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Changes to the dynamics of the Greenland ice sheet can be forced by various mechanisms including surface-melt-induced ice acceleration and oceanic forcing of marine-terminating glaciers. We use observations of ice motion to examine the surface-melt-induced dynamic response of a land-terminating outlet glacier in south-west Greenland to the exceptional melting observed in 2012. During summer, meltwater generated on the Greenland Ice Sheet (GrIS) surface accesses the ice sheet bed, lubricating basal motion and resulting in periods of faster ice flow. However, the net impact of varying meltwater volumes upon seasonal and annual ice flow, and thus sea level rise, remains unclear. We show that two extreme melt events (98.6% of the GrIS surface experienced melting on 12 July — the most significant melt event since 1889 — and 79.2% on 29 July) and summer ice sheet runoff ~3.9σ above the 1958–2011 mean resulted in enhanced summer ice motion relative to the average melt year of 2009. However, despite record summer melting, subsequent reduced winter ice motion resulted in 6% less net annual ice motion in 2012 than in 2009. Our findings suggest that surface melt-induced acceleration of land-terminating regions of the ice sheet will remain insignificant even under extreme melting scenarios.

Ice sheet dynamics | Ice sheet hydrology | Ice sheet melt | Global Positioning Systems

Significance Statement

During summer, meltwater generated on the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS) surface accesses the ice sheet bed, lubricating basal motion and resulting in periods of faster ice flow. However, the net impact of varying meltwater volumes upon seasonal and annual ice flow, and thus sea level rise, remains unclear. In 2012, despite record ice sheet runoff including two extreme melt events, ice at a land-terminating margin flowed more slowly than in the average melt year of 2009, due principally to slower winter flow following faster summer flow. Our findings suggest that annual motion of land-terminating margins of the ice sheet, and thus the projected dynamic contribution of these margins to sea level rise, is insensitive to melt volumes commensurate with temperature projections for 2100.

Surface melting and runoff from the Greenland ice sheet (GrIS) has increased during the last 30 years [1, 2, 3] coincident with northern hemisphere warming [4, 5] resulting in unprecedented melt extents [6], and with widespread dynamic thinning which has penetrated up to 120 km into the ice sheet interior [7]. One potential dynamic thinning mechanism is surface melt-induced acceleration of ice sheet motion (termed hydro-dynamic coupling) during summer [8, 9, 10, 11]. Observations of GrIS ice motion during the summer show considerable variability over a range of timescales [12]. Rapid variations in meltwater input from the ice sheet surface to the glacier bed result in periods when the subglacial drainage system is more highly pressurised, leading to an increase in basal sliding [13, 14]. This mechanism explains both multi-day increases in ice motion at the beginning of the melt season, analogous to the ‘spring events’ observed at alpine glaciers [15], and increases in velocity at other times when meltwater is delivered to the bed at a rate faster than the subglacial drainage system can expand to accommodate.

However, as the drainage system capacity gradually expands in response to increased melting, the subglacial water pressure falls and higher velocities can therefore only be caused by much larger meltwater pulses than earlier in the melt season [16]. This feedback mechanism has been invoked previously to suggest that the ice sheet could flow more slowly in a warmer year, but observations have either been limited to close to the ice sheet margin [17] or have been unable to resolve the seasonal behaviour responsible for the velocity variations [18]. A recent study — incorporating seasonal ice flow and melt observations extending beyond the equilibrium line — showed that summer velocity enhancement is negated by subsequent reductions in winter flow rates [19], but the bounding conditions of the study have since been exceeded by the exceptional melting observed in 2012 [20]. Moreover, the current paucity of field observations is a significant impediment to modelling the impact of coupled hydro-dynamics on net ice mass loss [21].

The recent trend of warmer summers in Greenland is related to an increase in the frequency of anticyclonic conditions [22]. Persistent anticyclonic conditions during summer 2012 resulted in extreme runoff volumes from the Greenland ice sheet [23], compounded by unprecedented melt extent in July 2012 associated with low-level liquid clouds [24], which led to flood damage such as the destruction of the Watson River bridge in Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland. These conditions resulted in a year during which ice sheet-wide runoff set a new record at ~3.9σ above the 1958–2011 mean [23]. NCEP/NCAR reanalysis 1000 mb temperature anomalies above Kangerlussuaq (25 km west of our site 1) relative to the 1981-2010 mean were +2.2°C during May–August 2012, compared to ±0.3°C during May–August 2009. The 2012 melt season is therefore a surrogate for potential future melting and forms a natural test for quantifying the effect of extreme melt-
water supply on ice motion compared to the ‘average’ melt year of 2009.

We used Global Positioning System (GPS) records to observe ice motion during 2009 and 2012 at seven sites along a transect on a land-terminating margin of the Greenland Ice Sheet (Figure 1). Air temperature and annual ablation were also measured at each site. The lowest three sites on the transect are located within Leverett Glacier’s inferred hydrological catchment, from which we measured bulk runoff [25]. Transect dynamics during 2012 (Figure 2) had similar characteristics to previous years [10, 19]: initiation of meltwater-induced acceleration during multi-day ‘spring’ speed-up events, followed by shorter duration spikes in velocity superimposed on gradually declining background seasonal velocities which fell below pre-melt season velocities by the end of summer.

Here we concentrate on two specific aspects of hydrodynamic coupling during 2012 to give insight into the likely dynamic behaviour of the ice sheet in a warming climate. We examine (1) the ice flow response to the extreme melt events of 12 July and 29 July [20], and (2) the impact of unprecedented melt volumes [23] on total annual ice motion.

Enhanced ice flow lasting approximately 2 days was associated with both extreme melt events (shaded periods in Figures 2, 3 and 4), with several characteristics common to both events. Firstly, peak velocities occurred in advance of satellite-observed peak ice sheet melt extent [20], while proglacial discharge was still rising — 2 days in advance of 12 July, and 1 day in advance of 29 July. Secondly, velocities increased at every site along the transect during the enhanced ice flow period. Thirdly, at the majority of sites, velocities were lower after the enhanced ice flow period than before it (Figure 2).

Prior to the 12 July melt event, sites up to 1482 m a.s.l (site 6) experienced positive air temperatures every day from 10 June (Figure 2). Peak velocities during 9–10 July were coincident with a 2.3°C increase in mean air temperature at our transect sites and a 73% increase in mean wind speed at PROMICE/GAP K-transect sites (Figure 1) compared to the previous 8 days. The mean daily transect velocity during 9–10 July was 61% greater than during the preceding 8 days, with sites 3 and 4 (794 m a.s.l and 1061 m a.s.l) experiencing the highest peak velocities of 103% and 77% greater respectively than the previous 8 days (Figure 3). By 12 July, ice velocities were falling despite peaks in both ice sheet-wide melting and proglacial river discharge (~800 m3s−1; in excess of double) that observed both at the start of the melt event and in previous years [19]. Sites 1 and 2 returned to daily velocities within 10 m yr−1 of 1–8 July mean velocities, and sites 3, 4 and 6 decreased to velocities at least 30 m yr−1 slower than 1–8 July mean velocities.

In contrast to the 12 July melt event, a period of falling air temperatures in the previous 15 days leading up to the 29 July melt event (as low as −7°C at site 6) resulted in falling discharge to a minimum of 240 m3s−1 on 25 July, the lowest since 18 June (Figure 2). During 27–28 July the mean transect air temperature rose by 4.4°C compared to the previous 8 days, with associated — though lagged — increases in discharge and velocity (Figure 4). Mean transect ice velocity on 27–28 July was 116% greater than during the preceding 8 days. At sites 2, 3 and 4 the velocity perturbation was short-lived, lasting ~2 days before an abrupt drop in velocities which returned to within 20 m yr−1 of pre-event velocities. Site 6 slowed down more gradually after the 28 July peak. Unlike the 12 July melt event, river discharge remained close to its event peak of ~400 m3s−1 for 8 days following the 29 July melt event (Figure 2f).

Increased ice velocities in the lead-up to the 12 and 29 July melt events were clearly caused by a rapid increase in the rate of meltwater supply to the ice sheet bed forced by changes in the rate of surface melting. While antecedent melt conditions and the absolute volumes of meltwater associated with each event were different, the nature and style of meltwater forcing, overwhelming the capacity of the hydrological system and leading to ice acceleration, were very similar, replicating responses observed previously [12, 16].

We estimated the potential contribution of each melt event to summer ice displacement by comparison to estimates of the projected ice displacement that would have occurred in the absence of the melt events. We used mean ice velocities at each site during the 8 days preceding each 2-day period of enhanced ice flow to estimate what the total displacement would likely have been through the 2-day enhanced ice flow period and the following 8 days in the absence of the enhanced ice flow period (see Materials and Methods for more information). Observations during the corresponding time periods are shown in Figures 3 and 4. On average the 12 July melt event forced only 7% more ice displacement over the 10-day period, while the 29 July melt event, which was preceded by lower melt rates than the 12 July event, forced 34% more ice displacement over the equivalent 10-day period. These findings reinforce the importance of antecedent melt rates (as opposed to simply meltwater volume) and thus drainage system efficiency in controlling the short-term dynamic response to variations in meltwater supply [26].

The second exceptional characteristic of 2012 was ice sheet-wide runoff of ~3.9σ above the 1958–2011 mean [23]. For comparison, Figure 5 shows observations collected along our transect in the ‘average’ melt year of 2009. Exceptional melting during 2012 resulted in a mean of 117% more ablation relative to 2009 along our transect (Figure 5a) with bulk runoff from the local ice sheet margin (2.20 × 106 m3) 113% greater than 2009 [19]. Summer velocities (Figure 5b) at all but the lowest two sites were also higher in 2012 than in 2009. However, winter velocities at all sites were on average 11% lower in 2012 than 2009, resulting in 6% less net annual ice motion along the transect in 2012–2013 than in 2009–2010 (Figure 5). These observations support previous findings that stronger melting results in faster summers, but that faster summers are then offset by subsequent slower winter ice flow due to the evolution of a larger, more extensive subglacial drainage system which drains high basal water pressure regions [19]. Our findings also support ice-sheet modelling results [21] which suggest that enhanced basal lubrication will not cause substantial net mass loss from the ice sheet, and provide the observations which Shannon et al [21] had stated were currently ‘insufficient to determine whether changes in subglacial hydraulics will limit the potential for the speedup of flow’.

Our findings demonstrate that despite the exceptional melting observed in 2012, annual ice motion along our transect was not enhanced relative to an ‘average’ melt year (2009). These findings suggest that while hydrologically forced ice motion influences short-term and seasonal ice dynamics, land-terminating margins of the Greenland Ice Sheet ice sheet are insensitive dynamically over annual timescales to melt volumes that are commensurate with temperature projections for 2100 [27]. Furthermore, our data demonstrate that the importance of hydrologically forced ice motion over annual timescales can only be understood with reference to both summer and winter seasonal velocities due to their significant inter-annual variability. We also note that the effects of surface melt and oceanic forcing mechanisms on the dynamics of marine ter-
mining glaciers in a warming climate remain unclear and should be a priority for future research.

Materials and Methods

Ice motion was recorded at seven sites, up to 1716 m a.s.l elevation and 113 km from the ice sheet margin (Figure 1). Dual-frequency GPS receivers mounted on poles frozen into the ice recorded position at 10 second intervals. Data were subsequently processed kinematically in overlapping 28-hour windows using Track 1.21 [28] utilising International GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) Service precise orbits relative to an off-ice base station (Figure 1), apart from 12–29 August 2012 when the Kelleyville global GPS network station was used. Positions were filtered using a high-pass gaussian filter to suppress high frequency noise without distorting the long-term signal, which we could compare velocities without overlapping the periods associated with each melt event. The variability associated with choosing different lengths in baseline and enhanced ice flow period was insignificant in the context of the large differences in displacement exhibited by each event (Table S1). Air temperatures were measured at sites 1, 3 and 6 using shielded Campbell Scientific T107 temperature sensors, situated 2 m above the ice sheet surface. Sensors sampled once per minute and recorded a mean value every 15 minutes. Air temperatures were then converted to positive degree days (PDDs) by calculating the mean of all positive values each day. Snow depths were measured at sites 2–7 just prior to the onset of the melt season, and seasonal ablation water equivalent totals were determined from ablation stakes at sites 2–7. To compare measurements of ice velocities and ablation made in 2009 and 2012, we calculated the percentage difference between the years at each site and then took the mean of those values to derive a transect average. The volume of meltwater draining from Leverett Glacier’s inferred hydrological catchment (Figure 1) was measured using continuous water stage monitoring through a stable bedrock section. Stage was converted to discharge with a continuous stage-discharge curve obtained from repeat Rhodamine WT and Rhodamine B dye dilution injections undertaken throughout both melt seasons, following methods described previously [25]. The normalised root mean squared deviation of the discharge record is estimated to be ±10%.
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Fig. 1. Location of the transect on the western margin of the GrIS. Stars indicate sites where ice motion, temperature and seasonal melting were measured. The triangle indicates where proglacial discharge was measured and the GPS base station located. Circles indicate locations of KAN PROMICE/GAP weather stations along the K-transect. Contours (metres) are from a digital elevation model (DEM) of the ice sheet surface produced from interferometric synthetic aperture radar [29]. The inferred hydrological catchment of Leverett Glacier, delineated in light gray, was calculated from the ice sheet surface DEM. Inset plot shows surface and bed elevation along our transect as measured by IceBridge ATM (ILATM2) and MCoRDS (IRMCR2) respectively in 2010 and 2011 [30].
Fig. 2. Transect observations during 2012. a–e. Daily (24-hour) along-track ice velocities (stepped black lines) and positive degree days (gray bars) for each transect site at which daily measurements were made. f. Discharge hydrograph for Leverett Glacier (m³ s⁻¹), with cumulative discharge between 7 May and 27 August (marked by gray box). The associated catchment is shown on Figure 1. a–f. Gray shading defines peak velocity response to 12 July and 29 July melt events (see text).
Fig. 3. Observations around 12 July melt event. a–e. Near-surface air temperatures (dashed lines), daily (24-hour) along-track ice velocities (stepped black lines) and short-term along-track ice velocities (gray lines) for each site at which daily measurements were made. Periods with inadequate quality observations removed. f. Discharge hydrograph for Leverett Glacier (m$^3$s$^{-1}$). a–f. Gray shading defines the peak velocity response to the melt event (see text).
Fig. 4. Observations around 29 July melt event. See A-F in Fig. 3 for details.
Fig. 5. a. Annual (1 May–30 April) ablation in water equivalent metres for sites 2–7 in 2009 and 2012. b. Summer (Sum., 1 May–31 August), winter (Win., 1 September–30 April) and annual (Ann., 1 May–30 April) velocities for each site in 2009 and 2012.