Relationship Between Venules and Perivascular Spaces in Sporadic Small Vessel Diseases
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Perivascular spaces (PVS) are fluid-filled spaces surrounding small perforating brain blood vessels. They may be part of the glymphatic system and be important for brain fluid drainage. When enlarged, PVS are visible on T2-weighted and T1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as round or linear hyperintensities, respectively, primarily in the basal ganglia and centrum semiovale (CSO). They are neuroimaging features of small vessel disease (SVD). The glymphatic system concept is mostly based on rodent studies: drainage routes, flow mechanisms, and direction of fluid movement are unclear. Deoxygenated venous blood provides intrinsic contrast on gradient echo and susceptibility-weighted imaging sequences; therefore, vessels visible on these sequences are suspected to be venular. Several visual and computational venular quantification methods have been described (Table IV in the Data Supplement).

We examined spatial relationships between suspected venules and PVS and determined associations between venules and patient demographics, risk factors, SVD features, cerebral microvessel dysfunction, and retinal venules in patients with SVD.

Methods

We used data from 2 prospective studies of sporadic SVDs: iSVD study (Inflammation in SVD) and the MSS-3 (Mild Stroke Study 3). Both studies recruited patients with lacunar or minor nondisabling ischemic stroke (modified Rankin Scale score, <3) from NHS Lothian clinical stroke services and used similar 3T MRI sequences (Table V in the Data Supplement). MRI, demographic, and clinical data were obtained within 3 months after stroke. Patients gave written informed consent.
informed consent. The studies were approved by the South East Scotland Research Ethics Committee (references 14/SS/1081 and 18/SS/0044). Data are accessible from the corresponding author.

MSS-3 performs phase-contrast MRI to measure pulsatility in the superior sagittal sinus, straight sinus, and transverse sinuses and calculates the pulsatility index as $\frac{\text{Flow}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{Flow}_{\text{minimum}}}{\text{Flow}_{\text{mean}}}$. To quantify suspected venules, we obtained a total venular count in a region of interest in periventricular CSO on gradient echo/susceptibility-weighted imaging. The mean difference in venule-PVS overlap between 2 observers was zero (95% CI, −1 to 1) in interobserver reliability analysis (Data Supplement). One observer performed a total CSO PVS count on T2-weighted images in the venular region of interest. We compared gradient echo/susceptibility-weighted imaging with T2-weighted images to determine definite, probable, or possible overlap of venules and PVS, from their location, shape, and direction (Figure; detailed Methods in the Data Supplement).

On retinal images, we measured arteriolar and venular widths (central retinal arteriolar equivalent and central retinal venular equivalent, respectively) and arteriole-to-venule ratio. We quantified SVD lesions using Fazekas scale for periventricular and deep white matter hyperintensities (WMH) and a 5-point scale for PVS (for MRI, retinal imaging, processing, and analyses, see the Data Supplement).

We performed statistical analyses using IBM SPSS, version 24.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). We used both studies to develop the visual quantification method and assess the venule-PVS spatial relationship (details in the Data Supplement). In MSS-3, we additionally analyzed venular count versus patient demographics, vascular risk factors, SVD features, retinal vessels, and venous sinus pulsatility using multivariable linear regression adjusted for age, sex, and systolic blood pressure. We assessed assumptions of normality with standard methods: no assumptions appeared to be violated. In secondary multivariable analyses, we explored associations between significant predictors from the first analyses in addition to age, sex, and systolic blood pressure. Analyses were exploratory, so no formal correction for multiple comparisons was done.

Results

We included 67 patients (Table 1). Venules were most visible near the ventricles, whereas PVS were most visible adjacent to cortex (Figure [B and C]). When many PVS were present, more PVS were visible near the ventricular outer surface. Even when PVS overlapped with venules, PVS shapes often differed from the venule (Figures IV and V in the Data Supplement).

Per participant, the mean venular count was 33.22±11.83, mean PVS count was 55.33±28.62, and the median number of venule-PVS overlap was 1 (range, 0–8): only 81 venules had overlapping PVS in all 67 patients (mean percent of total venules that overlapped with PVS, 4.6%; range, 0%–18%; Figure VI in the Data Supplement).

Venular count increased with CSO PVS score ($\beta=0.331$ [95% CI, 0.058–0.604]), total CSO PVS count ($\beta=0.605$ [95% CI, 0.376–0.835]), and venule-PVS overlap ($\beta=0.500$ [95% CI, 0.256–0.744]). Lower venular count was associated with increased pulsatility in the sagittal ($\beta=-0.425$ [95% CI, −0.754 to −0.096]) and transverse ($\beta=-0.406$ [95% CI, −0.712 to −0.100]) sinus, respectively) and arteriole-to-venule ratio.

On substituting total CSO PVS count for PVS score in the model, venular count remained positively associated with PVS count ($\beta=0.468$ [95% CI, 0.187–0.750]) but not venule-PVS overlap. Venular count was still associated with total PVS count ($\beta=0.547$ [95% CI, 0.309–0.786]) in the model with transverse sinus pulsatility index.

Discussion

We found different locations and infrequent overlap between suspected venules and PVS on 3T MRI, suggesting that most venules and MRI-visible PVS are not spatially related. A 7T MRI study and a pathology study also found little venule-PVS overlap, suggesting that MRI-visible PVS in humans might be periarteriolar. As PVS increased, punctate PVS (possibly representing PVS around lenticulostriate arterioles from the basal ganglia) more often overlapped with venules at the ventricular edge. Since venular count increased with total CSO PVS count, visible venules and PVS might both indicate worsening SVDs.

As this may be the first study of venular associations with demographics, risk factors, and MRI measurements, the analyses were exploratory. One study found associations between increased venule visibility and WMH volume, whereas another found the opposite. We did not find an

![Figure. Examples of venules related to perivascular spaces (PVS). A. Example of overlap of linear PVS (left inlay, arrowheads) and venule (right inlay, arrowheads). B and C. Examples of venules (inside blue lines) and PVS (outside blue lines) in different locations.](Image)
arterial and venous sinus pulsatility. We found positive associations with retinal vessel widths. Retinal vessel density between venules and hypertension. We also found no associations between venular count and total CSO PVS count, suggesting that higher venular count might associate with increased pulsatility index but that higher venular count was associated with lower venous sinus pulsatility index. This might be indirectly explained by previous associations found between fewer visible venules and worse WMH and worse WMH with increased venous sinus pulsatility.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vascular risk factors*</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>74.00±6.59</td>
<td>67.97±10.06</td>
<td>69.04±9.77</td>
<td>69.87±9.77</td>
<td>70.85±9.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>38 (69.1)</td>
<td>46 (68.7)</td>
<td>46 (68.7)</td>
<td>46 (68.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacunar subtype</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>33 (60.0)</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>9 (75.0)</td>
<td>42 (76.4)</td>
<td>51 (76.1)</td>
<td>51 (76.1)</td>
<td>51 (76.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>7 (58.3)</td>
<td>41 (74.5)</td>
<td>48 (71.6)</td>
<td>48 (71.6)</td>
<td>48 (71.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of smoking</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>11 (20)</td>
<td>11 (24.5)</td>
<td>11 (24.5)</td>
<td>11 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>14 (25.5)</td>
<td>16 (23.9)</td>
<td>16 (23.9)</td>
<td>16 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continuous variables: mean±SD. Categorical variables: median (range) or n (%). BG indicates basal ganglia; CSO, centrum semiovale; DWMH, deep white matter hyperintensity; iSVD, Inflammation in SVD; MSS-3, Mild Stroke Study 3; PVI, periventricular hyperintensity; and PVS, perivascular space.

Vascular risk factors composite score: hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking.

Our study is limited by the small sample and cross-sectional design. Artifacts like vessel calcification might be confused with venules. Strengths include developing an easy-to-apply venular quantification method for gradient echo and susceptibility-weighted imaging sequences. Future studies should examine longitudinal data from larger samples, assess changes over time and more associations.

Conclusions
Although we did not find a spatial relationship between suspected venules and PVS, more venules seemed to relate to enlarged PVS in the CSO. While we cannot exclude the presence of PVS around venules, enlarged PVS, as visible on MRI, might be more periarteriolar than perivenular.
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