Versatile and enhanced tumour modelling in mice via somatic cell transduction
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Abstract

Genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models of cancer currently comprise the most accurate way to experimentally recapitulate the human disease in the laboratory. Given recent advances in genomics and genetic screens, however, as well as an increasing urgency for the translation of effective preclinical treatments into the clinic, there is a pressing need to make these models easier and more efficient to work with. Accordingly, we have developed a versatile lentivirus-based approach to induce tumours from somatic cells of GEMs, add or subtract gene expression and render the tumours imageable from a simple breeding stock. The vectors deliver a tamoxifen-inducible and self-inactivating Cre recombinase, conditional bioluminescent and fluorescent proteins and an shRNA component. Following the transduction of somatic cells, tumours are initiated by Cre-mediated recombination of the inherited floxed alleles. Self-inactivation of Cre expression switches on the expression of luciferase, thereby rendering the recombined cells and resulting tumours bioluminescent. We demonstrate proof of concept of this approach by inducing bioluminescent lung tumours in conditional Kras and p53 mice. We also show that a variant vector expressing shRNA alters tumour growth dynamics and the histological grade associated with the inherited genotype. This approach comprises a versatile means to induce imageable and spontaneous tumour burden in mice. The vectors can be readily customized at the bench to modify reporter readout or tumour phenotype without additional transgenic strain development or breeding. They should also be useful for inducing imageable tumours in organs other than the lung, provided that the inherited conditional genotype is sufficiently penetrant.
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Introduction

Genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models of cancer currently comprise the most accurate way to experimentally recapitulate the human disease in the laboratory [1]. On a practical level, however, these models are difficult to work with, for several reasons. First, the genetic modifications underpinning these models are typically brought together through the germline, which is a time-consuming, inflexible and inefficient process. Second, tumour development in GEM models is stochastic and not readily visible at many locations in the body until a late stage. This limits the ability of these models to evaluate novel cancer treatments, as it is difficult to know when to initiate treatment or how to assess therapeutic response. An alternative embryonic stem (ES) cell chimera-based approach has been described recently that can broadly address this first limitation [2,3]; however, it is highly technical and relatively expensive, and consequently is not available to all researchers. Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) could efficiently obviate the second issue, as it has been shown to be useful in determining viable tumour burden non-invasively in mouse models in vivo [4–6]. When used optimally, BLI is the most sensitive preclinical imaging modality available and its relatively high-throughput nature makes it well suited for the analysis of small experimental cohorts. BLI imaging of tumours in mice relies upon the introduction of tumour-specific luciferase expression, however [7–10], which re-emphasises the first limitation and the technical considerations associated with the inflexible nature of GEM models.

We have developed an alternative and broadly accessible approach to address these limitations and to practically enhance GEM tumour models. It utilizes lentiviral vectors to stably deliver multiple transgenic elements to somatic cells of adult GEMs that have a...
simple conditional (floxed) oncogene and/or tumour suppressor genotype. The vectors contain inducible Cre recombinase (for tumour induction) and luciferase transgenes (for imaging), so resultant Cre-induced tumours in these mice stably express luciferase and are rendered visible by non-invasive BLI.

To demonstrate proof of concept, we generated a bioluminescent model of non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) by administering our vectors to the lungs of conditional KrasG12D only (K) and KrasG12D + p53 fl/fl (KP) mice by intranasal inhalation [11]. We show that imaging is sensitive, even from a relatively deep body location such as the lung, and highly specific, as only transduced cells emit light, a situation that cannot be easily achieved with existing approaches. In terms of breeding our approach is efficient, as the inherited genotype of the mice that develop bioluminescent tumours is greatly simplified. Our approach also has the capacity to add or subtract specific gene expression from the base inherited conditional genotype. Accordingly, we describe a variant vector with an additional shRNA component that alters tumour phenotype relative to the inherited genotype via the knock-down of endogenous p53 expression.

Materials and methods

Vectors and lentivirus production, purification and concentration

Constructs were cloned into the third-generation pBOBI lentiviral system (from Inder Verma, Salk Institute) and VSV-G-pseudotyped lentiviral particles were produced as described previously [12,13]. The relevant plasmid maps are included in the supplementary material (Figures S1–3). All viral supernatants were concentrated approximately 100-fold by ultracentrifugation at 25 000 × g for 2 h on a 20% sucrose cushion. Lentiviral titres were established by infection of HEK 293T cells with serially diluted virus and flow cytometry for red fluorescence. We routinely produced 1–5 × 10⁸ infectious units/ml.

In vitro validation of lentiviral constructs

HEK 293T cells were grown to 50% confluence on six-well dishes in phenol red-free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) with 10% charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum (FBS; Thermo Scientific HyClone, HYC-001-325B) and 2 mM L-glutamine. The cells were infected with approximately 2 × 10⁶ lentiviral particles and 500 ng/ml 4-hydroxymethoxifen (4-OHT; 70% Z-isoform, Sigma-Aldrich H6258) was added immediately to half of the wells. Media (with or without 4-OHT) was refreshed 24 h post-infection and reporter functionality was clearly evident 72 h post-transduction and induction. Fluorescence was assessed by flow cytometry (FACScalibur, BD Biosciences) and microscopy (Leica TCS SP5) by standard methods. In vitro bioluminescence was measured with an IVIS 200 series camera (Perkin-Elmer), approximately 5 min after supplementing the tissue culture medium with 150 µg/ml D-luciferin (Perkin-Elmer).

Bacterial promoter prediction

Plasmid vector DNA sequences were analysed for predicted consensus bacterial promoters, using BPROM [14] and BDGP Neural Network Promoter Prediction [15]. Both algorithms predicted a consensus bacterial promoter at the lox71 site of LV-indLS1, with scores of 80 and 0.98, respectively.

Mouse genotyping

Genomic DNA was prepared from earclip material, as described previously [16]. The genotype of mice with the LSL-KrasG12D allele [17] was determined by PCR, as described in [18], using primers 1 (5′-gtctttccccagcagtgtc-3′), 2 (5′-ctctttgagtaagtctgca-3′) and 3 (5′-acagtacccagctgtgtaagc-3′), cycled at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 34 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 61°C for 30 s and 72°C for 45 s, then 72°C for 5 min. The LSL-KrasG12D allele was 500 bp and the wild-type Kras allele 622 bp. The p53 floxed allele was genotyped by PCR, as described previously [19].

In vivo experiments

All mouse experiments were performed under the terms of a UK Government Home Office licence and were subject to internal institutional ethical review. All the mice used in this study were on a pure-bred C57/BL6 genetic background. Our mouse colony was established from breeding stock that had previously inherited a mutant tyrosinase allele. This resulted in infrequent albinism amongst our experimental cohorts but did not affect imaging sensitivity, as hair was removed prior to imaging. We found that maintaining mice on an alfalfa-free diet at all times (Testdiet, AIN93G) reduced non-specific background from the abdomen, which was otherwise more pronounced with our standard imaging settings at early time points, when light emission from the lungs was close to background.

Induction of lung tumours

K or KP mice, 8–12 weeks old, were anaesthetized with isoflurane and 1–5 × 10⁶ lentiviral particles, made up to a total volume of 70 µl in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 2 × 35 µl administrations), were administered to the lungs via intranasal inhalation [11]. One week after infection, the mice were imaged for bioluminescence to establish the day 0 baseline, then immediately injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with 100 µl tamoxifen (T5648, Sigma-Aldrich; 10 mg/ml in sunflower oil). Imaging and tamoxifen administration were repeated 48 h later.
**In vivo bioluminescence imaging**

Mice were anaesthetized with isoflurane and injected i.p. with 10 µg D-luciferin (15 mg/ml; Perkin-Elmer). Sequential bioluminescence images, each typically 3 min, large binning, t/stop 1, were then taken 10–20 min post-luciferin injection, using an IVIS 200 series imaging system (Perkin-Elmer). Light output was quantified at peak signal intensity, typically 14 or 18 min post-luciferin injection, using Living Image software (Perkin-Elmer).

**Histology and immunohistochemistry**

At the experimental end-point and immediately after a routine in vivo bioluminescence image, tumour-bearing or control animals were euthanized; the lungs were then quickly removed, inflated with PBS and imaged ex vivo with an IVIS 200 series camera. The lungs were then fixed in 4% PFA for 24 h, embedded in paraffin, and five 4 µm-thick sections were cut at 100 µm steps, with one slide stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) at each step, resulting in 8–12 step sections covering the whole three dimensions for each pair of lungs. These step sections were analysed for tumour formation, with counting of tumours (which were often present in several adjacent step sections). Tumours were assessed for the presence of invasion to distinguish adenocarcinomas from adenomas, and the adenomas were graded into those showing low-grade or high-grade dysplasia, using established criteria [20].

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) was performed on unstained sections following microwave-based antigen retrieval in citrate buffer and incubation with an anti-RFP primary antibody (ab34771; Abcam) at 1:400 dilution at 4°C overnight. The primary antibody was then detected by incubation with a biotinylated secondary antibody (diluted 1:200) and horseradish peroxidase (Vectastain ABC Kit, PK4005, Vector Laboratories). The slides were counterstained with haematoxylin.

**Results**

**In vitro validation**

To induce conditional and bioluminescent tumours in floxed mice, we built a lentivirus (LV–indLS1) that contained CreERT2 (tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombination), as well as firefly luciferase and mStrawberry expression cassettes. To couple expression of the transgenic reporters with tumour initiation and to limit the total size of the vector, we employed a single CAG promoter [21], flanked by inverted mutant loxP sites [22], to drive transgene expression. As depicted in Figure 1, only CreERT2 is expressed from the CAG promoter upon cell transduction. Following the functional activation of Cre recombination by administration of tamoxifen, the promoter inverts and locks in the opposite orientation, resulting in expression of the reporter transgenes and Cre inactivation. Should other conditional tumour-related alleles also be present in the cell, they too should be activated or deleted by Cre recombinase. Consequently, tumours initiated in this way will be amenable to in vivo visualization by BLI.

We first validated the functionality of the LV–indLS1 lentivirus in vitro by transducing HEK 293T cells, inducing with 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT) and measuring fluorescent and bioluminescent reporter transgene function by microscopy, flow cytometry and bioluminescence measurement. We initially observed high levels of background bioluminescence arising from transduced but non-induced cells (data not shown). Analysis of plasmid DNA showed that the lox71 site positioned immediately upstream of CreERT2 comprised a strong consensus bacterial promoter (see Materials and methods) and was recombining the vector prepackaging. To prevent this, we cloned a synthetic intron within the coding sequence of CreERT2 [23]. This intron disrupted the expression of functional Cre from the lox71 site in bacteria, but splicing permitted functional CreERT2 expression from the CAG promoter in mammalian cells. Transduction of this variant lentiviral vector (LV–indLS2) into HEK 293T cells showed much lower levels of non-induced reporter expression and an approximate six-fold increase in bioluminescence following the administration of 4-OHT to the tissue culture medium.

Flow-cytometric analysis indicated that the cells that switch on reporter expression in response to 4-OHT originate from a population of cells that already exhibit very low levels of reporter expression. Fluorescence from these cells was barely visible by fluorescence microscopy pre-4-OHT addition, but increased by two orders of magnitude post-induction. As the induction of reporter expression is binary (off or on), this observation implies that transduced and non-induced cells possess very low levels of reporter expression, possibly originating from either weak reverse activity of the CAG promoter or from the lox site (as observed in Escherichia coli). We exploited this, as the low intensity fluorescence from non-induced cells facilitated rapid titration of lentiviral packaging by flow cytometry. The robust and practically useful levels of reporter activity induced by 4-OHT, however, functionally validated all the transgenic components of LV–indLS2.

**In vivo application**

To induce enhanced spontaneous lung tumours in mice, we administered LV–indLS2 to the lungs of K and KP mice by intranasal inhalation (see Materials and methods). One week later, we imaged these mice to establish the non-induced baseline levels of bioluminescence, then induced Cre function with tamoxifen and imaged according to the schedule shown in Figure 2. Increased levels of bioluminescence were evident 48 h after the first dose of tamoxifen, indicating that the construct was switching in vivo. Levels of bioluminescence
continued to increase until day 17–20 post-tamoxifen, when levels returned to near baseline. The levels of bioluminescence remained low for several weeks before starting to rise again. Unambiguous bioluminescent foci then became evident in both cohorts, with levels of light increasing faster in KP than K mice, consistent with the fact that KP mice additionally lack p53 expression [24,25]. The histological grade of lesions from these mouse cohorts (as summarized in Table 1) was broadly consistent with the imaging data, with KP mice developing higher-grade lesions than the K mice. All of the tumours present were classified as adenomas and most were 1–2 mm in maximum dimension, with no evidence of invasion into surrounding structures. Heterogeneous regions of high-grade dysplasia, as evidenced by marked nuclear polymorphism and readily identifiable mitotic figures (indicative of low- to high-grade progression), were evident in the tumours from KP mice. A mild lymphoid hyperplasia within the lung parenchyma of some mice was also noted, but we have not determined whether this was due to tumour growth alone or whether lentiviral infection contributed to it.

We next asked whether we could convert the phenotype of a K mouse into a KP mouse by introducing an shRNA into the lentiviral vector to knock down endogenous p53 expression. We used a miR30 cassette that contained the 1224 p53 hairpin, as it had previously been shown to robustly knock down murine p53 when expressed from a RNA pol II promoter [26,27]. By positioning the miR30-1224 cassette immediately after the stop codon of the optical reporters [28], we were able to add this functionality to our base LV-indLS2 vector with only a 350 bp increase in total packaging size. Bioluminescence from cells transduced with this variant vector also indicates knock-down of endogenous gene expression.

The measured lung bioluminescence from K mice transduced with LV-indLSmiR1224 developed in a manner intermediate to that of its inherited genotype and KP mice (Figure 3). Unambiguous lung signal

**Figure 1.** (a) Schematic of lentiviral vector constructs: (1) LV–LS (control vector); (2) LV–indLS1; (3) LV–indLS2; and (4) LV–indLSmiR1224; CAG: robust and ubiquitous promoter; Lox66 and lox71, mutant lop sites; Luc_Strawberry, luciferase and mStrawberry co-expression cassette; CreERT2, tamoxifen-inducible Cre recombinase; i, synthetic intron in CreERT2; miR, miR30 cassette containing p53 [1224] hairpin. (b) Outline of the transgenic strategy to induce conditional and bioluminescent tumours in GEMs following transduction with LV–indLS2 and induction with tamoxifen: lop and lopDM, wild-type and double-mutant lop P sites, respectively. (c) Fluorescence images of culture plates showing tamoxifen inducibility of mStrawberry expression in HEK293T cells (top panels) and bioluminescence images showing tamoxifen inducibility of luciferase expression in HEK293T cells (lower panels). (d) Flow-cytometric analysis of HEK293T cells transduced with LV–indLS2, showing transition from low- to high-level expression of mStrawberry following tamoxifen induction.
Figure 2. Spontaneous and bioluminescent lung tumour induction in mice. (a) Lentivirus administration (LV adm), induction (Tam) and imaging schedule. (b) Longitudinal BLI of the different experimental cohorts of mice: (1) wild-type; (2) \(\text{Kras}^\text{only}\); and (3) \(\text{KP}\).

Table 1. Histological analysis of the lungs from the different experimental groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology</th>
<th>(\text{Kras}) ((n = 5))</th>
<th>(\text{KP}) ((n = 12))</th>
<th>(\text{Kras} + \text{mir1224}) ((n = 6))</th>
<th>WT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma LG (%)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma LG–HG (%)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma HG (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aged until approx. (days)</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some lesions had more than one type of pathology and some \(\text{Kras}^\text{only}\) mice emitted light without obvious tumour development. \(n\) number corresponds to the number of tumours analysed.

Adenoma LG, adenomas–low-grade dysplasia (mixed solid and papillary); Adenoma LG–HG, adenoma–low-grade with high-grade outgrowth; Adenoma HG, adenomas–high-grade dysplasia (mixed solid and papillary).

appeared approximately 1 month earlier in this cohort than in K or KP mice; however, once bioluminescence was established (after 100 days), the tumours did not appear to develop as quickly as those from the KP cohort. Histological analysis of lung tumours from these mice (Figures 4, S4, S5 and Table 1) at 7 months post-Cre induction showed that the tumour phenotype was broadly similar, but intermediate in terms of grade, to mice with inherited K and KP genotypes. In addition to developing tumours faster than K mice, \(\text{Kras}^+\text{mir1224}\) mice developed more frequent high-grade adenomas, but not as frequently as KP mice.

Total tumour burden in all luciferase-positive mice, irrespective of mouse genotype or lentiviral vector, ranged from between two and seven lesions/pair of lungs. This level of tumour burden should be useful for applied research purposes, as the tumours can develop for a longer period of time before total burden becomes problematic. Based on the routine titres of our lentiviral productions, 10-fold more lentivirus could be administered intranasally in an equivalent volume. This should initiate more tumours within the lung and, as tumour progression is stochastic, should result in a greater number of higher-grade lesions and a reduction in tumour latency.

Discussion

In an effort to enhance GEM models of cancer and render them more practicable and versatile, we have developed a lentiviral transduction-based approach to induce spontaneous, bioluminescent and genetically variant tumours in mice. A lentiviral Cre vector has been used in the past by others to induce tumours in the lungs of conditional \(\text{Kras}\) and \(p53\) mice [11]. We therefore chose to employ mice with this inherited genotype to validate the functionality and unique attributes of our vectors by inducing genetically enhanced and variant lung tumours.

To achieve this by conventional means would require combining four, possibly five, individually segregating alleles together by breeding; a conditional oncogenic \(\text{Kras}\) allele, two copies of a floxed \(p53\) allele, a luciferase reporter and potentially also a lung-specific Cre recombinase allele (or viral Cre) [8,24,29]. The introduction or removal of further gene expression in a compound GEM is not trivial, as additional GEM
mice need to be sourced or generated, then bred to generate the variant compound genotype. Should any genetic component subsequently prove suboptimal (e.g., unpredicted expression of reporter), it is not possible to modify without sourcing and introducing a variant GEM into the breeding stock.

An ES cell chimera approach was described recently which improves upon the conventional process [2,3]. It relies upon the in vitro manipulation and genetic modification of ES cells derived from GEM tumour models. Once derived, these ES cells can be maintained and precisely modified in vitro (e.g., targeted knock-in or knock-out) and so is a significantly faster and more versatile way to generate variant compound GEM lines than conventional breeding. Not all researchers have access to the facilities or the expertise needed to generate ES cells or ES cell chimeric mice, however, so, although powerful, this approach may not be available to all. From an imaging perspective, too, depending upon how luciferase transgene expression is regulated and the overall percentage contribution of modified ES cells in the resulting chimeric mouse,
high background levels of bioluminescence from non-transformed cells may significantly compromise the ability to detect small developing tumours.

Although not capable of introducing the same spectrum of genetic manipulations that the ES cell chimera approach can, the approach described here is a useful and broadly accessible method to generate variant and enhanced GEM tumours. Lentiviral vectors were needed for this purpose, as they have useful packaging limits (approximately 9 kb) and can stably transduce non-replicating cells. This latter attribute was essential in order to induce tumours from normal cells in adult mice and express transgenic components many cell divisions after the initial gene delivery event. Following lentiviral transduction, activation of Cre function initiates tumourigenesis by recombining the inherited conditional alleles of the GEM. Functional Cre also self-inactivates, a process that switches on bioluminescence from the conditional luciferase reporter cassette and limits the potential for Cre-induced toxicity or off-target/non-specific recombination [30,31]. Bioluminescence therefore indicates that cells are stably transduced and that they or a cellular ancestor have undergone Cre-mediated recombination. Not all floxed alleles will necessarily recombine in every transduced cell prior to Cre self-inactivation, and not all partially or fully recombined cells will necessarily develop into tumours. Fully recombined cells will be more likely to develop into tumours, however, and our approach better ensures that background bioluminescence arising from nearby transduced but non-transformed cells is minimized. The lung is a relatively deep organ in the mouse, so our ability to detect light (recombined cells) at nearly all stages post-Cre-activation exemplifies the sensitivity and specificity conferred by this approach. In comparison, computed
tomography (CT) imaging of mouse lung tumours cannot detect tumours until approximately 1 mm³ in size [32]. Non-invasive BLI of transduced mice did not resolve individual lung lesions, but rather was indicative of overall tumour burden within the lungs. This is sufficient to facilitate the selection of animals for therapeutic intervention scheduling or for more advanced, high-resolution and low-throughput imaging techniques, such as positron emission tomography (PET), single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and CT.

We administered 1–5 × 10⁹ lentiviral particles by intranasal inhalation to induce tumours in the lung but, in comparison to previously described adenoviral Cre studies, this generated fewer tumours, with a longer latency and proportionately fewer high-grade lesions. As spontaneous tumour development is a stochastic process, we speculate that the increasing number of transduced and recombined cells will result in more frequent, higher-grade lesions with a shorter latency. Based on our routine packaging efficiency, as much as 10-fold more lentivirus could be administered by inhalation without increasing the administered volume. Also, we use VSV-G envelope to pseudotype our lentivirus, which confers broad infectivity to many mammalian cell types but is not optimal for infecting lung epithelium, unless administered with lysophosphatidylcholine [33]. There may also be a difference attributable to the way in which Cre is expressed. Adenoviral Cre expresses functional Cre recombinase for the duration of time that it transiently persists in a transduced cell. In comparison, given the stable nature of lentiviral-mediated transgenesis, our tamoxifen-inducible and self-inactivating Cre limits functional recombination to a short period of time.

It should be noted, however, that the induction of too many tumours in the lung could compromise normal lung function prior to sufficient tumour maturation, and so limit certain applied research applications.

Additional functionality was introduced with a variant construct (LV–indLS2miR1224) that co-expressed a well-validated shRNA component [26,27] with the luciferase reporter. Although not equivalent to the inherited p53 fl/fl genotype, we show that the addition of a miR specific to murine p53 could accelerate the rate and histological grade of lung tumours developing in mice with only an inherited conditional Kras genotype. Subject to possessing a suitable shRNA, variant vectors can be generated rapidly at the bench and used to investigate the contribution made by specific genes to either tumour aetiology or response to intervention.

A significant advantage of our approach is versatility. The lentiviral vectors are designed to be modular, such that the promoter, reporter and miR30 cassette can all be customized with a minimum of additional cloning steps. Varying these parameters should permit tailoring of a GEM to confer cell-type specific tumour induction within a transduced organ (promoter), imaging of variant tumour-related parameters (reporter) or the rapid generation of variant model phenotypes (shRNA or oncogenes, subject to virus packaging constraints) from a simple and common breeding stock. These vectors can also be used in the context of other tumour-related floxed alleles, e.g. c-myc [34] and PTEN [35,36], so, depending upon where the vectors are administered and the penetrance of the genetics, they should be able to induce imageable tumours in a variety of organs.

We have observed reporter function following direct injection of a control reporter-expressing lentivirus (LV–LS) into smooth muscle, skin, pancreas and breast (data not shown). Therefore, subject to the recipient GEM possessing the relevant conditional alleles, our approach should be able to induce enhanced tumours at those body locations.

Taken together, the vectors described here add to the range of useful options available to researchers to address the pressing experimental need for accurate, but more versatile and informative, tumour modelling with GEMs.
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SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The following supplementary material may be found in the online version of this article:

**Figure S1.** Plasmid map of the lentiviral construct LV–LS1

**Figure S2.** Plasmid map of the lentiviral construct LV–indLS2

**Figure S3.** Plasmid map of the lentiviral construct LV–indLS2miR1224

**Figure S4.** Further representative examples of end-stage bioluminescence images (lungs *ex vivo*) and histology

**Figure S5.** Higher-magnification image of the tumours depicted in Figure S4