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Chicken Cells Sense Influenza A Virus Infection through MDA5 and CARDIF Signaling Involving LGP2

Matthias Liniger, Artur Summerfield, Gert Zimmer, Kenneth C. McCullough, and Nicolas Ruggli

Institute of Virology and Immunoprophylaxis (IVI), Mittelhäusern, Switzerland

Avian influenza viruses (AIV) raise worldwide veterinary and public health concerns due to their potential for zoonotic transmission. While infection with highly pathogenic AIV results in high mortality in chickens, this is not necessarily the case in wild birds and ducks. It is known that innate immune factors can contribute to the outcome of infection. In this context, retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) is the main cytosolic pattern recognition receptor known for detecting influenza A virus infection in mammalian cells. Chickens, unlike ducks, lack RIG-I, yet chicken cells do produce type I interferon (IFN) in response to AIV infection. Consequently, we sought to identify the cytosolic recognition elements in chicken cells. Chicken mRNA encoding the putative chicken analogs of CARDIF and LGP2 (chCARDIF and chLGP2, respectively) were identified. HT7-tagged chCARDIF was observed to associate with mitochondria in chicken DF-1 fibroblasts. The exogenous expression of chCARDIF, as well as of the caspase activation and recruitment domains (CARDs) of the chicken melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (chMDA5), strongly activated the chicken IFN-β (chIFN-β) promoter. The silencing of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 reduced chIFN-β levels induced by AIV, indicating their involvement in AIV sensing. As with mammalian cells, chLGP2 had opposing effects. While overexpression decreased the activation of the chIFN-β promoter, the silencing of endogenous chLGP2 reduced chIFN-β induced by AIV. We finally demonstrate that the chMDA5 signaling pathway is inhibited by the viral nonstructural protein 1. In conclusion, chicken cells, including DF-1 fibroblasts and HD-11 macrophage-like cells, employ chMDA5 for sensing AIV.
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fibroblast and HD-11 macrophage-like cells sense AIV infections, leading to type I IFN secretion. We show that chMDA5 acts as the chicken PRR responsible for sensing influenza A virus infections in the two chicken cell lines. In addition, we identified chCARDIF, which is essential to mediate chMDA5-dependent type I IFN induction. We also identified chLGP2 and show that it functions as a positive regulator of chMDA5 signaling, similarly to LGP2 in the mammalian RLR pathway. Importantly, the NS1 protein of AIV inhibited the chMDA5 signaling, as is the case for RIG-I signaling in mammalian cells. These data highlight the relevance of the chMDA5 helicase pathway in sensing AIV.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells. DF-1 and HEK293T cells (both from ATCC, Manassas, VA) were propagated in Dulbecco’s minimal essential medium (DMEM) plus Glutamax-I (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Biowest). HD-11 (4) and CEC-32 (45) cells were cultivated in DMEM plus Glutamax-I supplemented with 2% chicken serum (Invitrogen) and 8% heat-inactivated FBS. CEC-32 cells carry a luciferase gene under the control of the IFN-responsive chicken MX promoter and were used to quantify bioactive chicken type I IFN. All avian cells were incubated at 39°C. Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells were propagated in MEM with 10% FBS, nonessential amino acids, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (all from Invitrogen). The stable cell line MDCK-NS1-GFP, expressing influenza A NS1 (kindly provided by Adolfo Garcia-Sastre, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York, NY) was propagated in MDCK medium with 0.1 mg/ml hygromycin B (Calbiochem, Basel, Switzerland).

Viruses. A set of eight pHW2000-based plasmids encompassing the gene segments of the LPAIV A/huck/Hokkaido/Vac-1/0-4 (H5N1) (Vac-1/0-4) (48) virus was kindly provided by Yoshida Sakoda (Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan) with the permission of Robert G. Webster (Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children’s Research Hospital, Memphis, TN). The rescue of viruses from transfected cocultures of HEK293T and MDCK cells was performed as described previously (16) using TransIT-T293 (Mirus, Madison, WI). The viruses were amplified in MDCK cells in the presence of 1 μg/ml tosylsulfonyl phenylalanyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-trypsin (Worthington Biochemical, Lakewood, NJ), and the titers were determined as 50% tissue culture infectious doses (TCID50/ml) by monitoring the cytopathic effect (CPE) of endpoint dilutions on the same cells. Vac-1/04 virus devoid of the NS1 gene (Vac-ΔNS1) was rescued by transfecting cocultures of HEK293T and MDCK-NS1-GFP cells with the plasmid pHW-2000-NS-V-ΔNS1 (see below) and the seven parent Vac-1/04 plasmids. Vac-ΔNS1 virus stocks were produced and titrated on MDCK-NS1-GFP cells. All experiments with AIV were performed under biosafety level 3 (BSL-3) conditions. Recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) replicons devoid of the G surface glycoprotein were packaged into infectious particles using a BHK cell line expressing G protein. The VSV* MLuc replicon expresses a mutant matrix protein which cannot antagonize the induction of type I IFN (17). The VSV* MLuc replicon expressing firefly luciferase was constructed as described elsewhere (3).

Site-directed mutagenesis of NS1. The position of the reported A149V substitution in NS1 (28) corresponds to position 144 in the NS1 of A/chicken/Yamaguchi/7/04 (H5N1) virus (19). To insert the A144V mutation, the 5′-phosphorylated oligonucleotides Y-NS1mutAV-R and Y-NS1mutAV-F (Table 1 lists all oligonucleotides used for plasmid constructions) were used for PCR with the pHW2000-derived plasmid carrying the Yamaguchi-7/04 NS segment as the template. The religated DNA fragment resulted in plasmid pHW-Y-NS1A144V. The coding regions of Yamaguchi-7/04 NS1 and NS1-A144V were amplified with NS1-L and NS1-VV-R and cloned with BamHI and EcoRI into pcDNA6-V5-His B (Invitrogen). The Vac-1/04 NS1 and NS1-A149V expression plasmids were cloned accordingly. For the NS1-deficient construct, the pHW2000-derived plasmid carrying the Vac-1/04 NS segment served as the template in a PCR using the 5′-phosphorylated oligonucleotides dNS1-V-R and dNS1-V-L. The product was ligated to obtain plasmid pHW-2000-NS-V-ΔNS1.

SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. Cells were lysed in a hypotonic buffer containing 20 mM morpholinepropanesulfonic acid, 10 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1% Triton X-100 (pH 6.5), and protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma). Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE under reducing conditions and analyzed by Western blotting (41) using anti-V5 (Invitrogen), anti-HaloTag (Promega), or anti-β-actin (C4) antibodies (Santa Cruz) and IRDye-labeled secondary antibodies (Li-Cor Biosciences). The signals were acquired and quantified using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences).

Design of chicken IFN-β and MX promoter reporter plasmids. The chicken IFN-β promoter (GenBank accession no. Y14960.1) (47) and Mx promoter (GenBank accession no. EF487534.1) were amplified from White Leghorn chicken genomic DNA by PCR using the oligonucleotides ch-P-IFNb-R or ch-P-Mx-L and ch-P-Mx-R, respectively. The fragments were cloned with Smal and HindIII to replace the mouse Mx promoter in pGL3-Mx1P-FF-Luc (a kind gift of Georg Kochs, Department of Virology, University of Freiburg, Germany) (20), resulting in pGL3-P-chIFN-β-luc and pGL3-P-Mx-luc.

Preparation and enzymatic treatments of RNA stimuli. Polynosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly(C)-poly(I)) (pC:pI) sodium was purchased from Sigma. The production of in vitro-transcribed RNA (IVT-RNA) was performed as described previously (18), with minor modifications. HindIII-linearized pBluescriptII SK+ served as the template using the MEGAscript T7 kit (Ambion). After Dnase I treatment, the IVT-RNA was purified using Mini-Quick Spin Oligo Columns (Roche). Corresponding synthetic ssRNA (51 nucleotides) devoid of 5′-ppp structures was obtained from Microsys (Balgach, Switzerland). For dephosphorylation, 15 μg of RNA was treated with 200 U of calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIP; Promega). RNA digestions (15 μg) were performed using an RNase cocktail (Ambion) in 100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM dithiothreitol (pH 7.9) for 2 h at 37°C. All reaction mixtures were heat inactivated at 65°C for 15 min and purified twice using Mini-Quick Spin Oligo columns (Roche).

Transient promoter reporter assays in chicken DF-1 cells. To determine chicken IFN-β and Mx promoter activities, DF-1 cells grown in 96-well plate format at 10×104 to 4×104 cells/well were cotransfected with 25 to 50 ng/well of pGL3-P-chIFN-β-luc or pGL3-P-chMx-luc and 0.1 mg/well of a plasmid constitutively expressing Renilla luciferase (pRL-SV40; Promega) using Fugene HD (Roche). HEK293T cells were transfected with plasmid p125Luc (61) and pRL-SV40. Cells were lysed using 20 μl of 1× passive lysis buffer (Promega), and samples were assayed for firefly and Renilla luciferase activity using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) and a Centro LB 960 luminoimeter (Berthold Technologies).

Chicken type 1 IFN bioassay. Bioactive type I chicken interferon (IFN) was measured using CEC-32 reporter cells (kindly provided by Peter Staeheli) adapted to 96-well plate format (33, 45). To avoid interference with the bioassay, p(1C) and IVT-RNA were removed from DF-1 or HD-11 cells after 3 h. Virus interference was avoided by the heat inactivation of the samples at 65°C for 30 min, which did not influence type I chicken bioactivity.

Cloning of the putative chicken CARDIF. A BLASTp search of the ReSeQ chicken protein database at NCBI using the murine CARDIF protein sequence (NCBI NP_659137.1) retrieved a protein sequence of 641 amino acids with unknown function, designated hypothetical protein LOC422936 (NP_001012911.1) (6). The corresponding cDNA sequence (GenBank no. NM_001012893.1) was used to design the oligonucleotides ch-CARDIF-L and ch-CARDIF-R for reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) from chicken spleen RNA. The PCR fragment was cloned into pcCR-TOPO and transferred with Knpl and EcoRI to pcDNA6-V5-His B. The nucleotide sequence of the putative chicken CARDIF was
TABLE 1 Oligonucleotides used for plasmid constructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5′–3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y-NS1mutAV-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TCCCTTCTCTGTGAAAACTCTAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-NS1mutAV-P&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>GCAAATGTTGGGAGAATATCTCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS1-Y-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATTTGATCCACATGGATCTTCGACACTTGTGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS1-VY-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATCTTATCAACTCTTGAGTCAATTGAGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNS1-V-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CTGAAAAGCTGACAGATTGGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dNS1-V-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>GACATAGCTGATGAGGATGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-P-IFNβ-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TACGCCCGGTCCCTACCTTGTTGATCATGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-P-IFNβ-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TACGCCCGGTCCCTACCTTGTTGATCATGAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-P-Myx-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TACGGGGTGCTGTGCACTACCAACAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-P-Myx-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TACGGGGTGCTGTGCACTACCAACAGGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-CARDIF-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAGGTACACCACTAGGTTGCCGGAAGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-CARDIF-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAGGTACACCACTAGGTTGCCGGAAGCAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-chCARDIF-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATTCCTTTTCTGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-chCARDIF-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATTCCTTTTCTGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-d-chCARD-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TATAGCGATCGCAATGGCTACACGTCATTTCTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-chTM-R&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CCATGTATTTAACCATGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halo-ctrl-F&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CCGCTGATACAATCTGAGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halo-ctrl-R&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CCGCTGATACAATCTGAGTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-IRF-3-L&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-MDA5-L&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CAACTTTGCCACCATGGCTACACGTCATTTCTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-MDA5-int-L&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATTCCTTTTCTGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch-MDA5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;-R&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TATAGCGATCGCAATGGCTACACGTCATTTCTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chRLR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chRLR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;-Stop_BamHI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATTCCTTTTCTGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hRIG-I-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TATAGCGATCGCAATGGCTACACGTCATTTCTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hRIG-I-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>TATAGCGATCGCAATGGCTACACGTCATTTCTTTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huMDA5-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>huMDA5-S&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;-R&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CATGAATTCCTTTTCTGCAATCTGTGACACTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1hp-L&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1hp-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-sh-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-sh-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-sh3-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-sh3-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-sh3-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-sh3-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chIRF3-sh3-F&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chIRF3-sh3-R&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ATAAAGCTTGGACACCATGGCGAGCTGGAGGACAGC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Oligonucleotides used for PCR-based cloning.

<sup>b</sup>Oligonucleotides used for the construction of pRFPRNA<sup>C</sup>-derived plasmids for the expression of short hairpin RNA (the target sequence is marked in capitals). The 5′ base of the sense strands (underlined in the oligonucleotide sequences) were modified to form mismatches to mimic the endogenous miRNA30.

determined from both strands of two independent clones (GenBank no. HQ845772). The sequence was found to be identical to GenBank no. NM_001012893.1.

Construction of plasmids encoding untagged or N-terminally HT7-tagged chCARDIF and deletion mutants thereof. The chCARDIF open reading frame (ORF) was amplified by PCR using the oligonucleotides N-chCARDIF-F and N-chCARDIF-R. A chCARDIF lacking the putative N-terminal CARD domain was designed using the oligonucleotides N-chCARD-F and N-chCARD-R. A deletion mutant devoid of the putative C-terminal transmembrane domain (TM) was constructed using the oligonucleotides N-chCARDIF-F and d-chTM-R. A further double deletion construct, lacking the CARD and the TM domains, was generated with the oligonucleotides N-d-chCARD-F and d-chTM-R. To generate N-terminally HaloTag7 (HT7)-tagged proteins, the different chCARDIF fragments were inserted in pFN21K-HT7-CMV (Promega) between the AsISI and Pmel sites. A control plasmid for the expression of the HT7 tag alone was constructed by ligating a DNA cassette composed of the annealed 5′-phosphorylated oligonucleotides Halo-ctrl-F and Halo-ctrl-R into the SgfI and Pmel sites of pFN21K-HT7-CMV. To obtain the corresponding untagged constructs, the pFN21K-HT7-CMV-derived constructs were digested with the restriction endonucleases Nhel and XhoI, and the overhangs were filled in with the large Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I (New England BioLabs) before religation and sequence verification.

chCARDIF localization study. The plasmid pHcRed1-Mito (Clontech), encoding far-red fluorescent protein HcRed1 fused to the mitochondrial targeting sequence of the human cytochrome c oxidase subunit VII, was used to label mitochondria. DF-1 cells were cotransfected with pHcRed1-Mito and plasmids encoding N-terminally HT7-tagged chCARDIF or deletion mutants thereof. The next day, the cells were treated with the green fluorescent HaloTag R110 direct ligand (Promega) to stain the HT7-tagged proteins, as described by the manufacturer. Image acquisition employed a Leica TCS-SL spectral confocal microscope together with Leica LCS software (Leica Microsystems AG). Images were analyzed and micrographs prepared using the Imaris 7.2 software program (Bitplane AG).
Cloning of chMDA5, putative chLGP2, and chIRF3. chMDA5 was cloned based on the GenBank no. XM_422031.2 sequence. The N-terminal fragment was amplified with the oligonucleotides chMDA5-L and chMDA5-BamHI-R and was cloned with HindIII and BamHI sites into pCDNA6-V5-His B. The C-terminal part was amplified with chMDA5-int-L and ch-MDA5-R. The plasmid pCDNA6-chMDA5 was obtained by cloning the BamHI- and PmeI-digested C-terminal fragment into the BamHI and EcoRV sites. Sequence analysis of three independent clones revealed a histidine-to-arginine change at position 477 (H477R) compared to the published chMDA5 (8) and NP_001180567.1 sequences. chLGP2 N-terminal 642 amino acids was obtained by RT-PCR using the oligonucleotides ch-LGP2-F and chLGP2-R. The putative chicken LGP2 (chLGP2) was cloned by amplification from chicken spleen RNA by RT-PCR using the oligonucleotides ch-LGP2-F and ch-LGP2-R. The nucleotide sequence accession number.

Expression of shRNA and siRNA transfection. For specific gene knockdown in chicken cells, pRFRNaiC-based silencing (ARK-Genomics, Scotland, United Kingdom) was applied (10). The 22-nucleotide RNA interference (RNAi) target sequences were designed using the Genscript RNAi target finder (https://www.genscript.com/sil-bin/app/rnai/). Hairpins to clone into the microRNA (miRNA) cloning site were generated by PCR using random and gene-specific oligonucleotides together with 1hp-L and 1hp-R (Table 1). The control short hairpin RNA (shRNA) (CTRL-sh) sequence was derived from a random short interfering RNA (siRNA) designed by Microsynth (Balgach, Switzerland). The PCR products were cloned with NheI and MluI into pRFRNaiC. Double-stranded siRNAs (21-mers with TT overhangs at the 3’ ends) were designed and purchased as annealed duplexes from Microsynth (Table 1).

RESULTS
Chicken DF-1 and HD-11 cells can be stimulated with dsRNA and IVT-RNA to produce type I interferon. To characterize the response of chicken cell lines to stimulation with RNA mimicking the danger signals associated with viral infections, DF-1 fibroblast cells and HD-11 macrophage-like cells were stimulated with dsRNA and 5’-triphosphorylated ssRNA analogues. DF-1 cells responded to transfected p(IEC) [t-p(IEC)] and to transfected 5’-triphosphorylated IVT-RNA (t-IVT-RNA) with a strong IFN-β promoter induction, similarly to the case for the human HEK293T cell line (Fig. 1A and B). Accordingly, bioactive type I chIFN was detected in the supernatant of DF-1 and HD-11 cells, with a 10-fold higher response measured in HD-11 cells (Fig. 1C and D). The transfection of all cell lines with ssRNA of the same sequence as the IVT-RNA but devoid of 5’ triphosphates did not induce any detectably type I IFN response (Fig. 1A to G).

Chicken cells respond to dephosphorylated IVT-RNA, unlike HEK293T cells. As expected from previous reports (18), IVT-RNA from which the 5’-ppp were removed enzymatically lost their ability to activate the IFN-β promoter in human HEK293T cells (Fig. 1E). Surprisingly, the CIP treatment of IVT-RNA did not alter the capacity of t-IVT-RNA to induce the chIFN-β promoter in DF-1 cells and type I chIFN secretion in HD-11 cells (Fig. 1F).

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (5’–3’)</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTRL-shRNA</td>
<td>AGGUAGUUAUAGGCUUUG</td>
<td>siRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-shRNA2</td>
<td>AAGAAGGAGGAAUUCAGA</td>
<td>siRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-shRNA3</td>
<td>AAUAUGUAUCGUCUGCA</td>
<td>siRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-shRNA2</td>
<td>UGCUGACAGACGCUUUG</td>
<td>siRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chLGP2-shRNAA</td>
<td>UGAGGAUGUACCGCGG</td>
<td>siRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avi185-F</td>
<td>TCCCTCCTGGTGTTGGA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avi185-R</td>
<td>GGCCTCTGGCGCATGTA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avi185-P</td>
<td>FAM-ACTTGTGTTAATCTTACAGCTA-BHQ1</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chIFN-β-F</td>
<td>CCTCCACACCTCTTCAACTG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chIFN-β-R</td>
<td>TGGGGTGCCGCTAC</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chIFN-β-P</td>
<td>FAM-TTAGAGCCCAACATCTCAACACTG-TAMRA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-F</td>
<td>GAGACGAGACAGTCTCTGTG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-R</td>
<td>CACCCTGCTGTCGATGTA</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chMDA5-P</td>
<td>FAM-CAGCTGCTGACCCGCGT-BHQ1</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-F</td>
<td>CACCAAGAAGTCATG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-R</td>
<td>TGCTCTATCGAGACTCATG</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chCARDIF-P</td>
<td>FATCCTACCCCCAGCTGCCCGCT-BHQ1</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein; BHQ1, black hole quencher I; TAMRA, 6-carboxytetramethylrhodamine.
The response to dephosphorylated t-IVT-RNA was dependent on the presence of RNA, as the RNase treatment abolished the induction of type I IFN (Fig. 1E to G). These data suggest that DF-1 and HD-11 cells sense IVT-RNA by intracellular RIG-I-like receptors independently of the 5′=3′-ppp moieties, as opposed to human HEK293T cells.

DF-1 cells respond to danger signals mediated by H5N1 AIV. The infection of DF-1 cells with LPAIV Vac-1/04 (Fig. 1H) and HPAIV Yamaguchi-7/04 (data not shown) did not activate the chIFN-β promoter regardless of the multiplicity of infection (MOI). However, a recombinant Vac-1/04 virus lacking the entire NS1 gene (Vac-NS1) induced a strong upregulation of the chIFN-β promoter in an MOI-dependent manner (Fig. 1H). This shows that DF-1 cells can sense AIV in the absence of RIG-I. Importantly, wild-type Vac-1/04 counteracts the activation of type I chIFN by means of the NS1 protein, as expected from previous reports (27, 45).

Identification, cloning, and sequence analysis of the putative chicken CARDIF and chicken LGP2. To characterize the RLR signaling pathway involved in the induction of type I chIFN in chicken cells, we sought to characterize chicken genes coding for potential CARD-like domains similar to those found in the mammalian RIG-I, MDA5, and CARDIF proteins. We identified a putative chicken ortholog (GenBank accession number NP_001012911.1) of the mammalian CARDIF based on a BLASTP search of the chicken genome reference protein database at NCBI using the murine CARDIF amino acid sequence. This protein was previously described in a phylogenic tree of known and predicted CARDIF proteins from various species but not further characterized (55). Therefore, we cloned and sequenced the open reading frame of the putative chCARDIF and deposited the nucleotide sequence in GenBank (accession number HQ845772). Forty percent identity was found for the N-terminal 95 amino acids that form the characteristic CARD domain present in mammalian CARDIF proteins (39). The Conserved Domain Database (CDD) at NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd) predicts a CARD domain (CARD_IPS1 [cd08811]) from amino acids 5 to 88 of the putative chCARDIF. Apart from the putative N-terminal CARD domain that is conserved from pufferfish to human, the amino acid sequence alignment of CARDIF revealed only limited identity between the full-length chicken protein and the human and mouse CARDIF sequences (26.3 and 21.7% identity, respectively).
also identified a closely related putative CARDIF sequence from the zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata; GenBank accession number XP_002188030.1) that shares 49% amino acid identity with the full-length putative chCARDIF. SMART analysis (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) and the TMHMM server v. 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM-2.0/) were used to predict TM domains in the putative chicken CARDIF protein sequence. The two software programs identified a C-terminal TM domain spanning from amino acids 617 to 636, which is consistent with the mammalian CARDIF proteins being mitochondrial transmembrane proteins. A proline-rich region was found in the central region of the putative chCARDIF, which is also in agreement with the mammalian CARDIF.

We also identified expressed sequence tags (ESTs) of a putative chicken LPG2 (chLGP2) based on similarities with mammalian LGP2 terminal sequences. The open reading frame of the putative chicken LPG2 was cloned and entirely sequenced. The nucleotide sequence was deposited in GenBank under the accession number HQ845773. The putative chLGP2 gene encodes a protein of 674 amino acids with 53 and 52% identity with human and mouse LGP2, respectively. Consistently with mammalian LGP2, CDD analysis revealed that chLGP2 also is devoid of any CARD domain and consists of a DEAD-like helicase superfamily domain (DEXDc [cd00046]; from amino acids 18 to 170), a helicase superfamily C-terminal domain (HELICc [cd00079]; amino acids 344 to 470), and the RIG-I regulatory domain (RIG-I C-RD [pfam11648]; amino acids 549 to 666).

The putative chCARDIF is associating with mitochondria. Confocal microscopy was used to analyze the subcellular localization of the putative chCARDIF. To this end, we expressed N-terminally HT7-tagged chCARDIF (chCARDIF), HT7-tagged chCARDIF with deleted N-terminal CARD domain (chCARDIF-ΔCARD), HT7-tagged chCARDIF with deleted C-terminal TM (chCARDIF-ΔTM), or HT7-tagged chCARDIF with both CARD and TM deleted (chCARDIF-ΔCARD-ΔTM). The red fluorescence of the HcRed1 protein and the green fluorescence of the HaloTag R110 direct ligand covalently bound to HT7-tagged protein were analyzed by confocal microscopy with the Imaris 7.2 software program. The top row shows micrographs obtained by combining a series of sections taken for the selected cell to create a 3D image; this is shown as the whole cell (depicted using the Surface analysis module of Imaris 7.2), overlapping green and red fluorescence in this cell, green fluorescence alone, and red fluorescence alone (reading from left to right). The second micrograph for each construct shows a small box which depicts the region of the zoomed image shown in the middle and bottom rows. This middle row shows a maximum intensity projection (MIP) display of the image in 3D, while the bottom row shows a shadow projection display of the image in 3D. The MIP and shadow projection displays have been turned to give a clear appreciation of the positioning of the two colors: a few degrees for the chCARDIF and chCARDIF-ΔCARD images, 180° for the chCARDIF-ΔTM image, and 90° for the chCARDIF-ΔCARD-ΔTM image. The scale bars represent 5 to 10 μm in the top row and 1 to 2 μm in the middle and bottom rows, as indicated.
associated with the red mitochondria in the three-dimensional (3D) images (middle and bottom rows). The rotation of the image confirmed this interpretation (data not shown). The deletion of the CARD domain from chCARDIF gave images exemplified by those shown in the second panel of Fig. 2 (chCARDIF-/H9004CARD). These demonstrated that in the absence of the CARD domain, the green chCARDIF still could associate with the red mitochondria. Zooming in on the boxed area marked on the second micrograph in the top row again showed a close association in the 3D images obtained. Indeed, one could not distinguish the degree of association between CARD-deleted chCARDIF and mitochondria from that observed with the intact chCARDIF or chIRF3. The expression of human RIG-I (huRIG-I) served as a positive control. (A to D) The firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined after overnight incubation and expressed relative to vector control-transfected cultures. (E) DF-1 cells were transfected with chCARDIF or N-chMDA5 (both 1 µg/ml) and 18 h later were infected with a luciferase-expressing VSV replicon [VSVΔG(Luc); MOI, 10] for 8 h. Data were obtained from quadruplicate transfections and are representative of at least two independent experiments.

FIG 3 chCARDIF is an activator of type I IFN. The impact of the exogenous expression of the putative chCARDIF on the chIFN-β (A and C) and chMx (B) promoters in DF-1 cells, on the huIFN-β promoter in HEK293T cells (D), and on the establishment of an antiviral state in DF-1 cells (E) is shown. Promoter reporter assays were performed by the cotransfection of the indicated reporter plasmids together with decreasing amounts of plasmids expressing the N-terminal CARD domains of chMDA5 (N-chMDA5), putative chCARDIF, chIRF3, or empty plasmid as a vector control (A and B). (C) Using the same approach, chCARDIF was compared to mutants lacking the putative CARD domain (chCARDIF-CARD), the predicted transmembrane domain (chCARDIF-TM), or the two domains (chCARDIF-CARD-TM). (D) HEK293T cells were cotransfected with plasmids to conduct the human IFN-β reporter assay and with chCARDIF or chIRF3. The expression of human RIG-I (huRIG-I) served as a positive control. (A to D) The firefly and Renilla luciferase activities were determined after overnight incubation and expressed relative to vector control-transfected cultures. (E) DF-1 cells were transfected with chCARDIF or N-chMDA5 (both 1 µg/ml) and 18 h later were infected with a luciferase-expressing VSV replicon [VSVΔG(Luc); MOI, 10] for 8 h. Data were obtained from quadruplicate transfections and are representative of at least two independent experiments.
chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 are required to sense viral danger signals in chicken cells. (A to D) The influence of the shRNA-mediated silencing of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 on the induction of the chIFN-β promoter reporter was analyzed in DF-1 cells. (E and F) The effects of siRNA mediated silencing of chMDA5 and chCARDIF on AIV-induced chIFN-β promoter activity. Note that the shRNA directed against chMDA5 is targeted to the 5′-terminal region of the mRNA. The cells were stimulated with the expression of N-chMDA5 (100 ng/ml), chCARDIF (200 ng/ml), or chIRF3 (200 ng/ml) using pcDNA6-derived constructs (A); with t-p(I:C) (1.5 μg/ml) (B); with t-IVT RNA (0.5 μg/ml) or with VSV G(Luc), expressing firefly luciferase (Fig. 3E). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that the protein identified as putative chCARDIF is part of the type I chIFN induction cascade, in analogy to the mammalian CARDIF.

The putative chCARDIF mediates type I chIFN induction downstream of chMDA5 and upstream of chIRF3. The mammalian CARDIF functions downstream of RIG-I and MDA5 and upstream of IRF3 in the type I IFN induction cascade (46). To verify whether this also applies to the putative chCARDIF in chicken cells, the activation of the chIFN-β promoter in DF-1 cells was analyzed after the silencing of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 gene expression using the pRFPRNAiC-driven synthesis of specific shRNA (Fig. 4A). The silencing of chMDA5 significantly reduced the activation of the chIFN-β promoter mediated by the overexpression of N-chMDA5 but not by the overexpression of the putative chCARDIF or chIRF3. The shRNA targeting the putative chCARDIF substantially decreased chIFN-β promoter induction in cells stimulated with the expression of N-chMDA5 and the putative chCARDIF itself but had only a minor effect in cells stimulated with chIRF3. Finally, the shRNA targeting of chIRF3 abolished chIFN-β activation with all three stimuli. A control shRNA composed of a random, nontargeting sequence did not have any effect on the promoter activity induced by N-chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 overexpression. Taken together, these data demonstrate that the putative chCARDIF represents the chicken analog of the mammalian CARDIF, functioning downstream of the RLR and upstream of chIRF3.

chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 are required for virus-mediated type I IFN induction in DF-1 cells. Gene silencing also was applied to determine the requirement of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 in response to danger signals mediated by virus infections. To this end, dsRNA, triphosphorylated ssRNA, a C-terminal TM domain (chCARDIF-ATM) resulted in approximately 10-fold reduced activity. As expected, the putative chCARDIF lacking the CARD and the TM domains did not activate the chIFN-β induction pathway (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, the putative chCARDIF and chIRF3 activated the human IFN-β promoter in HEK293T cells with levels comparable to those of human RIG-I (Fig. 3D). To elaborate on the functional relevance of the type I chIFN inductions measured above, we showed that the plasmid-driven expression of N-chMDA5 and of the putative chCARDIF was sufficient to inhibit the replication of a recombinant vesicular stomatitis virus replicon, VSV G(Luc), expressing firefly luciferase (Fig. 3E). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that the protein identified as putative chCARDIF is part of the type I chIFN induction cascade, in analogy to the mammalian CARDIF.
VSV replicon, and an AIV lacking NS1 were used as triggers. As expected, chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 all were required for chIFN-β/H9252 promoter induction by t-p(I:C) (Fig. 4B) and t-IVT-RNA, mimicked the viral PAMP (Fig. 4C). Accordingly, the silencing of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 also prevented the chIFN-β/H9252 promoter induction by VSV*MQ/H9004 G (Fig. 4C) and by AIV Vac-/H9004 NS1 (Fig. 4D). To extend this study to chicken macrophages, we evaluated the effects of siRNA-based chMDA5 and chCARDIF silencing on AIV-induced chIFN-β/H9252 mRNA induction in HD-11 cells. As expected from the previous experiments, the siRNA targeting of chMDA5 and chCARDIF significantly reduced chIFN-β/H9252 mRNA expression induced by AIV Vac-/H9004 NS1 infection in DF-1 and HD-11 cells (Fig. 4E and F).

The effectiveness of shRNA- and siRNA-mediated silencing of gene expression was analyzed in DF-1 cells by Western blotting and quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 5). With shRNA, tagged chMDA5 and chCARDIF proteins were efficiently downregulated (Fig. 5A and B). The siRNA-mediated silencing of chMDA5 reduced the protein and mRNA levels by approximately 60% (Fig. 5C and D). The mRNA level of chCARDIF was reduced to a similar extent by siRNA treatment (Fig. 5E). Collectively, the gene-silencing experiments demonstrate the requirement of chMDA5, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 for sensing RNA virus infections in chicken cells.

**chLGP2 is required for type I chIFN induction in DF-1 and HD-11 cells.** The LGP2 helicase regulates RIG-I- and MDA5-mediated responses in mammals (40, 42). The downregulation of the putative chLGP2 in DF-1 and HD-11 cells reduced type I chIFN secretion (Fig. 6A and B), indicating that chLGP2 functions are required for chRLR signaling. On average, the supernatants of Vac-/H9004 NS1-infected DF-1 and HD-11 cells contained 7.7 U/ml (±1.6 standard deviations [SD]) and 8.2 U/ml (±0.9 SD) of type I chIFN 18 and 6 h after infection, respectively. Moreover, the gene-silencing experiments altogether demonstrate the requirement of chMDA5, putative chLGP2, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 for AIV-mediated type I IFN induction in chicken cells. The siRNA-mediated silencing of tagged chLGP2 protein is demonstrated in Fig. 6C. In mammalian cells, LGP2 negatively regulates RLR-mediated signaling when it is overexpressed (40). Therefore, we tested whether this also applies to the putative chLGP2. As expected, the expression of the putative chLGP2 interfered with the
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N-chMDA5-mediated induction of the chIFN-β as opposed to the NS1-A144V mutant also prevented the A144V mutant (Fig. 7B). The expression of the native NS1 protein of the Yamaguchi-7/04 virus but was only partially blocked by inhibits RLR-mediated IFN-β (Fig. 7E). In summary, these data show that NS1 of H5N1 AIV counteracts the chicken RLR signaling pathway by means of the NS1 protein. Recently, an alanine-to-valine mutation at position 149 (A149V) of the NS1 gene of the HPAIV A/goose/Guangdong/1/96 (H5N1) was reported to abolish the counteraction of AIV-mediated type 1 IFN induction in chicken fibroblasts (27). The mutant virus was attenuated in chicken. We introduced this mutation into the NS1 gene from the HPAIV Yamaguchi-7/04 and the LPAIV Vac-1/04 at positions 144 and 149, respectively, corresponding to position 149 described above. The expression of the parent NS1 proteins of the two viruses but not of the respective mutant proteins significantly impaired the t-(I:C)-mediated activation of the chIFN-β promoter in DF-1 cells independently of the V5 tag (Fig. 7A). Vac-ΔNS1-mediated chIFN-β promoter activation also was impaired by the NS1 protein of the Yamaguchi-7/04 virus but was only partially blocked by the A144V mutant (Fig. 7B). The expression of the native NS1 as opposed to the NS1-A144V mutant also prevented the N-chMDA5-mediated induction of the chIFN-β promoter in DF-1 cells (Fig. 7C). The IRF3-mediated chIFN-β promoter induction also was partially reduced by NS1 but not by NS1-A144V. With the tagged proteins, comparable levels of protein expression were demonstrated (Fig. 7D). In HEK293T cells, however, both the parent and the mutant NS1 proteins suppressed the huRIG-I- and huMDA5-mediated activation of the human IFN-β promoter (Fig. 7E). In summary, these data show that NS1 of H5N1 AIV inhibits RLR-mediated IFN-β induction in chicken and human cells.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we characterized the chicken RLR pathway by defining the role of chMDA5, chLGP2, chCARDIF, and chIRF3 in the recognition of viral PAMP, particularly that of AIV. We identified the chCARDIF and chLGP2 coding sequences and demonstrated the functions of their products in chMDA5-mediated signaling and type 1 IFN induction. The expression of chLGP2 interferes with chMDA5-specific signaling. Finally, we showed that the MDA5-mediated signaling was inhibited by NS1 of an H5N1 AIV but not of a mutant NS1 (A144V).

Our results indicate that human and chicken RLR pathways respond in a fashion similar to that of the stimulation with dsRNA but differ in comparisons of 5’-pppRNA to dephosphorylated RNA. Unlike the human cells, the two chicken cells respond to both the 5’-pppRNA and the dephosphorylated RNA, indicating that chicken generally lack the capacity to specifically sense only 5’-ppp RNA structures, as shown for RIG-I in mammalian cells. As IVT-RNA is known to contain dsRNA structures (43, 44), the type I chIFN response observed in t-IVT-RNA-stimulated chicken cells might be due to dsRNA structures. Our data employing MDA5 gene knockdown performed in chicken DF-1 and HD-11 cells indicate that chMDA5 represents the principle intracellular PRR mediating AIV and intracellular dsRNA recognition. Considering that in mammals RIG-I acts as the main cytosolic PRR involved in sensing influenza virus infections (24) and that chicken lacks RIG-I (2, 62), this indicates that the chMDA5 can functionally compensate for the absence of RIG-I in the chicken genome. Furthermore, the potent activation of the chIFN-β promoter by the infection of DF-1 cells with a recombinant H5N1...
AIV lacking the NS1 gene does not support the hypothesis that the lack of RIG-I represents a reason for the increased susceptibility of chickens to AIV compared to the susceptibility of ducks that have the RIG-I gene. Nevertheless, resolving this question would require in vivo investigations, for instance, employing transgenic chicken expressing duck RIG-I.

In the present study, we also identified the chCARDIF that is associated with mitochondria like its mammalian counterpart. The overexpression of chCARDIF and chIRF3 triggered IFN type I responses in chicken as well as in mammalian cells, pointing to the functional conservation of these molecules during evolution. This was surprising, given the low degree of amino acid identity of the two chicken genes with their mammalian counterparts. As expected, gene-silencing experiments revealed that chCARDIF acts downstream of chMDA5 and upstream of chIRF3. As with mammalian CARDIF, the association with mitochondria and the activation of the IFN-β promoter were found to be dependent on the predicted C-terminal TM domain, whereas the putative N-terminal CARD domain was found to be indispensable for downstream signaling. Surprisingly, the TM-deficient chCARDIF retained approximately 10% of the activity of the full-length chCARDIF, which may be explained by the residual binding of the

FIG 7 H5N1 AIV NS1 interferes with chIFN type I induction. The effect of the AIV NS1 protein on the chIFN-β promoter (A to C) was analyzed in DF-1 cells stimulated with t-p(I:C) (A), with Vac-ΔNS1 (B), or with the expression of N-chMDA5 or chIRF3 (C). (E) In comparison, the effect of the AIV NS1 protein on the huIFN-β promoter was characterized in HEK293T cells stimulated with huRIG-I or huMDA5 expression. (A) The cells were cotransfected with constant amounts of chIFN-β reporter plasmids and with pcDNA6 expressing V5-tagged or untagged parent or mutant NS1 from Yamaguchi-7/04 or Vac-1/04 or with the empty vector (all expression plasmids were at 0.5 μg/ml). (B) The cells were cotransfected with the chIFN-β reporter plasmids and with pcDNA6 expressing NS1 or NS1-A144V of Yamaguchi-7/04, or with the empty vector, at 50 ng/ml and 0.5 μg/ml as indicated. After 24 h, the cells were stimulated with 2 μg/ml t-p(I:C) (A) or with Vac-ΔNS1 virus at an MOI of 10 (B). (C) DF-1 cells were cotransfected with the chIFN-β reporter plasmids and with pcDNA6 constructs expressing N-chMDA5 (50 ng/ml) or chIRF3 (0.5 μg/ml) as indicated, pcDNA6 plasmid expressing NS1 or NS1-A144V, or with the empty vector (all NS1 expression plasmids and the vector control were at 0.5 μg/ml). Dual-luciferase assays were performed after 18 h. Stimulations were performed in quadruplicate. The levels obtained with parent vector DNA were set to 100%. (D) The expression of the V5-tagged wild-type and mutant Yamaguchi-7/04 NS1 proteins was analyzed by Western blotting using the anti-V5 MAb. The β-actin content was determined with the anti-β-actin MAb. (E) HEK293T cells were cotransfected with huIFN-β reporter plasmids together with the empty pcDNA6 vector or pcDNA6 expressing huRIG-I or huMDA5 (as stimuli) and with pcDNA6 expressing intact or mutant NS1 of Yamaguchi-7/04 and Vac-1/04. The empty pcDNA6 vector served as a control (vector control). Dual-luciferase assays with quadruplicate transfections were performed after 24 h. The relative huIFN-β promoter activity was expressed as the percentage of activity obtained with empty pcDNA6.
truncated protein to the mitochondria, as observed by microscopy. Finally, gene-silencing experiments in chicken cells demonstrate that chCARDIF is required for AIV-induced type I IFN responses. Similarly, we also show that the chlRF3 transcription factor is required for chLR-mediated type I IFN responses, as expected from mammalian RLR pathways (21). Consistently with MDA5 in mammalian systems (1, 8), the overexpression of a polypeptide containing the twin CARD domains of chMDA5 (N- chMDA5) activated the chIFN-β promoter. LGP2 knockdown experiments in chicken cells demonstrate that chLGP2 is essential to mediate RLR-specific antiviral responses, as described for human LGP2 (42, 54), but again, similarly to human (40), chLGP2 overexpression negatively interfered with AIV-induced innate immune signaling in chicken cells.

In mammals, one of the multiple reported functions of the influenza A NS1 protein is to antagonize the RIG-I-specific induction of type I IFNs (12, 15, 32, 35). In analogy with this, we show that the chicken system NS1 interferes with the chMDA5-mediated pathway. The NS1 deletion mutant but not the wild-type AIV activated the type I IFN pathway, and plasmid-expressed NS1 suppressed chMDA5-mediated chIFN-β promoter activation. The finding that the expression of NS1 also moderately decreased chlRF3-induced chIFN-β promoter responses may reflect other functions exerted by the multifunctional NS1 protein, such as the general suppression of host gene expression.

In conclusion, we demonstrate that the intracellular chMDA5 pathway represents a key anti-AIV innate immune recognition pathway in chicken cells. Chicken and mammalian RLR pathways share the common components MDA5, LGP2, CARDIF, and IRF3, but unlike the case in mammals, chMDA5 possesses the capacity to sense AIV, apparently in a 5’-ppp-independent manner. Whether the failure of chickens to specifically sense viral 5’-ppp PAMPs helps to explain the increased susceptibility of chicken cells to AIV compared to that of ducks remains to be investigated. It also could be speculated that such species-dependent differences in innate immune recognition has an effect on virus evolution in different animals.
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