Genetic Determinants of Lipids and Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes: A Wide-angled Mendelian Randomization Investigation
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Abstract:

**Background** - Evidence from randomized trials has shown that therapies that lower low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol and triglycerides reduce coronary artery disease (CAD) risk. However, there is still uncertainty regarding their effects on other cardiovascular outcomes. We therefore performed a systematic investigation of causal relationships between circulating lipids and cardiovascular outcomes using a Mendelian randomization approach.

**Methods** - In the primary analysis, we performed two-sample multivariable Mendelian randomization using data from participants of European ancestry. We also conducted univariable analyses using inverse-variance weighted and robust methods, and gene-specific analyses using variants that can be considered as proxies for specific lipid-lowering medications. We obtained associations with lipid fractions from the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium, a meta-analysis of 188,577 participants, and genetic associations with cardiovascular outcomes from 367,703 participants in UK Biobank.

**Results** - For LDL-cholesterol, in addition to the expected positive associations with CAD risk (odds ratio per 1 standard deviation increase [OR], 1.45; 95% confidence interval [95%CI] 1.35-1.57) and other atheromatous outcomes (ischemic cerebrovascular disease and peripheral vascular disease), we found independent associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol with abdominal aortic aneurysm (OR 1.75; 95%CI 1.40-2.17) and aortic valve stenosis (OR 1.46; 95%CI 1.25-1.70). Genetically-predicted triglyceride levels were positively associated with CAD (OR 1.25; 95%CI 1.12-1.40), aortic valve stenosis (OR 1.29; 95%CI 1.04-1.61), and hypertension (OR 1.17; 95%CI 1.07-1.27), but inversely associated with venous thromboembolism (OR 0.79; 95%CI 0.67-0.93) and haemorrhagic stroke (OR 0.78; 95%CI 0.62-0.98). We also found positive associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides with heart failure that appeared to be mediated by CAD.

**Conclusions** - Lowering LDL-cholesterol is likely to prevent abdominal aortic aneurysm and aortic stenosis, in addition to CAD and other atheromatous cardiovascular outcomes. Lowering triglycerides is likely to prevent CAD and aortic valve stenosis, but may increase thromboembolic risk.
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Non-standard Abbreviations and Acronyms

APC, apolipoprotein C3
BMI, body mass index
CAD, coronary artery disease
HDL-cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol
HMGCR, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase
INVENT, International Network against Thrombosis
LDL-cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol
LDLR, low-density lipoprotein receptor
LPL, lipoprotein lipase
MR, Mendelian randomization
PCSK9, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9
T2D, type-2 diabetes
Introduction

Evidence from randomized trials has shown that therapies that lower low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol, such as statins, are beneficial for preventing or treating several atheromatous diseases such as coronary artery disease (CAD), ischaemic stroke, and peripheral vascular disease, as well as both postoperative atrial fibrillation and heart failure in the presence of underlying CAD. However, for other cardiovascular outcomes, such as thromboembolic disease, haemorrhagic stroke and aortic aneurysms, the effects of LDL-cholesterol lowering are less clear. Recently, the REDUCE-IT trial has shown that therapies that predominantly lower triglycerides can reduce major cardiovascular events. However, the effects of triglyceride lowering on non-atheromatous cardiovascular outcomes are largely unknown.

Although a randomized trial is the gold standard of evidence and is required to conclusively establish the effectiveness of a treatment, naturally-occurring genetic variants can be used to help predict the outcome of a randomized trial in an approach known as Mendelian randomization. For example, this approach has been used successfully to validate the effects of statins and predict the effects of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitors on CAD risk seen in randomized trials. Mendelian randomization investigations have also shown positive associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol with abdominal aortic aneurysm, ischaemic stroke, and aortic stenosis, and positive associations of triglycerides with CAD. While Mendelian randomization makes strong assumptions that the genetic variants used in the analysis only influence the outcome via the stated risk factors, when both approaches can be undertaken Mendelian randomization has generally given results that agree with randomized trials.
However, Mendelian randomization approaches have not yet been used to investigate the relationship between circulating lipids and some major cardiovascular conditions such as venous thromboembolism and heart failure. Additionally, approaches to estimate the independent effects of LDL-cholesterol, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol on cardiovascular disease while accounting for genetic pleiotropy have only been performed for a limited set of outcomes.17, 19, 20

Our objective in this paper is to assess which cardiovascular outcomes could be treated by lipid-lowering therapies. We performed a systematic Mendelian randomization analysis of atheromatous and non-atheromatous cardiovascular disease outcomes. We considered disease outcomes in a single dataset (UK Biobank) to ensure a consistent approach to the analysis across different outcomes. We carried out polygenic analyses for each of LDL-cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides, based on all common genetic variants associated with at least one of these risk factors, as well as gene-specific analyses based on variants in or near gene regions that mimic specific pharmaceutical interventions.

**Methods**

**Data availability**

Summary statistics for the genetic associations with lipid fractions were taken from the Global Lipids Genetics Consortium, and are available at [http://csg.sph.umich.edu/willer/public/lipids2013](http://csg.sph.umich.edu/willer/public/lipids2013).23, 24 Summary statistics for the genetic associations with outcomes were estimated in UK Biobank, and for replication with venous thromboembolism in the International Network against Thrombosis (INVENT) Consortium (restricting to European descent participants and excluding participants from UK Biobank).
Because of the sensitive nature of some of the data collected for this study, requests to access the datasets from qualified researchers trained in human subject confidentiality protocols may be sent to UK Biobank at https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/register-apply, and to the INVENT Consortium by contacting the senior authors and Co-Conveners of the Consortium, Drs. David-Alexandre Tregouet and Nicholas L. Smith.

UK Biobank and all studies in these consortia were approved by their respective institutional review committee and their participants gave informed consent. The methods are available as supplemental data.

**Results**

**Participant characteristics**

Baseline characteristics of the participants in the UK Biobank are provided in Table 1. Around 46% of participants were men, and the mean age was 57 years. Around 10% were smokers, 93% were alcohol drinkers, and 4% had a history of diabetes at baseline.

**Polygenic analyses for all lipid-related variants**

Multivariable Mendelian randomization estimates are displayed graphically in Figure 1 and summarized in Supplementary Table S1. Estimates of heterogeneity between the causal estimates from different variants are provided in Supplementary Tables S2 and S3, and scatter plots of genetic associations for selected risk factor/outcome pairs are provided in Supplementary Figure S1. Significant heterogeneity was observed for several outcomes, although this is unsurprising given the number of genetic variants included in the analyses. Associations with the positive control outcome (CAD) were as expected for LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides. There were no associations with the negative control outcome (chronic kidney disease).
We found a strong association of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol with CAD risk (odds ratio per 1 standard deviation increase [OR], 1.45; 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.35-1.57), which was in the reference range of a previous study. The strongest associations by magnitude were for abdominal aortic aneurysm (OR 1.75; 95% CI 1.40-2.17), aortic valve stenosis (OR 1.46; 95% CI 1.25-1.70), aortic aneurysm (OR 1.43; 95% CI 1.21-1.68), and heart failure (OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.06-1.28), which all met our threshold for statistical significance (p<0.003). We also observed a significant positive association for the combined outcome of ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack (OR 1.14; 95% CI 1.04-1.24). We saw positive associations at a nominal significance level (0.003 ≤ p < 0.05) for LDL-cholesterol with risk of transient ischaemic attack and peripheral vascular disease. The association with ischaemic stroke was in the positive direction but non-significant (OR 1.10; 95% CI 0.98-1.23).

Genetically-predicted triglyceride levels were strongly associated with increased risk of CAD, consistent with previous results, as well as with increased risk of hypertension (OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.07-1.27). Positive nominal associations were noted also for aortic valve stenosis and heart failure. A nominally-significant inverse association was observed with haemorrhagic stroke, in particular with intracerebral haemorrhage (OR 0.65; 95% CI 0.49-0.86). Inverse nominal associations were observed for triglycerides with all of the thromboembolic diseases we analysed: deep vein thrombosis (OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.65-0.93), pulmonary embolism (OR 0.78; 95% CI 0.64-0.96), and any venous thromboembolism (OR 0.79; 95% CI 0.67-0.93). A similar association with venous thromboembolism was estimated in a separate sample of 129,002 individuals of European ancestry from the INVENT consortium (OR 0.84; 95% CI 0.70-1.01, p=0.057) (Table 2). Genetically-predicted HDL-cholesterol was nominally associated with lower risk of coronary heart disease (OR 0.91; 95% CI 0.83-1.00) and hypertension, and more strongly
inversely associated with abdominal aortic aneurysm (OR 0.65; 95%CI 0.51-0.85) and intracerebral haemorrhage (OR 0.65; 95%CI 0.51-0.82). In exploratory analyses to validate these findings, genetically-predicted triglyceride levels were positively associated with tissue-type plasminogen activator, but not with platelet count (Supplementary Table S4). Positive associations were observed between genetically-predicted triglycerides and both systolic and diastolic blood pressure, whereas inverse associations with HDL-cholesterol were not replicated (Supplementary Table S5).

Univariable Mendelian randomization estimates for each lipid risk factor in turn are displayed in Supplementary Figures S2-S4 and summarized in Supplementary Tables S6-S8. Similar results were generally obtained from each of the univariable methods as for the multivariable methods, although confidence intervals were slightly wider in some cases, especially for the MR-Egger method. The association between HDL-cholesterol and CAD became null in MR-Egger regression (OR 0.99; 95%CI 0.83-1.19). Similarly, the associations of triglycerides with haemorrhagic stroke and its subtypes attenuated to the null in almost all univariable analyses (Supplementary Table S8). Another notable difference was a positive association of genetically-predicted triglycerides with increased aortic aneurysm risk, particularly for abdominal aortic aneurysm. While the association with aortic aneurysm was not strongly apparent in the multivariable analysis, it was evident for each of the univariable analysis methods.

We also assessed whether the associations of genetically-predicted lipids with outcomes that are comorbid with CAD (e.g. heart failure) may be mediated via CAD, and similarly for outcomes that are comorbid with type 2 diabetes (T2D), e.g. hypertension. On adjustment for CAD risk (Table 3), the associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides
with heart failure attenuated sharply, suggesting that their effects on heart failure might be mediated via CAD. As a negative control, we also performed the same analysis for abdominal aortic aneurysm. The association with genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol attenuated slightly, but was still clearly positive. We also performed multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses excluding participants with CAD for heart failure (2383 remaining cases). This analysis gave null estimates for all lipid fractions (Supplementary Table S9). This suggests that LDL-cholesterol is unlikely to be a causal risk factor for heart failure where there is no comorbidity with CAD. On adjustment for body mass index (BMI) and T2D (Supplementary Table S10), associations between lipids and hypertension, abdominal aortic aneurysm, and haemorrhagic stroke did not change substantially compared to unadjusted analyses, suggesting that neither BMI nor T2D is likely to mediate the effects of lipids on these outcomes. While adjusting for heart failure, heart failure was strongly associated with venous thromboembolism, but associations between lipids and venous thromboembolism did not change markedly (Supplementary Table S10). This confirms that heart failure is an independent risk factor for venous thromboembolism but suggests it is unlikely to mediate the association between triglycerides and venous thromboembolism.

**Gene-specific analyses for drug proxy variants**

Mendelian randomization estimates for specific gene regions are displayed graphically in Figure 2 and summarized in Supplementary Tables S11-S12. All the gene regions analysed showed clear associations with CAD risk, confirming their involvement in cardiovascular disease aetiology and the relevance of existing and proposed lipid-lowering therapies for CAD risk reduction.
Results for the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) gene regions, and to a lesser extent for 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase (HMGCR) and PCSK9, were similar to those for LDL-cholesterol in the polygenic analyses, although with wider confidence intervals. Significant positive associations were obtained for LDLR with aortic and abdominal aortic aneurysm, venous thromboembolism, aortic valve stenosis, and heart failure, and an inverse association was observed for subarachnoid haemorrhage. Variants in the HMGCR gene region were inversely associated with intracerebral haemorrhage ($p=0.022$). Results for apolipoprotein C3 (APOC3) and lipoprotein lipase (LPL) followed a pattern more similar to the analysis for triglycerides, showing inverse associations with thromboembolic diseases ($p=0.007$ for APOC3, $p=0.089$ for LPL for any venous thromboembolism). In both regions, associations with aortic aneurysm, aortic valve stenosis and hypertension were all positive. Additionally, variants in the LPL region were also positively associated with all ischaemic cerebrovascular diseases.

**Discussion**

In this study, we assessed the causal role of three major lipid fractions for a range of cardiovascular diseases in a large population-based cohort using the principle of Mendelian randomization while accounting for genetic pleiotropy between the lipid measures. Our most notable findings were the associations between genetically-predicted triglycerides and decreased risk of thromboembolic diseases both in polygenic analyses and in gene-specific analyses for the APOC3 gene region, suggesting that reducing triglycerides may increase risk for venous thromboembolism. Additionally, as summarized in Supplementary Table S13, we found: 1) evidence supporting the current understanding of the aetiology of CAD, suggesting independent causal roles for LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides, both in the polygenic analyses and for all the
drug-related gene-specific analyses. 2) positive associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol with abdominal aortic aneurysm and aortic valve stenosis, as well as atheromatous cardiovascular outcomes that are already addressed in clinical guidelines (e.g. peripheral vascular disease and the combined outcome of ischaemic stroke and transient ischaemic attack); 3) positive associations of genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides with heart failure that appear to be mediated by CAD; 4) associations between genetically-predicted triglycerides and increased risk of aortic stenosis and hypertension; and 5) inverse associations between genetically-predicted HDL-cholesterol and abdominal aortic aneurysm and haemorrhagic stroke (in particular, intracerebral haemorrhage) that appear to be independent of BMI/T2D.

The importance of these findings is threefold. Firstly, they help us to better understand the aetiology and pathophysiology of common cardiovascular outcomes, and so to identify additional potential indications for lipid-lowering therapies. Secondly, association estimates provided in this paper can inform calculations on the risk-benefit and cost-benefit of these therapies. Thirdly, these findings can aid identification of which patients would most benefit from (or should avoid) lipid-lowering therapies.

Genetic predisposition to lower triglycerides was associated with an increased risk of thromboembolic diseases. In contrast, previous observational evidence did not suggest an association between triglycerides and venous thrombosis, although other lipid measures (in particular apolipoprotein B and lipoprotein(a)) were inversely associated with venous thrombosis mortality in a meta-analysis of over 700,000 participants from the Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration. Our result was consistent across 3 of the 4 Mendelian randomization methods and was replicated using data from the INVENT collaboration, an independent data source for
genetic associations with the outcome. Associations with thromboembolic outcomes using the MR-Egger method were slightly attenuated and compatible with the null, raising the possibility of unmeasured genetic pleiotropy, although this method generally has lower power compared to the conventional inverse-variance weighted method. Variants in the \textit{APOC3} gene region were associated with thromboembolic events, suggesting that lowering triglyceride levels via intervening on this pathway may increase thromboembolism risk. Additionally, the positive genetic association between triglycerides and tissue-type plasminogen activator reported here confirms the findings of a previous observational study among 1227 men free of coronary heart disease. However, genetically-predicted triglycerides were not associated with platelet count. This is in contrast to the APPROACH trial, in which thrombocytopenia led to excess early terminations among patients with familial chylomicronaemia but in line with (i) two other trials of APOC3 inhibitors and (ii) with the fluctuations in platelet counts (both thrombocytopenia and thrombocytosis) noted in an observational study of 86 patients with familial chylomicronaemia. Further investigation is needed to confirm the unexpected genetic association of triglycerides with venous thromboembolism.

Both the positive association between genetic predictors of LDL-cholesterol and abdominal aortic aneurysm, and the inverse association for HDL-cholesterol, replicate a previous Mendelian randomization study which did not include UK Biobank participants. This evidence is complemented by a randomized trial that has shown benefit from screening and treating abdominal aortic aneurysm using various interventions including statins. No significant association was seen with thoracic aortic aneurysm, in line with the different pathophysiology of the two disease subtypes. Overall, these findings provide further support to the hypothesis that increased LDL-cholesterol has deleterious effects on abdominal aortic aneurysm, and suggest
that LDL-cholesterol lowering therapies may be beneficial in preventing abdominal aortic aneurysm.

Similarly, the positive association between genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol and aortic valve stenosis replicates a previous Mendelian randomization study. We also demonstrated a positive association for triglycerides, in line with the previous study (although their result did not achieve conventional levels of statistical significance). Our association was robust to all sensitivity analyses and evidenced in gene-specific analyses for both the APOC3 and LPL loci. These findings are consistent with previous observational and pathological studies suggesting a role of atherosclerotic processes in early valve lesions whereas clinical trials showed no benefit from LDL-cholesterol lowering via statins on aortic stenosis progression. Taken together, this suggests that increased LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides may facilitate initiation of early lesions, but that lipid-lowering therapies may be ineffective in preventing the progression of aortic stenosis.

CAD is a well-known risk factor for heart failure, as myocardial ischaemic damage reduces myocardial contractility and ventricular function. Associations of heart failure with genetically-predicted LDL-cholesterol and triglycerides disappeared after adjusting for CAD. The association was also absent when omitting participants with a CAD diagnosis from analyses. In contrast, associations with abdominal aortic aneurysm attenuated only slightly after adjustment for CAD. Overall, these results suggest that lipid-lowering therapies are only likely to influence risk of heart failure via their effects on CAD.

Our analyses also identified inverse associations of genetically-predicted HDL-C and triglyceride levels with risk of intracerebral haemorrhage (albeit the latter association was not robust to most sensitivity analyses), consistent with a recent observational analysis for
Furthermore, we identified a detrimental effect of HMGCR inhibition on intracerebral haemorrhage risk, in keeping with a large randomized trial of atorvastatin in patients with recent transient ischaemic attack or stroke, which found statin therapy to lower risk of major cardiovascular events but increase the risk of haemorrhagic stroke. However, this finding has not been replicated in large meta-analyses. The mechanism relating lipid traits with risk of intracerebral haemorrhage requires further exploration.

Finally, the inverse association between HDL-cholesterol and CAD was only nominally significant in the main multivariable analyses but became null with MR-Egger regression, suggesting that HDL-cholesterol is unlikely to be a causal risk factor for CAD.

Our investigation has both strengths and limitations. The large sample size of over 360,000 participants and the broad set of outcomes analysed render this one of the most comprehensive Mendelian randomization analyses on cardiovascular disease conducted to date. Availability of multiple cardiovascular conditions within the same study enabled cross-comparisons between diseases, and enabled us to perform adjusted analyses in the same participants and to assess mediation of causal effects. This investigation has a number of limitations. Lack of publicly-available summary data from external datasets prevented us from performing replication analyses for some associations, e.g. those for heart failure. This design still allows sensitivity analyses such as MR-Egger and the weighted median estimator which enabled us to investigate and account for the possible presence of genetic pleiotropy, and gene-specific analyses that are less likely to be influenced by pleiotropy as they only include variants from a single gene region where the function is well-known. Significant heterogeneity was observed in the polygenic analysis for several outcomes, suggesting that some variants may have pleiotropic effects. Additionally, this investigation was conducted in UK-based middle- to late-
aged participants of European ancestries. While this is recommended for Mendelian randomization to ensure that genetic associations are not influenced by population stratification, it means that results may not be generalizable to other ethnicities or nationalities.

In conclusion, multivariable Mendelian randomization analyses accounting for lipid-related genetic pleiotropy support the hypothesis that circulating lipids have causal effects on a wide range of cardiovascular diseases. Interventions that lower LDL-cholesterol are likely to be beneficial in preventing aortic aneurysm and aortic stenosis in addition to several other atheromatous diseases. Triglyceride lowering treatments are likely to be beneficial in preventing coronary artery disease and aortic valve stenosis, but caution is needed due to the possibility of increased risk of venous thromboembolism.
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Table 1: Baseline characteristics of UK Biobank participants included in this study and numbers of outcome events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean (SD) or N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>367,703 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>168,799 (45.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at baseline</td>
<td>57.2 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>27.3 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>137.6 (18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>82.0 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status (current / ex / never)*</td>
<td>37,866 (10.3) / 185,704 (50.5) / 143,777 (39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol status (current / ex / never)*</td>
<td>342,797 (93.2) / 12,732 (3.5) / 11,646 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of type 2 diabetes</td>
<td>15,834 (4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary Artery Disease</td>
<td>29,278 (8.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischaemic Cerebrovascular Event (all)</td>
<td>8084 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Ischaemic Stroke</td>
<td>4602 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Transient Ischaemic Attack</td>
<td>3962 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhagic Stroke (all)</td>
<td>1981 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Intracerebral Haemorrhage</td>
<td>1064 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subarachnoid Haemorrhage</td>
<td>1084 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Aneurysm (all)</td>
<td>1849 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm</td>
<td>1094 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Thoracic Aortic Aneurysm</td>
<td>347 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous Thromboembolism (all)</td>
<td>14,097 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Deep Vein Thrombosis</td>
<td>9454 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Pulmonary Embolism</td>
<td>6148 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>125,846 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral Vascular Disease</td>
<td>3415 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic Valve Stenosis</td>
<td>2244 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation</td>
<td>16,945 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart Failure</td>
<td>6712 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Kidney Disease</td>
<td>6321 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Excluding 356 participants with smoking status absent and 528 participants with alcohol consumption status absent
Table 2: Replication and meta-analysis of the association between genetically-predicted triglycerides and risk of venous thromboembolism in the INVENT consortium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Venous thromboembolism</th>
<th>N events / participants</th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank</td>
<td>14,097 / 367,703</td>
<td>0.94 (0.82-1.08)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.92-1.16)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.67-0.93)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVENT</td>
<td>15,572 / 129,002</td>
<td>1.03 (0.89-1.20)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.94-1.21)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.70-1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK Biobank + INVENT</td>
<td>29,669 / 496,705</td>
<td>0.98 (0.86-1.12)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.94-1.17)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.69-0.95)*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p < 0.05 †: p < 0.003 ‡: p < 10^{-8}

All estimates are odds ratios estimated using multivariable inverse-variance weighted Mendelian randomization accounting for lipid-related genetic pleiotropy and between-variant heterogeneity (random-effects analyses).
Table 3: Multivariable Mendelian randomization estimates (odds ratio with 95% confidence interval) for heart failure without and with adjustment for coronary artery disease (CAD). Analyses were also performed for abdominal aortic aneurysm as a negative control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart failure</th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>CAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without adjustment</strong></td>
<td>0.97 (0.87-1.08)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.06-1.28) †</td>
<td>1.19 (1.04-1.37) *</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With adjustment</strong></td>
<td>1.02 (0.92-1.13)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.85-1.06)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.93-1.20)</td>
<td>1.73 (1.47-2.04) ‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdominal aortic aneurysm</th>
<th>HDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>LDL-cholesterol</th>
<th>Triglycerides</th>
<th>CAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Without adjustment</strong></td>
<td>0.65 (0.51-0.85) †</td>
<td>1.75 (1.40-2.17) †</td>
<td>0.94 (0.68-1.28)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>With adjustment</strong></td>
<td>0.69 (0.53-0.88) †</td>
<td>1.46 (1.12-1.91) †</td>
<td>0.84 (0.61-1.16)</td>
<td>1.60 (1.06-2.42) *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: \( p < 0.05 \) †: \( p < 0.003 \) ‡: \( p < 10^{-8} \)

The CAD column indicates the association between genetically-predicted coronary artery disease and the outcome of interest (i.e. heart failure or abdominal aortic aneurysm) while accounting for the genetic associations of the lipid fractions in a multivariable analysis. If the regression coefficients for the lipid measurements remain unchanged on adjustment for CAD, then the effects of lipids on the outcome do not operate via CAD. If the regression coefficients attenuate to the null, then the effects of lipids on the outcome are entirely mediated via CAD.
Figure Legends:

**Figure 1:** Multivariable Mendelian randomization estimates (odds ratio with 95% confidence interval per 1 standard deviation increase in lipid fraction) from polygenic analyses including all lipid-associated variants.

**Figure 2:** Univariable Mendelian randomization estimates (odds ratio with 95% confidence interval per 1 standard deviation increase in lipid fraction) for variants in specific gene regions. Estimates are scaled to a unit standard deviation increase in LDL-cholesterol for the *HMGCR*, *PCSK9*, and *LDLR* regions, and to a standard deviation increase in triglycerides for the *APOC3* and *LPL* regions.