A General Factor of Brain White Matter Integrity Predicts Information Processing Speed in Healthy Older People
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Human white matter integrity has been related to information processing speed, but it is unknown whether impaired integrity results from localized processes or is a general property shared across white matter tracts. Based on diffusion MRI scans of 132 healthy individuals with a narrow age range around 72 years, the integrity of eight major white matter tracts was quantified using probabilistic neighborhood tractography. Principal component analyses (PCAs) were conducted on the correlations between the eight tracts, separately for four tract-averaged integrity parameters: fractional anisotropy, mean diffusivity, and radial and axial diffusivity. For all four parameters, the PCAs revealed a single general factor explaining ~45% of the individual differences across all eight tracts. Individuals’ scores on a general factor that captures the common variance in white matter integrity had significant associations with a general factor of information processing speed for fractional anisotropy ($r = -0.24, p = 0.007$) and radial diffusivity ($r = 0.21, p = 0.016$), but not with general intelligence or memory factors. Individual tracts showed no associations beyond what the common integrity factor explained. Just as different types of cognitive ability tests share much of their variance, these novel findings show that a substantial amount of variance in white matter integrity is shared between different tracts. Therefore, impaired cortical connection is substantially a global process affecting various major tracts simultaneously. Further studies should investigate whether these findings relate more to the role of tract integrity and information processing speed in nonpathological cognitive aging or in lifelong-stable processes.

Introduction

Fast and efficient information processing between different brain areas is a prerequisite of higher cognitive abilities. White matter pathways connecting brain networks provide a foundation for such abilities (Jung and Haier, 2007; Deary et al., 2010). The integrity of individual brain white matter pathways can be quantified using probabilistic tractography based on diffusion MRI.
ficiencies, like shared white matter integrity differences across tracts, underlie some of the differences in higher cognitive functioning.

White matter integrity and speed of information processing are especially prone to aging effects, and thus it has been proposed that age-related cognitive decline may occur as a result of cortical disconnection (O’ Sullivan et al., 2001; Madden et al., 2009a). Age-related decline in speed of information processing has been identified as an important variable that pre-dates general age-related cognitive decline (Saltz and Ferrer-Caja, 2003; Finkel et al., 2007) and is probably less affected by compensatory neurocognitive processes (Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009).

Here, we hypothesize that different white matter tracts share a substantial amount of integrity across the aging brain, i.e., older individuals who have high integrity in one tract will tend to have higher integrity in all others. Furthermore, we hypothesize that this shared variance in white matter tract integrity across tracts, if it exists, should be associated with faster information processing speed.

Materials and Methods

Subjects. The participants were 132 generally healthy, older individuals (68 men, 64 women, mean age = 71.8 years, SD = 4.9, range 70.0 –72.8) from the Lothian Birth Cohort 1936 (Deary et al., 2007). All were right handed, Caucasian, living independently in the community, and without signs of dementia or mild cognitive impairment, based on self-reports and Mini-Mental State Examination scores above 23 (Folstein et al., 1975). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants under protocols approved by the National Health Service ethics committees (MREC and LREC).

Neuroimaging. Subjects underwent diffusion MRI on a GE Signa HDX 1.5T MRI clinical scanner using a self-shielding gradient set with maximum gradient strength of 33 mT · m⁻¹, and eight-channel head array coil. Echo-planar diffusion-weighted images (b = 1000 s · mm⁻²) were acquired in 64 noncollinear directions, along with seven T2-weighted images (b = 0 s · mm⁻²). Seventy-two contiguous axial 2-mm-thick slices were acquired at 2 × 2 × 2 mm resolution. Repetition time was 16.3 s and echo time 95.5 ms.

Datasets were preprocessed using FSL tools (FMRIB; http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/) to extract the brain, remove bulk subject motion- and eddy current-induced artifacts, and estimate water diffusion tensor parameters (Basser et al., 1994). The BEDPOST/ProbTrack tractography algorithm (Behrens et al., 2007) with a two-fiber model and 5000 streamlines was used to reconstruct tracts of interest. An automatic tract selection method with good reproducibility (Clayden et al., 2009a), based on a model of tract topology (Clayden et al., 2007; Bastin et al., 2008), was used to generate equivalent tracts of interest in each subject. This technique, termed probabilistic neighborhood tractography, optimizes the choice of seed point for tractography by estimating the best matching tract from a series of candidates against a reference tract derived from a digital human white matter atlas (Hua et al., 2008), as described by Muñoz Maniega et al. (2008). The topological tract model was also used to reject false-positive connections (Clayden et al., 2009b). Eight white matter pathways in the brain thought to be related to cognitive functioning were segmented: genu and splenium of the corpus callosum and frontal white matter connections bilaterally (cingulum bundles, uncinate fasciculus, and arcuate fasciculus, with the latter mostly covering the so-called “Geschwind area”). Using the eigenvalues (A₁, A₂, A₃) of the apparent water diffusion tensor, tract-averaged values of fractional anisotropy (FA), mean diffusivity (D), and the axial (Aₓ = A₁) and radial (Aᵣ = (A₂ + A₃)/2) diffusivities were calculated for all eight tracts in each subject. The component diffusivities, Aₓ and Aᵣ, represent water diffusion parallel and perpendicular to the axonal fibers and may help differentiate impaired myelination from axonal injury (Bastin et al., 2009; Madden et al., 2009a), although care should be taken interpreting them in terms of underlying tissue structure (Wheeler-Kingshott and Cercignani, 2009).

Cognitive testing. Information processing speed was assessed with three well established tasks. Reaction times were assessed using a stand-alone device (Deary et al., 2001). Simple reaction time (averaged across 20 trials) required pressing a button as fast as possible when a “0” was displayed on an LCD screen. Four-choice reaction time (averaged across correct responses in 40 trials) required pressing the correct button out of four as fast as possible when a number from 1 to 4 was displayed on an LCD screen. Inspection time (correct responses across 150 trials, stimulus exposure time = 6–200 ms) is a two-alternative, forced-choice, backward-masked visual discrimination task that requires indicating, without response time pressure, which of two parallel, vertical lines of markedly different lengths was longer (Deary et al., 2007). Performance scores on all three tasks were submitted to principal component analysis (PCA), which yielded a strong common factor, explaining 57.2% of the variance (for factor loadings, see Fig. 1A). Higher values on this factor indicate slower information processing speed.

Intelligence was assessed by six subtests from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III UK: Symbol Search, Digit Symbol, Matrix Reasoning, Letter-Number Sequencing, Digit Span Backwards, and Block Design (Wechsler, 1998a). The first unrotated principal component (explained variance = 48.9%) was extracted from these six subtests and interpreted as a general intelligence factor (Jensen, 1998).

Finally, memory was assessed by six subtests of the Wechsler Memory Scale III UK: Logical Memory immediate and delayed recall, Spatial Span forward and backward, and Verbal Paired Associates I (first recall) and II (Wechsler, 1998b). A general memory factor was extracted as the first unrotated principal component (explained variance = 36.82%).

Results

We ran four separate PCAs on all eight white matter tracts, one for each indicator of their integrity (as is customary, the principal components will be referred to as factors throughout). In every case the results indicated a clear one-factor solution (Fig. 1), with...
Table 1. Tract loadings, explained variance, and mean between-tract Pearson correlations for the general white matter integrity factors based on four different water diffusion parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tract</th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Aax</th>
<th>Arad</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left arcuate fasciculus</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arcuate fasciculus</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left cingulum bundle</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cingulum bundle</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left uncinate fasciculus</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right uncinate fasciculus</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu corpus callosum</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenium corpus callosum</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explained variance 40.28% 46.98% 49.18% 43.38%

Mean between-tract r 0.31 0.36 0.39 0.33

the first unrotated factor explaining between 40.3% (FA) and 49.2% (Aax) of the variance (Table 1). All eight tracts showed substantial positive loadings on each of these four factors. The splenium of the corpus callosum consistently showed the lowest loadings (Table 1). Factor structures (i.e., the pattern of factor loadings of the eight tracts on the first unrotated principal components) were almost identical for the four different water diffusion parameters (congruence coefficient $\phi = 0.986–0.999$) (see also Table 1) and for men and women ($\phi = 0.966–0.991$). Thus, almost half of the integrity variance is shared between major white matter tracts; there is a general factor of white matter integrity.

After controlling for gender and age in days at testing, the general processing speed factor correlated significantly with the common FA factor ($r = -0.24, p = 0.007$) (Fig. 2) and showed a nonsignificant trend with the common $D$ factor ($r = 0.16, p = 0.06$). The nature of the latter correlation was clarified by the factors based on the directional diffusivity subcomponents: the common $A_{rad}$ factor correlated significantly with the processing speed factor ($r = 0.21, p = 0.016$), whereas the common $A_{ax}$ factor did not ($r = 0.05, p = 0.55$), a difference in correlation size that is statistically significant [$t(129) = 2.214, p$(two-tailed) = 0.029]. The three individual tasks that comprise the general processing speed factor showed a very similar pattern of results, though the correlation between inspection time and the common FA factor showed only a trend ($r = 0.15, p = 0.094$), and only four-choice reaction time was significantly correlated with the common $A_{rad}$ factor ($r = 0.19, p = 0.031$) (Table 2). Neither the general intelligence factor nor the general memory factor were significantly correlated with any of the four tract integrity factors ($p$ values $>0.30$), indicating dissociation of global white matter tract integrity with higher cognitive functions (Table 2).

To explore a posteriori whether the correlations between common white matter tract integrity and processing speed was carried by especially strong associations between speed and the integrity of individual tracts, we calculated all possible 8 (individual tracts) $\times$ 4 (white matter integrity parameters) = 32 correlations of tracts with the general speed factor. Of these, six correlations (with left arcuate and left uncinate fasciculus FA, $D$, and $A_{rad}$) were statistically significant ($p$ values $<0.05$), but not particularly strong ($r$ values $<0.26$), and 30 out of 32 were in the expected direction. This pattern further supports the notion that the associations of the common tract integrity factors with processing speed are shared among the tracts we studied.

Furthermore, after statistically controlling for the common tract integrity factor in correlations between the processing speed factor and integrity of the eight individual tracts, only left uncinate fasciculus FA still showed even a statistical trend ($p = 0.07$). In addition, previously nonsignificant correlations of the right cingulum bundle with the speed factor became significant [$t$ for $D$ and $A_{ax}$ ($p$ values $<0.05$); statistical trend ($p = 0.09$) for $A_{rad}$]. Thus, contributions of the integrity of individual tracts to processing speed were only very limited over and above what was explained by the common tract integrity factors.
While we defined our speed factor exclusively by chronometric cognitive tasks, Symbol Search and Digit Symbol are often also regarded as (psychometric) speed tasks. Indeed these two tests loaded on both factors approximately equally. Even though neither Symbol Search nor Digit Symbol correlated significantly with the four tract integrity factors ($p$ values $>0.09$), moving both tests from the general intelligence factor to the speed factor did not have any noteworthy effects on the results reported here.

Finally, we tested whether any of these effects were gender specific. With regard to the cognitive variables reported in Table 2, men and women differed only, and weakly, in their mean performance on the inspection time task (men: mean 2, men and women differed only, and weakly, in their mean processing speed. While we defined our speed factor exclusively by chronometric cognitive tasks, Symbol Search and Digit Symbol are often also regarded as (psychometric) speed tasks. Indeed these two tests loaded on both factors approximately equally. Even though neither Symbol Search nor Digit Symbol correlated significantly with the four tract integrity factors ($p$ values $>0.09$), moving both tests from the general intelligence factor to the speed factor did not have any noteworthy effects on the results reported here.

Finally, we tested whether any of these effects were gender specific. With regard to the cognitive variables reported in Table 2, men and women differed only, and weakly, in their mean performance on the inspection time task (men: mean $= 115.74$, SD $= 9.32$; women: mean $= 112.20$, SD $= 10.77$; $t_{(131)} = 2.03$, $p = 0.045$). There were no significant gender differences in the white matter integrity factor scores ($p$ values $>0.05$). We reran all analyses without controlling for gender and tested interaction effects with gender instead. None of these were statistically significant ($p$ values $>0.05$), indicating that all reported relationships were similar for men and women.

Discussion

It is well established that, among nonclinical populations, people who perform poorly in one cognitive domain tend to perform poorly in others too, which allows for the extraction of a general factor of cognitive ability differences (Carroll, 1993; Jensen, 1998). Here, for the first time, we show that the same is true for white matter integrity: low integrity in every tract we studied was positively correlated with lower integrity in all other tracts, which allowed the extraction of a general factor for each of the four water diffusion parameters we measured. While this does not mean that all tracts across the brain will show exactly the same degree of white matter integrity, thereby permitting some regional differences as in the well established age-related anterior–posterior gradient (Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006), these results do suggest that individual differences in white matter integrity are to a substantial degree common to different tracts, not just a phenomenon that primarily affects specific individual tracts. Furthermore, while it has been shown in some studies that information processing speed relates to white matter integrity of the whole brain (Vernooij et al., 2009), broad regions of interest (Deary et al., 2006), and individual tracts (Correia et al., 2008; Turken et al., 2008), our study adds the novel result that it is shared integrity across tracts that is associated with speed rather than the integrity of specific tracts. Global tract integrity was exclusively associated with processing speed, but not with higher cognitive abilities like general intelligence and memory, perhaps because speed tends to be affected earlier in life by age-related decline (Salthouse and Ferrer-Caja, 2003; Finkel et al., 2007), whereas higher abilities are more likely to be maintained by compensatory processes (Park and Reuter-Lorenz, 2009). Future studies will be needed to understand this dissociation.

Correlations of white matter integrity with information processing speed were stronger with FA than with $D$, which suggests that mild microstructural alterations of white matter, such as minor fiber loss without gross tissue loss, are responsible for these associations. Also, correlations were limited to shared radial, but not shared axial diffusivity, which would be consistent with interstitial water increase or possibly early demyelination rather than neuronal loss or axonal damage, as an early feature in this disconnection process (Burzynska et al., 2010).

White matter integrity was especially shared among tracts with frontal projections, like the arcuate fasciculus and cingulum bundle, but less with more posterior white matter fibers like the splenium of the corpus callosum (Table 1). This is in line with the prominent role of the prefrontal cortex in higher cognitive functions (Gray and Thompson, 2004) and the parietofrontal integration theory of intelligence (Jung and Haier, 2007; Deary et al., 2010). However, this does not imply that posterior white matter tracts play no role in higher cognitive functions. Luders et al. (2007), for example, found that the integrity of posterior, but not anterior, regions of the corpus callosum were associated with intelligence. Also, an earlier analysis of the same sample has found that a single nucleotide polymorphism in the adrenergic receptor gene $ADRB2$ was associated specifically with integrity of the splenium of corpus callosum, with a partial mediation effect on age-related decline in intelligence (Penke et al., 2010). These results could be a sign of different, more specific processes affecting the integrity of the splenium of corpus callosum and subsequently higher cognitive functions that do not affect frontal white matter tracts, which could explain why the splenium loaded relatively weakly on the general white matter integrity factors in the current study. Integrity variance of tracts in the left and right hemispheres were shared with the common factors to a similar degree, indicating that general white matter impairments affect both hemispheres alike (cf. Wahl et al., 2010).

Our results were found in an older, generally healthy sample without signs of mild cognitive impairment or dementia. It remains to be investigated whether the results extend to patients with clinical conditions like stroke, dementia, or neurodegenera-

Table 2. Pearson correlations of the general information processing speed factor, the individual processing speed tasks, the general intelligence factor, and the general memory factor with the white matter integrity factors based on four different water diffusion parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FA</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>$\lambda_x$</th>
<th>$\lambda_d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General processing speed factor</td>
<td>$-0.24$</td>
<td>$0.16$</td>
<td>$0.05$</td>
<td>$0.21$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simple reaction time (log)</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>$0.10$</td>
<td>$-0.12, 0.22$</td>
<td>$(0.04, 0.38)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four-choice reaction time</td>
<td>$-0.19$</td>
<td>$0.17$</td>
<td>$-0.17, 0.17$</td>
<td>$(-0.03, 0.31)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection time (correct responses)</td>
<td>$0.15$</td>
<td>$-0.09$</td>
<td>$-0.18, 0.16$</td>
<td>$(-0.04, 0.29)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General intelligence factor</td>
<td>$0.08$</td>
<td>$-0.06$</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
<td>$-0.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General memory factor</td>
<td>$-0.03$</td>
<td>$-0.02$</td>
<td>$-0.05$</td>
<td>$0.00$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals of the correlations. Lower values on the general processing speed factor and in simple and four-choice reaction time as well as higher values in inspection time indicate better information processing speed.
tive disorders. Our sample had a very narrow age range in the early seventies, which suggests the interpretation that the results we found are related to normal cognitive aging and age-related decline in white matter integrity (Raz and Rodrigue, 2006; Deary et al., 2009; Madden et al., 2009b). However, since our data were cross-sectional and based on a single cohort, we were unable to test aging effects directly. Therefore, a cognitive aging interpretation of our results remains speculative, and similar studies in younger age-homogeneous groups will be useful in testing this.

The integrity variance shared by major white matter tracts in the present study was associated with processing speed, often found to be a leading indicator of cognitive aging (Salthouse and Ferrer-Caja, 2003; Finkel et al., 2007). This supports that the general disconnection of brain networks (O’Sullivan et al., 2001), and potentially the deterioration of organism-wide system integrity (Christensen et al., 2001), might be one underlying “common cause” of normal age-related cognitive decline. However, there is also evidence that processing speed is related to cognitive ability at all ages (Jensen, 1998), and thus the general white matter integrity we found in this study could also be a lifelong-stable biological foundations of processing speed, regardless of age. Longitudinal studies or comparisons of different age-homogeneous groups are needed to disentangle these alternative hypotheses.

There is some evidence that age-related differences in FA and (D) are driven primarily by increased radial, but not axial, diffusivity (Bhagat and Beaulieu, 2004; Madden et al., 2009a), which matches the pattern of correlations with processing speed found in the current study. That white matter integrity was especially shared among frontally projecting tracts in our elderly sample is in line with anterior–posterior gradient usually found in brain aging (Sullivan and Pfefferbaum, 2006).

A limitation of the current study is that we have not examined other factors potentially coassociated with cognitive impairments and aging, such as white matter lesions, because a larger sample will be required to adjust for these factors. However, in this healthy sample few subjects had many white matter lesions. In a large elderly cohort, Vernooij et al. (2009) showed that more subtle white matter differences detected by diffusion tensor MRI provided incremental value in explaining age-related cognitive decline over the more macrostructural white matter changes in lesions.

The current study showed that nonpathological individual differences in white matter integrity that are related to processing speed—a basic aspect of cognitive functioning—are to a substantial degree shared by different major white matter tracts. That white matter integrity is more of a global, brain-general than tract-specific feature can have major implications for our understanding of normal differences in cognitive ability and cognitive aging. Causal factors that affect white matter tracts, like small vessel disease or hypertension, might to a substantial degree do so in a global, systemic manner, which might in turn help to explain the biological underpinning of the general factor of cognitive ability. However, from the present study it is as yet unclear whether a general factor of white matter integrity can be equally well identified in different clinical samples and different age groups, and whether or not associations with speed generalize across these different groups. It will be interesting to discover in future longitudinal studies and age-heterogeneous cross-sectional studies whether the above results are more related to lifelong-stable differences in cognitive ability or cognitive aging.
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