Spliceosome-mediated decay (SMD) regulates expression of nonintronic genes in budding yeast
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We uncovered a novel role for the spliceosome in regulating mRNA expression levels that involves splicing coupled to RNA decay, which we refer to as spliceosome-mediated decay (SMD). Our transcriptome-wide studies identified numerous transcripts that are not known to have introns but are spliced by the spliceosome at canonical splice sites in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Products of SMD are primarily degraded by the nuclear RNA surveillance machinery. We demonstrate that SMD can significantly down-regulate mRNA levels; splicing at canonical splice sites in the bromodomain factor 2 (BDF2) transcript reduced transcript levels roughly threefold by generating unstable products that are rapidly degraded by the nuclear surveillance machinery. Regulation of BDF2 mRNA levels by SMD requires Bdf1, a functionally redundant Bdf2 paralog that plays a role in recruiting the spliceosome to the BDF2 mRNA. Interestingly, mutating BDF2 5′ splice site and branch point consensus sequences partially suppresses the bdf1Δ temperature-sensitive phenotype, suggesting that maintaining proper levels of Bdf2 via SMD is biologically important. We propose that the spliceosome can also repress protein-coding gene expression by promoting nuclear turnover of spliced RNA products and provide an insight for coordinated regulation of Bdf1 and Bdf2 levels in the cell.
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Surprisingly, these studies revealed that spliceosomal components are also recruited to some genes whose transcripts are not known to be spliced. It was recently shown that several nonintronic transcripts can be spliced; however, it remained unclear whether this had any regulatory role or was the result of stochastic splicing events that occur due to the lack of specificity in the spliceosome recruitment (Harigaya and Parker 2012).

Unexpectedly, by sequencing SmD1-associated RNAs, we identified many RNA Pol II transcripts that are not known to be spliced, indicating that spliceosome assembly on nonintronic mRNAs may be a common phenomenon. To understand the functional significance of this discovery, we analyzed the effect of splicing mutants and strains defective in RNA surveillance on the protein-coding transcriptome. Remarkably, these mutants displayed a marked up-regulation of a number of intronless mRNAs, including bromodomain factor 2 (BDF2) mRNA, which encodes a bromodomain factor, and OYE3 mRNA, which encodes an NADPH oxidoreductase. Interestingly, it was previously shown that BDF2 overexpression is toxic, implying that it could be important to down-regulate levels of this transcript in the cell (Yoshikawa et al. 2011; Fu et al. 2013). We demonstrate that splicing of these mRNAs at consensus splice sites generates unstable products that are primarily degraded by the nuclear RNA surveillance machinery. This spliceosome-mediated decay (hereafter referred to as SMD) of BDF2 mRNA is dependent on Bdf1, another bromodomain-containing protein, providing a plausible explanation of how expression of these paralogous genes is regulated. Mutating BDF2 5’ss and BP consensus sequences suppressed bdf1Δ growth phenotypes, suggesting that maintaining proper levels of Bdf2 via SMD is biologically important. We propose a model in which SMD regulates coordinated expression of Bdf1 and Bdf2. Collectively, our results have revealed a new role for the spliceosome in the regulation of mRNA expression.

Results

Purification and analyses of the Sm complex-bound RNAs

To identify RNA targets of the spliceosome, we purified spliceosome-associated RNAs by affinity chromatography using a strain expressing tandem affinity purification (TAP)-tagged SmD1, a protein required for stabilizing the U1 snRNA-pre-mRNA interaction (Zhang et al. 2001). As a negative control, we used a nontagged parental strain. SmD1-coprecipitated RNA was extracted and analyzed by Northern blotting and high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Methylene blue staining of total RNA showed a significant depletion of ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs) in the SmD1 pull-down compared with input (Supplemental Fig. 1A). Conversely, Northern analysis revealed substantial enrichment of the Sm site-containing RNAs U1 (Supplemental Fig. 1A) and telomerase (TLC1) (data not shown), validating the biological relevance of this approach. U1 was undetectable in the mock samples, demonstrating the specificity of the results (Supplemental Fig. 1A, lanes 5,7). Mass spectrometric analysis of coprecipitated proteins identified other Sm complex members (SmB, SmD1, SmD2, SmE, and SmG) and many spliceosome components [Supplemental Fig. 1B].

Bioinformatics analysis of the RNA-seq data [Supplemental Table 1] revealed that 35%–40% of uniquely mapped cDNAs corresponded to snRNAs directly bound by the Sm complex, with the highly abundant U2 snRNA being the most highly represented RNA. U6 snRNA was also present at low levels, indicating that the U4/U6 particle as well as late spliceosome assembly intermediates also coprecipitated [Will and Luhrmann 2011]. Consistent with our Northern blotting data, the low-abundant TLC1 RNA that contains an Sm-binding site (Seto et al. 1999) was substantially enriched relative to other non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), such as SCR1, which coprecipitated nonspecifically at low levels compared with control samples [Supplemental Table 1]. Notably, the snR190 box C/D small nucleolar RNA (snnoRNA) was also enriched, consistent with previously published data (Zagorski et al. 1988). Collectively, these data demonstrate the specificity and sensitivity of our analysis.

Of the top 500 enriched mRNAs, 412 were reproducibly identified in both data sets (Fig. 1A). These included 198 intron-containing mRNAs, representing ~60% of the known spliced mRNAs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae [341] (Fig. 1B). It is possible that the fraction corresponding to the remaining 40% included pre-mRNAs that are rapidly spliced and therefore underrepresented in the sequencing data. Strikingly, more than half of the 412 mRNAs identified were annotated as nonintronic [Fig. 1B]. We envisage two possible explanations for this observation. First, the Sm complex could assemble directly on mRNAs independently of the spliceosome. Indeed, in silico analyses revealed 2558 potential Sm-binding sites in intronless genes and 94 in the 213 Sm-associated mRNAs [data not shown]. Alternatively, this interaction could be mediated via the spliceosome, which is known to be recruited to a subset of intronless mRNAs [Kotovic et al. 2003; Tardiff et al. 2006]. The relevance of these interactions, however, was not addressed, begging the question of whether these mRNAs are spliced by the spliceosome. Our in silico analyses revealed that 44 of the 213 intronless mRNAs contained canonical 5′ss (GUA[U/C/A]GU) and BP [ACUAAC[G/A/U]] sequences in the correct orientation [Fig. 1C]. Three were previously described [FBA1, UTH1, and PGI1] [Kotovic et al. 2003], and six had a putative Sm site ([A/G][AU4,G][A/G]) within 50 base pairs [bp] upstream of the 5′ss [KAR2, KEM1, PSK1, STE6, and YPR045C]. To validate our findings, we initially focused on the BDF2 mRNA, as it was most likely to be targeted by the spliceosome for the following reasons: (1) BDF2 RNA was highly enriched in both Sm-IP data sets comparable with intron-containing mRNAs [Supplemental Table 1] and has predicted 5′ss, BP, and potential 3′ss [Zhang et al. 2007] in the correct orientation [Fig. 1E]. (2) U1, U2, and U5 components were found to chromatin-immunoprecipitate over the BDF2 gene at levels comparable with a typical intron-containing gene, RPL28A [Fig. 1D; Tardiff et al. 2006]. (3) Intron tiling array data from the
Figure 1. Sm proteins associate with nonintronic mRNAs. (A) Venn diagram showing the overlap between the top 500 mRNAs enriched in two separate SmD1-TAP experiments. (B) Venn diagram showing that of the 412 genes that reproducibly coprecipitated with the Sm complex, 198 contained introns, and 213 were annotated as intronless genes. The pink circle shows the 412 common genes from Sm-TAP immunoprecipitation, of these, 213 genes are nonintronic. The green circle shows 341 annotated intronic genes in *S. cerevisiae*, of these, 198 were found in Sm-TAP immunoprecipitation. (C) Venn diagram showing that out of all intronless genes, 835 are predicted to contain BP and 5' ss sequences in the correct order and have a potential to recruit the spliceosome. Forty-four genes with the splice site consensus were present in Sm-IP. (D,E) University of California at Santa Cruz Genome Browser outputs displaying the Sm RNA-seq results for *RPL28A*, *BDF2*, and neighboring genes. Included are the positions of the predicted 5' ss and BP splice signals. Spliceosome ChIP tiling array data (U1, U2, and U5) (Tardiff et al. 2006) and *dbr1Δ* tiling array data are indicated as “Arrays,” and log_{2} changes between mutant and wild type are shown (Tardiff et al. 2006, ENCODE Project Consortium et al. 2007).
dbr1Δ strain, where the lariat intermediate is stabilized due to the loss of debranching enzyme, have indicated the presence of a putative intron in BDF2 mRNA (Zhang et al. 2007).

The spliceosome endonucleolytically cleaves BDF2 mRNA

To determine whether expression of the intronless BDF2 mRNA is regulated by the spliceosome, we analyzed its steady-state levels in the prp40-1 temperature-sensitive strain. Prp40 is a subunit of the U1 snRNP involved in cotranscriptional recruitment of early splicing factors to pre-mRNAs and later steps of spliceosome assembly. Previous analysis has demonstrated global down-regulation of mRNA levels in this mutant as a result of splicing defects (Albulescu et al. 2012). As expected, at the non-permissive temperature, mRNA levels of the intron-containing gene RPL28A were substantially reduced (Fig. 2A). In contrast, in this strain, BDF2 mRNA levels increased roughly threefold (Fig. 2B). Similarly, BDF2 mRNA levels were also elevated in U1-depleted cells and prp2-1 (Fig. 2C, lanes 1–4) and prp42-1 (data not shown) mutants, where the first catalytic step of the splicing reaction is affected. A mutant defective in catalysis of the second transesterification reaction [prp17-1] (Jones et al. 1995; Noble and Guthrie 1996; Pleiss et al. 2007) also accumulated BDF2 mRNA (Fig. 2C, lanes 5,6). Finally, a 2-base substitution introduced into the predicted 5′ splicing signals in BDF2 mRNA levels (Fig. 2E). We conclude that the spliceosome plays a direct role in down-regulating BDF2 expression. To substantiate our results, we also performed Northern blots to measure levels of splicing intermediates in strains defective in splicing [prp40-1], nuclear RNA surveillance [rrp6Δ], and/or debranching of the intron lariat [dbr1Δ]. Using a probe complementary to a region downstream from the predicted 5′ exon [probe 2] (Fig. 3A), we could readily detect a lariat in the dbr1Δ strain [Fig. 3B (lane 5), C (lane 2)]. Cells lacking both Dbr1 and Rrp6 also accumulated a 3′ extended lariat intermediate (Fig. 3C [lanes 3,6], D [lane 3]). Excised exon 1, a product of the first splicing reaction, could also be detected in the rrp6Δ strain (Fig. 3E, lane 4), an exonuclease-defective dis3 mutant, and a rat1-1 temperature-sensitive mutant (Fig. 3E, lanes 6,8). We note that exon 1 fragments were heterogeneous in length, which could be the result of exosome-mediated degradation and/or low-fidelity splicing events. Deletion of the cytoplasmic exonuclease Xrn1 and the nonsense-mediated decay [NMD] factor Upf1 had no detectable effect on the stability of the exon 1 splicing products (Fig. 3E, lanes 5,7). Altogether, our data indicate that spliceosomal BDF2 cleavage products are mainly degraded in the nucleus by both 5′–3′ [Rat1], and 3′–5′ [Rrp6 and Dis3] exonucleases. In contrast to BDF2, we could not detect accumulation of spliced RPL28A 5′ exons (Fig. 2A), which is consistent with published observations for typical intronic genes (Egecioglu and Chanfreau 2011). This is most likely due to the fast kinetics of the second splicing reaction.

Accumulation of BDF2 5′ exon was also dependent on intact 5′ss and the BP sequences (Fig. 3F, lanes 3–6). In contrast, mutations in three predicted 3′ss had no noticeable effect on exon 1 levels or BDF2 mRNA levels in general (Supplemental Fig. 2A, data not shown), indicating that splicing at these sites does not occur or is very inefficient. We conclude that splicing products generated using these splice elements are products of a single splicing step and are degraded by the nuclear surveillance system. This indicates that the second step of splicing in the BDF2 mRNA is inefficient, as it was reported for the

Figure 2. BDF2 mRNA levels are up-regulated in the splicing mutants or upon 5′ss mutation. (A,B) Northern blot analysis on total RNA isolated from the parental strain [wild type [wt]] and prp40-1, prp40-1 rrp6Δ, and rrp6Δ strains. RNA was extracted 2 h after the shift to 37°C, resolved on agarose [A] or polyacrylamide gels [B], transferred to positively charged membrane, and probed for RPL28A (using 5′ exon-specific probe) and BDF2 mRNAs, respectively. The positions of pre-mRNA, mature mRNA, and 5′ exon (for RPL28A) species are indicated. ADH1 [A] or methylene blue-stained 25S and 18S RNAs [B] are shown as loading controls. (C) Splicing mutants accumulate BDF2 mRNA. To analyze BDF2 mRNA levels, total RNA was extracted from U1 snRNA-depleted cells [lane 2] and prp2-1 [lanes 3,4] and prp17-1 [lanes 5,6] mutants. Cultures were shifted to nonpermissive temperature (37°C) for 1 h [prp2-1 and prp17-1] or grown in the presence of glucose for 8 h to allow for U1 depletion. (D) Schematic representation of the predicted splicing signals in BDF2. The purple nucleotides indicate the substitutions that were made in the 5′ss (red) to generate the 5′ss* construct. (E) Northern blot analysis of BDF2 mRNA levels in wild-type [BDF2] or 5′ss mutant [5′ss*] cells.
telomerase RNA (Box et al. 2008; Kannan et al. 2013). BDF2 mRNA levels also increased in the prp17-1 mutant, suggesting that BDF2 can be fully spliced (Fig. 2C); however, we also noticed a reduction in exon 1 levels in the prp17-1 strain. Consistent with previous reports, this suggests that first-step splicing is also delayed in this mutant (Sapra et al. 2008), making it difficult to determine what fraction of BDF2 is fully spliced.

Shortening BP–3’ss distance partially restores the second step of splicing in BDF2

The distance between the BP and 3’ss was reported to be a factor affecting efficient 3’ss selection during the second catalytic step of splicing (Luukkonen and Seraphin 1997; Box et al. 2008; Meyer et al. 2011; Kannan et al. 2013). Hence, we reasoned that the unusual long distance between these two elements in the BDF2 mRNA might be responsible for the accumulation of the RNA species that are produced after the first step of splicing. To test whether BDF2 mRNA has a potential to be fully spliced, we generated several BDF2 constructs in which the distance between the BP and previously predicted 3’ss sites (Zhang et al. 2007) was shortened (Supplemental Fig. 2B, D1–D3). Most of the tested constructs failed to allow complete splicing at detectable levels even using a sensitive technique such as RT–PCR (Supplemental Fig. 2C, lanes 1,3,4,6). Intriguingly, low levels of spliced BDF2 Δ2 product could be detected in an Rrp6 deletion strain (Supplemental Fig. 2C, lane 5), indicating that this product is degraded by the nuclear exosome. Sequencing of the PCR product revealed that this indeed represented a spliced BDF2 mRNA in which the distal 3’ss was used (Supplemental Fig. 2D). We conclude that the previously proposed splicing signals are suboptimally positioned in BDF2 mRNA to promote an efficient second step of splicing.

Both a single splicing event and complete splicing contribute to regulation of BDF2 levels via SMD

While this manuscript was in preparation, the Parker laboratory (Harigaya and Parker 2012) reported splicing of...
BDF2 in cells lacking Xrn1 and a cytoplasmic decapping factor, Dcp2. However, the biological relevance of this splicing event had not been addressed (Harigaya and Parker 2012). Sequencing of the spliced product revealed that the 5’ss reported here and a more downstream 3’ss at nucleotide +1672 were used (Fig. 4A; Harigaya and Parker 2012). A BP consensus located 12 nucleotides (nt) upstream of this 3’ss was proposed; however, usage of this element was not experimentally validated.

We were able to detect splicing at these sites in the wild-type strain; however, no significant additional accumulation of the spliced product was in the xrn1<sup>D</sup>dcp2<sup>D</sup> double mutant [Fig. 4B, lanes 1,4]. Deleting UPF1 also did not noticeably stabilize the spliced product [Fig. 4B, lane 3], indicating that the cytoplasmic RNA surveillance machinery plays a minor role in the degradation of the spliced product. In sharp contrast, deleting RRP6 led to an ~4.5-fold increase in the level of the spliced product [Fig. 4B, lane 2]. We conclude that SMD triggers the degradation of partially and completely spliced BDF2 products by the nuclear surveillance machinery.

To address the importance of this two-step splicing event in the regulation of BDF2 expression in more detail, we introduced mutations in the splice sites proposed by Harigaya and Parker [2012]. BDF2 mRNAs with mutations in the 5’ss or the BP at +1660 (Fig. 4A) were not detectably spliced, indicating that these sites are indeed used by the spliceosome. Interestingly, although the BP sequence at +254 [Fig. 4A] is clearly important for the single-step splicing event that leads to the accumulation of the exon 1 fragment in the rrp6<sup>D</sup> strain [Fig. 3F], it is not used for the two-step splicing reaction [Fig. 4C, lane 3], presumably because the distance between this BP and the downstream 3’ss is far from optimal to support splicing (>1400 nt). Surprisingly, mutating the 3’ss at +1672 did not block splicing of BDF2, as an alternative 3’ss was used at +1702 [Fig. 4C, lane 5]. Mutations in the 5’ss or the two BPs led to a twofold to threefold increase in the BDF2 mRNA levels [Fig. 4C, lanes 2–4]. Mutation in the 3’ss at +1672 had only a modest effect on BDF2 steady-state levels [Fig. 4C, lane 5], presumably because alternative 3’ss could still be used. In contrast, the BP at +1660 and

---

**Figure 4.** Two-step splicing also contributes to regulation of BDF2 levels. (A) Shown is a schematic representation of the BDF2 splice sites, with the position relative to ATG (+1) indicated. RT product is indicated in red, and primers used for PCR are shown as black arrows. (B) RT–PCR results performed on oligo(dT)-enriched RNA extracted from the wild-type (wt), rrp6<sup>D</sup>, upf1<sup>D</sup>, and xrn1<sup>D</sup>dcp2<sup>D</sup> strains using BDF2 and ADH1 primers. RT–PCR reactions without enzyme (RT−) are included as a negative control. The spliced product is indicated. (C) RT–PCR results performed on poly(A)-enriched RNA extracted from strains expressing the wild-type [BDF2] and BDF2 5’ss, BP [+254], BP [+1660] (changing AAC to ACC), and 3’ss [+1672] (changing AG to AA) mutants in bdf2<sup>D</sup> rrp6<sup>D</sup>. (D) Northern blot analysis of exon 1 levels in the strains indicated.
the 3’ss at +1672 are not required for the single-step splicing event, as we could still detect accumulation of exon 1 in the rrp6Δ strain in cells expressing these BDF2 mutations [Fig. 4D].

We conclude that SMD of BDF2 involves (at least) two separate splicing events: a single cleavage event, which requires the BP at +254, and a two-step splicing event involving the BP at +1660. Both pathways use the same 5’ss, and spliced products generated by these pathways are (primarily) degraded by the nuclear surveillance machinery.

Spliceosome recruitment to BDF2 mRNA is compromised in bdf1Δ

Bdf2 and the closely related Bdf1 both bind to acetylated histones, albeit with different specificities [Matangkasombut and Buratowski 2003]. These genes are genetically redundant, as only one of the two genes is necessary and sufficient for cell viability. Deletion of both genes is lethal [Matangkasombut et al. 2000]. Interestingly, deletion of BDF1 resulted in an approximately threefold increase in the levels of BDF2 mRNA [Fig. 5A; Fu et al. 2013], similar to what we observed in the splicing mutants [Fig. 2], and a twofold increase in Bdf2 protein levels [Fig. 5B]. Although Bdf1 binds to a BDF2 promoter element [Durant and Pugh 2007; Fu et al. 2013], nuclear run-on experiments demonstrated that deletion of BDF1 did not noticeably affect BDF2 transcription [Fig. 5C], ruling out that Bdf1 regulates Bdf2 at the transcriptional level. Deletion of BDF1 is known to reduce splicing of a subset of intron-containing transcripts [Albulescu et al. 2012], but the effect of BDF1 deletion on intronless mRNA levels was not reported. This prompted us to investigate whether Bdf1 is required for spliceosome recruitment to BDF2. ChIP assays revealed a substantial U1 snRNP enrichment over the BDF2 gene, in agreement with previously published data [Fig. 5,D,E [lanes 1–4], F; Tardiff et al. 2006]. Strikingly, deleting BDF1 dramatically reduced the U1 binding to BDF2 [Fig. 5E [lanes 5–8], F]. Similarly, deletion of BDF1 was reported to result in

Figure 5. Bdf1 is required for the recruitment of the spliceosome at the BDF2 locus. [A] Northern blot analysis of BDF2 mRNA levels in the wild type (wt) and bdf1Δ. [B] Western blot analysis of Bdf2-TAP and Rpb1 (3E1 antibody from Millipore) protein levels in the wild type and bdf1Δ. [C] Deletion of Bdf1 does not noticeably affect BDF2 transcription. Transcriptional run-on experiments were performed to measure transcription on BDF2 in wild-type and bdf1Δ strains. RNA probes corresponding to BDF2 [probe 1 (exon 1) and probe 2], ADH1 and a nontranscribed region on chromosome V [nc] were used for detection. [D] Schematic representations of the BDF2 gene and BDF2 mRNA with the predicted 5’ exon (exon 1) and BP. Black bars show the locations of the PCR products generated in the ChIP experiments. [E,F] Deletion of BDF1 reduces spliceosome recruitment to BDF2. ChIP experiments were performed in wild-type and bdf1Δ strains expressing TAP-tagged Yhc1 (U1C), a U1 snRNP component. U1 enrichment was measured by radioactive PCR using primers that generate the PCR fragments shown in D. PCR products were resolved on polyacrylamide gels and detected by autoradiography. Quantification results from four independent experiments are shown in F; the error bars indicate the standard error. Stars indicate position of the PCR product from a nontranscribed region on the chromosome. [G–I] Mutating BDF2 5’ss and BPs suppresses bdf1Δ salt- and temperature-sensitive phenotypes. The bdf1Δ strains expressing either BDF2 or bdf2 5’ss, 3’ss, and BP mutants were grown in liquid medium until OD (A600) reached 0.5. To assay for growth, 5-µL aliquots of 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto YPD and –LEU medium in the presence or absence of 0.6 M NaCl.
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compromised U1 recruitment to several intron-containing genes, implying that Bdf1 might play a general role in splicing (Albulescu et al. 2012). We conclude that BDF1 down-regulates BDF2 mRNA levels by stimulating splicing of BDF2.

**Disrupting BDF2 splicing suppresses bdf1Δ temperature- and salt-sensitive phenotypes**

To address the biological significance of regulation of BDF2 mRNA levels via SMD, we used a genetic approach that relied on growth defects observed in the bdf1Δ strain. Overexpression of BDF2 was recently shown to suppress bdf1Δ temperature- and salt-sensitive phenotypes in a dosage-dependent manner (Matangkasombut et al. 2000; Fu et al. 2013). We asked whether completely blocking splicing of BDF2 by mutating the 5’ss or blocking two-step splicing by mutating the BP at +1660 (see Fig. 4A) could at least partially suppress bdf1Δ growth defects. Judging from the number of colonies in each dilution and the colony size, it can be concluded that at the nonpermissive temperature (37°C), bdf1Δ strains expressing BDF2 mRNAs with mutated 5’ss grew better compared with the bdf1Δ cells expressing wild-type BDF2 [approximately eightfold to 10-fold] (Fig. 5G). Moreover, completely blocking BDF2 splicing substantially enhanced the cells’ resistance (~30-fold to 50-fold compared with bdf1Δ BDF2) to a combination of high temperature and high salt concentrations [0.6 M NaCl] (Fig. 5H). Finally, mutating the BPs also improved growth in high salt conditions [Fig. 5I]. Collectively, these results demonstrate that even a relatively small change in BDF2 mRNA and protein levels can dramatically affect a cell’s resistance to certain stress conditions, underscoring the impact that SMD can have on cell fitness.

**SMD may regulate expression of ~1% of the intronless genes**

Several recent studies have described interactions between the spliceosome and mRNAs that were not known to contain introns (Kotovic et al. 2003; Moore et al. 2006; Tardiff et al. 2006; Harigaya and Parker 2012). Our bioinformatics analysis indicates that >800 genes encoding intronless mRNA have canonical 5’ss and BP sequences in the correct orientation (Fig. 1C), suggesting that the spliceosome could potentially target and regulate expression of hundreds of intronless mRNAs. To test this possibility, we performed RNA-seq analyses on rRNA-depleted RNA extracted from a wild-type strain and the temperature-sensitive prp40-1 and rrp6Δ mutants.

Based on our analysis of BDF2 mRNA, we reasoned that splicing products derived from intronless genes would be stabilized upon deleting Rrp6, whereas unspliced or full-length transcripts would accumulate in the prp40-1 mutant. RNA was extracted from these strains 2 h after the shift to the nonpermissive temperature. This was sufficient to detect accumulation of pre-mRNAs (prp40-1) and 3’ extended RNA species (rrp6Δ) (Supplemental Fig. 3). As expected, compared with the parental strain, the levels of intron-containing mRNAs—in particular, ribosomal protein mRNAs—were substantially reduced in the prp40-1 strain (Supplemental Fig. 4A). This global reduction of spliced mRNAs in the prp40-1 mutant was likely the result of degradation of pre-mRNAs that accumulated in this mutant (Bousquet-Antonelli et al. 2000; Hilleren and Parker 2003). Despite this, the prp40-1 data set contained a higher number of cDNAs that mapped to introns (Supplemental Fig. 4B), indicative of pre-mRNA accumulation due to defective splicing in this strain.

Remarkably, in sharp contrast to intron-containing mRNAs, a large number of intronless mRNAs were up-regulated in the prp40-1 mutant, indicative of a potential regulation by SMD (Supplemental Fig. 4A). However, we cannot rule out a possibility that increase in the levels of some of these intronless mRNAs in the prp40-1 mutant is the result of pleiotropic effects. Compared with the parental strain, levels of 197 intronless transcripts increased at least threefold in the prp40-1 mutant, and these were significantly enriched for genes involved in the unfolded protein response (P-value < 0.001). Twenty-four of 197 (~12%) contained predicted 5’ss and BPs in the right orientation, and 16 (~8%) were also enriched in the Sm-IP data (Supplemental Fig. 4C). Our analyses identified four transcripts [OYE3, SSA4, KAR2, and BDF2] that we predicted were most likely to be SMD targets: They reproducibly coprecipitated with the Sm proteins and contained splice signals in the correct orientation, and their steady-state levels increased at least threefold in the prp40-1 mutant [RNA-seq and quantitative RT–PCR [qRT–PCR]] (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. 4C).

Because SMD requires the activity of the nuclear RNA surveillance machinery, we looked for canonical splice junctions [GU/AG] in the sequencing data. The TopHat program [Kim and Salzberg 2011] identified splice junctions in the vast majority of known intronic mRNAs [79% [wild type] to 88% [rrp6Δ]] (Supplemental Fig. 5A). Splice junctions were also identified in 0.45% [wild type] to 1.1% [rrp6Δ] of mRNAs that are not known to contain introns. Based on these data, we predict that at least 1% of the intronless mRNAs might be subjected to SMD. For the vast majority of these transcripts, splicing would generate premature stop codons, and any spliced products would presumably be degraded. Indeed, in agreement with the unstable nature of SMD products, more splice junctions were identified in the rrp6Δ data set in addition to a higher number of reads mapped to protein-coding genes (Supplemental Fig. 5A). This indicates at least a partial stabilization of spliced products in the absence of Rrp6. Fewer splice junctions were recovered from the prp40-1 data set, indicating that many of the identified junctions originated from a splicing event (Supplemental Fig. 5A).

A total of 227 canonical splice junctions were found in 49 transcripts, including SSA4, KAR2, and OYE3 (Supplemental Table 2; Supplemental Fig. 5B), and 22 transcripts contained a BP consensus sequence within the predicted intron (Supplemental Table 3). Notably, splicing of OYE3 at the identified splice sites would generate an in-frame
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Deletion [Supplemental Fig. 6]. However, as with BDF2, splicing could also occur at an alternative downstream 3′ss, generating an out-of-frame product [Supplemental Fig. 6]. Unfortunately, we did not find any BDF2 splice junctions in the RNA-seq data, but this could be due to the relatively low read coverage over BDF2. We did observe a modest increase in reads covering the predicted first exon in the rrp6Δ sequencing data [Supplemental Fig. 7], consistent with at least a partial stabilization of this product in the absence of Rrp6 (Fig. 2B).

A subset of the genes contained putative introns in the 5′ untranslated region [UTR] [CPA2, GCC1, PIR3, SBH2, TBC3, YGR210C, and YRO2] or 3′ UTR [MSL5, RPL41A, QDR2, and YOR097C]. Splicing of these mRNAs is therefore not expected to alter the reading frame (Supplemental Table 2) but could potentially affect mRNA stability and/or translation efficiency.

Splicing of SSA4, OYE3, and KAR2 was confirmed by RT–PCR and sequencing of PCR products [Fig. 6B,D; data not shown], and a strong accumulation of OYE3 spliced products could be detected in the rrp6Δ strain by Northern blot [Fig. 6C]. Interestingly, spliced mRNA products could be partially stabilized by deleting Rrp6 or Upf1, indicating that, in these cases, both the nuclear exosome and cytoplasmic surveillance machineries contribute to their degradation [Fig. 6D]. Because not all of the factors involved in cytoplasmic mRNA decay were analyzed here, it is possible that the actual percentage of intronless genes regulated by SMD is higher than we predicted [1%].

We conclude that, in addition to BDF2, SMD also regulates expression of several other intronless genes.

Discussion

The Sm proteins play crucial roles in pre-mRNA splicing and exert their function by binding several spliceosomal snRNAs. We tandem affinity-purified RNAs and others (Tardiff et al. 2006) observed recruitment of the spliceosome to mature the 3′ end of the telomerase RNA, involving a single spliceosome-dependent cleavage step (Box et al. 2008; Tang et al. 2012). This encouraged us to investigate the association of the spliceosome with intronless transcripts in more detail. Our bioinformatics analyses show that many intronless mRNAs contain canonical splice signals in the correct orientation and therefore have the potential to recruit the splicing machinery.

To investigate the potential function of the spliceosome on intronless genes, we focused on BDF2, a gene encoding a bromodomain transcription factor, where we and others (Tardiff et al. 2006) observed recruitment of the spliceosome. Using yeast strains defective in nuclear RNA surveillance and pre-mRNA splicing, we discovered that splicing of the BDF2 mRNA led to an approximately
threefold reduction in BDF2 mRNA levels, and the resulting splicing products were rapidly degraded. This phenomenon, which we refer to as SMD, down-regulates mRNA expression as opposed to a well-documented positive outcome of conventional splicing and could potentially play an important role in down-regulating transcript levels. Indeed, the 5’ss and BP consensus sequences are conserved in genes homologous to BDF2 in related yeast species (Supplemental Fig. 8). Transcriptome sequencing of splicing and exonosome mutants identified several splicing events on transcripts that were previously regarded not to have introns. We furthermore demonstrate that KAR2, SSA4, and OYE3 mRNA levels are also regulated via SMD and identify dozens of other potential targets. Finally, we show that Bdf1, a Bdf2 paralog, is required for the recruitment of the spliceosome to BDF2, revealing a novel mechanism by which expression of paralogous genes can be regulated.

A growing body of evidence suggests that defective or inefficient pre-mRNA splicing leads to the production of nonfunctional and potentially toxic RNAs such as unspliced mRNA precursors as well as various splicing intermediates. These are targeted by the cellular RNA surveillance systems for destruction. The current model implicates spliceosomal components in the initial identification of defective splicing intermediates, which are discarded by the spliceosome and released to be degraded by the cellular RNA decay machineries [Houseley et al. 2006; Egecioglu and Chanfreau 2011; Parker 2012, Schmid and Jensen 2013]. Unspliced RNAs are degraded by the exonosome [from 3′ to 5′], Rat1/Xrn2, and Xrn1 [from 5′ to 3′] in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments. Our results show that BDF2 splicing intermediates that accumulate due to delayed second step of splicing are also degraded. It was previously proposed that splicing intermediates are degraded in the cytoplasm [Hilleren and Parker 2003; Sayani et al. 2008; Mayas et al. 2010; Harigaya and Parker 2012]. However, here we demonstrate that splicing products are also degraded by the nuclear exosome, as they accumulate in cells lacking Rrp6. How are these RNAs recognized by the nuclear exosome? We speculate that recognition by the nuclear surveillance machinery is coupled to the nuclear retention times of these molecules. Growing evidence suggests that splicing and surveillance are tightly connected and that the fate of pre-mRNAs is dictated by competition between degradation, splicing, and export machineries [Gudipati et al. 2012, Sayani and Chanfreau 2012]. Unspliced transcripts and splicing intermediates that are efficiently exported to the cytoplasm are presumably more likely to be degraded by the cytoplasmic RNA decay machinery, whereas transcripts with relatively slow export kinetics or long nuclear retention times are (also) targeted by the nuclear degradation pathway [Sayani and Chanfreau 2012]. This model also implies that slow transition kinetics from the first to the second step of splicing could render RNA more susceptible to nuclear degradation. The efficiency of the 3′ss selection depends on several factors, including the distance of 3′ss from the BP, secondary structure of the intron, and interactions with the specific protein factors [Box et al. 2008; Meyer et al. 2011]. Here we demonstrate that regulation of BDF2 by SMD can involve (at least) two different splicing events in which the same 5′ss is used but two different BP sequences [see Fig. 7]. We speculate that these two BPs are competing with each other for splicing factors and that selection of the BP is stochastic. If the spliceosome uses the upstream BP (+254), then it is very unlikely that the second splicing step will take place, as the BP is more than several hundred nucleotides away from 3′ss elements [Zhang et al. 2007; Harigaya and Parker 2012]. When the downstream BP is used, complete splicing can occur. Surprisingly, products gener-

---

Figure 7. Model for the regulation of BDF2 expression via SMD. In the presence of Bdf1, the spliceosome assembles on the BDF2 mRNA. This leads to cleavage at the 5′ss and (1) subsequent release of exon 1 and (2) complete splicing. Splicing products are degraded by the nuclear exosome (and 5′–3′ exonuclease Rat1 may contribute as well), leading to down-regulation of Bdf2 levels. In the absence of Bdf1, the BDF2 mRNA is not efficiently recognized by the spliceosome, and mainly nonspaced full-length BDF2 mRNA is generated.
ated by either pathway appear to be primarily degraded by the nuclear RNA surveillance machinery, as deletion of Rrp6 resulted in a substantial increase of partially and completely spliced products. Mutating either BP results in a twofold to threefold increase in \textit{BDF2} steady-state levels, suggesting that both pathways contribute significantly to SMD. The selection of BP and 3′ss signals is likely the rate-limiting step in splicing of \textit{BDF2}, and any delay presumably leads to the activation of the nuclear surveillance machinery. This model is supported by a recent study from the Baumann laboratory (Kannan et al. 2013) that focused on dissecting the mechanism of a one-step splicing reaction required for 3′ end formation of the telomerase RNA in fission yeast. This study reports that slow kinetics of the second splicing reaction due to the suboptimal positioning of the 3′ss could lead to the structural rearrangements of the spliceosome complex and subsequent discard of the intermediates. We propose that, depending on the rate of the second step of splicing, the spliceosome could either direct RNA degradation \textit{(BDF2)} or splice pre-mRNA \textit{(conventionally spliced mRNA-encoding genes)}. Importantly, each of these two scenarios leads to a completely different outcome: Production of unstable aberrant RNAs negatively impacts gene expression \textit{(Fig. 7)}, whereas conventional splicing produces functional protein-coding mRNA.

In \textit{S. cerevisiae}, only a small proportion of genes contains introns and encodes transcripts that are spliced. As a possible explanation for this phenomenon, it was previously proposed that introns in many genes of the budding yeast were “lost” during the course of evolution \textit{(Fink 1987)}. However, recent studies as well as our data show recruitment of the spliceosome coinciding with the presence of conserved splice signals on genes that are not known to be spliced. It seems unlikely that these conserved sequences were retained through evolution without any functional implication. Indeed, we observed that a number of RNAs undergo splicing, creating fully spliced but nonfunctional RNAs that are subsequently degraded via SMD. While spliced \textit{BDF2} products are mainly targeted in the nucleus by SMD, some products of unproductive splicing can also be degraded by NMD in the cytoplasm.

It is not clear exactly how many other genes are regulated by SMD to the same degree as \textit{BDF2}. Under the experimental conditions used, for some intronless transcripts in which we could detect splice junctions in the RNA-seq data, we could not detect a significant increase in pre-mRNA levels in splicing mutants, indicating that splicing of these mRNAs is inefficient. It is conceivable that the presence of (canonical) splice sites is sufficient to recruit the spliceosome and perhaps drive splicing to some degree, but for most transcripts, this is not sufficient to dramatically alter steady-state RNA levels. It is also possible that significant changes will only be detectable under specific growth or stress conditions. Examples of this regulation were reported in higher eukaryotes. Thus, the well-documented unproductive outcome of alternative splicing can be used to regulate the expression of specific genes by suppressing the production of a protein in the absence of the proper biological context \textit{(Lareau et al. 2007; Ni et al. 2007)}. For example, the expression of PTBP1 and PTBP2 factors is regulated via this mechanism in order to control their function during neuronal differentiation \textit{(Wollerton et al. 2004)}. In this scenario, alternative splicing results in production of a nonfunctional RNA degraded by NMD. Experiments in the laboratory are most frequently performed when cells are growing exponentially in high concentrations of glucose or galactose, conditions rarely found in nature. Under these conditions, cells devote the vast majority of their resources to the synthesis and splicing of pre-mRNAs encoding ribosomal proteins, masking the detection of less abundant or less efficient splicing events. Indeed, differential recruitment profiles of the spliceosome and degradation machineries to target substrates were reported in response to different carbon sources \textit{(Bousquet-Antonelli et al. 2000)}, environmental stress \textit{(Moore et al. 2006; Pleiss et al. 2007)}, the cell cycle \textit{(Reis and Campbell 2007; Mullen and Marzluff 2008)}, and meiosis \textit{(Moore et al. 2006; McPheeters et al. 2009; Cremona et al. 2011)}. \textit{BDF2} expression has also been reported to be significantly affected under different stress conditions \textit{(Causton et al. 2001; Tkach et al. 2012)}.

Consistent with recently published work, we also observed an increase in \textit{BDF2} mRNA levels upon deletion of another bromodomain-containing protein, \textit{BDF1}, whose function in transcription initiation is redundant with \textit{Bd2} \textit{(Matangkasombut et al. 2000; Durant and Pugh 2007)}). We reasoned that the spliceosome could potentially regulate \textit{Bd2} function in the cell by modulating its levels and therefore analyzed recruitment of U1 snRNP in \textit{bdf1Δ}. Indeed, our data suggest that the approximately threefold increase in \textit{BDF2} mRNA levels \textit{(and approximately twofold increase in protein level)} in \textit{bdf1Δ} is due to the reduced recruitment of the spliceosome to \textit{BDF2} \textit{(Fig. 7)}. The function of \textit{Bd1} in regulating gene expression via splicing, in addition to its role in transcription initiation, may explain the multiple phenotypes associated with the lack of \textit{Bd1}. For example, \textit{BDF1} deletion causes slow growth, temperature sensitivity, salt hypersensitivity, flocculence in liquid culture, sensitivity to the DNA-damaging agent MMS, poor sporulation, and inability to grow on nonfermentable carbon sources \textit{(Lygerou et al. 1994; Chua and Roeder 1995; Liu et al. 2007)}.

It remains to be determined whether \textit{Bd1} is directly involved in the recruitment of the splicing machinery or whether this is a secondary effect of its function in transcription. Deletion of \textit{Bd1} was reported to affect snRNA levels, indicating a role in transcription of these genes \textit{(Lygerou et al. 1994)}; however, a more recent study has questioned these findings \textit{(Albulescu et al. 2012)}. We favor a model in which \textit{Bd1} plays a direct role in regulating \textit{BDF2} expression via modulating spliceosome recruitment. The latter could be inferred from the fact that the presence of \textit{Bd1} on the \textit{BDF2} gene \textit{(Venters et al. 2011)} has no noticeable impact on transcription \textit{(Fig. 4C)}. Moreover, loss of \textit{Bd1} was previously linked with genome-wide defective splicing and impaired recruitment of the spliceosome to spliced genes, implying a general role in splicing \textit{(Albulescu et al. 2012)}. As regulation at
the level of chromatin has recently emerged as an additional regulatory mechanism affecting splicing (de Almeida and Carmo-Fonseca 2012), it would be interesting to examine whether Bdf1 function in splicing is mediated via recognition of the acetylated histones.

In conclusion, we propose that the cooperative contribution of multiple mechanisms during RNA processing—such as recruitment of the spliceosome, the checkpoint allowing the second step of splicing, and finally, recruitment of the exosome to the defective RNAs—is important to ensure dynamic regulation of gene expression in eukaryotes.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains

The S. cerevisiae strains used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table 4. A list of the oligonucleotides used is given in Supplemental Table 5.

Northern blotting

RNA extractions and Northern blot experiments were performed as previously described [Vasiljeva and Buratowski 2006]. Genespecific PCR-generated fragments were used as probes using the oligonucleotides listed in Supplemental Table 5.

Purification of the Sm complex

TAP-tagged Sm complex was purified from 16 L of yeast culture essentially as described in Vasiljeva and Buratowski [2006]. DNA was extracted from calmodulin-bound material and subjected to RNA-seq.

Transcriptional run-on

Transcriptional run-on was performed as described in Birse et al. (1997). Single-stranded probes for transcriptional run-on analysis were generated by in vitro transcription using T7 or SP6 polymerase from plasmids harboring regions corresponding to different regions of BDF2. BDP2- and ADH1-derived fragments were generated using the primers listed in Supplemental Table 5 and were cloned into the pCR-Blunt II-TOPO vector [Invitrogen].

ChIP experiments

ChIP procedures and quantification were performed as described [Keogh and Buratowski 2004, Kim et al. 2004]. For temperature shift experiments, cells were incubated at 23°C until OD(A600) = 0.5, and cells were further incubated for 120 min at 37°C. The primers are listed in Supplemental Table 5.

High-throughput RNA-seq

Procedures for cDNA library preparation and RNA-seq are described in the Supplemental Material. Raw (fastq) and processed (bedgraph and GTF files) RNA-seq data can be downloaded from the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus repository [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, accession number GSE49966].

Acknowledgments

We thank our colleagues at the University of Oxford—in particular, Shona Murphy and Nick Proudfoot—for their valuable comments on the manuscript, helpful discussions, and encouragement. We thank Jean Beggs for insightful suggestions and discussion. We are very grateful to Jeffrey Pleiss, Sherif Abou Elela, Roy Parker, and Steve Buratowski for strains and constructs. We thank the GenePool facility in Edinburgh for high-throughput sequencing. This work was supported by a research fellowship from the German Research Foundation [Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, KI1657/1-1 for C.K.] and Wellcome Trust Research and Career fellowships [for S.G. and L.V.].


Reis CC, Campbell JL. 2007. Contribution of Trf4/5 and the nuclear exosome to genome stability through regulation of histone mRNA levels in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Genetics* 175: 993–1010.


