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Abstract
The rodent parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi* is an important *in vivo* model of malaria. The ability to produce chronic infections makes it particularly useful for investigating the development of anti-*Plasmodium* immunity, as well as features associated with parasite virulence during both the acute and chronic phases of infection. *P. chabaudi* also undergoes asexual maturation (schizogony) and erythrocyte invasion in culture, so offers an experimentally-amenable *in vivo to in vitro* model for studying gene function and drug activity during parasite replication. To extend the usefulness of this model, we have further optimised transfection protocols and plasmids for *P. chabaudi* and generated stable, fluorescent lines that are free from drug-selectable marker genes. These mother-lines show the same infection dynamics as wild-type parasites throughout the lifecycle in mice and mosquitoes; furthermore, their virulence can be increased by serial blood passage and reset by mosquito transmission. We have also adapted the large-insert, linear *PlasmoGem* vectors that have revolutionised the scale of experimental genetics in another rodent malaria parasite and used these to generate barcoded *P. chabaudi* gene-deletion and –tagging vectors for transfection in our fluorescent *P. chabaudi* mother-lines. This produces a tool-kit of *P. chabaudi* lines, vectors and transfection approaches that will be of broad utility to the research community.
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Introduction
The rodent parasite *Plasmodium chabaudi* is a leading in vivo model for the study of host immunity and immunopathology in malaria, and provides an extremely powerful tool to study the parasite biology and parasite-host interactions that underpin virulence, chronicity and transmission (Spence et al., 2013; Spence et al., 2015). This is because *P. chabaudi* demonstrates sequestration and rosetting at the mature schizont stage and resolves to establish a chronic infection with periodic recrudescence and antigenic variation, in a similar manner to the dominant cause of human malaria mortality, *P. falciparum* (reviewed in (Langhorne et al., 2002; Stevenson & Riley 2004)). Furthermore, commitment to sexual development (gametocytogenesis) increases after the resolution of acute infection and development in the mosquito progresses through each bottleneck with physiologically relevant parasite numbers (Spence et al., 2012). In addition, there are a large number of phenotypically diverse parasite genotypes available, which provide a unique opportunity to a) develop co-infection, superinfection and re-infection models and b) interrogate the role of parasite genetics in shaping the course and outcome of infection. *Plasmodium chabaudi* is therefore an extremely adaptable model that can be used to study every step in the *Plasmodium* life cycle.

Despite the phenotypic advantages of this model system, the development of transfection technologies for *P. chabaudi* has lagged behind those for other rodent malaria models. Methods for generating *Plasmodium chabaudi* reporter lines by single cross-over recombination and genomic integration of a fluorescent or luminescent protein cassette have, however, been described (Brugat et al., 2014; Reece & Thompson 2008; Spence et al., 2011), and these parasites and transfection methods have proved useful for imaging parasite accumulation and associated pathology in host tissues (Brugat et al., 2014; Borges da Silva et al., 2015; Brugat et al., 2017; Cunningham et al., 2017; Medeiros et al., 2013), as well as for visualising parasite protein localisation (Yam et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the relative inefficiency of these techniques has hindered the progress of *P. chabaudi* experimental genetic studies.

To improve the accessibility and reproducibility of *P. chabaudi* transfection techniques, we have optimised methods that enable parasite purification and transfection in the laboratory and drug selection in wild-type mice, and have used these methods to generate stable, highly-fluorescent lines by double cross-over integration of genes encoding green- and mCherry-fluorescent proteins (Shaner et al., 2004) into a dispensable gene locus, using a transfection vector that allows recycling of the drug-selectable marker. These parasites are viable throughout the life-cycle in mice and mosquitoes and can be used as a fluorescence mother-line. We tested this by adapting high efficiency large-insert PlasmoGEM vectors and used them to generate gene-modified fluorescent parasite lines (Pfander et al., 2011). Together, this toolkit provides a clear way forward for future expansion of gene targeting studies in *P. chabaudi*.

Methods

Ethical statement and mouse procedures at the University of Edinburgh

All procedures were carried out in accordance with the UK Home Office regulations (Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986; project licence number 70/8546 and P04ABDCAA) and approved by Ethical Review Body of the University of Edinburgh. Throughout this study, all efforts were made to reduce animal usage and ameliorate harm to animals. Mice were housed in the University of Edinburgh Licenced Animal Facilities 60/2605), and all animal procedures were performed in laboratories within the animal facilities. C57Bl/6Jax mice were bred under specific pathogen free conditions at the University of Edinburgh. Experimental female C57Bl/6 mice, aged six to twelve weeks, were kept in specific-pathogen-free conditions and subjected to regular pathogen monitoring by sentinel screening. Mice were housed with at least one companion in individually ventilated cages furnished with autoclaved woodchip, fun tunnel and tissue paper at 21 ± 2°C under a reverse light-dark cycle (light, 19.00 – 07.00; dark, 07.00 – 19.00) at a relative humidity of 55 ± 10%. Mice were housed under these light-dark cycle conditions to allow collection of *P. chabaudi* trophozoites prior to schizogony at 13.00-15.00 hrs, and were allowed to adapt to a reverse-light schedule for at least 7 days before *P. chabaudi* infection. They were fed a commercially available, autoclaved dry rodent diet (Rat and Mouse No. 3 Breeding diet; Special Diets Services) and water, both available ad libitum. The health of mice was monitored by routine daily visual health checks, and *P. chabaudi*-infected mice were monitored at least twice daily.

Mice, mosquitoes and parasites

The C57Bl/6 mouse/Plasmodium chabaudi chabaudi AS animal model of malaria was chosen to minimize host genetic variability and to obtain robust infections with a very low incidence of severe disease. *P. c. chabaudi* AS parasites were obtained from the European Malaria Reagent Repository at the University of Edinburgh. *Anopheles stephensi* were maintained, and transmission of *P. chabaudi* was carried out, as described in (Spence et al., 2012; Spence et al., 2013). All experimental groups consisted of 2-6 mice housed together. Transfection experiments required 10 mice; two mice to provide infected blood for transfection and eight mice as recipients of parasites transfected with four plasmids in replicate. Parasite cloning required six mice and phenotypic experiments were carried out using groups of 7-8 mice, to provide statistical significance. Euthanasia was performed by cervical dislocation at the end of phenotypic experiments, or by exsanguination under anaesthesia (pentobarbital sodium; Euthatal). Mice were exsanguinated to obtain infected and uninfected blood for transfection experiments, or to collect genetically-modified parasites for storage as stabilates in liquid N₂. This specific method of anaesthesia reduces animal suffering whilst maximising blood volume obtained.

Monitoring of infection

Female C57Bl/6Jax mice, aged 6-10 weeks with median weight of 22.5g (IQR 21.7g-23.1g), were inoculated ip with 1×10⁵
PcAS-GFP<sub>ext</sub>-infected red blood cells and monitored for parasitaemia, weight loss and anaemia daily. Parasitaemia was enumerated either on giemsa-stained, thin-blood smears or by flow cytometric analysis (described in Underlying data; Thompson, 2020). In the latter case, 2μL of blood was diluted in 1mL of KSG-H (0.1 M NaCl, 4.6 mM KCl, 1.2 mM MgSO₄-7H₂O, 25 mM Na₃PO₄, 0.2% (wt/vol) glucose, 25 IU ml⁻¹ heparin sodium), made as described in (Spence et al., 2011), maintained at 4°C and then diluted a further 1:5 prior to acquisition (150,000 events per sample) on a BD Fortessa analyser (Becton Dickinson, UK) within 2 hours of sampling. Using FlowJo analysis software (Becton Dickinson, UK), gating was performed on singlets (FSC-A v FSC-W), cells (FSC-A v SSC-A) and native GFP (B530/30nm). Free Flow software, FCSalyzer, is available at https://sourceforge.net/projects/fcsalyzer/. GFP gates were set using uninfected blood, with a median of 0.06% background signal and a limit of detection threshold of 0.85% (n=42). For anaemia, haemoglobin (g/L) was determined by Hemocue Hb201+ analyser (Radiometer, Sweden) with moderate anaemia defined as 80-120 g/L and severe anaemia as <80 g/L, in accordance with WHO guidelines. The mean haemoglobin concentration in 36 uninfected control mice was 140 g/L (data was collected from mice at the point when they were assigned to experimental groups in this study).

Construction and mapping of PlasmoGEM P. chabaudi genomic clone libraries
PlasmoGEM, the Plasmodium genetic modification project, is a collaborative project at the Wellcome Sanger Institute with the goal of developing, distributing and applying large-scale resources for Plasmodium genetic modification.

Blood stage P. chabaudi AS parasites were purified using Plasmodipur (EuroProxima) and MACS Columns (Miltenyi Biotec). Parasite genomic DNA was purified using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (catalogue # 69504). Nuclear DNA was isolated from the preparation (removing the 6 kb mitochondrial genome) by gel electrophoresis and purification of the high molecular weight nuclear genome band. Libraries of genomic DNA inserts were generated using the BigEasy v2.0 Linear Cloning System (pJAZZ-OK Blunt Vector, Lucigen, USA; catalogue # 43036-1) as described (Pfander et al., 2011). Briefly, 10 μg genomic DNA was mechanically sheared and end-repaired using mung bean nuclelease prior to ethanol precipitation. DNA was pelleted and resuspended in TE buffer, then size selected on a 0.8% agarose gel. DNA fragments of 6-8, 8-10 and 10-15 kb were excised, purified and end-repaired using the Lucigen kit.

After an additional gel size selection, each insert size range was ligated individually into the pJAZZ vector. The duplicated end-repair and size-selection steps improves cloning efficiency and accuracy of size selection. Ligation reactions were purified using phenol:chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation, and resuspended in dH₂O prior to electroporation into BigEasy TSA cells (Lucigen, USA, catalogue # 60224-1). TSA cells harboring P. chabaudi genomic library clones within pJAZZ vectors were propagated in Terrific Broth (TB) medium supplemented with 0.4% glycerol and 30 μg/ml kanamycin as recommended by the manufacturer, without arabinose induction.

Plasmodium chabaudi genomic library (PcG01 and PcG02) clones were arrayed on 96-well plates and subjected to capillary sequencing of the gDNA ends. To locate each gDNA insert, each library clone was mapped to version 3 of the P. chabaudi (AS strain) genome (Otto et al., 2014), using SMALT (version 0.7.5) as described previously (Pfander et al., 2011).

Design and generation of PlasmoGEM gene targeting vectors for P. chabaudi
We created designs for all possible gene knock-out and c-terminal tagging vectors with the gDNA inserts using a custom software pipeline as previously described for generating a P. berghei resource (Schwach et al., 2015).

Plasmids and protocols for the parallel recombinase-mediated engineering (recombinering) of PcG01 and PcG02 library clones on 96-well plates were the same as used previously for the generation of P. berghei vectors (Pfander et al., 2011). Briefly, (1) TSA cells harboring PcG01 and PcG02 library clones were made transiently recombinering-competent by introduction of the pSC101gbdA plasmid that encodes elements of the red/ET operon of bacteriophage lambda (Zhang et al., 1998). (2) The target genes encoded by the PcG01 and PcG02 library clones were subsequently modified by site-specific recombinering mediated by 50 bp homologous targeting regions so to delete or disrupt the open reading frame (knock-out vectors), or delete the stop codon (tagging-vectors). The recombinering step introduces a dual (zeo-pheS) bacterial selectable marker which confers resistance to zeocin and sensitivity to 4-chloro-DL-phenylalanine. (3) In a LR-Gateway Clonase I (ThermoFisher, catalogue # 11791042) mediated reaction with the pR6K attL1-3xHA-hdhfr/yfcu-attL2 plasmid, which also introduces a 3xHA epitope tag (silent in KO parasites), the zeo-pheS selection cassette was then replaced by a mutated version of human dihydrofolate reductase (hdhfr) coupled to uridyl phosphorybosyl transferase (yFCU), which confers resistance to pyrimethamine and sensitivity to 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC) in Plasmodium. Final vectors were subjected to full-length Illumina sequencing on a MiSeq platform to confirm the correct sequence of the homology arms before uploading design data to our public resource at https://plasmogem.sanger.ac.uk (Schwach et al., 2015). SC101gbdA was propagated at 30°C in DH10B cells in 2x low salt Luria Broth (LB) with 5 μg/ml tetracycline, or together
with pJazz library clones in TB medium with 0.4% glycerol + 30 µg/ml kanamycin + 5 µg/ml tetracycline in TSA cells. The SC101gbDA and pR6K attR1-zeo-x-Phe-x-attR2 plasmid served as template for the amplification of the zeo-PheS cassette for the recombinase step. These plasmids were kind gifts from Francis Stewart, Dresden. The Gateway donor plasmid pR6K attL1-3XHA-hdhfr/ycu-atTL2 was the same as used for P. berghei PlasmoGEM vectors (Pfander et al., 2011). The R6K origin of replication plasmids were propagated in PIR1 (Invitrogen) bacteria in LB Broth with 5 µg/ml tetracycline.

**Transfection**

For transfection, plasmid DNA was prepared using Qiagen plasmid midi-kit (catalogue # 12143). Circular vectors were linearised with restriction enzymes AatII and ApaLI (New England Biolabs) and purified away from the plasmid backbone using gel electrophoresis and a Qiagen QIAquick gel purification kit (catalogue # 28704), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

DNA was resuspended in dH₂O at 0.2–1 µg/µl. The PlasmoGEM transfection vectors used to delete pccrmf1 (PCHAS_0812700), pccrmf2 (PCHAS_0617600), pccrmf3 (PCHAS_0608500), pccrmf4 (PCHAS_1304000) and PCHAS_0418000 were PcGEM-600068, PcGEM-597076, PcGEM-596604, PcGEM-610764 and PcGEM-594684, respectively. The PlasmoGEM vectors were propagated in E. coli TSA cells (Lucigen, USA) according to PlasmoGEM protocols (Pfander et al., 2011; Pfander et al., 2013) and inserts were released by NotI digestion, followed by standard ethanol precipitation.

**P. chabaudi schizont culture**

The culture of P. chabaudi trophozoites to mature schizonts was carried out exactly as described in (Spence et al., 2011) with the following modifications. To provide parasites for culture, a single wild-type donor C57Bl/6Jax mouse (female, 6-10 weeks) was injected ip with 1-5 x 10⁷ parasites. At day 3 of infection (parasitaemia 19–45%), the mouse was exsanguinated at 12.00 hrs, and the infected red cells were cultured at 37°C under 5% O₂, 5% CO₂, 90% N₂ in 10 ml complete-RPMI (RPMI 1640 (Invitrogen), 10% (vol/vol) FBS (PAAR Laboratories), 6 mM HEPES, 2 mM l-glutamine, 0.5 mM sodium pyruvate and 50 µM l-mercaptoethanol). After 2 hours of culture, flasks were carefully removed from the incubator without disturbing the sedimented cells and maintained at 37°C until all transfections were completed. Each tube of transfected parasites was then injected iv into a single wild-type recipient C57Bl/6Jax mouse (female, 6-10 weeks) as described (Spence et al., 2011). From 24 hours after transfection, recipient mice were supplied with acidified drinking water supplemented with pyrimethamine (35 µg/ml), refreshed every day for 5-12 days until parasites were visible. Mice were exsanguinated by cardiac puncture under terminal anaesthesia to collect infected blood. Correct integration of the resulting PcAS-GFPΔ230p and PcAS-mChΔ230p parasites (and deletion of 230p) was confirmed by diagnostic PCR using Phusion Blood Direct PCR kit (ThermoFisher Scientific; catalogue # 15280114). All PCR reactions were carried out using an MJ Research DNA Engine, PTC-200. 50 µl reaction mixes contained 25 µl 2X Phusion Blood PCR buffer, 1 µl (0.5 µM) Primers F and R, 1 µl Phusion Blood DNA polymerase, 1 µl whole blood, 22 µl dH₂O. The cycling protocol was: one cycle x 98°C, 5 min; 40 cycles x 98°C, 1 sec, 72°C, 30 sec; one cycle x 72°C, 1 min.

**Replenishing of the drug selection cassettes**

Following confirmation of plasmid integration into the 230p locus, 1 x 10⁷ PcAS-GFPΔ230p parasites were injected ip into four wild-type C57Bl/6Jax mice. After 24 h (one cycle of replication), mice were supplied with the pro-drug 5-fluorocytosine in the drinking water (0.5 mg/ml) for 5 days until the parasitaemia of the recycled parasites reached 0.15%. Mice were exsanguinated by cardiac puncture under terminal anaesthesia to collect infected blood.

Recombination-mediated removal of the drug selectable cassette was determined by diagnostic PCR as described above (Phusion Blood Direct PCR Kit) and the ratio of recombined (primers recF x recR amplicons) to non-recombined parasites (df x dR amplicons) was compared after 20, 25 and 30 cycles of PCR (Figure 1D). PcAS-GFPΔ₃₃₉ parasites showing a ratio > 2:1 recombined: non-recombined parasites were cloned by limiting dilution. Complete recombination and removal of dhDFR was then confirmed by diagnostic PCR as described above using primers recF x recR and dpFxR. A full list of primers used are provided in Table 1.
Figure 1. Transfection of *P. chabaudi* AS to create PcAS-GFP<sub>ML</sub>. A) Mature *P. chabaudi* AS schizonts accumulate after 4.5 hours of culture in the presence of C2 (gimsa-stained smear); infected erythrocytes were smeared 5 minutes after C2 was removed from culture. Merozoites undergoing erythrocyte invasion are arrowed.

B) Schematic representation of the pCAT<sub>230p</sub>-G6 plasmid showing hDHFR and yFcu selectable cassettes, the GFP cassette and 3PbDHFR-TS direct repeats, allowing recombination and excision of the drug-selectable cassette.

C) Stable integration of GFP into the pc230p locus. To verify correct integration into the pc230p locus in PcAS-GFP<sub>Δ230p</sub> DNA, 1.4kb and 1kb fragments were amplified from the 5' and 3' integration sites using primers 5'intF x 5'intR and 3'intF x 3'intR, respectively. To verify deletion of pc230p, primers 230pF x 230pR were used to amplify a 0.37kb fragment in wild-type but not PcAS-GFP<sub>Δ230p</sub> DNA. To verify the presence of hDHFR, primers dF1 x dF2 were used to amplify a 461bp fragment in PcAS-GFP<sub>Δ230p</sub> but not in wild-type or PcAS-GFP<sub>Δ230p</sub> DNA.

D) Recycling of the drug-selectable cassettes. Following selection with 5-FC, recombined parasites excised the drug-selectable cassettes (insert) and an 830bp fragment was amplified from PcAS-GFP<sub>Δ230p</sub> DNA using primers recF x recR.
Table 1. Primers. Restriction enzyme sites are underlined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>primer</th>
<th>sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5'230pF</td>
<td>atattGCCGGCTCATGTATAACTAGACCATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'230pR</td>
<td>ttattACTAGTGTAACACCTGTGTACAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'230pF</td>
<td>atattCTCGAGGAATTCTCTGAACCGTGAGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'230pR</td>
<td>ttattGAGGTCGATGAACACTACATCTATGTAAGGAAACTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'intF (230p)</td>
<td>GTTATAATTGTTCGAAACCCCTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'intR (230p)</td>
<td>TAGTATGCACCCCTTGGAGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'intF (230p)</td>
<td>AGTAAGAAGAAACGCGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'intR (230p)</td>
<td>CAGTAAATACAAACATCAAAGTATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230pF</td>
<td>GAAATCCAGAATAGCGTTAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230pR</td>
<td>GCAATGTAGCTACATATCTAAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dF</td>
<td>CACCTGGGTATTCTGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dR</td>
<td>TCAGAAACATGGGCAATCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recF</td>
<td>GGCAATTTAAGATAAACATCAAACATTGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recR</td>
<td>TTTAGACATTTAATCTGGAGACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crmp1F</td>
<td>ACATATTCACGCCAGGAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crmp1R</td>
<td>TATTCCGCAGGATGACACGTTAGAGCTCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crmp1F2</td>
<td>TCGTGGCCCTTAATGCAACACA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crmp1R2</td>
<td>AGCTCTCTTTTGATCCTCTATGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'intF (crmp1)</td>
<td>TGATGTATCCCCCTTCTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5'intR (crmp1)</td>
<td>TAGTATGCACCTCTTGAGGGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'intF (crmp1)</td>
<td>AGTAAGAAGAAACGCGTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3'intR (crmp1)</td>
<td>TGGAAATATGCGTGATTTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW1</td>
<td>CTATTCTACATGACCTTGTATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1 (crmp1)</td>
<td>ATATGAAATGCTGAATCAACATTGCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT1 (crmp4)</td>
<td>AAGTAAAGATACTCCATCGGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crmp4F</td>
<td>TGCATGCAACGTAGATATGATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crmp4R</td>
<td>ACATTGAAGCAATCGCACAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results
Design of transfection vectors
P230p has been reported to be dispensable for asexual parasite replication in P. falciparum, P. berghei, P. yoelii and P. knowlesi (Hart et al., 2014; Moon et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2016; van Dijk et al., 2010). To generate parasites that can be used as fluorescent reference lines throughout the P. chabaudi lifecycle, we modified the pBAT-SIL6 plasmid set (Kooij et al., 2012) to generate plasmids that would recombine within the P. chabaudi 230p locus and introduce genes encoding green or mCherry fluorescent proteins, expressed under the control of the strong and constitutive P. berghei HSP70 5' UTR promoter. Plasmids pCAT230p-G6 and pCAT230p-M6 also contained cassettes encoding a pyrimethamine-selectable marker (hDHFR) to enable positive selection for transfectants, as well as negative selectable markers yeast cytosine deaminase and uridyl phosphorybosyl transferase (yFCU; confers sensitivity to 5-fluorocytosine (5-FC)) flanked by pbdhfr-ts 3'utr duplicate sequences, which promote recombination and recycling of both drug-selectable markers after negative selection with 5-FC (Figure 1B).

Generation of stable, marker-free fluorescent P. chabaudi mother-lines
Transfection in rodent malaria parasites relies on electroporation of merozoites (the extracellular stage of the blood cycle), which become mechanically released from arrested schizonts during the isolation procedure, and then subsequently re-invade host reticulocytes or erythrocytes after injection into the bloodstream of a recipient mouse. It is likely that transfection efficiency is lower in P. chabaudi than in other rodent malaria models because schizont rupture and re-invasion of mature erythrocytes occurs spontaneously in culture, hindering the collection of large populations of arrested schizonts. Therefore, to improve the efficiency of P. chabaudi transfection, we tested whether the reversible inhibitor of the Plasmodium PKG - C2 (Collins et al., 2013) - could cause schizonts to arrest and accumulate in culture, thereby increasing the number of viable merozoites available for electroporation.

Addition of C2 at a concentration of 2 µM to the parasite culture led to the accumulation of > 55% mature schizonts (Figure 1A). Removing C2 (by simply replacing the C2-supplemented media
with fresh culture media) allowed normal schizogony to resume and these enriched schizonts could then be electroporated in the presence of plasmids pCAT_{230p}-G6 or pCAT_{230p}-M6 (Figure 1B). Next, electroporated merozoites were transferred into tubes containing uninfected mouse erythrocytes held at 37°C to provide an immediate high-density source of fresh red cells for invasion and ring-formation. This step buys enough time (at least 45 minutes) for multiple transfection procedures to be carried out in the laboratory before the transfectants need to be injected into recipient mice. Significantly, these two protocol modifications improved the efficiency of transfection to such an extent that merozoites could be injected directly into wild-type mice, removing the need for immunodeficient mouse strains for parasite expansion and drug selection. In these ways, we could improve the efficiency, practicability and accessibility of this technique. In our laboratory, we have successfully achieved transformation using pCAT-modified vectors targeting six non-essential loci, with transformed parasites becoming patent at days 9-14 after transfection.

To generate stable, marker-free fluorescent *P. chabaudi* mother-lines, plasmids pCAT_{230p}-G6 and pCAT_{230p}-M6 were transfected into *P. chabaudi* AS parasites according to this modified protocol to introduce GFP and mCherry into the *P. chabaudi* 230p silent locus by double cross-over recombination. After pyrimethamine selection of PcAS-GFP_Δ230p and PcAS-mCh_Δ230p parasites and genotypic verification (Figure 1C), PcAS-GFP_Δ230p-infected mice were provided with 5-FC to select parasites that had lost the drug-selectable cassettes by homologous recombination of pbdhfr-ts 3’utr duplicate sequences. The resulting marker-free fluorescent parasites were cloned by limiting dilution to produce the mother-line, PcAS-GFP_{ML}.

Fluorescent PcAS parasites transmit through the mosquito and show wild-type infection dynamics

PcAS-GFP_{ML} parasites express GFP strongly throughout the lifecycle and, as with wild-type *P. chabaudi* -AS, undergo schizogony and re-invasion of erythrocytes in static culture (Figure 2A). PcAS-GFP_{ML} schizont-infected erythrocytes also display wild-type cytoadhesion properties by forming rosettes with uninfected erythrocytes (Figure 2A) and PcAS-GFP_{ML} parasites efficiently transmit through the mosquito, giving rise to oocysts (Figure 2B and salivary gland sporozoites (Figure 2Biii). Moreover, they establish acute and chronic infections with the same dynamics as wild-type parasites, and demonstrate attenuated growth and virulence after mosquito transmission (Figure 3) (Spence et al., 2013). PcAS-GFP_{ML} parasites can therefore be used to investigate every step of the *Plasmodium* life cycle.

**PlasmoGEM resources for *P. chabaudi***

We have previously generated large gene deletion and tagging vectors libraries for modification of the *P. berghei* genome (Gomes et al., 2015). Scalability relies on robust, parallel engineering of vectors in 96-well plates using the red/ET recombinase system, which in turn requires an arrayed gDNA library, which we constructed in a phage N15-derived linear cloning system with hairpin telomers (Pfander et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 1998). The low copy number of the pJAZZ cloning vector facilitates serial recombineering, and due to its linear nature it can maintain relatively large AT-rich gDNA fragments (Godiska et al., 2010), resulting in targeting vectors with large homology regions and high integration rates (Pfander et al., 2011). Furthermore, since pJAZZ plasmids in our hands are not maintained as episomes when transfected into *P. berghei*, the background noise in transfection experiments is much reduced, such that within a few days of transfection, barcode counting on a sequencer can be used to phenotype dozens of mutants simultaneously (Bushell et al., 2017; Gomes et al., 2015).

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Figure 2.** A) PcAS-GFP_{ML} undergoes schizogony, rupture and rosetting with uninfected erythrocytes in culture. PcAS-GFP_{ML} trophozoites from infected mice were cultured for 4.5 hours in the presence of C2. At 4.5hr and in the presence of C2; i) schizont-infected erythrocytes form rosettes with uninfected erythrocytes; left-hand panel, merged bright and fluorescent fields; right-hand panel, fluorescent field. At 4.5hr and after removal of C2; ii) merozoites egress from schizonts and iii) invade erythrocytes (arrowed); merged bright and fluorescent fields. B) PcAS-GFP_{ML} transmit to the mosquito; i) oocysts in the midgut (day 8), ii) midgut sporozoites and iii) salivary gland sporozoites (day 14). Scale bar = 5μm.
To create PlasmoGEM resources for *P. chabaudi*, we needed to create such an arrayed library from *P. chabaudi* genomic DNA. We created a gDNA library in the pJazz-OK Blunt cloning vector, consisting of 7104 clones, of which 5523 could be mapped to version 3 of the *P. chabaudi* genome (Otto et al., 2014) with an average insert size of 6.7kb (Figure 4A). The cloning efficiency (73%) was similar to our previously generated *P. berghei* gDNA library resource (Pfander et al., 2011). The library covers at least 50% of the coding-sequence of 4167 protein-coding genes (equivalent to a coverage of 80.7% of all predicted genes in the assembled genome); 3392 (65.7% coverage) genes are covered fully. Using our previously described software, we created automated designs for knock-out vectors for 3665 genes (70.9% coverage) and c-terminal tagging vectors for 3141 genes (60.8% coverage), which are available on our website at https://plasmogem.sanger.ac (Schwach et al., 2015).

Our initial targets for knock-out vectors were genes implicated in signal transduction, and those predicted to encode exported proteins using a number of criteria including existing annotation of gene function, presence of transmembrane domains and ExportPred algorithm scores (Sargeant et al., 2006). Using our recombineering pipeline (Pfander et al., 2011), we produced a first batch of 406 (7.9% coverage) barcoded single-gene knock-out vectors that passed quality control by full-length sequencing (Figure 4B). The vectors are available to request as part of the PlasmoGEM project.

**High efficiency transformation of wild-type and PcAS-GFP<sub>ml</sub> *P. chabaudi* using PlasmoGEM vectors**

To compare the efficiency of transfection between modified pCAT plasmids and pJAZZ-derived PlasmoGEM long homology-arm gene disruption vectors, we targeted loci encoding p230p and genes encoding members of the *P. chabaudi* Cysteine Repeat Modular Protein (PcCRMP) family, which are not essential for blood-stage replication (Thompson et al., 2007), in wild-type *P. chabaudi* AS. As an example, the strategy used to modify the PCHAS_081270 locus, encoding PcCRMP1, is shown in Figure 5. Patent parasitaemia was observed in pJAZZ vector-transfected lines on day 8–9 following infection and in pCAT vector-transfected lines on day 10–14 (Figure 5C), suggesting that pJAZZ vectors confer > 64-fold higher transfection efficiency (assuming *P. chabaudi* AS has an 8-fold proliferation rate/cycle).

To next compare the transfection efficiency of PcGEM vectors in wild-type and PcAS-GFP<sub>ml</sub> parasites, we transfected a construct targeting PcCRMP4 (PCHAS_1304000; Figure 5D). PcCRMP4-deletant parasites were patent by day 9 post-transfection in both parasite lines and showed integration into the pcccrmp4 locus and deletion of the gene. Thus, we have demonstrated
Figure 4. Generation of PlasmoGEM resources for genetic modification of *P. chabaudi*. Insert-size distribution for *P. chabaudi* genomic DNA (gDNA) clone library showing number of clones for each genomic insert-size category, with an average genomic clone size of 6-7 kb (A). Size selected *P. chabaudi* genomic DNA fragments (ii) were cloned into the pJAZZ-OK Blunt vector (i) to generate the PcG01 and PcG02 genomic libraries. The pJAZZ-OK Blunt vector encode hairpin telomers (shown in black), a telomerase gene (TelN), replication factor and origin (repA), regulator of replication (cB) and a kanamycin resistance gene (kanR). Genomic library clones are converted into gene targeting vectors by recombineering, which introduces a dual bacterial selectable marker zeocin-pherS (zeo-pherS) (iii). Linear transfection-ready vectors are then generated by Gateway cloning, which facilitates the exchange of the zeo-pherS cassette for the parasite positive-negative selection marker human dihydrofolate reductase-uridyl phosphoribosyl transferase (hdhfr-yfcu) (iv) that are prepared for transfection by NotI digest and integrate with high efficiency into the *P. chabaudi* genome (v).

the generation of marker-free fluorescent mother-lines that can now be genetically-modified using the high-efficiency vectors, available from PlasmoGEM. This enhanced toolbox is now ready to kick-start *Plasmodium chabaudi* genetic studies.

Summary
The improvements in the efficiency, practicability and accessibility of *P. chabaudi* transfection techniques reported here have enabled us to reproducibly generate a series of fluorescently-tagged and gene-deletion parasite lines. Transfections were carried out using transfection vectors derived from the versatile pBAT series of plasmids (Kooij *et al.*, 2012) that can rapidly be adapted for use in gene targeting and tagging strategies, and we have used these to generate fluorescent lines of distinct *P. chabaudi* genotypes (unpublished report). These plasmids also allow recycling of the positive drug-selectable marker and, therefore, sequential genetic modifications. For *P. chabaudi*, this feature may be particularly useful for analysis of the function of multigene families that are not individually essential for blood-stage replication but have been proposed to play a role in immune evasion and modulation, including members of the Plasmodium Cysteine Repeat Modular Protein (PCRMP) family (Douradinha *et al.*, 2011; Thompson *et al.*, 2007). Thus, we can now study the function of the multi-gene families during both acute infection and chronicity in the face of the host immune response, and we can explore whether family members...
have overlapping and compensatory roles by performing double and multiple gene deletions, making use of our ability to recycle the selection marker using negative selection.

We have generated a genome-scale *P. chabaudi* genomic library that can effectively be converted into *Plasmodium* linear, long homology arm gene targeting vectors that integrate into the *P. chabaudi* genome with high transfection efficiency. This *PlasmoGEM* *P. chabaudi* vector resource can now be used together with these fluorescent mother-lines to perform high throughput pooled genetic screens (Gomes et al., 2015); for example, to identify parasite genes essential for sexual commitment and transmission during chronic infection. We therefore expect that these improvements in genetic modification of *P. chabaudi* will encourage the research community to adopt this model to answer new research questions that cannot be addressed effectively in other mouse models of malaria.

### Data availability

**Underlying data**

PlasmoGem vector sequence and design data are available as a public resource at [https://plasmogem.sanger.ac.uk](https://plasmogem.sanger.ac.uk).

Open Science Framework: Marr et al. An enhanced toolkit for the generation of knockout and marker-free fluorescent Plasmodium chabaudi. [https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/65RW3](https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/65RW3)
This project contains the following underlying data:

- Original gel and microscopy images for Figures 1, Figure 2 and Figure 5 in TIF/TIFF format
- pCAT plasmid sequences in DOCX format
- Raw parasitaemia, weight change and anaemia data underlying Figure 3 in XLSX format
- Raw data underlying Figure 5C in XLSX format (Tfn_pCATvmpJAZZ)

- Gating strategy for peripheral parasitemia in PPTX format

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC-BY 4.0).
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Malaria in humans is caused by more than 7 different species of protozoan parasite within the *Plasmodium* genus, with *Plasmodium falciparum* causing the majority of disease and death. Studies into malaria parasites primarily rely on the use of a few species of human malaria parasites that can be cultured in vitro and 3 different species of rodent malaria parasites which can be maintained in mice in the lab. The functions of a majority of proteins are conserved across all species, so the use of multiple species allows us to make use of key experimental advantages of each system to uncover shared biology. It also offers the opportunity to compare and contrast results to study key differences between the species. Whilst the transfection technology for the rodent malaria parasite *P. chabaudi* has lagged behind other species, it none the less has proved invaluable in the study of key aspects of host-parasite interactions. This work addresses a key current drawback in the *P. chabaudi* model with the presentation of 3 significant advancements in experimental genetics approaches – optimisation of transfection efficiency, generation of marker-less transgenic lines and generation, and testing of a *P. chabaudi* plasmoGEM construct library. The work provides a major technical advancement for the model and provides an important new resource for the field.

The comments are all minor but I hope should provide some small improvements to the paper.

**General comments:**

- “two mice to provide infected blood for transfection and eight mice as recipients of parasites transfected with four plasmids in replicate” Why were two mice used for each plasmid per transfection? For replicates, it would be more appropriate to carry these out across different parasite preparations/transfections which are likely the biggest variable for transfection efficiency.

- “a custom software pipeline as previously described for generating a *P. berghei* resource” Whilst the reference describes general parameters an additional direct link to the software and source code (journal requirement) should be added. The version number of software used should also be made clear, I imagine it may be different between the two papers.
Images in fig1 (smears) are very low quality. I don’t dispute the interpretation but it is very difficult to see the condition of the parasites in that resolution.

“we tested whether the reversible inhibitor of the Plasmodium PKG - C2 (Collins et al., 2013)” is this the right reference? C2 is not used for synchronisation in this paper. Perhaps it should be https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003344\(^1\) (which has the same first author and year).

C2 has been used in *P. knowlesi* for transfections for similar reasons. Here viable was found to decrease if held beyond 3 hours. Could the authors comment on the incubation time used (4.5 h) and whether loss of viability was detected after longer incubations? This will help guide correct usage by other labs.

“undergo schizogony and re-invasion of erythrocytes in static culture (Figure 2A)” to what extent can *P. chabaudi* be cultured longer term? Can you provide an indicator as to relative growth rate *in vitro*, or whether it can be maintained for multiple cycles? If this has been demonstrated previously could this reference be added?

Figure 2 title seems to relate to just panel A, the title could be changed to include a reference to transmission states and A) moved to the second sentence. Scale bars are only indicated in one image each for A/B i, ii, iii, but the images are at different magnification. The sporozoite images appear to be at a much greater magnification so need a separate scale bar. The data from Figure 3 are also duplicated in the figure image.

“terminal tagging vectors for 3141 genes (60.8% coverage), which are available on our website at https://plasmogem.sanger.ac” hyperlink truncated.

Summary - I would additionally suggest some discussion of where these technologies fit into the wider scheme of those used in other systems. Combining the optimisation of transfection with Cas9 based approaches, and the huge vector resource available through PlasmoGEM could create a formidable system. Also highlight one of the current downsides of the PlasmoGEM system which is that the markers cannot be recycled.

Summary - *In vitro* reinvasion is mentioned, could short term culture be used for transfection recovery to reduce animal use?
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This paper describes the generation of a new fluorescent *P. chabaudi* mother line that can be used to knockout and tag genes using the *P. chabaudi* specific PlasmoGEM vectors that have also been created as part of this study. Together this line and the PlasmoGEM vectors will facilitate the study of gene function in *P. chabaudi*, a rodent species that causes a chronic infection with periodic recrudescence as a result of its antigenic variation. Hence the ability to genetically manipulate this rodent malaria species will be of value to malaria researchers.

The methodologies were thoroughly described, the results are robust and conclusions valid. My comments really relate to improving the manuscript for clarity.

1. I found the methodology described in the second paragraph of the ‘Design and generation of PlasmoGEM gene targeting vectors for P. chabaudi’ somewhat confusing and whilst this is schematically presented in Figure 4 it would be good to label (i), (ii), etc and for example indicate which is the pR6K attL1-3xHA-hdhfr/yfcu-attL2 plasmid so that the steps described in the methods can be readily followed in the figure. Also for this figure legend, reword so that mention of (i) comes before (ii). Also show where NotI cuts in the figure.
2. PCR step in ‘Transfection and selection of fluorescent P. chabaudi parasites’ - it looks like the annealing step has been omitted.

3. Figure 1: include the primers used for the PCRs underneath the figure for greater clarity. E.g. presumably in Fig 1B df/dr was used in first panel and recF and recR in second?

4. Table 1: no restriction sites have been underlined.

5. Results: Design of transfection vectors: one has to go to the methodologies to see what pCAT230p-G6 and pCAT230p-M6 are. Indicate they are modified versions of the pSIL6 vector that incorporates...

6. Figure 2. Panel 2Ai - it is hard to tell if these are rosettes or if there is just a high density of cells on the slide.
   There is no reference to figure 2ii in the text.
   Figure 2 also contains figure 3 - please delete.

7. Figure 3: Panel B and C are not described in the text at all. How do these compare to blood stage parasites? Best if they are also on the same graph. Please confirm that the mice increase in weight after infection rather than decrease.

8. Figure 5: Again it would be best to show the oligo combinations used in the PCR beneath the figure rather than in the figure legend for greater clarity. Panel C would be better as a scatter plot to show variability - is the difference also significant?

9. Minor errors:
   keywords - Transfection should be lower-case t.
   c-terminal tagging - The c should be in capitals.
   gelelectrophoresis In ‘Transfection’ in methodology, there should be a space between gel and electrophoresis.
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