattie et al., 2002; Panzer et al., 2005). Similarly, growth of new axon branches originates from and depends on synaptic points, e.g., in Xenopus motor axon arborization (Javaherian and Cline, 2005), arborization of retinal ganglion cell axons in zebrafish (Meyer and Smith, 2006), or the thalamo-cortical projection in mammals (Matsunomo et al., 2016). Hence, both CaP axon extension beyond an en passant synapse and axon branching could depend on synaptic stabilization in zebrafish, whereas in mammals, motor axon growth mostly precedes terminal synaptogenesis (Sanes and Lichtman, 1999).

Chondrolectin (Chodl) is a cell surface recognition molecule expressed by motor neurons in zebrafish (Zhong et al., 2012) and is selectively expressed in “fast” motor neurons in mice (Enjin et al., 2010). Knock down of chodl in zebrafish leads to prolonged stalling of CaP axons at the horizontal myoseptum while leaving axon pathfinding and overall axon growth speed unaffected (Zhong et al., 2012). However, the exact mechanism of Chodl action is unknown.

Chodl is also dysregulated in an spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) mouse model at an early stage, before detectable muscle weakness (Bäumer et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008), and is highly expressed in the most vulnerable motor neurons in an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) model (Martinez-Silva et al., 2018; Wootz et al., 2010). Overexpression of chodl partially rescues motor axon patterning defects observed in a zebrafish SMA model (Sleigh et al., 2014a), suggesting functions of Chodl in motor neuron disease pathology. We hypothesize that, in vertebrates, Chodl is necessary for synaptic differentiation and, thus, drives motor axon growth and arborization in zebrafish.

Here, we show that, in both embryonic zebrafish and postnatal mice, synaptogenesis is specifically impaired in the absence of chodl. We demonstrate that Chodl directly interacts with collagen XIXa1 (ColXIXa1) in the extracellular matrix to exert its function. Hence, Chodl is essential for proper neuromuscular junction differentiation in vertebrates and necessary for CaP axon extension and motor axon branching in zebrafish.
RESULTS

To determine evolutionarily conserved functions of chodl, we analyzed phenotypes of zebrafish and mouse Chodl mutants, followed by protein function analysis.

Generation of a Zebrafish chodl Mutant

For mutagenesis, we used CRISPR technology on the background of a transgenic reporter line in which GFP is expressed under the regulatory sequences of the mnx1 gene (also known as hb9) to visualize motor neurons (Flanagan-Steet et al., 2005). Injecting three independent guide RNAs (gRNAs) induced exaggerated stalling of the CaP axon at the horizontal myoseptum in a mosaic fashion (Figures S1A and S1C). Using one efficient gRNA (Figure S1D), we generated a stable mutant with a 4-base pair deletion in the open reading frame near the start codon, leading to a predicted STOP in position 10 of the 236-amino-acid-long protein (Figure S1B), preventing translation of C-type lectin and transmembrane domains. Predicted stop codons may lead to non-sense-mediated decay of the RNA (Anderson et al., 2017). Indeed, mRNA expression was reduced by a third in qRT-PCR (Figures S1G, S1I, and S1J). However, potentially truncated forms of the protein could still exist. Homozygous mutants were adult viable and did not show alterations of body size, eye size, or muscle development in embryos (Figures S1E and S1F). In contrast, 73% (99 out of 136 motor axons in 17 embryos) of motor axons were stalled at the horizontal myoseptum at 24 h post fertilization (hpf), whereas in wild-type embryos, all axons (128 of 128 motor axons in 16 embryos) had grown beyond that point (data not shown). Axons were always assessed on one side of the embryo in segments 7–14. In a different set of embryos, motor axon length was reduced by 58% in the mutant (Figures S1A and S1B). Middle primary motor neuron (MiP) axons were also shorter in the mutant (Figures S1K–S1M). This replicates the chodl morpholino phenotype (Zhong et al., 2012) and confirms that we generated a chodl zebrafish mutant with disrupted gene function.

Correct Gene Expression Level of chodl Is Required in Zebrafish Motor Neurons

Next, we addressed whether stalling of CaP axons at the horizontal myoseptum in chodl mutants was motor neuron autonomous and whether the introduced mutation led to a loss of gene function. To that aim, we generated a transgenic line on the background of the chodl mutant (with mnx1:GFP transgene) that selectively overexpressed FLAG-tagged chodl in motor neurons under the regulatory sequences of mnx1. Overlap of FLAG-tag immunoreactivity and GFP signal in mnx1:GFP transgenic animals confirmed correct targeting (Figure 1A). In this line, designated (chodl–/−; mnx1:chodl–FLAG), the stalled axon phenotype was completely rescued (Figures 1A and 1B). Hence, the short CaP axon phenotype is a loss-of-function mutation and is cell autonomous for motor neurons.

To determine if excess chodl expression in motor neurons altered axon growth, we injected the mnx1:chodl–FLAG construct into wild-type zygotes. This adds additional chodl expression to the wild-type levels. At 24 hpf, chodl–FLAG-expressing axons were reduced in length by 39% compared to vector-injected control axons and by 39% compared to FLAG-negative axons in the same animals, as an internal negative control (Figures 1C and 1D). Although relatively few embryos showed transfected CaP neurons, statistical power was 0.999, indicating robustness of results. The same was true when CaPs were considered individually (Figure S1H). Therefore, both increased and reduced levels of chodl expression in motor neurons impair axon growth in a cell-autonomous manner.

Lack of chodl Impairs Synaptogenesis during Early CaP Axon Growth

Lack of chodl only affects CaP axon growth by prolonging stalling at the horizontal myoseptum, a major synaptic site with muscle pioneers (Zhong et al., 2012). Synaptic sites may be important for the growth of exploratory filopodia and as a starting point for axon branching (Javaherian and Cline, 2005; Panzer et al., 2006). We tested whether filopodia dynamics were altered for CaP axons by using video time-lapse microscopy of CaP growth cones at the horizontal myoseptum. Filopodia, visualized with the F-actin binding L1 peptide (Riedl et al., 2008), were not changed in number and average survival time in the mutant (Figures S2A–S2E). Hence, a lack of chodl does not detectably affect filopodia dynamics.

Next, we tested whether synaptogenesis would be affected in the chodl mutant. Analyzing the horizontal myoseptum synaptic site with the Znp-1 antibody to the pre-synaptic protein Synaptotagmin 2 (Syt2) (Wen et al., 2010) at 28–29 hpf, we found that the total area of Syt2 immunoreactivity was enlarged by 30% in the mutant compared to wild-type controls (Figures 2A and 2B). As an additional measure of pre-synaptic differentiation, we determined whether immuno-reactivity clustered into appropriate puncta. Puncta were defined as distinct structures of high immunoreactivity for pre-synaptic, post-synaptic, or both markers. Instead of forming discernible pre-synaptic puncta at the horizontal myoseptum (2.61 puncta/hemisegment in controls), enlarged puncta were not clearly separated from each other, leading to the detection of fewer of these in the mutant (1.55 puncta/hemisegment; Figure 2E). Intensity of immunoreactivity was also increased by 57% in the mutant (Figure 2H). All of these parameters were rescued to wild-type levels in the (chodl–/−; mnx1:chodl–FLAG) line (Figures 2B, 2E, and 2H).

Using antibodies to Synaptic Vesicle glycoprotein 2 (SV2) to label the pre-synaptic area, we found no change in total area but saw a similar reduction in the number of discernible puncta per hemisegment that was rescued in the (chodl–/−; mnx1:chodl–FLAG) line (Figures 3A–3C). Hence, differentiation of pre-synaptic structures is disrupted by a lack of Chodl in motor neurons.

The total post-synaptic area, labeled with antibodies to acetylcholine receptors (anti-AChR), was not significantly altered in chodl mutants (Figure 2C). However, the number of discernible post-synaptic AChR+ puncta was reduced from 3.98 puncta/hemisegment in controls to 2.84 in mutants and rescued in the (chodl–/−; mnx1:Chodl–FLAG) line (Figure 2F). The labeling intensity of AChR was not affected in the mutant but was 16% lower in the (chodl–/−; mnx1:chodl–FLAG) line than in controls (Figure 2I), perhaps due to inadequate levels of Chodl being achieved in the rescue line. Overall, this means that clustering
Figure 1. Precise Levels of Chodl Are Needed in CaP Motor Neurons for Unimpeded Axon Growth

Lateral trunk views of zebrafish embryos at 28 hpf are shown. Dashed lines indicate the horizontal myoseptum (HM).

(A) Motor axons are stalled at the horizontal myoseptum in chodl mutants. Axon length is restored by stable transgenic expression of FLAG-tagged Chodl in motor neurons of mutants in the (chodl--; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line.

(B) Quantification of axon lengths of F2 (chodl--; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) embryos without FLAG immuno-histochemistry are shown (****p < 0.0001; statistical power = 1.00).

(C) Acute, mosaic expression of mnx1:chodl-FLAG in wild-type embryos leads to reduced growth of FLAG + (arrowhead in C) but not FLAG − axons in the same embryos or in embryos injected with the empty vector.

(D) Quantification of CaP axon length from mosaic expression of mnx1:chodl-FLAG in wild-type embryos (****p < 0.0001; statistical power = 0.999).

Scale bars, 50 μm; each data point represents one animal; data are represented as mean ± SEM; n.s., not significant.

See also Figures S1 and S2.
of post-synaptic AChR+ puncta is affected by a lack of pre-synaptic Chodl.

To determine the synaptic area, we measured the overlap of pre-synaptic (anti-Syt2) and post-synaptic (anti-AChR) labeling. The total synaptic area was increased by 42% in the mutant, and the number of discernible synaptic puncta per hemisegment was decreased from 3.55 to 2.24. Both measurements were rescued in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (Figures 2D and 2G). Hence, mutating chodl in motor axons disrupts synaptic differentiation on muscle pioneers at the horizontal myoseptum.

Next, we asked whether synapse maturation was only delayed in chodl mutants or whether defects persisted even when the axons had grown beyond the horizontal myoseptum. In older embryos (31 hpf), we analyzed the synaptic phenotype only in hemisegments with axons that had grown beyond the horizontal myoseptum in the mutant. Pre-synaptic labeling area (anti-Syt2) was not increased, but the number of discernible puncta per hemisegment was reduced from 2.91 to 2.14 (Figures S3G, S3H, and S3L), and the labeling intensity was increased (by 58%; Figure S3K) compared to wild-type controls. Anti-SV2 labeling showed an increased total pre-synaptic area and reduced number of discernible puncta per axon (Figures S3D–S3F).

Post-synaptic labeling of AChR showed no changes in total area and discernible cluster number per hemisegment but showed a slightly increased labeling intensity by 22% (Figures S3I, S3M, and S3O). The synaptic area was increased by 51%, and the number of discernible synaptic puncta per hemisegment was reduced from 3.60 to 2.66 (Figures S3J and S3N). Hence, similar pre- and post-synaptic aberrations and an increase of synaptic area were present at the horizontal myoseptum for axons stalled at the horizontal myoseptum and those that had grown beyond this point. This suggests that eventual “escape” of CaP axons from the horizontal myoseptum is not associated with full normalization of synapse morphology.

**Lack of chodl Impairs Axon Branching in Zebrafish**

After 48 hpf, motor axons branch onto the muscle, and it has been shown in Xenopus that this depends on synapse formation (Javaherian and Cline, 2005). Axons only form new branches at synaptic sites in Xenopus. Analyzing axon branching onto myotomies at 3 dpf in zebrafish, we find that 97% of axonal branch points coincide with synaptic puncta (429 of 443 branch points in 10 larvae; Figures S4A and S4B), suggesting similar mechanisms in zebrafish. Therefore, we hypothesized that in chodl mutants, axon branching and synaptogenesis onto the myotomes should be impaired.

We analyzed axon branching and synapse formation in chodl mutants at 3 dpf, when motor axons are highly branched over the myotomal surface in wild-type animals. Mid-segmental nerve trunks were present in chodl mutants but showed strongly reduced branching on the muscle tissue (Figure 3A). To quantify myotomal innervation, we labeled synaptic puncta, defined as puncta of AChR immuno-reactivity with an overlying SV2+ puncta (Figures 3B–3J). The number of synaptic puncta on the muscle surface in the mutant was reduced by 72.5%. This was partially rescued in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line, with a reduction by 26.1%, compared to synapse numbers observed in wild-type control animals (Figure 3K). Overall, chodl mutants show an axon-autonomous impairment of axon branching and synaptogenesis on the muscle tissue. Muscle pioneers, the first muscle cells the CaP axon forms synapses with, develop into slow muscle fibers. This process is complete at 2 to 3 weeks of development (Devoto et al., 1996). Hence, we cannot assess the specific roles of chodl for fast versus slow muscle fibers in zebrafish from the above data on the immature system.

**The Touch-Evoked Escape Response Is Impaired in Zebrafish Larvae**

The earliest motor axon/muscle interactions take place at 18–19 hpf, before CaP axons reach the horizontal myoseptum. At this time point, coiling behavior occurred at a frequency that was unaltered in chodl mutants compared to wild-type embryos (Figure S4C). This indicates the absence of an early movement phenotype in chodl mutants.

To determine whether the observed axonal branching phenotypes are correlated with behavioral deficits, we used a touch assay to quantify escape reactions of larvae at 3 dpf. Despite hypo-innervation of trunk musculature, larvae swam the same distance after touching their tail (Figures S4D and S4E). However, high-speed analysis of the C-bend turning reaction after a head touch (Figure 3L) indicated that the initial escape angle of chodl mutants was reduced from 144 to 105 degrees, resulting

Figure 2. CaP Axons of chodl Mutants Show Pre- and Post-Synaptic Defects at the Horizontal Myoseptum
(A) Motor neurons of mnx1:GFP transgenic animals are green. Znp-1 antibody labels the pre-synapse and AChR the post-synapse. Yellow squares indicate the measurement areas. Scale bar, 10 μm.
(B and E) Pre-synaptic puncta in horizontal myoseptum (HM) are enlarged (B, total area) and fewer individual puncta can be identified in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (E, ***p < 0.0001; statistical power = 1.00; E, ***p < 0.0001; statistical power = 0.9977).
(C and F) Post-synaptic puncta area at the horizontal myoseptum is unchanged (C, total area), but fewer individual puncta are detected in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line compared to wild-type animals (F, discernible puncta). This parameter is rescued in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (***p < 0.0001, **p = 0.0012; statistical power = 0.9996).
(D) Synaptic area is increased in the mutant and rescued in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (***p < 0.0001, **p = 0.0005; statistical power = 0.9978).
(Q) The number of discernible synaptic puncta is reduced in the mutant and rescued in the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (***p < 0.0001; statistical power = 0.999).
(H) Intensity of Znp-1 labeling is increased in chodl mutants compared to wild-type control and the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line (**p = 0.0002; statistical power = 0.9918).
(I) The mean intensity of AChR labeling is decreased the (chodl−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) line compared to wild-type control and chodl mutants (**p = 0.0010; statistical power = 0.9097).
Each data point represents one animal; data are represented as mean ± SEM; n.s., not significant.
See also Figure S3.
in inefficient escape from the stimulus. In (chodl/−/−; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) animals, this defect was fully rescued (Figures 3M and 3N), suggesting specificity of the phenotype for motor neurons. However, we cannot exclude a contribution from chodl (http://zfin.org) and mnx1 (Korzh et al., 2011) co-expressing hindbrain neurons to the phenotype. In summary, a lack of chodl impairs neuromuscular synaptogenesis, as well as axon growth and branching, leading to specific aberrations in swimming behavior.

Characterization of Chodl Mutant Mice
To determine conserved functions of Chodl in mammals, we analyzed a CRISPR-generated mouse mutant (see STAR Methods). The mutant carries a 576-nucleotide deletion. This removes exon 2, present in all known protein-coding isoforms (Ensembl: Chodl-201 ENSMUST0000023568.13, Chodl-202 ENSMUST0000069148.12, Chodl-203 ENSMUST00000114216.1, and Chodl-205 ENSMUST0000232415.1; Figure S5A). RT-PCR confirmed that Chodl exon 2 was not expressed.
(Figure S5B). Chodl homozygous and heterozygous mutant mice were viable, with no reduction in expected progeny for each genotype (Figure S5C).

The removed exon 2 codes for most of the C-type lectin domain, which is essential for the function of the protein (see below). Moreover, removal of exon 2 induces a frameshift and is predicted to produce a premature stop codon in all protein-coding isoforms and, hence, mutant mice that lack Chodl function.

Lack of Chodl Function Impairs Neurite Growth in Cultured Mouse Motor Neurons
We investigated the neuronal function of Chodl by characterizing neurite outgrowth of motor neurons (MNs) cultured from embryonic day 13.5 (E13.5) mouse spinal cord on Laminin. There was a reduction in overall neurite length (by 31.87%) and number of Tuji-labeled neurite branches (by 23.39%) of Islet-1+ neurons (Figures S5D–S5G). Cell body size was not altered (Figure S5H). This may be due to a reduced intrinsic growth capacity of Chodl mutant axons or interactions of Chodl with Laminin in vivo.

Synaptogenesis Is Impaired in Chodl Mutant Mice
The number of choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)-positive neurons at spinal level L1–L2 in the ventral horn of 4-month-old wild-type and Chodl mutant mice did not differ (Figures S6A–S6C). Terminal branching of motor nerves, which does not coincide with synaptogenesis at the branch points as in zebrafish, was not affected in the mutant (Figures S6D and S6E), supporting that Chodl deficiency does not affect axon growth and branching in vivo.

To assess synaptic phenotypes, we determined neuromuscular junction morphology by using immunohistochemistry. The lumbar muscles of 4-month-old mice were analyzed because they are thin and flat enough to be mounted intact. These muscles are innervated by Chodl “fast” motor neurons (Enjin et al., 2010). The neuromuscular junctions were labeled with a mix of antibodies to neurofilament (2H3; axons) and SV2 (pre-synapse) and fluorescently tagged α-bungarotoxin (α-BTX; post-synapse).

The pre-synaptic area was increased by 41% in Chodl mutant mice, the post-synaptic area by 28%, and the area of synaptic contact by 27%, compared to wild-type animals at 4 months of age (Figures 4A–4E). The shape of synapses was changed, as the area taken by individual synapses, the endplate area, was increased by 30% (Figure 4F). Moreover, endplate labeling in Chodl mutants was more fragmented, showing a fragmentation index (Jones et al., 2016) of 0.73, compared to 0.45 in wild-type animals (Figure 4G).

To find indications of potential synapse degeneration, we determined the proportion of post-synaptic sites that were not occupied by pre-synaptic terminals. The percentage of inner-vated post-synaptic area (“overlap”) was similar in 4-month-old mutant and wild-type animals (Figure 4H), indicating that there was no sign of neuromuscular junction denervation. Hence, Chodl mutant mice show an enlargement of the synaptic area that is similar to that seen in zebrafish embryos.

The Endplate Potential Amplitude Is Increased in the Absence of Chodl in Mice
To test the function of neuromuscular synapses in Chodl mutant mice, we measured the compound muscle action potential (CMAP) in a phrenic-nerve-diaphragm-muscle preparation. The phrenic nerve of wild-type, but not Chodl mutant animals contains Chodl mRNA, as shown by RT-PCR (data not shown). Measurements showed no failure of transmission up to 50-Hz stimulation frequency in the mutant. The degree of CMAP decrement at 10- (not shown), 30-, or 50-Hz stimulation frequency was similar in mutants and wild-type littermate controls (Figures 4I and 4J). In contrast, spontaneous miniature endplate potentials (mEPPs) were increased by 40% in frequency (Figure 4K) but were not altered in amplitude (Figure 4L) in the mutant compared to wild-type animals. In addition, stimulated (1 Hz) EPPs were increased in amplitude secondary to an increased quantal content (QC), defined as the calculated number of acetylcholine-containing vesicles released per stimulation (Figures 4M and 4N). Labeling with antibodies to bassoon (Bbsn), piccolo (Picc), two very large scaffolding proteins of the cytomatrix assembled at the active zone, and P/Q voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs) (Gundelfinger et al., 2016), showed no detectable differences in labeling intensity between Chodl mutants and wild-type mice (Figures S6F–S6N). This suggests that the number of available release sites is increased proportionally to the size of synaptic vesicles.
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the enlarged synapse in Chodl mutants. However, we cannot exclude a contribution by increased calcium sensitivity of release sites to increased mEPP frequency and EPP amplitude/QC. Taken together, these data indicate altered neurotransmission at the neuromuscular junction in Chodl mutant mice.

**Chodl Mutant Mice Show No Gross Alterations of Motor Behavior**
Weights of Chodl mutant mice were not systematically different from wild-type controls at 2, 3, and 4 months of age (Figures S7A and S7B). An assessment of general locomotor activity in an open field environment revealed no differences in movement activity (light beam breaks in open field) and thigmotaxis (the tendency of mouse to remain close to the chamber lateral walls, a measurement for anxiety) in mutants, compared to wild-type animals (Figures S7C, S7D, and S7H). Motor coordination (accelerating rotarod test) and muscle strength (4-limb hanging wire test) did also not reveal any changes (Figures S7E–S7G). Although these tests did not detect changes in motor behavior in the Chodl mutant, more subtle alterations cannot be excluded.

**C-Type Lectin Domain and Intracellular Domain Are Required to Mediate chodl Function**
Because of the relative ease of phenotype analysis, we used the zebrafish to study the mechanistic basis of chodl function. The Chodl protein domain structure is typical of transmembrane recognition molecules, with an extracellular C-type lectin domain that may interact with extracellular binding partners, a transmembrane domain, and a short cytoplasmic tail with a number of potential phosphorylation sites that may be involved in intracellular signaling (Weng et al., 2002). To test for essential functions of different domains, we generated FLAG-tagged constructs missing either the C-type lectin domain or the intracellular domain resulted in no increase in axon length in FLAG-immuno-positive axons. FLAG-positive axons expressing full-length Chodl were 31% longer than FLAG-negative axons in mutant mice. This indicates a genetic interaction between chodl and col19a1 (Figures 5C and 5D). Hence, the C-type lectin domain and the intracellular domain of chodl are functionally important.

**chodl and col19a1 Show Genetic Interactions**
The C-type lectin domain is likely to bind extracellular matrix (ECM) components, which are concentrated at the horizontal myoseptum (Schweitzer et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2004), where axons stall in zebrafish chodl mutants. Mutants in the muscle-expressed col19a1 (zebrafish mutant name: stumpy) show axon stalling at the horizontal myoseptum, as well as reduced axon branching and enlarged post-synaptic sites at the adult stage (Panzer et al., 2005). Because this phenotype is highly reminiscent of that of chodl mutants, we determined potential genetic interactions between chodl and col19a1. Using established (Hilario et al., 2010; Zhong et al., 2012) morpholinos, we found that injection of low concentrations of either morpholino alone elicited no or only weak changes of motor axon growth (Figures 6A and 6B). However, combining these morpholinos at the same concentrations led to synergistic effects on stalling of axons at the horizontal myoseptum. Of note, total morpholino load was kept at the same level for all experiments by adding non-specific control morpholino where appropriate. This indicates a genetic interaction between chodl and col19a1 (Figures 6C and 6D).

**Chodl Is a Specific Binding Partner for ColXIXa1**
To determine a potential physical interaction of Chodl and ColXIXa1 proteins, we used co-immunoprecipitation. We tagged Chodl with a FLAG tag at its C-terminal end (Chodl-FLAG). ColXIXa1 was labeled with a hemagglutinin (HA) tag on either the N-terminal (HA-ColXIXa1) or the C-terminal end (ColXIXa1-HA) to control for possible interference of the tag with multimerization of the large protein (Figure 6E). Chodl-FLAG, purified from transfected HEK293T cells, was incubated with the supernatant of HA-ColXIXa1 and ColXIXa1-HA secreting HEK293T cells. An immunoprecipitation assay shows that Chodl-FLAG co-immunoprecipitated both HA-ColXIXa1 and ColXIXa1-HA (Figure 6F). Conversely, purified HA-ColXIXa1 and ColXIXa1-HA both pulled down Chodl-FLAG from cell lysates, indicating binding of the two proteins (Figure 6G).

Figure 5. C-Type Lectin Domain and Intracellular Domain Are Indispensable for Chodl Function.
(A) A cartoon indicating the constructs used in (B) is shown.
(B) Photomicrographs show representative images of mosaic construct expression in motor axons of chodl mutant zebrafish, detected by FLAG immunohistochemistry (lateral trunk views): full-length (FL), chodl without the C-type lectin (without [w/o] CTLD), or intracellular domains (w/o intracellular domain [ID]), are shown.
(C) Only injection of the full-length chodl construct partially rescues axon length in FLAG+ motor axons (**p < 0.0001).
(D) A cartoon indicating the potential phosphorylation sites of the intracellular domain that are mutated to alanine (with [w] ID S/T → A) is shown.
(E) Lateral trunk views of mutant chodl zebrafish expressing full-length chodl and mutated chodl are shown.
(F) Experimental expression of wild-type, but not mutated chodl in motor neurons partially rescues axonal length (**p < 0.0001; **p = 0.001).
Scale bars, 50 μm. Each data point represents one animal; data are represented as mean ± SEM; n.s., not significant; CTLD, C-type lectin domain.
As a control, we used CoIIxa1a in co-immunoprecipitation experiments. Both CoIIxa1a and CoIIIXxa1 are Fibril-Associated Collagens with Interrupted Triple Helices (FACT) family collagens (Bader et al., 2009; Hilario et al., 2010). CoIIxa1a is expressed in connective tissue and diffusely present at the nascent horizontal myoseptum at 24 hpf (Bader et al., 2009). Purified CoIIxa1-HA did not pull down Chodl-FLAG from cell lysates of Chodl-FLAG overexpressing cells (Figure 6H), and purified Chodl-FLAG did not pull down CoIIxa1a from the supernatant of CoIIxa1a-HA transfected cells (Figure 6I). This indicates selective physical binding of Chodl to CoIIIXxa1.

To determine the Chodl domains necessary for CoIIIXxa1 binding, we used truncated versions of Chodl, with either the intracellular domain or the C-type lectin domain missing, for co-immunoprecipitation of full-length CoIIIXxa1-HA from supernatant (Figure 6J). Chodl without the intracellular domain, but not the version missing the C-type lectin domain, was still able to co-immunoprecipitate CoIIIXxa1-HA (Figure 6K). Conversely, using truncated versions of CoIIIXxa1, containing only the collagenous domains or only the thrombospondin domain (Figures 6L), we showed that only the truncated protein with the collagenous domains was able to co-immunoprecipitate Chodl-FLAG from lysates of overexpressing cells (Figures 6M and 6N). This supports a scenario in which the trans-membrane protein Chodl binds the collagenous repeats of CoIIIXxa1 at the cell surface by its extracellular C-type lectin domain.

**Chodl Binds CoIIIXxa1 at the Cell Surface**

To observe interactions of native Chodl and CoIIIXxa1 in intact cells, we incubated HEK293T cells that overexpress Chodl-FLAG with culture media containing CoIIIXxa1-HA for 24 h. Measuring immuno-fluorescence intensity across optical sections through fixed cells showed highest Chodl-FLAG immunoreactivity at the cells’ edges (Figures 7A and 7B), consistent with trans-membrane localization. CoIIIXxa1-HA immunoreactivity on the cells was strong and located at the cell surface (Figures 7A and 7B). Incubating Chodl-FLAG overexpressing cells with CoIIIXxa1-HA as a control showed only minimal fluorescence that did not coincide with Chodl-FLAG at the cell surface (Figures 7A and 7B). Likewise, cells transfected with membrane-bound EGFP as a control did not bind CoIIIXxa1-HA from the medium (Figures 7A and 7B). Expression of a construct missing the intracellular domain of Chodl still bound CoIIIXxa1 at the plasma membrane (Figures 7A and 7B). In contrast, the construct missing the C-type lectin domain of Chodl, which was still targeted to the membrane, did not localize CoIIIXxa1 from the supernatant to the cell membrane (Figures 7A and 7B). This indicates that native Chodl is a transmembrane protein that binds extracellular CoIIIXxa1 with its C-type lectin domain.

In the absence of specific antibodies to Chodl and CoIIIXxa1, it is not possible to determine whether the proteins co-localize in vivo. However, in the zebrafish rescue line (chodl--/--; mnx1:chodl-FLAG), in which axons deficient in endogenous chodl grow beyond the horizontal myoseptum with the support of experimentally expressed chodl, we observed the Chodl-FLAG protein in a punctate pattern along the axon, in close apposition with AChR+ post-synaptic clusters (Figure 7C). This is consistent with a pre-synaptic function of Chodl.

In summary, our results indicate that Chodl on motor axons mediates essential interactions with CoIIIXxa1 in the muscle ECM. Without these interactions, synapses fail to form correctly and synapse-dependent axon growth and branching, as well as motor behaviors, are impaired (graphically summarized in Figure 7D).

**DISCUSSION**

**Chodl Acts Primarily in Synaptogenesis**

The increase in synaptic area at the horizontal myoseptum in zebrafish and lumbrical muscle of mouse Chodl mutants indicates an evolutionarily conserved role of the transmembrane molecule in synaptogenesis.

All observed in vivo phenotypes are potential consequences of defective synaptogenesis, supporting the notion of synapse-
dependent motor axon growth in zebrafish. The phenotype of the CaP axon is prolonged stalling at the horizontal myoseptum. The horizontal myoseptum is a choice region in which the axons of the three primary motor neurons stall to integrate their pathway-specific cues (Beattie et al., 2002; Myers et al., 1986; Westerfield et al., 1986). However, the horizontal myoseptum is also a major synaptic site (Panzer et al., 2005; Panzer et al., 2006), and we show that in chodl mutants, synaptogenesis at this site is defective. Hence, formation of the nascent synapse might be a prerequisite for elongation of motor axons beyond the en passant synaptic site at the horizontal myoseptum. Indeed, naturally occurring pausing of CaP growth cones at the horizontal myoseptum might be due to the time required to form en passant synapses with muscle pioneers before growth can proceed (Eisen et al., 1986; Zhong et al., 2012), as in other systems (Hata et al., 1999).

The idea that impaired synapse formation is what keeps the axon from growing in the chodl mutant is supported by our observation that filopodial dynamics is unperturbed. Filopodia extension has previously been identified as integral part of growth cone advance (Flandan-Stee et al., 2005; Panzer et al., 2006). Moreover, in a previous time-lapse study, we have shown that when chodl expression is prevented, the speed of motor growth cone advance is unimpaired above and below the horizontal myoseptum site, suggesting that chodl-deficient axons are, in principle, capable of unimpaired growth (Zhong et al., 2012).

In 3-day-old mutant zebrafish, axon branching onto the muscle is strongly reduced. Motor axon branching has been suggested to depend on proper synaptogenesis in Xenopus larvae (Javaherian and Cline, 2005), in which new branches of motor axons always originate at a synaptic site. Our analysis of the branching pattern of motor axons in zebrafish larvae also shows that almost all axon branches onto the musculature occur at synapses. Synapse-dependent axon branching is also observed in the optic projection of Xenopus (Alsina et al., 2001) and zebrafish (Meyer and Smith, 2006), as well as in the thalamocortical projection in mammals (Matsumoto et al., 2016).

Mouse motor axons, which do not form en passant synapses during growth or branching at synaptic sites but mainly form terminal synapses instead (Sanes and Lichtman, 1999), did not show any obvious signs of reduced axon growth or branching in Chodl mutants. Hence, a lack of Chodl only affects synaptogenesis in vivo. However, in vitro, motor axons of Chodl mutant mice show reduced neurite growth, revealing a potential contribution of Chodl to axon growth that is compensated for in vivo.

The behavioral phenotype in zebrafish chodl mutants (reduced turn angle in escape response) is a likely consequence of hypo-innervation of the muscle tissue due to impaired synapse-dependent motor axon branching. In mice, we could not detect changes in CMAP or behavioral consequences of Chodl deficiency. However, the presence of anatomical and physiological phenotypes in Chodl mutant mice suggests that more sensitive tests might reveal deficits. Taken together, the above observations suggest an essential function of Chodl at the neuromuscular junction. Interestingly, Chodl- (Zeng and Sanes, 2017) and Col19a1-expressing neurons also exist in the cortex, and Col19a1 mutant mice show synaptic defects (Su et al., 2016). This opens the perspective of more widespread roles of this ligand/receptor pair in synaptogenesis in other CNS regions.

**ColIXXa1 Is a Binding Partner for Chodl**

Immuno-precipitations show that the C-type lectin domain of Chodl binds the collagenous domains of ColIXXa1 but does not bind ColIXIla1a. However, other collagens could also act as a ligand, such as ColXV, which possesses collagenous domains (Ekland et al., 2000) and for which the mutant phenotype is also similar to that of chodl mutants in zebrafish (Guillon et al., 2016). Cell transfection assays indicate that binding of extracellular ColIXXa1 by the extracellular C-type lectin domains of Chodl occurs at the plasma membrane. This is consistent with known roles of the C-type lectin domain in recognition proteins of immune cells (Chiffoleau, 2018).

The horizontal myoseptum in zebrafish is rich in ECM factors, such as Tenascin-C (Schweitzer et al., 2005), Chondroitin sulfates (Zhang et al., 2004), and collagens (Guillon et al., 2016), which are likely to take part in synaptogenesis. The fact that ColIXXa1 is produced by muscle cells (Hiliario et al., 2010) and Chodl by motor neurons (Zhong et al., 2012) makes it probable that these factors interact at the horizontal myoseptum synapses. This is supported by the observation that the Col19a1 mutant phenotype also entails prolonged stalling in this exact location (Beattie et al., 2000) and that knocking down Col19a1 and chodl leads to a synergistic increase in stalling specifically at the horizontal myoseptum, as we show here. Moreover, in functional rescue experiments, we find tagged Chodl along the motor axons, including the horizontal myoseptum region, indicating that functional Chodl is present at the horizontal myoseptum in motor axons. Specific antibodies to ColIXXa1 and Chodl, which are currently unavailable, would be needed to directly show interactions of endogenous proteins in vivo.

---

**Figure 7. Experimentally Expressed Chodl Binds Extracellular ColIXXa1 at the Cell Surface and Is Targeted to Motor Axons.**

(A and B) In transfected HEK cells, full-length constructs (Chodl-FLAG) and those without intracellular domain (Chodl-FLAG – ID) or C-type lectin domain (Chodl-FLAG – CTLD) show high plasma membrane labeling. Chodl-FLAG and Chodl-FLAG – ID bind ColIXXa1, but not ColIXIla1 (control), from supernatant at the cell surface. Cells transfected with membrane-bound EGFP (control) did not bind ColIXXa1. Single optical sections are shown in (A) and arrows indicate the measurement trajectories used to generate intensity profiles in (B). Number of individual experiments, 2.

(C) A lateral view of a motor axon that has grown beyond the horizontal myoseptum (HM) in a (mnx1:EGFP; chodl-/-; mnx1:chodl-FLAG) zebrafish embryo at 28 hpf is shown. Experimentally expressed Chodl-FLAG is present in the cytoplasm and punctate clusters along the axon (EGFP), overlaying the synaptic area (ACHR immunohistochemistry) at the horizontal myoseptum.

(D) Schematic summary of results is shown. Axonal Chodl is necessary to restrict the size of the neuromuscular junction by binding extracellular ColIXXa1. SC, spinal cord; CaP MN, caudal primary neuron; NC, notochord; HM, horizontal myoseptum; TSPN, thrombospondin domain; NC-Col, collagenous repeats; CTLD, C-type lectin domain; TMD, transmembrane domain.

Scale bar in (C): 20 μm; scale bars in (A): 10 μm.
Functional rescue experiments show that both the C-type lectin domain and intracellular domain are essential for Chodl function in vivo. Overall, this suggest a scenario in which axonal Chodl needs to bind muscle-derived ColXIXa1 to form proper synapses with muscle pioneers. ColXIXa1 binding likely leads to signaling events. This may involve binding of the intracellular domain to other proteins and/or changes in phosphorylation of the intracellular domain. The Chodl intracellular domain interacts with the Rab geranylgeranyl transferase β subunit in vitro (Claessens et al., 2008), but there are likely more interactions.

**Connection of Chodl to SMA**

Synapse destabilization is an early event in SMA disease progression (McGovern et al., 2008), and Chodl is dysregulated early in a mouse model of SMA (Bäumer et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008). With its role in synaptic development, dysregulation of Chodl may contribute to synaptic phenotypes in the disease. Indeed, overexpression of chodl partially rescues CaP axon growth defects in an SMA model in zebrafish (Sleigh et al., 2014a), supporting the hypothesis that dysregulation of chodl may be one of the disease mechanisms.

Of note, the rescue was only partial, and aberrations in CaP axon growth are more severe in SMA models than those seen in the chodl mutant here (Hao et al., 2013; McWhorter et al., 2003). Moreover, mouse SMA models show synaptic degeneration and motor neuron loss (Groen et al., 2018; Wishart et al., 2010), which we did not observe for the mouse Chodl mutant. It is, therefore, likely that dysregulation of other proteins in addition to chodl causes the phenotype in SMA models and the disease. Nevertheless, a lack of chodl replicates some synaptic defects seen in SMA models in zebrafish (Boon et al., 2008) and mice (Mentis et al., 2011), supporting that its dysregulation contributes to the disease phenotype. Interestingly, aberrant chodl expression has also been observed in a mouse ALS model (Wootz et al., 2010), and higher tissue levels of its binding partner COL19A1 are associated with faster disease progression in patients (Calvo et al., 2019).

Why is aberrant growth of motor axons in zebrafish a sensitive indicator of SMA- (Giacometto et al., 2015; Oprea et al., 2008) and ALS- (Kabashi et al., 2011; Van Hoecke et al., 2012) related manipulations in vivo? In mouse models of motor neuron diseases, aberrant axon growth is rare, but aberrations of synapse formation are observed, as well as a later loss of motor neurons (McGovern et al., 2008; Mentis et al., 2011). One possible explanation is that axon growth in zebrafish, but not mice, is synapse dependent. Hence, aberrant motor axon growth in zebrafish SMA and other motor neuron disease models (Thomas-Jinu et al., 2017) might be a consequence of impaired expression of genes involved in synaptogenesis or synapse stabilization, such as chodl. However, other affected cellular mechanisms (Boyd et al., 2017; Donlin-Asp et al., 2016; Groen and Gillingwater, 2015) may also cause axonal aberrations in zebrafish.

In conclusion, we describe a new ligand receptor interaction between the survival motor neuron (SMN) downstream gene Chodl and ColXIXa1 that is necessary for the formation of neuromuscular junctions and subsequent motor axon growth and branching in zebrafish.
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### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Tuj1</td>
<td>Covance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Islet1</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>Cat# 40.2D6; RRID: AB_528315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-2H3, neurofilament (NF-M)</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>2H3; RRID: AB_531793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-bassoon, clone name- SAP7F407</td>
<td>BioScience, Nottingham</td>
<td>Cat# GTX13249; RRID: AB_422275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-piccolo</td>
<td>Synaptic Systems, Germany</td>
<td>Cat# 142002; RRID: AB_887759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-P/Q-VGCC (Cav2.1)</td>
<td>Synaptic Systems, Germany</td>
<td>Cat# 152203; RRID: AB_2619841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-p75 NGF Receptor antibody [MLR2]</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab61425; RRID: AB_943967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken anti-GFP</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# AB13970; RRID: AB_300798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-SV2, Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2A</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>SV2; RRID: AB_2315387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Znp-1</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>Znp1; RRID: AB_531910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-AChR</td>
<td>DSHB</td>
<td>mAb35; RRID: AB_528405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-FLAG</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 14793; RRID: AB_2572291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-FLAG</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# F1804; RRID: AB_262044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-HA</td>
<td>BioLegend</td>
<td>Cat# 901501; RRID: AB_2565006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 anti-phalloidin</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A12380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetramethylrhodamine (TRITC) a-BTX-568</td>
<td>Cambridge Bioscience</td>
<td>Cat# BT00012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey anti-mouse</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A21202; RRID: AB_141607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 Donkey anti-rabbit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A21206; RRID: AB_2535792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 Goat-anti rabbit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A27034; RRID: AB_2536097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 568 Goat-anti rabbit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A11011; RRID: AB_143157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 Goat anti-mouse</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A28175; RRID: AB_2536161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor 488 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Chicken IgY (IgG) (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 703-545-155; RRID: AB_2340375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 594 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 715-585-150; RRID: AB_2340854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 594 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) (Minimally cross-reactive to Rat, pre-adsorbed)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 715-585-151; RRID: AB_2340855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 488 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rat IgG (H+L) (minimally cross-reactive to Mouse, pre-adsorbed)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 712-545-153; RRID: AB_2340684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 647 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rat IgG (H+L) (Minimally cross-reactive to Mouse, pre-adsorbed)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 712-605-153; RRID: AB_2340694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 647 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rabbit IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 711-605-152; RRID: AB_2492288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 488 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 715-545-150; RRID: AB_2340846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa Fluor® 647 AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L)</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
<td>Cat# 715-605-150; RRID: AB_2340862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDye 800CW Goat anti-Mouse IgG (H + L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed</td>
<td>Li-Cor</td>
<td>Cat# 925-32210; RRID: AB_2687825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRDye 880LT Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (H + L) Highly Cross-Adsorbed</td>
<td>Li-Cor</td>
<td>Cat# 925-68021; RRID: AB_2713919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLAG M2 magnetic beads</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# M8823; RRID: AB_2637089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA magnetic beads</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 88836; RRID: AB_2749815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
### Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-DL-ornithine hydrobromide</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat# P8638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human CNTF</td>
<td>Peprotech</td>
<td>Cat# 450-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human/Murine/Rat BDNF</td>
<td>Peprotech</td>
<td>Cat# 450-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human GDNF</td>
<td>Peprotech</td>
<td>Cat# 450-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant Human NT-3</td>
<td>Peprotech</td>
<td>Cat# 450-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u-Conotoxin GIIIB Peptide</td>
<td>Institute</td>
<td>Cat# 4217v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly-D-Lysine solution, 1.0 mg/ml</td>
<td>Merck Millipore Corporation</td>
<td>Cat# A-003-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PureLink Genomic DNA Kit</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# K182001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipofectamine 2000 Transfection Reagent</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 11668030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMessage mMachine T7 Transcription kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# AM1344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mirVana miRNA kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# AM1560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mMessage mMachine T3 Transcription kit</td>
<td>ThermoFisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# AM1348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNeasy Mini Kit</td>
<td>QIAGEN</td>
<td>Cat# 74106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat# 170-8891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat# 1725271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File containing the original western blotting images</td>
<td>Mendeley Data</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17632/g23ts8jjpp.1">https://doi.org/10.17632/g23ts8jjpp.1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File containing the Coomassie gels</td>
<td>Mendeley Data</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.17632/g23ts8jjpp.1">https://doi.org/10.17632/g23ts8jjpp.1</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK293T cells</td>
<td>Culture Collections Public Health England Porton Down, Salisbury, UK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chodl&lt;sup&gt;Wnt1^IPS^-C57BL/6NTac background&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mousephenotype.org/">https://www.mousephenotype.org/</a></td>
<td><a href="https://www.infrafrontier.eu/">https://www.infrafrontier.eu/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIK wild type strain of zebrafish</td>
<td>European Zebrafish Resource Center (EZRC)</td>
<td><a href="https://zfin.org/action/genotype/view/ZDB-GENO-010531-2">https://zfin.org/action/genotype/view/ZDB-GENO-010531-2</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg (mnx1:GFP)</td>
<td>Flanagan-Stee et al., 2005</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chodl&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;; mnx1:GFP</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chodl&lt;sup&gt;-/-&lt;/sup&gt;; mnx1:chodl-FLAG</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligonucleotides</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Chodl genotyping Pair A, Forward:5'- CGTGAATTTAGAGGAAAGTTGG -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Chodl genotyping Pair A, Reverse: 5'- CA GGAGTTGGCATTTGTTGTTG -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Chodl genotyping Pair B, Forward: 5'-GGC TGGGTGTGAAAGTGAAG -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse Chodl genotyping Pair B, Reverse: 5'-GTG TGGCGCATCTCCGCTTCTT-3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholino: MO-colIXa1 GGCAACACCTGCAAG CCAAAGGAG</td>
<td>GeneTool</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gRNA targeting sequence zf chodl (exon 1) Forward: 5' -TAGAGAGATGCGCGGAGACTC -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gRNA targeting sequence zf chodl (exon 1) Reverse: 5' -AAAAGAGTGGTGGCGGACACTC -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zi chodl genotyping Forward: 5' -GACTGGAGCAA GCTCTGTG -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zi chodl genotyping Reverse: 5' -CTCGACAGGAC CAGAAA -3'</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for chodl mutagenesis, see Table S1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Continued on next page)*
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and request for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Thomas Becker (thomas.becker@ed.ac.uk). This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Sources of zebrafish, mouse models, and cell culture used in this study are reported below and in the Key Resources Table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primers for detecting chodl and beta-actin mRNA, see Table S2</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers for generating the plasmids used in this paper, see Table S3</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant DNA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCMV-MCS</td>
<td>Susanne Schoch, University of Bonn, Germany</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDISPLAY</td>
<td>Aydin et al., 2012</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pminiTol2</td>
<td>Balciunas et al., 2006</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #31829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT3TS-Tol2</td>
<td>Balciunas et al., 2006</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #31831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gRNA scaffold vector pT7-gRNA</td>
<td>Jao et al., 2013</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #46759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCS2-nCas9n</td>
<td>Wenbio Chen</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #47929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCS2P+</td>
<td>Marc Kirschner</td>
<td>Addgene Plasmid #17095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCS-ColXIXeGFP-DEST</td>
<td>Hilario et al., 2010</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsp70:col12a1a-p2A-cerulean</td>
<td>Wehner et al., 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software and Algorithms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImageJ</td>
<td>Schneider et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/">https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GraphPad Prism 8.1.2</td>
<td>GraphPad Software Company</td>
<td><a href="https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/">https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIS-NeuroMath software</td>
<td>Rishal et al., 2013</td>
<td><a href="https://bii.eu/wis-neuromath">https://bii.eu/wis-neuromath</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMJ-morph</td>
<td>Jones et al., 2016</td>
<td><a href="https://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk/handle/10283/2113">https://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk/handle/10283/2113</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pClamp 10</td>
<td>Molecular Devices</td>
<td><a href="https://moleculardevices.box.com/s/i8h8odzbdikalji1w8j85x8800f588">https://moleculardevices.box.com/s/i8h8odzbdikalji1w8j85x8800f588</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noldus behavior analysis set-up, EthoVision software (v. 7)</td>
<td>Noldus Company</td>
<td><a href="https://www.noldus.com/animal-behavior-research/products/ethovision-xt">https://www.noldus.com/animal-behavior-research/products/ethovision-xt</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odyssey Fc Imaging system and Image Studio Lite (v. 5.2)</td>
<td>Li-Cor Corporate Company</td>
<td><a href="https://www.licor.com/bio/image-studio-lite/">https://www.licor.com/bio/image-studio-lite/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPower 3.1</td>
<td>Faul et al., 2009</td>
<td><a href="https://gpower.software.informer.com/3.1/">https://gpower.software.informer.com/3.1/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vivaspin 20-50000 MWCO</td>
<td>GE Healthcare</td>
<td>Cat# 28-9323-62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Zebrafish

All fish were kept and bred in our laboratory fish facility according to standard procedures (Westerfield, 2000), and all experiments have been approved by the British Home Office (project license no.: 70/8805). Fish lines used were the WIK wild-type strain of zebrafish and the Tg (mnx1:GFP) (previously HB9:GFP) (Flanagan-Steet et al., 2005) transgenic line. Further transgenic lines and mutants were generated using CRISPR/Cas9 and Tol2 transgenesis as described below. These lines are chodl−/−, and chodl−/−;mnx1:chodl-FLAG.

Mouse

The Chodl mutant mouse was generated as part of the IMPC project (https://www.mousephenotype.org/), designated Chodl<sup>tm1(IMPC)</sup>, and maintained on a C57BL/6NTac background. All mouse experiments were carried out under UK Home Office project license no. PDFEDC6F0. For ex vivo electrophysiology experiments, 4 control (2 males and 2 females) and 6 Chodl mutant (3 males and 3 females) mice were used. For primary motor neuron culture, 3 control (2 males and 1 female) and 3 Chodl mutant (2 males and 1 female) mice were used.

Cell culture

HEK293T cells were used in this study for biochemical assays. Cells were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1X Penicillin-Streptomycin (Life Technologies) at 37°C and 5% CO2 levels.

METHOD DETAILS

Plasmids for zebrafish

To generate the plasmids used in various experimental procedures the following vectors were used mammalian expression vectors pCMV-MCS, kindly provided by Susanne Schoch-McGovern, Bonn, Germany; pDISPLAY, kindly provided by Jeffrey Lee, Toronto, Canada (Aydin et al., 2012); pminiToI2, a gift from Stephen Ekker, Addgene plasmid # 31829 (Balciunas et al., 2006); gRNA scaffold vector pTT7-grNA, a gift from Wenbiao Chen, Addgene plasmid # 46759; and pCS2P, a gift from Marc Kirschner, Addgene # 17095. All restriction enzymes and buffers were used from New England Biolabs. A full list of primers is provided in Table S3 and S1.

The zebrafish full-length chondrolectin (chodl) was obtained by PCR using pCS2-chodl-myc as template (forward EcoRI 5′- GCG GAA TTC TCT GGC CCA CCT CAC AAA CAG-3′ and reverse XhoI-FLAG 5′- GCG CTC GAG TCA TTT ATC ATC ATC ATC TTT ATA ATC TGG TCC TGG GAC CTC CAT GCC ACT GTC -3′) and subcloned into the EcoRI-Xhol-digested CMV-MCS vector (pCMV-chodl-FLAG). Generation of truncated versions was achieved by PCR using the same forward primer as for full-length chodl and two different reverse primers (XhoI-FLAG 5′- GCG CTC GAG TCA TTT ATC ATC ATC ATC TTT ATA ATC TGG TCC TGG CAA CAT CTG GAA ACA GGA CG-3′ and XbaI-FLAG 5′- GCG TCT AGA TCA TTT ATC ATC ATC ATC TTT ATA ATC TGG AAC ATC CCG TCT ACC ATA AG-3′) and subcloned into the ApaI-Sacl-digested pDISPLAY vector (pDISPLAY-HA-co19a1, respectively). The TSPN domain of co19a1 was obtained by PCR (forward 5′- GCG GCC CCC AGG AGA GAA TAG ATC CAT TTC CTC C-3′ and reverse 5′- GCG CCG CTA GTC TGG CTA GGC GCC TTC TGC ATC AAC ATG TTT TCA AGG GGC CCT TTC-3′) and subcloned into the pApal-Sacl-digested pDISPLAY vector (pDISPLAY-HA-TSPN). To generate the pDISPLAY-HA-NC-Col plasmid, the NC-Col region was digested with BglII and maintained on a C57BL/6NTac background. All mouse experiments were carried out under UK Home Office project license no. PDFEDC6F0. For ex vivo electrophysiology experiments, 4 control (2 males and 2 females) and 6 Chodl mutant (3 males and 3 females) mice were used. For primary motor neuron culture, 3 control (2 males and 1 female) and 3 Chodl mutant (2 males and 1 female) mice were used.

For the construction of pCMV-chodl-myc the MCS of pCMV-MCS vector was replaced by a new cloning site containing the SbfI restriction enzyme. The zebrafish full-length co19a1 sequence was amplified from pCS-ColXIXeGFP-DEST (gift from Christine Beattie, Ohio, US) using the following primer sets: forward (Clal) 5′- GCG GTC AGA GTA AGC TAT TTA TCA GAG GGC CCT TCT TTC-3′ and reverse (Xbal-HA) 5′- GCG TCT AGA CAT AGA TGG TCC TGG GAC TCT CAT GCC ACT GTC -3′ and subcloned into the CMV-MCS vector digested with EcoRI and Xhol (pCMV-chodl-w/o intracellular domain-FLAG), and EcoRI and Xbal (pCMV-chodl-w/o CTLD-FLAG).

The zebrafish full-length col19a1 sequence was amplified from pCS-ColIXeXGP-DEST (gift from Christine Beattie, Ohio, US) using the following primer sets: forward (Clal) 5′- GCG GTC AGA GTA AGC TAT TTA TCA GAG GGC CCT TCT TTC-3′ and reverse (Xbal-HA) 5′- GCG TCT AGA CAT AGA TGG TCC TGG GAC TCT CAT GCC ACT GTC -3′ and subcloned into the CMV-MCS vector digested with EcoRI and Xhol (pCMV-chodl-w/o intracellular domain-FLAG), and EcoRI and Xbal (pCMV-chodl-w/o CTLD-FLAG).

For the construction of the pCMV-col19a1-NC the MCS of pCMV-MCS vector was replaced by a new cloning site containing the SbfI and Nhel restriction enzyme sites, and the HA-tab sequence (forward EcoRI 5′- AAT TCC CTG CAG GCC CCT TCT GGC CAT GCT AGC CCC GGA CCA TAC CCA TAC GAT GGT CCA GAT TAC GCT TAG G-3′ and reverse BamHI 5′- GAT CCC TAA GCG TAA TCT GGA ACA TCG TAT GG TGT CCT GCG GCG CTA CGG GCC TTA AGG GCC TGC AGG G-3′). Full-length zebrafish col12a1a CDS was cut from the previously described hsp70:col12a1a-p2A-erulene construct (Wehner et al., 2017) and ligated into the SbfI-Nhel new CMV-MCS digested vector.

The mnx1 promoter was amplified from genomic DNA using the forward primer (Nottl) 5′- GCG GGC GCC GCC CAT TTA ATG TAG CCT GGC ATC TGG AC-3′ and reverse primer (EcoRI) 5′- GCG GAA TTC TCT GGC CCT CAC AAA CAG-3′, and the fragment was cloned into the Notl-EcoRI pminiToI2 digested vector (pminiToI2-mnx1). The chodl-FLAG-SV40A fragment, amplified from the pCS2P-chodl-FLAG (forward EcoRI 5′- GCG GAA TAC ATG CGC GCC ACA TCT AGG-3′ and reverse EcoRV 5′- GCG GAT ATC AAA AAA CCT CCC ACA C-3′), was sub-cloned into the EcoRI-EcoRV-digested pminiToI2-Mnx1 vector. The pCS2P-chodl-FLAG plasmid was generated by amplifying the chodl coding sequence from pCMV-chodl-myc, adding an artificial Kozak sequence (AAC) 5′ of the start site and adding a Gly-Pro-Gly linker and a synthetic FLAG tag to the 3′end (forward EcoRI 5′- GCG GAA TAC ATG CGC GCC ACA TCT AGG-3′ and reverse Xhol 5′- GCG CTC GAG TCA TTT ATC ATC TGG TCC GAC TCT CAT GCC ACT GTC -3′) and cloned into the CMV-MCS digested vector. The mnx1 promoter was amplified from genomic DNA using the forward primer (Nottl) 5′- GCG GGC GCC GCC CAT TTA ATG TAG CCT GGC ATC TGG AC-3′ and reverse primer (EcoRI) 5′- GCG GAA TTC TCT GGC CCT CAC AAA CAG-3′, and the fragment was cloned into the Notl-EcoRI pminiToI2 digested vector (pminiToI2-mnx1). The chodl-FLAG-SV40A fragment, amplified from the pCS2P-chodl-FLAG (forward EcoRI 5′- GCG GAA TAC ATG CGC GCC ACA TCT AGG-3′ and reverse EcoRV 5′- GCG GAT ATC AAA AAA CCT CCC ACA C-3′), was sub-cloned into the EcoRI-EcoRV-digested pminiToI2-Mnx1 vector. The pCS2P-chodl-FLAG plasmid was generated by amplifying the chodl coding sequence from pCMV-chodl-myc, adding an artificial Kozak sequence (AAC) 5′ of the start site and adding a Gly-Pro-Gly linker and a synthetic FLAG tag to the 3′end (forward EcoRI 5′- GCG GAA TAC ATG CGC GCC ACA TCT AGG-3′ and reverse Xhol 5′- GCG CTC GAG TCA TTT ATC ATC TGG TCC GAC TCT CAT GCC ACT GTC -3′) and cloned into the CMV-MCS digested vector.
TGG GAC CTC CAT GCC ACT-3'). The amplified chodl-FLAG fragment was digested and ligated into the EcoRI-Xhol-digeste pCS2P+ vector.

To generate chodl w/o intracellular domain (ID), the chodl coding sequence until the transmembrane domain (TMD) was amplified and a linker with FLAG-tag added, using the pCS2P-chodl-FLAG as template (forward EcoRI 5’-ATG CGA ATT CAA ACA TGC GCG CGA CA CAC TCA GG-3’ and reverse Xhol 5’-GCA TCT CGA TCT GGA GTT ACT TGT CCT GTG CAT CGT CTT TGT AGT CAG GGC CAG GGA AAC AGC AGC CGC TCC CGT AA G-3’). This fragment was sub-cloned into the pCS2P+ vector and used as a PCR template to add the SV40 sequence for ligation into the pminiTol2-mnx1 plasmid (forward EcoRI 5’-GAT TCG AAT TCA AAC ATG CGC G-3’ and reverse EcoRV 5’-CGA TGA TAT CCC ACC TCC ACC ACC C-3’).

To generate an expression vector for Chodl w/o C-type lectin domain (CTLD), two intermediate chodl fragments were generated 5’ and 3’ of the CTLD (Cassette 1 forward EcoRI 5’-ATG CGA ATT CAA ACA TGC GCG CGA CA CAC TCA GG-3’, Reverse 1 5’-AGG TCT AGG TCG GTT TTT AGC CGG TTC AGT ACC CAA CAT CTG GAA ACA GCA CGT CCC TGA-3’, Reverse 2 5’-GTT AGG ATG AGA CGT TCT AGT TCT GGT GAG AGT TGG TTT AGC-3’, Reverse 3 5’-GCT CTC AGT AGG ATG GAG AGC TTG GAG ACC TTC AGC CAG GGA CCT CCA TGC CAC-3’, Reverse 4 5’-GAA TTC TAG ATT ACT TGT CGT CAT CGT CTT TGT AGT CAG GGC CAG GGA AAC AGC TTG GTT GAC AGC AGC TTT AGC CCG TGG TTT AGC-3’). This fragment was sub-cloned into the digested pCS2P+ vector, and then the whole chodl cassette and SV40 amplified to ligate into pminiTol2-mnx1 vector. The primers for this amplification are the same as previously used to generate the final cassette of the chodl w/o ID.

To generate chodl w/ ST- > A ID, the intracellular sequence 3’ of the transmembrane domain was mutagenized using PCR primers. A sequence of 4 primers were used, which first bound to the TMD and added 30bp of mutagenized sequence, then bound to the last 20bp of each successive mutagenized sequence and added another 30bp. The final primer also contained the Gly-Pro-Gly linker and A sequence of 4 primers were used, which first bound to the TMD and added 30bp of mutagenized sequence, then bound to the last sequence for ligation into the pminiTol2-mnx1 plasmid (forward EcoRI 5’-GAT TCG AAT TCA AAC ATG CGC G-3’ and reverse EcoRV 5’-CGA TGA TAT CCC ACC TCC ACC ACC C-3’).

Generation of a chodl mutant zebrafish

Three gRNAs targeting exon 1 of chodl were designed using http://zlab.bio/guide-design-resources (Ran et al., 2013). The top guides were selected for estimated cutting efficiency and fewest off-target sites, and for a restriction site to use in genotyping. Oligonucleotides for these gRNA sequences were generated using http://Zifitpartners.org/ website to insert into the pT7-gRNA expression vector. Oligos were annealed and ligated into the pT7 vector as described elsewhere (Jao et al., 2013).

gRNA was transcribed using the mMessage mMachine T7 Transcription kit (Thermo Fisher) after the plasmids were linearized with BamHI. gRNAs were purified using the mirVana miRNA Kit (Thermo Fisher). The Cas9 mRNA was prepared from the pCS2-nCas9 plasmid (gift from Wenbiao Chen, addgene plasmid #47929), linearized with XbaI and transcribed using the mMessage mMachineT3 Transcription Kit. Each gRNA was co-injected with cas9 mRNA into single-cell mnx1:GFP embryos, at an injection volume of 1 nl. The final injected gRNA concentration was 15 ng/ul and the Cas9 mRNA concentration was 150 ng/ul.

At 24 hpf, the embryos were examined for normal gross morphology and motor axon appearance. In each injection clutch, 5 embryos were pooled for DNA extraction and genotyping to determine cutting efficiency of the gRNA target sequence. G0 injected fish for gRNA1 were raised and outcrossed into the WIK wild-type fish line. F1 adults were fin-clipped and genotyped for heterozygous offspring. For the GFP phenotypically identified mutant embryos were selected and raised to generate homozygous mutant stocks, and some were taken to sequence their DNA and confirm homozygosity of the indel. For the GFP- offspring, homozygous mutant adults were selected from their non-homozygous siblings by genotyping through fin-clipping. The shortened axon phenotype in these fish was later confirmed by SV2 staining in their offspring.

Generation of trasngenic zebrafish line

chodl mutant embryos were injected at the single-cell stage with 1 nl of a mixture of transposase mRNA (50 ng/ul, ptT3TS-ToI2, gift from Stephen Ekker, Addgene plasmid # 31831) and pminiToI2-mnx1:chodl-FLAG (25 ng/ul) dissolved in RNase-free water. At 24 hpf, embryos were selected that showed a mosaic rescue of axon lengths. These fish were raised and backcrossed into the chodl mutant line, with F1 embryos selected that showed rescue of virtually all motor axons at 24 hpf. Transmission of the mnx1:chodl-FLAG transgene was also confirmed using FLAG immunohistochemistry on the embryos at 24 hpf.
Embryos were digested whole using a solution containing 2.5ul Proteinase K (Invitrogen), 10 μl Taq polymerase buffer (New England Biolabs), and 90 μl water. Embryos were heated to 65 C for 20 min, homogenized, and then heated to 95° C for 15 mins. The solution was then homogenized again, spun down and 40ul of supernatant were removed for use as a PCR template.

Adult zebrafish fins were cut by first anesthetising the fish in 1:1000 MS-222 (Sigma) and cutting off a small portion of fin using a clean scalpel blade. The fin was digested in the same buffer as the embryos above and heated in the same sequence as for embryo DNA extraction.

The DNA was amplified using genotyping primers which span a ~300bp region around the gRNA target sequence. The amplicons were digested with the restriction enzyme for each target and ran on a 2% agarose gel with the undigested amplicon. An undigested band demonstrates destruction of the restriction site via indel mutations by the Cas9. For gRNA1, which was used to generate the chodl mutant line, the genotyping primers are: forward 5'-GAC TGG AGC AAG TCT GTG-3' and reverse 5'- CGTACAGAGAGACAGCCTGG-3'). All the amplicons were run a 1.5% agarose gel. qRT-PCR was performed using the SsoAdvanced Universal SYBR Green Supermix kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions (BioRad). Samples were run in triplicates on a LightCycler 96 instrument (Roche). The data was analyzed using the LightCycler 96 application software v1.1 (Roche). Expression level of chodl was normalized to beta-actin control.

Approximately 1 nl of morpholino solution was injected into the yolk of mnx1:GFP transgenic animals and motor axon morphology was evaluated as shortened or not at 24 hpf, as described (Zhong et al., 2012). Morpholino doses were titrated to be subthreshold for phenotype generation (shortened axons). Total morpholino load per egg was kept constant by filling up with standard control morpholino (GeneTools, Philomath, OR, USA).

25ng/μl of plasmid coding for Chodl without ID (pminiTol2-mnx1:chodl-FLAG w/o ID), Chodl without CTLD (pminiTol2-mnx1:chodl-FLAG w/o CTLD) or Chodl with mutated residues in the ID (pminiTol2-mnx1:chodl-FLAG ST- > A) was co-injected with 50ng/μl mRNA transposase (pT3TS-ToI2) into chodl mutant embryos at the single-cell stage (final volume: 1 nl). As negative control for microinjection, empty pminiTol2-mnx1 was used, while pMiniTol2-mnx1:chodl-FLAG was used as positive control for rescue. At 28hpf, the embryos were dechorionated, fixed, and subjected to immunohistochemistry for GFP and FLAG was performed. Axon length was measured using ImageJ, presence of FLAG expression was determined by fluorescence microscopy. Axons were only considered FLAG positive if the staining was visible along the full length of the axon, if the neuronal morphology matched that of the CaP neuron, with its somata situated directly above the peripheral axon, as described (Myers et al., 1986). This avoided mistakenly measuring motor axons from RoP or MiP primary motor neurons. Images were analyzed in a blinded fashion.
for 60 - 90 min in blocking buffer as described above at room temperature. Primary and secondary antibodies were used at the same concentrations and incubation time as described for the embryos.

**Zebrafish phalloidin staining and analysis**

At 30 hpf, zebrafish embryos were dechorionated and fixed overnight at 4°C. After washes with PBS+Tween (0.1%), the embryos were permeabilised by incubation in PBS+Triton X-100 (2%) for 2 h at room temperature. After further brief washes in PBS + 0.1% Tween-20, the embryos were incubated in PBS + 0.1% Tween + 5% AF568 Phalloidin (Thermofisher). After washing using PBS+0.1% Tween-20, the embryos were settled in 70% glycerol / 30% PBS before imaging.

A single optical plane was analyzed which showed the full somite, and the number of distinct muscle cells per somite counted. The average width of each muscle cell was also measured. For each embryo, images of three somites were analyzed with the observer blinded to the genotype.

**Zebrafish whole-mount confocal imaging**

All zebrafish were mounted onto glass slides using 70% glycerol, deyolked with insect pins, and covered with coverslips anchored with silicone gel. Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM880 Indimo, Axio examiner confocal microscope with Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 objective.

**Synaptic puncta quantification in zebrafish**

All measurement of the synaptic puncta, labeled by SV2, Syt2 and AChR antibodies, was performed in ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). For analysis, an approximate 400 μm² square (20x20) was drawn around the horizontal myoseptum in 28 hpf embryos, with 4 - 5 axons measured and the values averaged for each embryo. To determine synaptic measurements in the axonal branches at 3 dpf, a 1600 μm² square (40x40) was drawn in the ventral region of the myotome, with the top edge of the ROI 40 μm ventral to the horizontal myoseptum, with 3 myotomes averaged per larva.

In each square, the synaptic compartment was analyzed by performing background subtraction of the images, with a rolling ball radius of 33% of the square’s length in pixels. A 30% threshold was applied to all channels. Threshold values were calculated from the maximum gray value measured from a smoothed duplicated image. After application of the threshold, the Analyze Puncta function was used to count puncta number and area (outline mask was generated). The minimum area defined as a punctum for the Analyze Particle function was set as 0.6 μm². This was qualitatively determined as the minimum size to remove background noise without loss of true puncta.

The outline mask was used to create a ROI mask. The ROI mask was applied on the raw images (after background subtraction) and the mean gray value was measured. The observer was blinded to the experimental condition before measuring.

**Time-lapse imaging of axon filopodia in zebrafish**

Embryos containing mnx1-LifeactEGFP expressing CaP axons were mounted in 1 - 1.2% low melting agarose with 0.01% tricaine (MS-222). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 880 confocal laser scanning microscopy with Airyscan and a Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 objective. Z stack (space 0.500 μm) were collected every 30 s over a period of 60 min. Fiji software was used to quantify the number and the lifetime of filopodia in each frame of each time-lapse sequence. The lifetime of filopodia was defined as the length of time for which its presence was detected during imaging. Three wild-type and three chodl mutants were analyzed (one axon/fish). The observer was blinded to the genotype while performing measurements.

**Analysis of MiP motor axons in zebrafish**

33hpf zebrafish embryos were fixed and stained for endogenous EGFP (anti-GFP, 1:400, AbCam, AB13970) and znp-1 (anti-Znp-1, 1:100, DSHB). Secondary antibodies used were: AlexaFluor488 donkey anti-chicken (1:400, Jackson ImmunoResearch) and AlexaFluor 594 donkey anti-mouse with pre-adsorption against rat protein (1:400, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM880 Indimo, Axio examiner confocal microscope with Plan-Apochromat 20x/0.8 objective. Five to six MiP motor axons per embryo were scored for extending beyond the dorsal border of the spinal cord, as described (Zhong et al., 2012). During measurements the observer was blinded to the genotype.

**Zebrafish larval behavior analysis**

To analyze swimming distance, 3 dpf larvae were touched on the median fin fold with a fire-pulled pipette. The swim path of their escape response was recorded and analyzed using a Noldus behavior analysis set-up and EthoVision software (v. 7). Behavioral data are shown as distance traveled within 15 s after touch, averaged for triplicate measures per larva.

To measure turning angles, we recorded larvae using a Casio EX-ZR1300 camera with high-speed recording set to 1000 frames / second. Larvae were recorded before, during, and after an escape response from a head tap with a fire-pulled glass pipette. The larvae were touched on the tip of their nose and they subsequently turned randomly to the left or right to escape the stimulus. The angle of the initial escape after the C-bend was binned into 45° segments from the starting position without considering the direction of the bending. The escape response was recorded in triplicate per larva.
To analyze the number of spontaneous contractions, 18-19hpf embryos were recorded continuously for 5 min under a stereomicroscope equipped with a GX Capture 1.3 camera and the number of coiling movements counted. The videos were recorded using the GX Capture software at a speed of 6.2-5.3 frames/second (resolution 1272x952).

All behavioral experiments and analyses of videos were done in a blinded fashion.

Genotyping PCR for mice
Genomic DNA (gDNA) was prepared using PureLink Genomic DNA Kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Founder mice were identified by genotyping PCR using HotStarTaq DNA polymerase (QIAGEN) with 2 gene-specific primer pairs (pair A: 5'- ACCTGAGCTTACAGGGAAAGTTTG -3' and 5'- CAGGATTGCCAGTTGTTGTG -3'; pair B: 5'-GGCTGGCTTTGT GAAAGTGAAG-3' and 5'-GTTGTCACATCTCCTCTTCT-3'). Both the primers were used for routine genotyping.

Mouse primary motor neuron cell culture
Primary motor neurons were isolated from the lumbar spinal cords of E12.5-13 WT and Chodl-/- homozygous embryos by using a p75NTR-panning protocol (Wiese et al., 2010). Briefly, the lumbar region (L1-L6 segment) of the spinal cord was dissected from each embryo and enriched on laminin and Poly-dl-ornithine hydrobromide (Sigma) -coated plates in neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) containing GlutaMAX I supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (Sigma) and 5 ng/ml brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; PeproTech) and ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF; PeproTech). Motor neurons were plated till 5 days in vitro. The cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) for 15 min at room temperature, washed three time with PBS 1X for 5 min, and stored at 4 °C.

Immunohistochemistry
The samples were incubated with the immunofluorescence (IF) blocking buffer (0.01% Triton X-100/TBS and 10% normal serum) for 1 h at room temperature and washed once with IF buffer (0.01% Triton X-100/TBS). After blocking, the cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C with the primary antibodies diluted in IF buffer: rabbit anti-Tuj1 (Covance, 1:2000) and mouse anti-Islet1 (DSHB, 1:50), After washing steps the cells were incubated for 1 h at room temperature with the appropriate secondary antibodies: Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 568 (Invitrogen, 1:500), washed twice and counterstained with DAPI for nucleus staining before mounted with Vectashield Hard Set (Vector) Mounting Medium. Fluorescence was visualized using a confocal microscope Zeiss LSM.

Quantifications
All measurements of the neuronal morphologies were performed using automated WIS-NeuroMath software (Rishal et al., 2013). Neurite lengths, branching, cell body area and other parameters were calculated and saved for each cell, while ignoring neurites which were not attached to cell bodies or attached to excessively small or large cell bodies. The cell body size was calculated using threshold-based segmentation using the same software.

Ex vivo electrophysiology in mice
Experimental procedures on 4 months-old mice were performed as described previously (Webster et al., 2013). Phrenic nerve/hemidiaphragm preparations were bathed in Krebs-Henseleit buffer: 95% O₂ / 5% CO₂. Experiments took place in a Faraday enclosure at room temperature (20 – 22 °C). Phrenic nerve was stimulated with a solid-state square wave pulse generator (Grass Instruments, Quincy, MA, USA) via a suction electrode. The signal was amplified using an Axoclamp 900A amplifier (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Compound muscle action potential (CMAP; example traces in Figure S7I-K) was recorded with a low resistance extracellular glass electrode, containing bath solution, placed close to central area of the muscle. Recordings of CMAPs were made prior to blockade of muscle sodium channels. Blockade of muscle contraction was achieved with 2.5 μM μ-conotoxin GIIIB (Alomone labs, Jerusalem, Israel). A borosilicate glass electrode (10–15 MΩ resistance, filled with 3M KCl) was used for recording miniature endplate potentials (MEPPs) and evoked endplate potentials (EPPs) from individual muscle fibers. A micromanipulation rig (Scientifica, Maidenhead, UK) was used to place electrodes as near to the endplate region as possible, with visualization under a BX51WI stereomicroscope (Olympus, Southend-on-Sea, UK). Recordings were analyzed using pCLAMP 9 software (Molecular Devices), and normalized to a ~30 mV baseline, thus correcting for differences in resting membrane potential. For each preparation 12-15 fibers were impaled, ~30 mEPPs over were acquired and averaged. EPPS were recorded at 1 Hz stimulation frequency, 20 EPPs were acquired and averaged for each fiber. Data from each preparation (up to 15 fibers) were averaged, each n represents a single preparation. For determination of quantal content, EPP amplitude was subjected the further species-specific correction, as follows:

\[
\text{AMP}_\text{EPP} = \frac{\text{AMP}_\text{EPP (measured)}}{[1 - 0.8 \text{AMP}_\text{EPP (measured)} / E]}
\]

Where \(\text{AMP}_\text{EPP}\) is the EPP amplitude, 0.8 the correction factor for mouse endplates, and E the resting membrane potential (~80 mV). Quantal content was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\text{Quantal content} = \text{mean}(\text{AMP}_\text{EPP}) / \text{mean}(\text{AMP}_\text{MEPP})
\]

Where \(\text{AMP}_\text{MEPP}\) is the MEPP amplitude.

The experimenter was blinded to genotype of animals during acquisition and analysis of data.
Mouse muscle preparation and immunohistochemistry

Mice were sacrificed, muscles dissected, and neuromuscular junctions immunohistochemically labeled and observed as previously described (Sleigh et al., 2014b). Muscles were dissected in cold 1 × phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde in PBS (PFA, Electron Microscopy Sciences) for 15 min. Initially the muscles were permeabilized with 2% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min, before blocking in 4% bovine serum albumin and 1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 30 min and then incubated overnight at 4 °C in blocking solution with appropriate primary antibodies against neurofilament (2H3, 1/50, DSHB); synaptic vesicles (SV2, 1/100, DSHB); mouse anti-bassoon, 1:500 (cat. no. GTX13249, SAP7F407, Source BioScience, Nottingham, UK); rabbit anti-piccolo, cat. no. 142002, 1:200, and rabbit anti-P/Q-VGCC (Cav2.1), cat. no. 152203, 1:300 (Synaptic Systems, Göttingen, Germany). The next day, muscles were washed three times with PBS before a 2-hours incubation with secondary antibodies (1:250, Invitrogen, varieties of Alexa Fluor-488 conjugated, −568-conjugated and 1.5 mg/ml tetramethylrhodamine (TRITC) a-BTX (Cambridge Bioscience) in PBS. Finally, muscles were washed three times in PBS for 30 min and mounted in hard set Vectashield (Vector labs) on glass slides with DAPI for confocal imaging.

Images were acquired using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope. Morphometric analysis of neuromuscular junctions was carried out using a standardized platform: “NMJ-morph” (Jones et al., 2016). In vivo axon branching was measured from processed binary images generated in ImageJ. Pixel positions of skeletonized images were used in quantifying branching patterns using a binary connectivity plugin. Experiments were done blinded with mice identified only by codes and earclip number until all testing was completed.

Behavioral analysis in mice

Open field testing was performed using PAS Homecage (San Diego Instruments) for a period of 20 min. The means of two trials were analyzed per time point. Accelerating rotarod (Med Associates; 4–40 rpm) was performed to a maximum trial length of 300 s. Tested 2 animals per group for a maximum of 120 s, or until the mice fell before the time was up. The mean of six trials per mouse was analyzed per time point. Behavioral testing was performed at monthly intervals without knowledge of the genotype.

HEK293T transfection and binding assays

HEK293T cells were plated at a density of 1.5x10^5 cells / 100 mm dish and transfected with 8 - 10 μg plasmid DNA using standard CaPO4 transfection (Kwon and Firestein, 2013). For immunofluorescence experiments, HEK293T cells were plated on poly-D-lysine coverslips and transfected with 0.5 μg plasmid DNA using Lipofectamine2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturers’ instructions. At 24 h post-transfection, the medium was replaced with serum-free medium containing ColXIXa1 or ColXIIa1a, and further incubated for 24 h.

Immuno-labeling of transfected HEK293T cells

For immunostaining of Chodl, col19a1 and col12a1a, transfected HEK293T cells were fixed for 10min in 4% PFA / 4% glucose, permeabilized (0,3% Triton X-100 in PBS) and blocked for 1 h at room temperature in blocking solution (1% BSA, 1% NGS, 0.1x Triton X-100 in PBS). Cells were incubated overnight at 4 °C in primary antibodies (anti-HA, 1:400, BioLegend, Clone 16B12, and anti-FLAG 1:200, Cell Signaling, D6W5B). Following the washing steps, cells were incubated for 40 min at room temperature in secondary antibodies (1:400, Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-rabbit, Jackson ImmunoResearch, 1:400, Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse, Jackson ImmunoResearch, and 1:400, Alexa Fluor 647 donkey anti-mouse, Jackson ImmunoResearch). Coverslips were extensively washed, mounted in Mowiol and let dry overnight before imaging. Binding at the cell surface was measured on a single plane using the profile tool from ZenBlue 2.3 software. Data were exported in Excel and the scatterplot was generated. Analysis was done on two different batches of HEK293T transfected cells. Two different coverslips per transfection batch were imaged and analyzed.

Protein binding assays

HEK293T cells transfected with FLAG-tagged constructs were lysed for 1h in ice cold lysis buffer (50mM HEPES pH7.5, 150mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100) supplemented with proteinase inhibitors (Roche), followed by a short centrifugation step at 14000rpm / 10min / 4 °C. The clear supernatant was either incubated for 1h with FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma) to collect the overexpressed Chodl or directly mixed with purified HA-tagged ColIXIXa1. HA-tagged ColIXIXa1 was produced and secreted by HEK293T cells in the presence of 50 μg/ml ascorbic acid. The cell culture medium was concentrated using VIVASPIN concentrators (MWCO 50000), followed by purification via HA magnetic beads (Thermo Scientific). All the binding assays were performed at 4 °C for 2 h on a rotator. After incubation, the beads were extensively washed with lysis buffer and boiled at 95 °C / 5 min in Laemmli buffer supplemented with β-ME. Proteins were resolved in SDS-PAGE gel and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Bio Rad). The detection of proteins was performed using primary antibodies against HA (1:1000, BioLegend, Clone 16B12) and FLAG (1:1000, Sigma, F1804, or Cell Signaling, D6W5B), and secondary antibodies IRDye 800CW goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1000, Li-Cor) and IRDye 680LT goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:10000, Li-Cor). Membranes were scanned using the Odyssey Fc imaging system.
QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All quantitative data were tested for normality and analyzed with parametric and non-parametric tests as appropriate. For multiple comparisons, we used: One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s Multiple Comparison Test (Figures 1D, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2G, 3K, 3N, 5C, S1H, and S3B), Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s multiple comparison test (Figures 1B, 2E, 2F, 2H, 2I, 5F, S1M, and S3C) or two-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test (Figures S7A, S7B, and S7D–S7H). For single comparisons, we used: unpaired t test (Figures 4C–4N, S1E, S2C, S2E, S3E–S3O, S4C, S5E–S5H, S6C, S6E, and S6L–S6M), Mann–Whitney U tests (Figures S1F and S4E) or one sample t test (Figure 6D; Figure S1I).

Statistical power was calculated using G*Power (Faul et al., 2009) (aim for most experiments > 0.8; indicated in Figure legends). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001; n.s. indicates no significance (p > 0.05). Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM). The Figures were prepared with Adobe Photoshop CC and Adobe Illustrator CC/Adobe Photoshop and Adobe Illustrator Creative Cloud. Graphs were generated using GraphPad Prism 7/GraphPad Prism 8.01.
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