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A B S T R A C T
To prevent ocean acidification and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, it is necessary to capture and store carbon dioxide. The Sleipner storage site, offshore Norway, is the world’s first and largest engineered waste repository for a greenhouse gas. CO₂ is separated from the Sleipner gas condensate field and stored in the pore space of the Utsira Formation, a saline aquifer approximately 1 km below the surface and 200 km from the coast. Statoil, the field operator, has injected almost 1 Mt/yr of captured CO₂ into the storage site since 1996. The buoyant CO₂ plume ascended rapidly through eight thin shale barriers within the aquifer to reach the top seal in less than three years. The plume’s progress has been monitored by eight seismic surveys, as well as gravimetric and electromagnetic monitoring, which record the spreading of nine thin CO₂ layers. This paper presents a capillary flow model using invasion percolation physics that accurately matches the plume’s geometry. The approach differs from standard Darcy flow simulations, which fail to match the plume geometry. The calibrated capillary flow simulation indicates that a mass balance for the plume is likely, but can only replicate the plume geometry if the thin intra-formational shale barriers are fractured. The model enables an estimate of the shale barrier behavior and caprock performance. The fractures are very unlikely to have been caused by CO₂ injection given the confining stress of the rock and weak overpressure of the plume, and so fracturing must pre-date injection. A novel mechanism is suggested: the deglaciation of regional ice sheets that have rapidly and repeatedly unloaded approximately 1 km of ice. The induced transient pore pressures are sufficient to hydro-fracture thin shales. The fractures enable fast CO₂ ascent, resulting in a multi-layered plume. Shallow CO₂ storage sites in the Northern North Sea and other regions that have been loaded by Quaternary ice sheets are likely to behave in a similar manner.

© 2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction
Climate change and ocean acidification are driven by high rates of CO₂ emission to the atmosphere. One contribution to the mitigation of CO₂ emissions is carbon capture and storage, CCS (Lovell, 2011). This is proposed by the G8 and the International Energy Agency as an essential technology for lowering greenhouse gas emissions. CCS reduces the emission of CO₂ at a power station, or other large industrial sources such as oil and gas fields. This captured CO₂ is compressed, transported by pipeline, and injected for storage into porous rock formations deep below the land or sea surface. Large-scale sites have been demonstrating engineered CO₂ storage technology for nearly two decades. At the Sleipner field, CO₂ is produced with natural gas and condensate, separated from the production stream, and compressed. The supercritical CO₂ is then injected into the Utsira Formation, offshore Norway. This is the longest running carbon capture and storage experiment in the world, with more than 14 Mt of supercritical CO₂ injected during the period 1996–2013. The injection schedule is intended to remain at around 0.9 Mt/yr until around 2020, separating and storing CO₂ from the West Sleipner gas-condensate field to prevent climate change. This is incentivized by the Norwegian state tax on offshore petroleum industry emissions, commencing in 1991 at NOK 210 and increasing to NOK 410 in 2013, equivalent to about $65 per tonne of CO₂.

The storage site, a saline formation and sandstone aquifer, is 800 m below sea level (mbsl). This is considered to be a shallow depth setting for a storage environment (Chadwick et al., 2008) as the pressure and temperature conditions are likely to be close to the critical point above which CO₂ becomes a more buoyant
gas phase. The overlying Nordland Group, which extends from the top of the Utsira Formation to the seafloor, is predominantly shale (Fig. 1), and is expected to provide a caprock with a high threshold pressure, sufficient to seal the site and prevent leakage of the buoyant CO₂ fluid over several millennia, i.e. the timescale required to offset climate change (Lindeberg and Bergmo, 2003). Saline formations worldwide are considered to be candidates for carbon sequestration because of their suitable depths, large storage volumes, and common occurrence. However, one of the critical uncertainties for saline formation storage is the ability of the caprock (primary seal), and the overlying containment geology (secondary seals), to retain buoyant CO₂ without leakage. The fluid flow processes currently occurring in the Sleipner storage site provide a crucial exemplar for saline formation storage projects worldwide.

Published interpretations of a series of seismic reflection surveys show that, by 1999, the Sleipner CO₂ plume had ascended more than 200 m vertically within the Utsira Formation from the injection point (1012 mbsl) to the caprock (800 mbsl). The plume has encountered and breached eight shale barriers within the storage site: seven thin shales that are approximately 1–2 m thick, and an uppermost thick shale that is 6–7 m thick and geologically similar to the primary seal (Gregersen and Johannessen, 2001; Zweigel et al., 2004). These shale barriers result in 10–20 m thick CO₂ layers that are vertically stacked and extend laterally for hundreds of meters. Biennial monitoring of the plume behavior and the resultant six seismic reflection surveys make this experiment ideally suited to numerical modeling studies (Hamborg et al., 2003; Zweigel et al., 2004; Hellevang, 2006). The areal distribution of CO₂ stored within the storage site has been precisely mapped from the seismic surveys (Chadwick et al., 2006; Bickle et al., 2007). However, no published dynamic model to date has accurately replicated the layered morphology of the plume or flow behavior, and three significant aspects of the plume are poorly understood, as outlined below.

Fundamental aspects of the CO₂ plume layering are examined by constructing a mass-balanced flow model to test the physical containment processes through iterative numerical simulation. The simulations are calibrated to published observations. Capillary flow, not Darcy flow, is applied to obtain a good match with observations. It is essential for the calibration that the shale barriers within the Utsira Formation have high vertical permeabilities. This implies that the shales may be fractured. A novel mechanism is proposed to account for fracturing prior to CO₂ injection: transient fluid overpressure during rapid deglaciation.

2. Unexplained aspects of the plume

Firstly, there is a significant uncertainty concerning mass-balance estimates for the plume. To illustrate this, consider estimates for the uppermost layer alone, circa July 2002, equivalent to 5 Mt injected, bearing in mind that the uppermost layer is the best constrained portion of the plume with respect to observational data (Chadwick et al., 2008). The CO₂ volume in this layer is estimated to be approximately 110–165 thousand cubic meters (Chadwick et al., 2009). Assuming an upper layer density of 426 kg/m³ (after Bickle et al., 2007), this is equivalent to a mass of 0.05–0.07 Mt. Although Chadwick and co-workers (2009) favor the upper end of this range, 0.07 Mt is considerably less than estimates of 0.11 Mt (Bickle et al., 2007) and 0.16 Mt (Singh et al., 2010). This wide disparity in outcomes stems partly from (a) uncertainty concerning the plume temperature profile (Fig. 2a), with Singh and co-workers (2010) assuming a much colder plume with an uppermost layer density of 760 kg/m³; and (b) poorly constrained assumptions regarding layer thickness (Fig. 2b), which are unsolvable at less than 15 m thick given the seismic resolution (Arts et al., 2004). This uncertainty is further compounded by poorly constrained gas saturations for the plume layers. All three aspects are discussed further below.

Secondly, despite the layer thickness uncertainty, the plume morphology indicates that column heights for trapped CO₂ are unexpectedly low. The only published laboratory measurements for the caprock threshold pressure (Springer and Lindgren, 2006; Harrington et al., 2009), from a cored production well, 15/9-A1-11, close to the storage site, suggest a range of 1.6–1.9 MPa, i.e. the fluid pressure necessary for CO₂ to break through a low permeability rock. If this range is applicable to the shale barriers within the Utsira Formation, such a scenario would result in CO₂ column heights of hundreds of meters (Springer and Lindgren, 2006). However, estimated column heights for the layered plume consistently fall within the range 7–14 m (Chadwick et al., 2005, 2006; Bickle et al., 2007). These thin layers occur beneath all three-barrier types: (a) the 1–2 m thin shales within the formation; (b) the uppermost 7 m thick shale barrier; and (c) the much thicker Nordland Group shale caprock that forms the primary seal.

Thirdly, the plume flow behavior is not indicative of sealing shale barriers punctuated by faults, holes or penetrated by a high permeability chimney or sand injectite (Zweigel et al., 2004), and the means of CO₂ ascent is poorly understood. The plume initially ascended 200 m vertically through the eight shale barriers in less than three years (Chadwick et al., 2006), resulting in a ‘pancake stack’ of layers. If the laterally extensive shales had acted as seals, preventing the vertical migration of CO₂, the plume would have taken much longer to breakthrough, and its behavior would have been more akin to a ‘zig-zag’ distribution with lateral offsets.
resulting from the CO₂ tracking along the base of a barrier until encountering a hole through which to escape up to the next barrier, and then repeating this behavior.

2.1. Mass balance estimates

Addressing the mass balance question first, available areal mapping of the plume layers derived from time-lapse seismic reflection survey images (Bickle et al., 2007) are combined with layer-thickness estimates (Chadwick et al., 2009) to build a three-dimensional representation of the CO₂ plume (Fig. 1). The approach assumes a flat gas–water contact for the plume layers, which implies that each layer has backfilled the trap as a gravitationally ponding fluid. The model provides an insight into the volumetric relationship between layer thickness and mass balance (Fig. 2). Recent published estimates of volume and mass balance appear to have been primarily hampered by uncertainty in layer thickness and plume density (Fig. 2). For the uppermost layer, circa 2002, thickness estimates vary from 4 to 7 m (Chadwick et al., 2005; Bickle et al., 2007). The CO₂ layers circa 2006 have recently been estimated as 10 m thick (Lippard et al., 2008; Chadwick et al., 2009). Both these estimates are thinner than the limit of phenomena resolvable by conventional seismic reflection surveys at this depth (Arts et al., 2004; Chadwick et al., 2006). A case has been made for amplitude tuning of the Sleipner seismc to produce greater precision (Chadwick et al., 2006); however, the sensitivity of this seismic interpretation technique is such that the amplitude does not discriminate between layers more than 4 m thick and less than 15 m thick. Furthermore, the thin shale barriers within the aquifer are unresolvable on the baseline 1994 seismic (Arts et al., 2004) making tuning even more difficult. Published estimates of the CO₂ volume in the top layer (110,000 m³ from amplitude analysis, and 165,000 m³ from structure) differ by 150% for the same seismic survey (Chadwick et al., 2009). Although structure estimates are considered to be more reliable than amplitude (Chadwick et al., 2008), there is no method to discriminate between them.

An additional uncertainty arises from saturation profiling, which discerns between pores filled with brine and pores filled with CO₂ above a poorly constrained level of saturation (Arts et al., 2004). It follows from the commonly used Gassmann equation (Gassmann, 1951) that: (a) very low saturations of less than a few percent are undetectable; (b) a strong correlation emerges as the gas saturation increases from a few percent to around thirty percent; and (c) for saturations much above thirty percent, it is difficult to distinguish between moderate and high saturations. It follows that the 80% gas saturation that is commonly assumed for the Sleipner plume, while reasonable (Chadwick et al., 2005; Bickle et al., 2007), remains uncertain (Lumley, 2008). If the 80% assumption represents a reasonable upper limit to the mean saturation for the plume, the lower limit could be as low as 40%, halving mass balance estimates premised on the widely assumed high-saturation value.

Finally, the lithml pressure and temperature conditions of the plume with respect to the critical point of CO₂ (31 °C and 7.4 MPa) compound the uncertainty. The ambient temperature at the injection depth is reasonably well constrained at 41 ± 1 °C (Bickle et al., 2007); however, the temperature at the top of the plume is much more poorly constrained at around 34 ± 3 °C (Singh et al., 2010). This results in a potential density range for CO₂ in the uppermost layer of 300–700 kg/m³; a mid-range scenario is assumed, with a temperature of 35 °C at the caprock and a linear geothermal gradient to the injection depth at 41 °C for the flow simulations that follow (orange diamonds, Fig. 2a). However, a brief consideration of different thickness and density scenarios (assuming 80% saturation) with respect to the solution space for mass balance (gray area, Fig. 2b) suggests that a cold high-density plume requires an upper layer that is at least 8–9 m thick, circa July 2002 and 5 Mt injected; while a hot low-density plume exceeds a mass balance with layers that are greater than 13 m thick. The uppermost layer thickness necessary for a mass balance, circa July 2002 and 5 Mt injected, lies in the range 8–13 m.

Consequently, these compounded uncertainties in mass balance (layer thickness, gas saturation and gas density) are highly sensitive to small changes in assumptions that are unresolvable by remote

Fig. 2. Mass balance uncertainty related to plume density and layer thickness assumptions: (a) layer density variations assuming linear geothermal gradients and the common range of uncertainty associated with the plume temperature profile; (b) layer thickness versus mass for the uppermost CO₂ layer, and related mass-balance estimates for the whole plume circa July 2002 (equivalent to 5 Mt injected). The sensitivity of mass estimate for a single layer with respect to layer thickness is apparent (orange curves). A 10 m thick layer shows a mass variation of ±40% (80–200 kt), for a 35 °C scenario, and a thickness uncertainty of ±4 m. As the trap fills, the volume and related mass increases rapidly. For gas layers with a fixed aerial footprint but uncertain thickness, it can be shown that the uncertainty in volume is equivalent to the error on thickness. Compounding this with density uncertainty, the solution space for a 5 Mt mass balance (gray trapezoid) varies from 50% (thin, warm layers) to 160% (thick, cool layers).
geophysical monitoring given the broadly constrained fields of pressure, temperature and saturation. Although an accurate mass balance estimate would be desirable to confirm site integrity with respect to the present-day caprock behavior, this aspect of Sleipner is likely to remain elusive due to the uncertainties inherent in remote geophysical monitoring of gas plumes.

3. Modeling methodology

To better understand the mass balance, spatial distribution and flow behavior of the plume, a numerical flow model of the storage site is constructed. This three-dimensional model consists of a geological framework of nine alternating intervals of sandstone and shale that extends from the base of the Utsira Formation below the injection point to the primary seal above the storage site. Published morphology maps for each CO2 layer (Bickle et al., 2007) are used as the topography for the base of each shale barrier, assuming a flat gas–water contact for the layers. This implies that the CO2 is ponding, and the layers are close to equilibrium with respect to any Darcy flow dynamics (Cavanagh, 2013). The flow of CO2 within the storage site is then simulated with an invasion percolation simulator (Permida, 2012), assuming percolation as a function of CO2 capillary pressure and shale threshold pressure. This approach examines capillary flow in a deep saline formation that is segmented by thin laterally extensive horizontal shale layers. Scenarios are tested for resemblance to the plume morphology and then quantified for CO2 in place to arrive at the best mass balance scenario for the simulated plume with respect to the known injected volume.

3.1. Conceptual model

The conceptual model for the simulation is a plume of vertically stacked buoyant CO2 layers that are trapped in the sandstone intervals as thin but highly saturated layers, breaking through the shale barriers as a result of percolation. Percolation occurs when the threshold pressure of the shale barrier is exceeded by the buoyant gas capillary pressure of the trapped CO2 layer (Boettcher et al., 2002; Carruthers, 2003). The 3D model is calibrated by adjusting the breakthrough threshold pressures of the shales to match published estimates of layer thickness (column heights underlying shale barriers) and the areal distribution for trapped CO2 derived from 4D seismic.

The Sleipner plume is likely to ascend as a result of gravity segregation (Singh et al., 2010), given the strong density contrast between the brine and CO2, and the high permeability of the Utsira Formation sandstones. However, the crucial distinction between our model and other approaches is our assumption concerning the dominant physics of the flow process. The buoyant separate phase behavior of CO2 in the formation not only promotes gravity segregation, but also results in strong capillary forces at the interface between the ascending gas (non-wetting fluid) and the brine (wetting fluid) in the pore throats of the geological media, which manifest as threshold pressures for the sandstones and shales. This is quite distinct from other modeling approaches that assume a Darcy flow regime, where the plume behavior is primarily a function of the interplay between viscosity, pressure gradients, and permeability. If capillary forces dominate over viscous forces, the plume will ascend and backfill beneath flow barriers, manifesting a sensitivity to the topography at the base of each shale barrier (Cavanagh, 2013). Given the known correlation in shape between the upper layers and the basal topography of the caprock and underlying thick shale, it is proposed that the flow behavior may be best characterized as a gravity-dominated percolation phenomenon, as explained below.

3.2. Choice of simulator

Previous attempts to model the distribution of CO2 within the Utsira Formation have used fluid flow software based on Darcy flow physics. To obtain multiple layers of CO2, these have either imposed a discrete vertical pathway to by-pass the thin shales within the Formation (Chadwick et al., 2006; Hermanrud et al., 2009, 2010) or assumed a convenient vertical juxtaposition of pre-existing holes (erosional or otherwise) for the eight intra-formational shale barriers. These simulations fail to quantitatively or qualitatively reproduce the multi-layered CO2 plume. To quote Chadwick et al. (2006) ‘observed fluxes derived from the seismic data, do not match the flow simulation’. In this approach, a flow simulator based on a different flow physics is used, and a different workflow undertaken to achieve a very good match and calibrated mass balance.

Permida Migration is a multi-phase invasion percolation simulator. The simulator uses capillary threshold pressures and fluid density descriptions for oil, gas and CO2. Assuming a flow domain dominated by capillary and gravity forces, invasion percolation describes the behavior of an immiscible fluid (CO2) that is migrating, or percolating into, a porous medium (sandstones and shales). Commonly known as drainage within petroleum systems modeling, as distinct from imbibition, the buoyant phase displaces the original pore fluid (brine). Invasion percolation is commonly applied to slow moving immiscible fluids in geological flow systems. Below a critical flux velocity threshold, such flow systems tend to self-organize into discrete migration pathways and pooling traps (de Gennes et al., 2004). Percolation theory has been successfully applied to the invasion of non-wetting fluids such as oil and gas into water-wet porous geological media when studying regional hydrocarbon migration and local hydrocarbon field charge processes (Carruthers and Ringrose, 1998; Boettcher et al., 2002; Class and Yarrington, 2003). The approach is valid at low flux rates, as the viscosity contribution to flow is negligible and the viscous-dominated Darcy flow approximation breaks down. Under capillary-dominated conditions the immiscible fluid is either migrating along a pathway at a low critical saturation or, is backfilling and flooding a trap at a higher saturation not exceeding the maximum gas saturation for the porous medium (Cavanagh and Rostro, 2013).

The model presented here differs significantly from earlier conceptual models of the storage site (e.g. Hellevang, 2006; Chadwick et al., 2008; Hermanrud et al., 2009, 2010) where researchers have assumed Darcy flow along a pressure gradient via holes in the shale barriers. This study assumes that the thin shales represent laterally continuous barriers to flow. While a Darcy flow model requires a rising cascade of fluid through the sandstone and around the shales, via holes or breaks in the shale succession, the approach presented here suggests a simpler hypothesis: the vertical stacking of kilometer-wide and meter-thick CO2 layers that are the result of buoyant gas percolating through the vertical heterogeneity of sandstones and shales found in the Utsira Formation, in a similar manner to hydrocarbon migration and trap charge in petroleum systems, as appropriate for two-phase flow with a low capillary number (England et al., 1987). The capillary flow and ponding hypothesis does not require the unlikely geological coincidence of nine vertically aligned holes in the shales through which fluid cascades within the storage site.

3.3. Sleipner capillary number

An invasion percolation simulation assumes a dominance of capillary and gravity forces over viscous forces. The interplay of forces (viscous, capillary and gravity) in reservoir models is commonly defined using scaling-group theory (Ringrose et al., 1993; Li and Lake, 1995), with the commonly accepted limiting condition
50 words or less: Breakthrough condition, \( \Delta pgh = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{r} \) (2c)

Where \( Pth \) is the threshold pressure of the rock at which breakthrough occurs; \( \gamma \), the interfacial tension between the invading phase and resident phase; \( \theta \), the wetting angle between the invading phase and the rock (a low angle results in a high threshold pressure as the cosine value approaches unity); \( r \), the representative pore throat radius for the rock. \( Pc \), the capillary pressure of the invading phase at the interface; \( \Delta \rho \), the density difference between the two phases; \( g \), standard gravity; \( h \), the column height of the invading phase.

If the capillary pressure does not exceed the threshold pressure, the fluid will pool beneath the shale and back-fill the shale topography until a spill-point is reached. The \( \text{CO}_2 \) will then migrate laterally until trapped again. However, if the capillary pressure at the top of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) pool does exceed the shale threshold pressure, the pool will breach the seal and migrate vertically until the next shale is reached and trapping occurs again, resulting in a vertical stack of pools. Hence, the conceptual model is that this pattern of pooling, breaching and lateral spill will match the observed plume distribution.

4. Plume model

The properties of the shale and sandstone intervals are constrained by the geological framework of the Utsira Formation and present-day observations from the Sleipner storage site. The Utsira Formation is internally segmented by laterally extensive horizontal shales, approximately 1–2 m thick, acting as barriers (Isaksen and Tonstad, 1989). Approximately 20 m beneath the caprock of the Lower Seal, the shallowest shale barrier (7 m thick shale) is thought to be lithologically identical to the caprock (Gregersen and Johannessen, 2001). Reviews of the reservoir geology indicate that this 7 m thick shale merges laterally with the Lower Seal, which is considered to be an effective primary seal (Arts et al., 2000; Chadwick et al., 2004). As such, above the storage site and interlayering with it as the 7 m thick shale, are the Lower Seal shales of the Nordland Group. This is overlain by the Middle Seal, bounded at the top and base by regional unconformities (Gregersen and Johannessen, 2001; Gregersen and Johannessen, 2007). The upper unconformity provides important evidence of ice sheet erosion and exhumation during the Pleistocene. The shallowest Pleistocene shales are referred to as the Upper Seal (Fig. 1). The primary seal and overburden stratigraphy have considerably more complexity and heterogeneity when considered in detail ( Nicol et al., 2012), with numerous shallow sands, chimney structures and sub-glacial relic features. However, a simple first approximation is suitable for this study.

4.1. Geocellular model design

The 3D profile of the plume (Fig. 1) is used to build a three-dimensional model of the storage site. The geometry of each \( \text{CO}_2 \) layer and the associated shale barrier topographies are derived from published images of the \( \text{CO}_2 \) layering (Bickle et al., 2007; Chadwick et al., 2008). The nine layers define the cross-sectional relief of the shale barriers above the \( \text{CO}_2 \). The thin shale barriers that trap the first seven layers are assumed to be 1–2 m thick; the thick shale overlying layer 8 is assumed to be 7 m thick. The modeled shales are assumed to be petrologically similar to the Lower Seal shale, conforming to petrophysical data from the sampled well, 15/9A-11 (Gregersen and Johannessen, 2001). The model Utsira...
sandstone conforms to petrophysical data, i.e. clean, well-sorted and poorly cemented (Hellevang, 2006).

4.2. Numerical simulation

The simulation releases CO$_2$ at the known perforation interval of the injection well, close to the base of the model, and calculates the percolation pathway and resultant accumulations for the ascent of a discrete supercritical phase of CO$_2$. The simulation assumes a buoyant gravity-driven flow dominated by capillary forces. The depth range of the storage site is close to the phase boundary conditions for supercritical CO$_2$. This results in significant fluid density changes with ascent (Bickle et al., 2007). These density variations are estimated from a commonly used equation of state for CO$_2$ (Duan and Sun, 2003) assuming hydrostatic pressure and geothermal temperature profiles for the storage site (Table 2). The output of the model is a plume distribution of CO$_2$ with respect to the geological framework (Fig. 3) and a numerical estimate of the associated masses for each CO$_2$ layer and threshold pressures for each shale barrier (Table 2). While the layer distributions are known and stable in the model, the multiple migration pathways and breakthrough points for vertical migration (Fig. 3) only represent equivalent simulation pathways and potential breach locations for a given barrier at its shallowest expression. These are not expected to be better than an accurate approximation of the breach locations, as ascending migration paths in the actual storage site are likely to be sensitive to local heterogeneities in the shale barriers and sandstone formation. However, multiple realisations of the simulation confirm the robust and stable outcome of stacked CO$_2$ layers that insensitive to variations in the precise position of CO$_2$ breakthrough.

4.3. Results for two simulation scenarios

Two scenarios with identical geometry were tested by varying the threshold pressure of the shales within Utsira Formation: the first, a base case, assumed that the threshold pressure of approximately 1.6–1.9 MPa measured in core recovered from Lower Seal shales (Springer and Lindgren, 2006) is applicable to all shale barriers within the model. The threshold pressures are assigned to the shales as a global mean value i.e. a single shale barrier has a uniform mean threshold pressure of 1.75 MPa (three-sigma standard deviation of ±0.15 MPa, equivalent to approximately ±8.5%, assuming a normal distribution). This base case model failed to match the observed CO$_2$ distribution, as the high threshold pressures for the shales resulted in a “zig-zag” pattern of predominantly laterally spilling migration. The simulation ultimately failed to reach the caprock, as the CO$_2$ backfilled beneath the 7 m thick shale without breaching, resulting in a final column height of hundreds of meters and total saturation of the reservoir. This scenario is totally unlike the Sleipner plume seismic observations, and is rejected.

The second scenario is unchanged from the base case with the exception of reduced threshold pressures for the shales within the storage site. The threshold pressure was gradually reduced by iterative experimentation until the simulation exhibited thin CO$_2$ layers similar to those observed in the seismic monitoring. The normal distribution range for the lower threshold pressures remained as ±8.5% of the mean assigned to a given shale barrier. This second scenario provides an excellent match with the observed phenomena i.e. a vertical ‘pancake stack’ of layers approximately 7–11 m thick (Figs. 1 and 3). The barrier geometry is prescribed by the observed geometry of the CO$_2$ layers, and so the morphology of the simulated layers is forced, given the derivation of shale layer topography from...
the 4D seismic. However, the significance of the result lies in the replication of the stacked plume layer, and an estimate of CO₂ layer thicknesses that results in a reasonable match to observations and a plausible mass balance (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

It is notable that the model breakthrough pressure is surprisingly low in the calibrated match to the observed Sleipner plume. The simulation enables the inference of permeability and threshold pressures for the shales at the kilometer scale of the entire Sleipner storage site which are difficult or impossible to measure directly. The inferred threshold pressures required to achieve a thinly layered plume trapped by intra-formational shales are two orders of magnitude lower than the laboratory values measured on the caprock at well 15/9A-11. This is an important outcome of the model.

5. The mode of CO₂ ascent

Given the model results, the mode of CO₂ ascent within the storage site deserves further scrutiny. The favored scenario of thin, and stacked, CO₂ layering with low intra-formational shale threshold pressures raises an obvious concern: if the assumptions hold regarding the similarity of the 7 m thick shale to the Lower Seal, and the unbroken lateral continuity of the shale barriers, then the breakthrough condition for CO₂ at the caprock may be as low as 50 kPa (Table 2). Such an extremely low threshold pressure for shales can only occur in fractured rocks. It is therefore inferred that a network of micro-fractures span the shale barriers within the Utsira Formation, allowing the plume to ascend vertically, leaving a stack of thin CO₂ layers. The significance of this finding with respect to the integrity of the caprock and Nordland Group shales is discussed below.

5.1. Inferred fracture networks for shale barriers

The width of the inferred micro-fractures can be characterized to a first approximation by a modified form of the Young–Laplace equation (Pankow and Cherry, 1996). In this modification for a fractured shale, the fracture half-width substitutes for pore throat radius, and the seal is breached when the capillary pressure of the CO₂ layer, \( P_c \) (Eq. (2b)), exceeds the threshold pressure of a fracture, \( P_f \), equivalent to the product of the interfacial tension, \( \gamma \), and cosine of the wetting angle, \( \theta \), divided by the fracture half-width, \( f \) (Eq. (3)).

\[
P_f = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta}{f}
\]

where, \( P_f \), threshold pressure of the fracture; \( \gamma \), interfacial tension; \( \theta \), wetting angle; \( f \), the half-width of the representative fracture aperture.

The micro-fracture explanation for low threshold pressures raises a number of questions: what is the vertical extent of the inferred fractures? And, do these connect to form fracture networks that span the shale barriers? What are the origins of the fractures? And, is the integrity of the caprock compromised? Firstly, it is apparent that the 7 m thick shale within the Utsira Formation transmits CO₂. It follows that this span is the minimum limit for a connected network of percolating fractures within the storage site. It is unclear if such a percolation bridge might affect the much thicker Lower Seal. No observational evidence to date indicates that CO₂ has penetrated the caprock. Given the very thin layers of CO₂ (10–15 m column heights with correspondingly low capillary pressures), a caprock breach would require a pre-existing fracture network. The evidence from a neighboring shale caprock, albeit older, more compacted and buried to 4 km (the seal for the nearby Miller oilfield), shows that CO₂ penetration of an unfractured caprock is very slow, taking approximately 70 Ma to diffuse 10 m into the caprock (Lu et al., 2009). However, the maximum limit of fracture connectivity at the Sleipner caprock, or through the Lower Seal remains unknown. In addition to potential fracture networks, paleo-gas chimneys and glacial tunnel valleys with the Nordland Group shales may provide physical routes for the rapid vertical escape of CO₂, though these are not thought to intersect the present CO₂ plume footprint or expected future distribution beneath the caprock (Zweigel et al., 2004; Nicoll et al., 2012).

Concerning the origin of the micro-fractures, CO₂ injection is an unlikely fracture mechanism for the breaching of thick or thin shales. A low capillary pressure of around 50 kPa, associated with the buoyancy of a 10–15 m CO₂ column, may be high enough to allow CO₂ to percolate through an existing fracture network for 1–7 m thick shale barriers. However, the fracturing of shales as a consequence of such small pressure changes within the Utsira Formation is highly unlikely. By analogy, micro-fractures in overpressured hydrocarbon fields occur when the pore pressures exceed around 80% of the lithostatic pressure, typically equivalent to multi-MPa changes (Aplin et al., 2000). The Sleipner storage site is not significantly stressed or overpressured at the present day (Wei et al., 1990); the pore fluids are effectively at hydrostatic pressure; and so for Sleipner, the fluid pressure increase
necessary to fracture an intact seal (about 10 MPa) is highly unlikely. It is therefore inferred that the shales have been fractured prior to CO₂ injection.

5.2. Ice sheet unloading as a mechanism for fracturing

Previous studies have put forward a number of ideas to explain the rapid vertical migration of CO₂ within the Utsira Formation, as neatly summarized by Chadwick et al. (2009). The gamut ranges from small faults and natural holes in the intra-formational shale barriers, to geomechanically induced chimneys, sand injectites and changes in the wetting characteristics of shales exposed to CO₂. However, these scenarios are hypothetical and frequently driven by model design, in terms of creating suitable pathways for simulating flow. The lack of sampling and direct observations from within the Utsira Formation has done little to narrow the field of possibilities. The modeling presented in this paper implies fracturing prior to CO₂ injection, as outlined above. As a consequence, a plausible pre-injection fracturing mechanism is sought, given the geological history and context of the storage site. The following hypothesis further adds to the various competing ideas concerning the flow properties of the Utsira Formation, and the shale barriers in particular; and in common with those ideas, shares a lack of direct evidence from the storage site itself. However, there are a number of supporting regional indications, presented below, and the simplicity of the model, in itself, is encouraging.

The Sleipner storage site location lies within the Pleistocene ice sheet domain of NW Europe (Boulton and Hagedorn, 2006). An erosional unconformity at the Pliocene/Pleistocene boundary between the Middle Seal and Lower Seal of the Nordland Group shales indicates that ice was in contact with the seabed, scouring and eroding the underlying sediments. Additional unconformities within the Middle Seal and Upper Seal indicate several similar events (Nicoll, 2012). The youngest ice sheet (Late Devensian) has left well-preserved sediments to record its position and demise; reconstructions of ice sheet thickness over the British Isles and Southern Norway during this final stage indicate that the ice was around 1000 m thick and grounded over the Sleipner area of the Northern North Sea (Boulton and Hagedorn, 2006). Similar grounded ice sheets probably occurred during several glaciations over the last 1 Ma from marine isotope stage MIS 12 onwards. Considering the effect of ice on fluid pressure and stress on the underlying shale, the following scenario is hypothesized:

The gradual increase of ice sheet thickness during proglacial glaciation produces a slow transient additional overburden load. This load is transferred to the sediments below the ice sheet if it is grounded rather than floating. The youngest grounded ice sheet (Late Devensian, MIS 2) can be precisely mapped; the MIS 2 ice sheet formed as a confluence of the British and Fennoscandian ice sheets, flowing to the north-west, and ultimately reaching the continental margin (Bradwell et al., 2008; Davison, 2012). The preservation of relict landform features indicates that, during deglaciation, the ice melted very rapidly, possibly catastrophically, within hundreds of years at 24 ka, coincident with a global sea-level change (Bradwell et al., 2008). It is possible that the sea level rise transgressed beneath the grounded ice, causing it to float off the seabed; at which point the overburden pressure is removed instantaneously (Davison, 2005; Bradwell et al., 2008). The significance of this deglaciation event is the possibility of unusually rapid and transient pore pressure changes within shales that may have exceeded the geomechanical limit, fracturing the shales (Cavanagh et al., 2006).

Pressure fluctuations associated with deglaciation are distinct from more commonly encountered subsurface overpressure regimes in that both the hydrostatic and lithostatic baseline pressures are offset to higher values by loading from a grounded ice sheet (Fig. 4). Gradients remain the same but a change in the baseline pressure occurs which is dependent on ice sheet thickness. Hypothetically, the loading of the Nordland Group shales by a 1000 m thick ice sheet (gray upper layer, Fig. 4) would cause both hydrostatic and lithostatic values to increase by about 10 MPa during a glacial stage lasting several tens of millennia (Fig. 4). This affects a pore pressure increase within the Utsira Formation from 7 MPa (700 m depth) to a new equilibrium beneath the ice of 16 MPa (1700 m depth, including ice sheet). The new hydrostatic pressure gradient (gray lines, Fig. 4) starts near the top of the ice sheet; the new lithostatic gradient runs from the base of the ice sheet. The maximum ice load does not need to be located directly above the Utsira Formation as, for a hydraulically connected aquifer, a lateral transmission of pressure will occur for tens of kilometers. During deglaciation the ice melts rapidly, and both the lithostatic and hydrostatic equilibrium lines return geologically instantaneously to their normal positions (black lines, Fig. 4). Since pore fluids in the deep subsurface cannot flow instantaneously to re-equilibrate
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the rock, this rapid deglaciation leaves the pore fluids isolated at, or above the re-established normal fracture gradient. While the highly permeable Utsira Formation is free to flow and equilibrate rapidly, the much lower permeability shales are not. The shale pore pressure collides with the fracture gradient, at about 80% of lithostatic pressure, creating a zone of hydraulic fracturing potential (red triangle, Fig. 4). The thickness and strength of the overburden for any given stage is highly uncertain, hence the dashed line (fracture gradient, Fig. 4), which is conservatively estimated from present day leak-off test data (Nicoll, 2012). This mechanism suggests that, within this zone of fracturing potential, the shales of the Utsira Formation pervasively micro-fractured perpendicular to geological bedding, enabling rapid porewater escape during deglaciation. This fracturing is now responsible for the rapid migration of CO₂ within the storage site. Five independent lines of evidence are considered to support this hypothesis:

Firstly, reservoirs in the much deeper Sleipner hydrocarbon fields, both East and West, appear to be overpressured by about 10 MPa (Wei et al., 1990; NPD, 2013). Secondly, a phenomenon known as the ‘Oligocene–Miocene bump’ appears to record a regional occurrence of 5–10 MPa overpressure at about 1.3–1.5 km depth in the Northern North Sea (Vik et al., 1998). There is no diagenetic reaction or hydrocarbon charge to explain such an overpressure. This suggests that both pressure phenomena are relics from regional deglaciation events.

Thirdly, seismic data for the Sleipner storage site localize a number of discrete anomalies (pock marks, soft kicks, small chimney features) where sediment layering is disrupted, indicative of vertical gas migration in the Nordland Group shales (Zweigl, 2000; Nicoll et al., 2012). It is proposed that these shallow gas indications are relics of natural gas leakage from the Utsira Formation during deglaciation. Fourthly, observations of thirty nine deeper hydrocarbon fields across the North Sea show that therogenic gas and condensates have leaked through caprocks to form diffuse zones hundreds of meters thick above the hydrocarbon reservoirs (Aplin et al., 2006). These seismic chimneys suggest that the leakage may be a regional manifestation of vertical micro-fractures created by the same transient deglaciation phenomenon associated with ice sheet unloading.

Finally, tectonochemical modeling of lithospheric flexure in response to the elastic deformation of the crust during glaciation and deglaciation (Grollimund and Zoback, 2000) indicates that the Sleipner region is currently in a state of horizontal extensional stress (5–15 MPa at 2–3 km depth) as a consequence of ice sheet unloading. Grollimund and Zoback suggest an explanation that is sympathetic to the hypothesis proposed here, i.e. the present-day unstressed hydrostatic pore pressure regime results from fracturing and pore fluid leakage: ‘The stress decrease due to deglaciation might have brought horizontal compressional stress down to the existing pore pressure at the time when the stress decrease took place and parts of the fluids leaked away, leading to a pore pressure reduction’ (Grollimund and Zoback, 2000).

A recent, more detailed, analysis by Grollimund and Zoback (2003) includes the influence of ice sheet loading from 1 Ma onwards, and a history of recent ice sheet melting events, with similar results. They determine that the common and widely observed indications of vertical fluid leakage from oil and gas fields within the region via faults or fractures is unlikely during glacial stages, but much more likely during regional deglaciations, with particular emphasis on events at 60 ka and 15 ka. It seems reasonable that these regional findings for paleo-stress, pore pressure, and fluid flow also apply to the Sleipner storage site.

This combination of present-day overpressure observations, local vertical natural gas migration features and regional indications of pore pressure fluctuations associated with ice sheet-related lithospheric stress changes affirm the possibility of our inferred process for fracturing the Utsira Formation shales, both with respect to mechanism and magnitude.

6. Discussion

Successive seismic reflection surveys of the Sleipner CO₂ storage site have shown by observation that the plume of injected CO₂ at the Sleipner storage site has a geometry which reveals partial trapping beneath thin shales within the Utsira Formation. The flow modeling approach and analysis presented here suggest that the shale barriers have uncharacteristically low threshold pressures, enabling the rapid vertical migration of injected CO₂ within the storage site. The inferred threshold of around 50 kPa is much lower than the observed value of approximately 1.75 MPa from core measurements by Springer and Lindgren (2006) and Harrington et al. (2009). However, it should be noted that standard methodologies for core sampling and threshold pressure measurements specifically avoid fractured samples, assuming such fractures to be indicative of drilling damage. As such, the influence of natural fracture networks on bulk shale threshold pressures is likely to be under-represented, if considered at all. The model results indicate that a definitive mass balance for the plume is difficult to ascertain given the inherent uncertainties in the data. However, a mid-range temperature profile and reasonable layer thickness estimate indicates that a mass balance for the plume is certainly possible.

The modeled low shale barrier threshold pressures are potentially indicative of fracturing. However, the current pressure regime is extremely unlikely to cause fracturing, and so it is inferred that the shales may have been pervasively fractured in the past. A fracturing process consistent with the geological history of the region is that the shale barriers have undergone a transient pulse of fluid overpressure sufficient to fracture the rock. Such a pressure history could be a consequence of the rapid unloading of hundreds of meters of ice from the overburden during a deglaciation. A transient ice sheet load equivalent to 10 MPa of overpressure could have been removed rapidly by melting, or instantly by a sea level rise and the flotation of an ice sheet grounded on the seabed, during a typical ablation timespan of less than a few thousand years. At the shallow burial depth of these shales, 10 MPa is sufficient to exceed the tensile rock strength and cause pervasive hydraulic fracturing. This may have happened as a consequence of one or more regional ice sheet excursions into the basin. While the strength and thickness of the overburden at any given ice collapse is unknown, a conservative approximation for the fracture gradient, based on present-day leak-off tests (Nicoll, 2012), suggests that such an event is plausible.

Two rival processes are acting on today’s CO₂ storage performance at this site. The first process, favoring retention, is the spreading and dispersal of the injected CO₂. For this process, weak shale barriers within the storage formation are beneficial. These encourage the development of many thin layers of injected CO₂, rather than one thick plume. This maximizes the surface area contact of CO₂ to porewater within the formation while decreasing the column height acting on the caprock and minimizing the lateral spread of CO₂. An increased surface area promotes the dissolution of CO₂ in brine (Gilfillan et al., 2009), which results in permanent sequestration, as the slightly increased brine density causes the dissolved CO₂ to descend away from the upper barrier surface (Arts et al., 2008). Solubility trapping is desirable as it eliminates the need to physically retain a lower density buoyant fluid; sequestration of CO₂ by growth into new minerals is likely to be too slow in nature to effect trapping over the required timescale of hundreds-to-thousands of years (Wilkinson et al., 2011).

The second process, opposing retention, is the vertical flow of CO₂ which may be mediated by existing micro-fracture networks
that span the shale barriers. This may be disadvantageous to CO₂ storage for two reasons: firstly, because the CO₂ is only partially spread laterally beneath the shale barriers, potentially resulting in a focused vertical flow path; secondly, because CO₂ could potentially break through the caprock seal. Evidence from Sleipner indicates that the fracturing affects all the internal shale barriers including the uppermost 7 m thick shale. This thick shale is geologically considered to be part of the overlying Lower Seal (Gregersen and Johannessen, 2001; Eidvin et al., 2013). Fracturing of the Lower Seal would be of particular concern, as the caprock acts as the primary seal for storage site. The question arises, has the caprock been fractured by the same deglaciation mechanism? And if so, do the observed chimney-like structures in the secondary seal within the vicinity of the storage site (Nicoll et al., 2012) contain vertical fracture networks that compromise the seal integrity? As yet, a percolation network of connected fractures through the much thicker shale sequences of the overburden has not been proven. Evidence against such a fracture network is the continued lateral migration of the uppermost CO₂ plume at about 1 m per day to the north (Chadwick et al., 2008), and the lack of seismic velocity changes observed in the caprock above the storage site, and within the Middle Seal, on successive 4D seismic surveys (StatoilHydro, 2009; Chadwick et al., 2009). This is encouraging, as even small amounts of CO₂ gas added to the Lower Seal would result in a strong seismic reflection signal, given the strong density contrast between brine and gas for the pressure and temperature conditions of the overburden. These are reasonable grounds to assert that the caprock is a functioning seal that is significantly thicker than the underlying shales. If CO₂ were to seep into the Lower Seal, then predictions of observable phenomena to be expected would include:

(a) Stabilization or shrinkage of the upper plume layer on a timescale of years rather than decades, due to the seepage of CO₂ via fractures rather than dissolution into the underlying formation.

(b) Observable brightening and extending of seismic anomalies for the existing bright spots of natural gas pockets above and within the Lower Seal; ‘lighting up’ of existing seismic chimneys.

(c) New ‘soft kick’ seismic anomalies appearing in the overburden as a consequence of gaseous CO₂ ascending through micro-fractures and charging shallow sand bodies within the near future.

(d) Ultimately, some form of surface expression at the sea floor, including pockmarking, seawater geochemical changes, bubble trains, and possibly behavioral changes in bottom-dwelling fauna.

While none of these are apparent, or inferred at present (Pedersen et al., 2012), future monitoring of the Sleipner storage site could be directed toward testing such possibilities. Note that a significant increase in fluid pressure for aquifers and sand bodies above Utsira, a commonly cited detection criteria, is not expected due to the buoyant capillary-dominated nature of the flow and associated weak pressure differentials.

Processes occurring in this storage site are relevant for all areas of the North Sea affected by regional ice sheets. The hydraulic fracturing of thin, shallow shale intervals during deglaciation could be a widespread feature beneath continental ice sheets. Similar processes may be expected to affect all northern hemisphere regions exposed to thick regional ice sheets in the past million years. Consequently, shales shallower than about 1.5 km may be less effective primary seals than anticipated, but vertical stacking of multiple thin CO₂ layers within storage formations is advantageous, as is the distribution of further CO₂ layers beneath secondary seals within the storage complex, increasing the contact area with porewater and enhancing dissolution trapping. Dissolution uses more of the reservoir pore volume efficiently, and potentially increases site capacity relative to regional estimates of storage efficiency. However, a lack of understanding with respect to flow processes in the overburden will likely lead to conservative estimates of the secondary sealing potential and an underestimation of the important contribution of the overlying stratigraphy to the performance of a storage site. At the present time, in our opinion, the evidence from the very extensively analyzed geophysical data for Sleipner is compatible with retention of CO₂.

7. Conclusions

The Sleipner CO₂ storage site is an iconic carbon sequestration project and extraordinary fluid flow experiment in terms of the setting, nature and volume of fluid injected. This paper has documented a numerical modeling approach that results in a plausible CO₂ distribution and mass balance estimate. Data acquisition and monitoring at Sleipner has been via remote geophysical sensing, primarily 4D seismic. As a consequence, a number of quite large uncertainties remain with respect to the observed plume distribution. The paper demonstrates the sensitivity of mass balance estimates associated with a poorly constrained temperature profile close to the critical point of CO₂, and poorly constrained thickness estimates of the multiple thin layers that characterize the plume.

With respect to simulations, it is noted that previous attempts to numerically model the plume have used software governed by Darcy flow physics, and achieved poor results. This paper presents an alternative approach that leads to a successful 3D simulation of the injected plume distribution using a model based on capillary flow and percolation physics. The paper carefully documents the modeling approach and justification for this unusual but effective method. The modeling indicates that the multiple layers of thin CO₂ are likely a consequence of unexpectedly low threshold pressures for vertical migration through the shale barriers within the Utsira Formation. The estimated threshold pressures for CO₂, at around 50 kPa, are approximately 35-fold less than that measured on a sample of the caprock shale from well 15/9A-11, close to the site, at approximately 1.75 MPa. This difference is most plausibly explained by microfracturing of the shale barriers, but CO₂ injection at Sleipner is not considered to be a likely cause of fracturing.

It is postulated that the fracturing occurred long before CO₂ injection commenced, as a result of rapid pore pressure fluctuations associated with the collapse of thick ice sheets during multiple episodes of deglaciation in the region over the last million years. A number of independent observations are considered to support this hypothesis. Concerning seal integrity, the caprock and overlying Nordland Group shales of the Lower Seal may have also been fractured during deglaciation. However, it is noted that there is no evidence of CO₂ leaking, possibly as a result of a different caprock response to CO₂ retention, which is notably thicker than the thin shale barriers within the storage site. It is inferred that similar fracture networks within the primary seal are potentially only proximal to the formation, and may have limited percolation connectivity, preventing vertical migration through the overburden.
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Surface datum is mean sea level. All measurements are in SI units unless stated otherwise. Geothermal gradient assumed linear between 41 °C at 1012 m (Bickle et al., 2007) and 35 °C at 800 m (Singh et al., 2010). Hydrostatic gradient, \( P_z = \rho_z g z \), the standard formulation (atmospheric pressure, 1013.2 kPa; gravitational constant, 9.811 m/s²), pore water density, 1020 kg/m³, assumed constant. CO₂ interfacial tension, 27 mN/m and CO₂-pore water contact angle, 140°, assumed constant given reservoir temperature and pressure gradients, after published data (Shah et al., 2008; Bachu and Bennion, 2009). CO₂ density 377–625 kg/m³, from crest to injection point, calculated from Permadia PVT solver, based on a published equation of state (Huang et al., 1985). Sandstone porosity, 0.36±0.04 below the caprock. Sandstone porosity, 0.34±0.04 at the base of the formation. Sandstone permeability assumed to be 1–5 darcy, after published data (Singh et al., 2010). Sandstone threshold pressure 10–20 kPa (Sorkhabi and Tsuji, 2005). Sandstone CO₂ saturation 0.80 (after a published Scw value of 0.2 (Bickle et al., 2007)). Shale porosity, 0.30±0.02 assumed given depth.
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