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ABSTRACT
Estradiol (E2) is important for male skeletal health and the effect of E2 is mediated via estrogen receptor (ER)-α. This was demonstrated by the findings that men with an inactivating mutation in aromatase or a nonfunctional ERα had osteopenia and continued longitudinal growth after sexual maturation. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the role of different domains of ERα for the effects of E2 and selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) on bone mass in males. Three mouse models lacking either ERα AF-1 (ERα AF-10), ERα AF-2 (ERα AF-20), or the total ERα (ERα/C0/C0) were orchidectomized (orx) and treated with E2 or placebo. E2 treatment increased the trabecular and cortical bone mass and bone strength, whereas it reduced the thymus weight and bone marrow cellularity in orx wild type (WT) mice. These parameters did not respond to E2 treatment in orx ERα/C0/C0 or ERα AF-20 mirx ERα AF-10 mice were tissue-dependent, with a clear response in cortical bone parameters and bone marrow cellularity, but no response in trabecular bone. To determine the role of ERα AF-1 for the effects of SERMs, we treated orx WT and ERα AF-10 mice with raloxifene (Ral), lasofoxifene (Las), bazedoxifene (Bza), or vehicle. These SERMs increased total body areal bone mineral density (BMD) and trabecular volumetric BMD to a similar extent in orx WT mice. Furthermore, only Las increased cortical thickness significantly and only Bza increased bone strength significantly. However, all SERMs showed a tendency toward increased cortical bone parameters. Importantly, all SERM effects were absent in the orx ERα AF-10 mice. In conclusion, ERα AF-2 is required for the estrogenic effects on all evaluated parameters, whereas the role of ERα AF-1 is tissue-specific. All evaluated effects of Ral, Las and Bza are dependent on a functional ERα AF-1. Our findings might contribute to the development of bone-specific SERMs in males. © 2013 American Society for Bone and Mineral Research.
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Introduction

Estrogen has previously been considered the female sex steroid and testosterone the male sex steroid, but now there are several studies, in both man and mouse, showing that estradiol (E2) is of importance for male skeletal health.1–4 The importance of E2 in males was clearly demonstrated by the finding that men with an inactivating mutation in aromatase or a nonfunctional ERα had osteopenia and continued longitudinal growth after sexual maturation.5,6 A similar skeletal phenotype was found in a man with a nonfunctional estrogen receptor (ER)-α. This indicates that ERα is the main mediator of the skeletal effects of estrogen in men.7,7 In addition, it has been shown that ERα, but not ERβ, is required for the skeletal effects of estrogen in male mice.8–12 G protein-coupled ER-1 (GPER-1 or GPR30) has also been suggested to be an ER, but we recently demonstrated that the E2 response on bone mass is independent of the GPER-1.13 These results, together with the fact that men have higher E2 levels than postmenopausal women, suggest that E2 is a hormone of importance for male bone health.14,15 ERα interacts with several classes of coactivators/corepressors and the balance between coactivators and corepressors is...
dependent on the cell type.\(^{14,16}\) The balance of cofactors is a critical determinant of the ability of ERαs to regulate gene transcription. Therefore, estrogen can exert vastly diverse effects in different tissues. In vitro studies have shown that the estrogen-induced transactivation of ERαs is mediated by the ligand-independent activation function (AF)-1 and/or the ligand-dependent AF-2 in ERα. This is dependent on the cell type and promoter context,\(^{17–19}\) and could depend on the cofactors and/or balance of cofactors in the cell type evaluated. Several cofactors bind to ERαAF-1 and ERαAF-2; some are specific for either AF-1 or AF-2, whereas some cofactors bind to both.\(^{20}\) It has also been shown that the full ligand-dependent transcriptional activity of ERα is reached through a synergism between AF-1 and AF-2.\(^{17–19,21–23}\) Variation in the expression of cofactors and the recruitment of cofactors to the ERαs in different cell types also appear to have an important role for the tissue-specific effects of the selective ER modulators (SERMs).\(^{17,24} A\) SERM acts as an ER agonist or antagonist in a tissue-specific manner. Compared to E2, the SERMs have a bulky side chain, which upon binding to ERα protrudes from the ligand-binding pocket. This hinders the optimal conformational change of the ligand-binding domain of ERα by preventing the folding of helix 12 in the agonistic orientation. Consequently, ERαAF-2 is not formed correctly, which prevents ERα from interacting with certain cofactors.\(^{17,24–26}\) From these in vitro studies it has, therefore, been suggested that the effects of a specific SERM are mainly mediated by the ERαAF-1 and other regions of ERα than ERα AF-2, and that the importance of the different regions of ERα is decided by the particular conformational change of ERα induced by the SERM.\(^{17,24–26}\)

Raloxifene (Ral), which was the first SERM approved for the prevention and treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis, was shown to be an ER agonist in bone but an ER antagonist in breast.\(^{27–29}\) Today, two more SERMs; lasofoxifene (Las) and bazedoxifene (Bza), are approved in Europe for treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis. These SERMs and Ral have similar structures and they all reduce the risk for vertebral fractures. In addition, Las and Bza have also been suggested to be more effective in reducing the risk for nonvertebral fractures than Ral.\(^{27,29,30}\) Unfortunately, these three SERMs are all associated with side effects; eg, an increased risk for thromboembolism.\(^{27,29–31}\) Ral treatment has been shown to increase the bone mass in men with serum E2 levels below a certain threshold, without having feminizing effects, but there is not yet any approved SERM treatment available for male osteoporosis.\(^{32,33}\) Therefore, it is possible that bone-specific SERMs may be useful in the treatment of male osteoporosis. Thus, it is of importance to further characterize the signaling pathways of estrogen and SERMs in the male bone.

In this study, we have evaluated the roles of ERαAF-1 and ERαAF-2 in male mice for the effects of E2 in bone, and some other major estrogen-responsive tissues by analyzing mice with inactivation of the entire ERα protein (ERα\(^{-/-}\), ERαAF-1 (ERαAF-1\(^{10}\)), or ERαAF-2 (ERαAF-2\(^{2}\)). In addition, because in vitro experiments have suggested that the ERαAF-1 is the main region for mediating the tissue-specific effects of SERMs, we treated orx wild-type (WT) and orx ERαAF-1\(^{10}\) mice with Ral, Las, and Bza to clarify the role of ERαAF-1 for the effects of these SERMs in vivo.

The results obtained will clarify the relevance of different regions of the ERα for the effect of E2 and SERMs in males, thus facilitating the design of novel bone-specific SERMs.

**Materials and Methods**

**Generation of mice**

All experimental procedures involving animals were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Gothenburg. The generation of ERα-deficient mice (ERα\(^{-/-}\)),\(^{34}\) ERαAF-1\(^{10}\) mice,\(^{35,36}\) and ERαAF-2\(^{2}\) mice\(^{36}\) have been described. Briefly, the ERα\(^{-/-}\) mice have a deletion in exon 3 of the ERα gene and they do not express any of the isoforms of the ERα protein.\(^{34}\)

The ERαAF-1\(^{10}\) mice have a specific deletion of AF-1 and do not express any full-length 66-kDa protein. Instead, they express a truncated 49-kDa ERα protein that lacks AF-1 and also the physiologically occurring but less abundantly expressed 46-kDa ERα isoform. The ERαAF-1\(^{5}\) protein has been shown, in vivo, to be expressed in similar amounts as the WT ERα protein.\(^{33}\) The ERαAF-2\(^{2}\) mice have a deletion of the AF-2 core that resides within exon 9 and corresponds to amino acids 543 to 549. The sizes of the ERα proteins in ERαAF-2\(^{2}\) mice are slightly smaller than the WT ERα proteins of 66-kDa and 46-kDa, respectively, and they have been shown, in vivo, to be expressed in similar amounts as the WT ERα proteins.\(^{36,37}\) All three mouse models and their WT littermate controls were inbred C57BL/6 mice and generated by breeding heterozygous females and males.

In the experiment where the importance of ERαAF-1 and ERαAF-2 for an effect of estrogen was evaluated, orchidectomy (orx) or sham operation was performed on 12-week-old ERα\(^{-/-}\), ERαAF-1\(^{10}\), ERαAF-2\(^{2}\) and WT male mice. The orx mice were treated with either placebo or E2 (167 ng/mouse/d) and the sham-operated mice were treated with placebo for 4 weeks, using slow-release pellets inserted subcutaneous (s.c.) at the time of surgery (Innovative Research of America, Sarasota, FL, USA; \(n = 8–11\) per group).

In the experiment where the different SERMs were evaluated, orx was performed on 20-week-old ERαAF-1\(^{10}\) and WT male mice. The orx mice rested for 6 days before they received s.c. injections 5 days/week during 3 weeks with either vehicle (veh; Miglyol 812; OmyaPeralta GmbH, Hamburg, Germany), raloxifene (120 µg/mouse/d; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), lasofoxifene (8 µg/mouse/d; Pfizer Inc., Groton, CT, USA), or bazedoxifene (48 µg/mouse/d; Pfizer) \((n = 6–10\) per group).

**Measurement of serum hormone levels**

Commercially available radioimmunoassay (RIA) kits were used to assess serum concentrations of testosterone (ICN Biomedicals, Costa Mesa, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry**

Analyses of total body areal bone mineral density (aBMD) were performed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) using the Lunar PiXImus mouse densitometer (Wipro GE Healthcare, Madison, WI, USA).
Peripheral quantitative computer tomography

Computer tomography scans were performed with the peripheral quantitative computer tomography (pQCT) XCT RESEARCH M (version 4.5B; Norland, Fort Atkinson, WI, USA), operating at a resolution of 70 μm as described. The scans were positioned in the metaphysis, at a distance proximal from the distal growth plate of the femur corresponding to 3.4% of the total length of the femur, and at a distance distal from the proximal growth plate of the tibia corresponding to 2.6% of the total length of the tibia. The trabecular bone region was defined as the inner 45% of the total cross-sectional area. Cortical bone parameters were analyzed in the mid-diaphyseal region of the femur and tibia.

Micro–computed tomography

Micro–computed tomography (μCT) analyses were performed on the lumbar vertebra 5 (L5) by using Skyscan 1072 scanner (Skyscan N.V., Aartselaar, Belgium), imaged with an X-ray tube voltage of 100 kV and current 98 μA, with a 1-mm aluminum filter. The scanning angular rotation was 180 degrees and the angular increment 0.90 degrees. The voxel size was 6.51 μm isotropically. Datasets were reconstructed using a modified Feldkamp algorithm and segmented into binary images using adaptive local thresholding. The trabecular bone in the vertebral body caudal of the pedicles was selected for analyses as described.

Three-point bending

Immediately after the dissection, the femurs were fixed in Bürkhardt’s formaldehyde for 2 days and after that stored in 70% ethanol. Just before the mechanical testing the bones were rinsed in PBS for 24 hours. The three-point bending test (span length 5.5 mm, loading speed 0.155 mm/sec) at the mid femur was made by the Instron universal testing machine (Instron 3366; Intron Corp., Norwood, MA, USA). Based on the recorded load deformation curves, the biomechanical parameters were acquired from raw files produced by Bluehill 2 software version 2.6 (Instron) with custom-made Excel macros.

Bone marrow cellularity and cells

Bone marrow cells were harvested by flushing 5 mL PBS through the bone cavities of one femur and one humerus, from each mouse, using a syringe. After centrifugation at 515 g for 5 minutes, pelleted cells were resuspended in Tris-buffered 0.83% NH4Cl solution (pH 7.29) for 5 minutes to lyse erythrocytes and then washed in PBS. Bone marrow cells were resuspended in RPMI culture medium (PAA Laboratories, Pasching, Austria) before use. The total number of leucocytes in bone marrow was calculated using an automated cell counter (Sysmex, Hamburg, Germany). For flow cytometry analyses, cells were stained with phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated antibodies to CD19 (Beckton-Dickinson, Biosciences, Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) for detection of B-lymphocytes. The cells were then subjected to fluorescence activated cell sorter analysis (FACS) on a FACSCalibur (Beckton-Dickinson, Biosciences, Pharmingen) and analyzed using FlowJo software. Results are expressed as cell frequency (%).

Statistical analysis

For statistical evaluation, Student’s t test was used when comparing the estrogen-treated mice with the placebo-treated mice, p values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. When comparing theRal-, Las-, and Bza-treated groups with the vehicle-treated group (three comparisons), Student’s t test with Bonferroni correction was used.

Results

The E2 response in trabecular bone requires ERαAF-1 and AF-2 whereas the E2 response in cortical bone requires ERαAF-2 but not AF-1

As expected, the serum testosterone levels in gonadal-intact (sham) male ERα−/− mice were elevated compared to sham WT controls (WT: 0.68 ± 0.27 ng/mL; ERα−/−: 5.26 ± 0.88 ng/mL; p < 0.01; Fig. 1A). These sham ERα−/− mice showed a normal trabecular bone volume/tissue volume (BV/TV; WT: 27.3% ± 2.1%; ERα−/−: 26.9% ± 1.4%; Fig. 1B), but a significantly decreased cortical thickness (WT: 0.228 ± 0.006 mm; ERα−/−: 0.191 ± 0.004 mm; p < 0.01; Fig. 1C).

Fig. 1. Elevated serum testosterone levels in male ERα−/− mice lead to compensatory effects in trabecular bone but not in cortical bone. Gonadal-intact (sham) male ERα−/− mice and their corresponding sham wild-type (WT) mice were evaluated. (A) Serum testosterone levels were measured. (B) Trabecular bone (ie, bone volume/tissue volume, BV/TV) in L5 vertebra was analyzed by μCT. (C) Cortical thickness (Crt. Thk) was analyzed by pQCT.
0.204 ± 0.003 mm; p < 0.01; Fig. 1C) compared to sham WT controls. This is consistent with previous results showing that compensatory mechanisms via the androgen receptor (AR) can maintain the trabecular bone mass, but not the cortical bone mass in male ERα−/− mice. To avoid compensatory effects from elevated serum testosterone acting via the AR when evaluating the effect of E2, ERα−/−, ERαAF−2, and ERαAF−1 mice were orx and treated with either placebo or E2.

DXA measurements showed that E2-treated orx WT mice had a normal estrogenic response on the total body aBMD (Fig. 2). E2 treatment did not increase total body aBMD in the orx ERα−/− or in the orx ERαAF-20 mice. However, E2 treatment led to a significantly increased total body aBMD in the orx ERαAF-1 mice, although the E2 response was of less magnitude compared to the E2 response in orx WT mice (Fig. 2).

Trabecular bone analyses of L5 vertebrae, using μCT, demonstrated a clear estrogenic response in trabecular bone in orx WT mice (Fig. 3). In contrast, there was no estrogenic response in orx ERα−/−, orx ERαAF-20, or orx ERαAF-10 mice. Cortical bone analyses of femur showed that E2 treatment increased the cortical thickness and vBMD in orx WT mice (Fig. 4A, B). The orx ERα−/− and orx ERαAF-20 mice showed no increase in cortical thickness or vBMD when treated with E2. In contrast, the orx ERαAF-10 mice demonstrated a marked estrogenic response on both the cortical thickness and vBMD (Fig. 4A, B). This suggests that the E2 response in trabecular bone requires both ERαAF-1 and AF-2, whereas the E2 response in cortical bone requires ERαAF-2 but not AF-1.

Three-point bending tests demonstrated that the stiffness and the maximal load increased by E2 treatment in the orx WT mice, but not in orx ERα−/− or in orx ERαAF-20 mice (Fig. 4C, D). Interestingly, the E2-treated orx ERαAF-10 mice showed an increase in both stiffness and maximal load at failure, although the increase was not as pronounced as in the orx WT controls (Fig. 4C, D). Thus, the effect of E2 on bone strength requires ERαAF-2 but not AF-1.

![Fig. 2. Role of ERαAF-1 and AF-2 for the effect of E2 on total body area bone mineral density (aBMD) in orchidectomized (orx) male mice. Total body aBMD analyzed by DXA in orx ERα−/−, ERαAF−10, and ERαAF−20 mice and their corresponding orx wild-type (WT) mice after placebo or estradiol (E2) treatment for 4 weeks. KO = knockout. * p < 0.05 versus placebo-treated orx mice, Student’s t test. Values are given as means ± SEM (n = 8–11).](image)

Role of ERαAF-1 is tissue-dependent

The immune system is known to be involved in the regulation of bone metabolism; therefore the role of ERαAF-1 and AF-2 for the E2 response in thymus and bone marrow was investigated. As expected, the thymus weight, bone marrow cellularity, and the frequency of B-lymphocytes in the bone marrow were decreased in E2-treated orx WT mice. No E2 response was seen for thymus weight or bone marrow parameters in the orx ERα−/− or orx ERαAF-20 mice (Table 1), showing that an intact ERαAF-2 is required for the effects of E2 on these parameters. Interestingly, the E2 response in the ERαAF-10 mice varied between the evaluated parameters. Similarly, as seen for trabecular bone parameters (BV/TV: 8.1% ± 7.5% and trabecular number: 4.7% ± 7.3% of E2 response in WT mice; Fig. 5, Table 1), no significant E2 response was seen on thymus weight/body weight (5.7% ± 9.1% of E2 response in WT mice; Fig. 5, Table 1), and similar to the E2 response in cortical thickness and vBMD (32% ± 5.9% and 45% ± 6.3% of E2 response in WT mice; Fig. 5) a clear E2 response was seen for the bone marrow cellularity (33% ± 6.3% of E2 response in WT mice; Fig. 5, Table 1) and frequency of B-lymphocytes (54% ± 9.6% of E2 response in WT mice; Fig. 5, Table 1). This suggests that ERαAF-2 is required for all E2 effects evaluated while the role of ERαAF-1 is tissue-dependent (Fig. 5).

The effects of SERMs are dependent on ERαAF-1

Orx WT mice were treated with three different SERMs (Ral, Las, or Bza) or veh to evaluate their effects on bone and other estrogenic target tissues. These SERMs increased the total body aBMD and the trabecular vBMD to a similar extent compared to veh (Fig. 6A, B). All three SERMs appeared to increase cortical bone parameters compared to vehicle. However, after the conservative Bonferroni correction (three comparisons), only Las increased cortical thickness significantly (Ral: +5.6%, p = 0.047; Las: +9.9%, p = 0.011; and Bza: +8.1%, p = 0.039; Fig. 6C,
Bonferroni correction requires \( p < 0.017 \) for significance) and only Bza increased bone strength significantly (maximal load at failure; Ral: \(+7.8\%\), \( p = 0.285 \); Las: \(+11.3\%\), \( p = 0.092 \); and Bza: \(+16.2\%\), \( p = 0.006 \); Fig. 6D). None of the SERMs reduced the thymus weight/body weight (veh: \(2.6 \pm 0.5\) mg/g; Ral: \(2.5 \pm 0.1\) mg/g; Las: \(2.5 \pm 0.1\) mg/g; Bza: \(2.6 \pm 0.1\) mg/g).

Our finding, that the role of ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 for the effect of E2 is tissue-dependent in male mice, together with the fact that the effects of SERMs in vitro have been suggested to be mediated mainly via the ER\(\alpha\)AF-1, led us to evaluate if the ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 is required for different SERMs to exert their effects in vivo. Therefore, orx ER\(\alpha\)AF-1\(^{−/−}\) mice were treated with Ral, Las, or Bza, or veh. None of the treatments; Ral, Las, or Bza, increased total body aBMD, trabecular bone, cortical bone, or bone strength in the orx ER\(\alpha\)AF-1\(^{−/−}\) mice (Fig. 6A–D). Therefore, the effects of these three SERMs are dependent on a functional ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 in male mice.

**Discussion**

Estrogens are crucial for male bone health. When serum E2 levels are below a certain threshold in males, there are indications that SERM treatment could have positive effects on bone without having feminizing effects.\(^{1–4,32,33}\) Hence, it is of importance to further characterize the signaling pathways of estrogen and SERMs in male bone, and other estrogenic target tissues, in order to facilitate the development of new bone-specific treatment strategies for male osteoporosis. Because the bone-sparing effects of estrogen in both men and male mice are primarily mediated via ER\(\alpha\),\(^{4,7}\) we have evaluated the roles of ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 and ER\(\alpha\)AF-2 in male mice by using mouse models with specific deletions of AF-1 or AF-2 in ER\(\alpha\). These specific deletions leave all other domains of ER\(\alpha\) intact, which ensures that ligand can bind to the receptor and that the receptor can bind to the DNA.\(^{19,36,40–42}\) Therefore, the phenotypes of the ER\(\alpha\)AF-1\(^{−/−}\) and ER\(\alpha\)AF-2\(^{−/−}\) mice are due to the lack of the specific AF and not due to inability of the ER\(\alpha\)AF-1\(^{−/−}\) and ER\(\alpha\)AF-2\(^{−/−}\) proteins to bind the DNA or the ligand. Our main findings in this study are that the estrogenic effects on all evaluated parameters are dependent on ER\(\alpha\)AF-2, whereas the role of ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 for the estrogenic effects is tissue-specific, where the trabecular bone is dependent on ER\(\alpha\)AF-1 but the cortical bone and bone strength do not require ER\(\alpha\)AF-1. In contrast, all effects of the three evaluated SERMs require an intact ER\(\alpha\)AF-1.

Sex steroids are important for skeletal growth and maintenance in both the female and male skeleton. The effects of


Table 1. The Effect of Estradiol on Thymus Weight, Trabecular Number, and Bone Marrow in Orx ERα−/−, ERα AF-20, and ERα AF-10 Male Mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ERα−/− WT</th>
<th>ERα−/− KO</th>
<th>ERα AF-20 WT</th>
<th>ERα AF-20 KO</th>
<th>ERα AF-10 WT</th>
<th>ERα AF-10 KO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thymus weight/BW (mg/g)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trabecular number (1/mm)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow (BM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM cellularity, 1 × 10⁶</td>
<td>22.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.7</td>
<td>21.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>20.4 ± 1.5**</td>
<td>23.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>14.4 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency CD19+ in BM (%)</td>
<td>30.7 ± 3.7</td>
<td>18.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>21.7 ± 3.3</td>
<td>24.0 ± 2.3**</td>
<td>22.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>17.6 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are given as means ± SEM (n = 8–11).

WT = wild type; KO = knockout; Placebo = orchidectomized (orx) mice treated with placebo; E2 = orx mice treated with estradiol; BW = body weight; BM = bone marrow.

*p < 0.05 versus Placebo. **p < 0.05 E2 effect in KO versus E2 effect in WT.

The immune system is known to be involved in the regulation of bone marrow cellularity and thymus weight, whereas there is no E2 response on these parameters in the orx ERα−/− or in the orx ERα AF-20 mice. The orx ERα−/− mice displayed an increase in bone marrow cellularity and on the thymus weight. This demonstrates that the E2 response on the thymus weight is dependent on both ERα and AF-20. The orx ERα AF-20 mice had a normal estrogenic response on bone marrow cellularity and thymus weight, whereas there was no E2 response on these parameters in the orx ERα−/− mice. Therefore, ERα AF-1 is not required for an estrogenic effect on bone strength.

The immune system is known to be involved in the regulation of bone marrow cellularity and thymus weight, whereas there is no E2 response on these parameters in the orx ERα−/− or in the orx ERα AF-20 mice. Therefore, ERα AF-1 is not required for an estrogenic effect on bone strength.
same study and evaluated their effects on several bone parameters and thymus weight. These three SERMs increased total body aBMD and trabecular bone mass in orx WT mice to a similar extent. Las-treated orx WT mice showed a significant increase in cortical thickness, whereas Ral- and Bza-treated mice had a tendency toward an increase. Bza-treated orx WT mice displayed significantly increased bone strength as analyzed by three-point bending, and there was a tendency toward an increase in the Ral- and Las-treated mice. Our results indicate that Las and Bza treatment increase cortical bone parameters more than Ral treatment. This is consistent with the fact that Las and Bza, but not Ral, have been shown to have significant effects on nonvertebral fractures (27, 29–31).

Our study demonstrates that the estrogenic effects of ERα AF-1 are tissue-dependent in male mice, whereas the estrogenic effects of ERα AF-2 are crucial for all evaluated parameters. In addition, the three SERMs; Ral, Las, and Bza exert tissue-specific effects in orx WT mice. Taken together, these results led us to further evaluate the importance of ERα AF-1 for different SERMs to exert their effects in vivo, by evaluating the effects of Ral, Las, and Bza in orx ERα AF-10 mice. Our results show that there are no agonistic effects of the SERMs when ERα AF-1 is deleted. This finding further strengthens the theory that it is the ERα AF-1 that mainly mediates the tissue-specific effects of the SERMs, and that the SERM interactions with ERβ cannot replace ERα in the evaluated tissues in these male mice. When E2 binds to the ligand binding domain of ERα, helix 12 is folded in the agonistic orientation. This enables helices 3, 4, 5, and 12 to form the ERα AF-2 hydrophobic patch to which coactivators can bind, where the most important interaction site is found in helix 12.

Fig. 5. The role of ERα AF-1 is tissue-dependent. Orchidectomized (orx) ERα AF-10 mice and their corresponding orx wild-type (WT) mice were treated with placebo or estradiol (E2) for 4 weeks. As expected, E2 treatment resulted in a significant effect on several estrogen-responsive bone parameters (increased total body areal bone mineral density [aBMD], cortical thickness, cortical volumetric BMD [vBMD], trabecular bone volume/tissue volume [BV/TV], trabecular number [N]), bone marrow parameters (reduced bone marrow [BM] cellularity and frequency of B-lymphocytes), and non-bone parameters (reduced thymus weight) in orx WT mice. To illustrate the role of ERα AF-1 for the effect of E2 on these parameters, the estrogenic response in E2-treated orx WT mice, for each parameter, is set to 100%. The bars represent the estrogenic response in percent for the E2-treated orx ERα AF-10 mice compared with the E2 response in orx WT mice. Thus, 0% means no E2 response whereas 100% is a normal WT E2 response. The results show that whereas some parameters are dependent on a functional ERα AF-1, many do not require ERα AF-1 for an estrogenic response. Values are means ± SEM (n = 8–11).

Fig. 6. Tissue-specific effects of selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs) in wild-type (WT) mice are dependent on ERα AF-1. Orchidectomized (orx) WT and ERα AF-10 mice were treated with vehicle (Veh), Raloxifene (Ral), Lasofoxifene (Las), or Bazedoxifene (Bza) for 3 weeks. (A) Total body areal bone mineral density (aBMD) was analyzed by DXA. Trabecular volumetric BMD (Trab. vBMD) (B) and cortical thickness (Crt. Thk.) (C) were analyzed by pQCT. (D) Maximal load at failure (Max. Load) was analyzed with a three-point bending test. *p < 0.05 versus corresponding Veh-treated orx mice, Student’s t test Bonferroni corrected. Values are means ± SEM (n = 6–10).
However, when a SERM binds to the ERα, helix 12 in the ligand binding domain is prevented from folding in the agonistic orientation and the ERαAF-2 hydrophobic patch is not formed correctly. Instead, helix 12 is able to bind to the static region of AF-2; formed by residues from helices 3, 4, and 5. This leads to a limitation and/or loss of interaction between ERαAF-2 and its corepressors and coactivators. Consequently, when ERαAF-1 is deleted, the interaction of coactivators with any of the two AFs in ERα, after SERM activation, is greatly affected and little or no regulation of ERα-dependent gene transcription will occur in the evaluated tissues. Because there was no response in ERαAF-10 mice, on bone parameters or thymus weight, after SERM treatment, we conclude that the ERαAF-1 probably is the most important mediator for the effects of the presently evaluated SERMs, but it is possible that some other region/regions of ERα are also involved. The lack of E2 response in the ERαAF-20 mice suggests that ERαAF-1 is not able to activate the ERαAF-20 protein. The ERαAF-20 protein lacks the most important coactivator interaction site in the ERαAF-2 hydrophobic patch, found in helix 12. This suggests that it is not possible for corepressors to bind to the ERαAF-20 protein, whereas corepressors are still able to interact with the static region of AF-2. Therefore, corepressor binding to the ERαAF-20 protein could prevent the ERαAF-1 from activating ERα, thereby inhibiting estrogenic responses in the E2 treated ERαAF-20 mice. In contrast, when a SERM binds to the full length ERα the binding of helix 12 to the static region of AF-2 prevents corepressor interaction.

In conclusion, ERαAF-2 is required for all the evaluated estrogenic effects in orx male mice, whereas the role of AF-1 is tissue-specific, where the trabecular bone is dependent on ERαAF-1 but the cortical bone and bone strength do not require ERαAF-1. In addition, the tissue-specific effects of Ral, Las, and Bza are dependent on ERαAF-1. These results further clarify the signaling pathways of E2 and SERMs via different domains of ERα in male mice, which suggest that it would be beneficial to develop a new class of SERMs that, in contrast to the SERMs presently available, would not activate the ERαAF-1. This SERM would have positive effects on the cortical bone and bone strength, while minimizing the adverse effects in other tissues. Importantly, cortical bone comprises more than 80% of the skeleton and is likely the major contributor to overall fracture risk. To screen for such new SERMs, the ERαAF-10 mice will be a valuable model for evaluating the effects of the SERMs in vivo. The results from this study could facilitate the design of novel, bone-specific SERMs for male osteoporosis.
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