Regularized subspace Gaussian mixture models for cross-lingual speech recognition

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1109/ASRU.2011.6163959

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Early version, also known as pre-print

Published in:
Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding (ASRU), 2011 IEEE Workshop on

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Regularized Subspace Gaussian Mixture Models for Cross-lingual Speech Recognition

Liang Lu¹, Arnab Ghoshal², and Steve Renals¹

¹Centre for Speech Technology Research, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH8 9AB, UK
{s.renals, liang.lu}@ed.ac.uk
²Saarland University, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany
aghoshal@lsv.uni-saarland.de

Abstract—We investigate cross-lingual acoustic modelling for low resource languages using the subspace Gaussian mixture model (SGMM). We assume the presence of acoustic models trained on multiple source languages, and use the global subspace parameters from those models for improved modelling in a target language with limited amounts of transcribed speech. Experiments on the GlobalPhone corpus using Spanish, Portuguese, and Swedish as source languages and German as target language (with 1 hour and 5 hours of transcribed audio) show that multilingually trained SGMM shared parameters result in lower word error rates (WERs) than using those from a single language (with 1 hour and 5 hours of transcribed audio) show that multilingually trained SGMM shared parameters result in lower word error rates (WERs) than using those from a single source language. We also show that regularizing the estimation of the SGMM state vectors by penalizing their \( \ell_1 \)-norm help to overcome numerical instabilities and lead to lower WER.

I. INTRODUCTION

Large vocabulary continuous speech recognition systems rely on the availability of substantial resources including transcribed speech for acoustic model estimation, text for language model estimation, and a pronunciation dictionary. Building a speech recognition system from scratch for a new language thus requires considerable investment in such resources. Cross-lingual acoustic modelling has the aim of significantly reducing the amount of acoustic training data for a new target language, by leveraging on existing acoustic models for other, source, languages. However, owing to differences such as different sets of subword units, this is not a straightforward task. There have been three main approaches to cross-lingual acoustic modelling: the use of global phone sets, cross-lingual phone/acoustic mapping, and cross-lingual tandem features.

Schultz and co-researchers [1], [2], [3], [4] have investigated the construction of language-independent speech recognition systems by pooling together all the phoneme units, as well as the acoustic training data, from a set of monolingual systems. The resultant multilingual acoustic model may be used to perform transcription directly, or may serve as a seed model to be bootstrapped or adapted to the target language [1], [3]. More recently, this approach has been extended to include confidence scoring for cross-language bootstrapping and unsupervised training [5], [6].

Rather than constructing a global phone set, the mismatch of phone units between source and target languages may be addressed by a direct cross-lingual mapping between phones or between acoustic models. Both knowledge-based [7], [8] and data-driven [9], [10] approaches have been investigated. Given a cross-lingual mapping, either the target language acoustic model is derived from the source language model, or the transcription of target language speech is performed using the mapped source language acoustic model [10].

T tandem features, based on phone posterior probability estimates, were originally proposed to improve monolingual speech recognition [11], but they have also proven effective in the cross-lingual setting. In this approach, multi-layer perceptrons (MLPs) trained using source language acoustic data of source language, are used to generate the MLP phone posterior features for the target language [12], [13], [14], [15]. As tandem acoustic features are not directly dependent on the lexicon, this approach is simple to apply. In addition, the training data of the target language can also be used to adapt the MLPs to fit the target system better [14].

In this paper, we investigate a new approach for cross-lingual acoustic modelling, based on the framework of the subspace Gaussian mixture model (SGMM) [16]. In SGMMs, the hidden Markov model (HMM) parameters are inferred subject to a globally shared model subspace which captures the principal model variations, as opposed to conventional direct estimation. Phonetic and speaker variabilities, which are key factors affecting recognition accuracy, can be modelled using separate model subspaces in SGMMs [16]. As the model subspace is independent of the HMM architecture, it may be shown that the phonetic model subspace can be shared across languages and can be trained using multilingual acoustic data. This model subspace may be used to estimate models for a new language with limited training data [17].

We have further developed these ideas, comparing the performance of model subspaces estimated from the source language in both monolingual and multi-lingual settings, and using a regularized SGMM estimation approach [18] to address numerical instabilities and possible overfitting that arise in the case of highly limited training data. We have performed experiments using the GlobalPhone corpus [4], using German as target language and Spanish, Portuguese and Swedish as source languages. We examined two evaluation conditions with 1 hour and 5 hours of target language training data respectively. We observed considerable reductions in word error rate (WER) when using a multilingual subspace, and achieved further WER reductions using \( \ell_1 \)-norm regularization.
II. SGMM ACOUSTIC MODELS

SGMM acoustic models are similar to conventional Gaussian mixture model (GMM) systems, in that the output pdf of each HMM state is a GMM. The principal difference is that the Gaussian means and the mixture component weights are derived from the phonetic and speaker subspaces, in order to capture the corresponding variability together with the weight projection [16]. In addition, the covariance matrices (which are normally full rather than diagonal) are shared between all the HMM states. The model may be expressed formally as:

\[
p(o_t | j, s) = \sum_{m=1}^{M_j} C_{jm} \sum_{i=1}^{I} w_{jmi} N(o_t | \mu_{jmi}^{(s)}, \Sigma_i) \tag{1}
\]

\[
\hat{\mu}_{jmi} = M_i v_{jm} + N_i v^{(s)} \tag{2}
\]

\[
w_{jmi} = \exp w_{jm}^T v_{jm} / \sum_{i=1}^{I} \exp w_{jm}^T v_{jm} \tag{3}
\]

where \( o_t \in \mathbb{R}^F \) denotes the \( t \)-th \( F \)-dimensional acoustic frame, \( j \) is the HMM state index, \( m \) is a sub-state [16], \( I \) is the number of Gaussians, and \( \Sigma_i \) is the \( i \)-th covariance matrix. \( v_{jm} \in \mathbb{R}^S \) is referred to as the sub-state vector, and \( S \) denotes the subspace dimension. The matrices \( M_i \) and the vectors \( w_i \) span the model subspaces for Gaussian means and weights respectively, and are used to derive the GMM parameters given sub-state vectors (equations (2) and (3)). Similarly, \( N_i \) defines the speaker subspace for Gaussian means, and \( v^{(s)} \in \mathbb{R}^T \) is referred as the speaker vector where \( T \) denotes the dimension of the speaker subspace. However, in this paper, we do not perform speaker adaptive training using the speaker subspace.

A. Regularized State Vector Estimation

Maximum likelihood parameter estimation for SGMMs is discussed by Povey et al. [16], in which the sub-state vector \( \hat{v} \) is estimated by maximizing the following objective function:

\[
\hat{v} = \arg \max_{v} -\frac{1}{2} v^T H v + v^T y, \tag{4}
\]

where \( y \) is an \( S \)-dimensional vector and \( H \) is an \( S \times S \) matrix, representing the first- and second-order statistics respectively. While the solution for non-singular \( H \) is \( \hat{v} = H^{-1} y \), Povey et al. [16] presented a more practical approach to cover the general case in which \( H \) may be singular.

In the case of limited acoustic training data, the system of equations for estimating the sub-state vectors may be underdetermined or ill-conditioned. Moreover, maximum likelihood estimation can lead to model overfitting. In our previous work, we addressed these by regularizing the objective function [18]:

\[
\hat{v} = \arg \max_{v} -\frac{1}{2} v^T H v + v^T y - J_A(v), \tag{5}
\]

where \( J_A(v) \) denotes a regularization function parametrized by \( A \). From a Bayesian perspective, \( J_A(v) \) may be interpreted as a negative log-prior for the sub-state vector, in which case equation (5) may be viewed as a maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate. Regularization functions investigated include the \( \ell_1 \)-norm and the \( \ell_2 \)-norm penalties, corresponding to Laplace and Gaussian priors respectively, as well as their combination, referred to as elastic net regularization. Our previous experiments indicated that \( \ell_1 \)-norm regularization offers slightly better performance in terms of both recognition accuracy and model robustness [18]. Hence, in this paper, we have concentrated on \( \ell_1 \)-norm regularization.

B. Modified Regularization

Regularizing all coefficients in the sub-state vectors (5), forces the sub-state vector to shrink towards zero, corresponding to a prior on the Gaussian means centered at the origin. This is clearly demonstrated in Figure 6, where hundreds of sub-state vectors are shrunk to zero for systems with a larger number of sub-states. Intuitively, we would prefer a prior that fits the general distribution of the data. To achieve this, we modify the regularization such that the Gaussian means shrink towards a universal background model (UBM). This is done by setting the first coefficient of sub-state vector \( v \) to be 1, which also forces the first column of phonetic subspace matrices \( M_i \) to be the UBM means during model update. The regularization penalty is applied to the remaining sub-state coefficients. For \( \ell_1 \)-norm regularization, the objective function becomes:

\[
\dot{v} = \arg \max_{v} -\frac{1}{2} v^T H v + v^T y - \lambda \|v\|_{\ell_1}, \tag{6}
\]

s.t. \( \lambda \geq 0, \ v[1] = 1. \)

where we are fixing the first coefficient of \( v \) to be exactly one. If we adopt the following expressions:

\[
\dot{v} = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \dot{v}^* \end{array} \right], \ v = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ v^* \end{array} \right], \ y = \left[ \begin{array}{c} a \\ y^* \end{array} \right], \ H = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} b & h^T \\ h & H^* \end{array} \right],
\]

then equation (6) is equivalent to

\[
\dot{v}^* = \arg \max_{v^*} -\frac{1}{2} v^{*T} H^* v^* + v^{*T} (y^* - h) - \lambda \|v^*\|_{\ell_1},
\]

s.t. \( \lambda \geq 0. \tag{7}
\]

This modified regularization, does not involve any change in accumulating the statistics of \( H \) and \( y \), and the code for the original regularization [18] can be reused.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We have performed a set of speech recognition experiments using the GlobalPhone corpus [4], with German as the target language. Our main experiments have investigated low-resource cases, in which we have used one hour and five hours of target language acoustic training data. Before presenting these cross-lingual experiments, we give a brief description of the corpus and system configuration for our experiments, and give results for the baseline monolingual systems, trained on the complete data sets.

A. GlobalPhone Corpus

The GlobalPhone corpus [4] contains up to 20 languages including English, Arabic, Chinese and a number of European languages, and consists of recordings of a range of speakers reading newspapers in their native language. There are about
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100 speakers for each language, and recordings were made under a range of ‘quiet’ conditions, resulting in about 15–20 hours of high quality speech for each language. However, since the recording locations vary, acoustic conditions also vary both within and between each language. Hence, corpus mismatch may degrade the performance of cross-lingual systems.

In these experiments, German (GE) was used as the target language, and Spanish (SP), Portuguese (PT), and Swedish (SW) as the source languages. Table I describes the data for each language used in the experiments in terms of the number of phonemes and speakers, the size of lexicon, and the amount of training and development data.

Our baseline monolingual systems, described below, used the complete training sets for each language. In the cross-lingual experiments (section IV), we used the full training sets for the source languages, but limited training sets (1 hour and 5 hours) for the target language.

### B. Baseline Monolingual Systems

We constructed GMM-based baseline systems for each of the four languages, based on the system of Lal [15]. We used 12th order mel-frequency cepstral coefficients, plus energy, with first and second derivatives, to give a 39-dimensional acoustic feature vector. We applied cepstral mean and variance normalization, and used HTK\(^1\) to build the acoustic models. For the German GMM baseline, we used 3125 triphone states, and 16 mixture components.

The baseline monolingual SGMM systems used the same acoustic feature vectors and the same context dependent phone clustering as the corresponding baseline GMM system. We set the number of Gaussians \(I = 400\), and the sub-state vector dimension \(S = 40\). The open source Kaldi software\(^2\) was used for the SGMM systems in this paper. Table II gives the baseline monolingual WERs for each of the four languages. We used trigram language models for all experiments, except the baseline monolingual systems for Spanish and Portuguese.

\(^1\)http://htk.eng.cam.ac.uk
\(^2\)http://kaldi.sourceforge.net/

### IV. CROSS-LINGUAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section we present results of the cross-lingual acoustic modelling experiments, in cases where we have limited target language resources. Our experiments use German as the target language and we have investigated two levels of limited acoustic training data, 1 hour and 5 hours, in order to provide an estimate of the amount of transcribed speech needed for an acceptable recognition accuracy. These training data subsets were randomly selected from the complete German training set, from which we selected 7–8 minutes of recorded speech from each of 8 and 40 speakers for the 1 hour and 5 hour systems respectively. The globally-shared SGMM parameters for these cross-lingual systems were obtained from monolingual source language systems, or from the multilingually-trained SGMM using all the source languages.

#### A. Cross-lingual Experiments: 1 Hour Training Data

We trained baseline monolingual systems and cross-lingual systems using 1 hour of target language (GE) data. The GMM baseline system had 620 triphone states, each of which was modelled using 4-component GMMs. The baseline and the cross-lingual SGMM systems used the same context-dependent phonetic clustering as the GMM system, and the dimension of sub-state vector is set to be \(S = 20\) for all the SGMM systems. In the baseline SGMM systems, all the parameters in equations (1–3) were updated: the sub-state vectors \(v_{jm}\) and the globally shared parameters \(M_i\), \(w_i\), and \(\Sigma_i\). In the cross-lingual systems, only the sub-state vectors \(v_{jm}\) were re-estimated, with the globally shared parameters taken from the source language or multilingual systems. As discussed earlier, the subspace parameters were renormalized and we only used a 20-dimension subspace for the cross-lingual system without retraining these parameters.
In contrast to Burget et al. [17], the number of tied states was fixed rather than being increased (from 500 (GMM) to 1000 (SGMM) to 1500 (multilingual SGMM), in their experiments on CallHome). This clearly demonstrates that the improvements are due to better estimation of the parameters, and not because the SGMM systems allows for estimation of a larger number of context-dependent models for the same amount of data. We expect that the results reported here will improve further by increasing the number of tied states.

The WERs for the monolingual GMM and SGMM systems trained on 1 hour of data were 41.2% and 38.0% respectively, a significant increase in WER compared with the case when they were trained with the complete 14.8 hour training data set. The SGMM system again has a considerably lower WER than the GMM system, as was observed by Burget et al. [17]. The performance of the cross-lingual systems is shown in Figure 1 with the globally shared parameters obtained from each of the source language systems, as well as the tied multilingual system. The results indicate that the system with multilingually trained subspace parameters results in considerably lower WERs compared with the other cross-lingual systems derived from a single source language, as well as compared with the SGMM baseline. We may also observe that the cross-lingual system with Spanish subspace (denoted as “w/SP”) results in a higher WER compared with the other cross-lingual systems. In addition to factors such as linguistic differences and corpus mismatch, this difference may also be due to the larger model subspace in the Spanish system (Table III) which may make it harder for the data to saturate the model.

While training a model with 40-dimensional sub-state vectors (i.e. $S = 40$) we faced numerical instabilities. This is shown in Figure 2, where the condition number of the covariance matrix of the sub-state vectors start increasing rapidly and the estimation failed, leading to a decrease in the log-likelihood. Other measures like the determinant and trace of the covariance matrix of $v_{jm}$ as well as the maximum and minimum elements of the vectors show similar trends. The precise reason for this instability is currently under investigation.

However, using a regularized estimation of the 40-dimensional sub-state vectors, with a relatively strong penalty on their $\ell_1$-norm, we could not only train the systems but also observe significantly lower WERs for all cross-lingual systems (Figure 3). Applying $\ell_1$-norm regularization to the 20-dimension cross-lingual SGMM systems results in slightly higher WERs, even with a relatively weak regularization penalty. This is probably due to the relative simplicity of the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>w/SW</th>
<th>w/SP</th>
<th>w/PT</th>
<th>w/Mul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.006</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>1.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance ($\times 10^{-3}$)</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>17.53</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>WER(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GMM baseline</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGMM baseline, $S=20$</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGMM baseline, $S=40$</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORDER TO ACHIEVE MODEL ROBUSTNESS. IN ADDITION, IT ALSO HAS THE EFFECT OF DRIVING SOME COEFFICIENTS TO ZERO, THUS LEADING TO A KIND OF VARIABLE SELECTION, WHERE THE MOST RELEVANT BASES FROM $M_i$ AND $w_i$ GET USED. IN FIGURE 6 WE CAN SEE THE PROPORTION OF PARAMETERS SET TO ZERO BY THE $\ell_1$-REGULARIZATION. NOT SURPRISINGLY, WITH SUB-STATE SPLITTING, THE SUB-STATE VECTORS ARE DRIVEN TO BE INCREASINGLY SPARSE AS THE AMOUNT OF ACOUSTIC FRAMES ALIGNED TO EACH SUB-STATE DECREASE ACCORDINGLY.

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper we have investigated the use of SGMMs for cross-lingual speech recognition when the target language has limited acoustic training data. Following experiments using one and five hours of target language acoustic training data, we are able to draw four principal conclusions:

1) The SGMM-based systems are consistently better than the GMM-based systems, in terms of WER.

2) Cross-lingual SGMM systems with global subspace parameters estimated from tied multilingual systems result in lower WERs compared to systems with 20-dimensional subspace model.

3) Once again, it was not possible to train a system with $S=40$ without regularization.

4) A small improvement in WER was observed using the modified regularization approach, from 26.8% (original) to 26.6% (modified).
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subspace parameters estimated from individual source language systems.

3) Cross-lingual SGMM systems with a multilingual subspace result in lower WERs than monolingual SGMM systems built from scratch with the same data.

4) Regularising cross-lingual SGMM systems (using the $\ell_1$-norm) enables a higher dimension sub-state vector to be used, resulting in a reduced WER.

In this work, the out-of-domain subspace parameters are fixed in all the cross-lingual systems. In future work, we would like to investigate the adaptation of those parameters using the target language acoustic training data. In particular, we are interested in the MAP adaptation of the phonetic subspace matrix $M_i$ where the cross-lingual and multilingual subspace will serve as priors in the model estimation.