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‘A real rollercoaster of confidence and emotions’: learning to be a university student

Abstract
Accounts of emotion and affect have gained popularity in studies of learning. This article draws on qualitative research with a group of non-traditional students entering an elite university in the UK to illustrate how being and becoming a university student is an intrinsically emotional process. It argues that feelings of loss and dislocation are inherent to the students’ experiences of entering university, and that ‘coming to know’ a new community of practice is an emotional process that can incorporate feelings of alienation and exclusion, as well as of excitement and exhilaration. A broader understanding of how students learn then depends not just upon the individual’s emotional commitment to developing a new learning identity, but on the emotional interaction between the student and the learning environment of the university.
Introduction
Individuals entering higher education, particularly those from non-traditional backgrounds, often have to adapt to changed ways of learning in order to get the greatest benefit from their course. But universities too must adapt to the changing needs of the ‘new’ learners, and questions are being asked about the role of university culture in supporting or impeding learning. It is becoming clear that learning is a profoundly reflexive and emotional construct, that entails the undoing of earlier learning as students enter a new environment with different subjects, learning approaches and teaching styles. In addition, learning does not take place within the realm of individual cognition. The entire person, group or even organisation is part of the learning process, and universities can influence a student’s learning through an environment that encourages an active learner approach (Zepke, Leach, and Prebble 2006). These accounts of learning open up the emotional dimensions of individual (and organisational) learning, and draw attention to the complex nature of the learning process. Some learning can involve upsetting experiences, leading to feelings of psychological vulnerability and insecurity. Other learning experiences are positive and can engender feelings of hopeful anticipation, exhilaration and discovery (Griffiths, Winstanley, and Gabriel 2005, 275).

In this article we examine some of the theoretical and empirical issues surrounding the powerful emotional component of learning amongst higher education students, based on the experiences of a sample of non-traditional students who entered an ‘elite’ Scottish university directly from further education colleges.

Emotional transitions to university
Interest in student learning is part of a wider renaissance in studies of post-compulsory education (Bloomer and Hodkinson 2000; Hughes 2002). Along with a policy concern to widen access to students who had previously not participated in higher education, there has been an intellectual concern to rethink theories of how students learn. In particular, there has been recognition of the many facets of learning: the cognitive, rational and objective, and the intuitive, imaginative and subjective (Grabov 1997; Illeris 2003). In policy discourse, however, there is a ‘common-sense’ emphasis on learning as a cognitive process, where students internalise knowledge that is largely cerebral. Too often it is assumed that students
who experience difficulties with learning, and who struggle to complete, have non-standard qualifications and lower entry grades. In this scenario, failure to succeed is ‘blamed’ on the attributes of the individual students, who are regarded as being poorly prepared for learning and/or lacking in motivation and academic ability. Despite the academic interest in learning experiences (Gibbs 1992; Kolb 1984), the literature has little to say about the emotional dimensions of learning (see also Boler 1999; Brown 2000). There is little investigation of the difference that confidence, motivation, perseverance and creativity make to the individual’s wider disposition to learning, or of the potential changes in learning identities as students move from one setting or life stage to another. This latter point is especially pertinent to people who are grappling with unfamiliar learning environments, since they are embedded in situations which highlight the emotional dynamics of learning.

This emphasis on the rational component of learning, at the expense of its emotional and social dimensions, has been critiqued for privileging outcome measures and individual aptitudes to learning over issues about university cultures and the social nature of learning (Taylor 1998). Commentators have called for a broadening of how learning is conceptualised, and have looked to explanations of the ‘social situatedness’ of learning. There are two main approaches which merit attention. First, the ‘theory of situated learning’ (Lave and Wenger 1991) seeks to explain changes in learning practices when individuals become exposed to new influences and situations. This theory emphasises ‘the inherently socially negotiated character of meaning and the interested, concerned character of the thought and action of persons-in-activity … in, with, and arising from, the socially and culturally structured world’ (50–51). Learning is viewed as participation in social practice whereby newcomers to a particular community of practice are both absorbing, and being absorbed in, the ‘culture of practice’. Lave and Wenger argue that ‘because the place of knowledge is within a community of practice, questions of learning must be addressed within the development cycles of that community’ (100).

From this perspective, significant learning is what changes our ability to engage in practice and to understand why we do it. Such learning is not just the acquisition of memories, habits and skills, but also the formation of an identity. As Wenger points out, participating in a new practice or community involves us in forming an identity in relation to our competence, such
that ‘we know who we are by what is familiar, understandable, usable, negotiable; we know who we are not by what is foreign, opaque, unwieldy, unproductive’ (1998, 153).

Issues about learner identities and learning careers inform a second set of approaches to the social process of learning. Here, the emphasis is on the need to understand dispositions to learning as part of a social process of identity formation, that is sensitive to biographical narratives and cultural influences (Hodkinson and Bloomer 2000; Osborn et al. 2003). In this scenario, being and becoming a learner is explained as the product of complex interplay amongst the social and economic structures which shape people’s lives, the educational institutions which determine the processes of engagement with learning, and the learners themselves (Crossan, Bradley, and Smith 2003, 58). Learners are not passive recipients of teacher knowledge, but co-producers of meaning. And the identity of the learner at the heart of this new model is similarly reconfigured whereby learners are seen as complex figures whose learning biographies are fluid and subject to change.

Although these newer approaches are not centrally concerned with the emotional component of learning, they do contain important insights about its affective dimensions. In particular, biographical studies suggest that learners have inherently ‘fragile’ identities: they follow ‘fractured’ and ‘disrupted’ pathways though formal education; their engagement with new learning environments is often uncertain; and their disposition to learning, and eventual success (or failure), is affected by a range of psychological factors. In this model, learning is a process of identity formation which is inherently risky and uncertain (Gallacher et al. 2002; Jackson 2003). And studies show that the difficulties of becoming embedded in a community of practice come into play precisely because of their emotional dimensions. It is the ‘culture shock’ on entering higher education – the anxiety about not knowing what is expected – which underpins and becomes entangled with the learning process (Griffiths, Winstanley, and Gabriel 2005). This shock is not entirely located within the community of practice, but is crucially influenced by structural factors related to the class and gender location of the learners.

These emotional dynamics are most pronounced amongst students with no previous familial experience of higher education, where there is no reservoir of knowledge to draw upon. In such cases the acquisition of a learning identity is complex and contradictory: it can evoke powerful feelings of displacement, anxiety and guilt, alongside the more accepted emotional
responses of hopeful anticipation, pleasure and self-esteem (Reay 2005; Christie et al. 2006). This is not to say that conventional undergraduates are untouched by these emotional processes: far from it; indeed the rising incidence of mental health disorders amongst young students, and the particular pressures experienced by middle-class women in high expectation educational settings, suggest otherwise (Heads of University Counselling Services 2003; Lucey and Reay 2002).

**About the study**

In order to cast more light on the emotional component of learning, we draw on data from interviews conducted as part of a longitudinal project on the teaching and learning experiences of non-traditional students. This university-funded research was driven by a concern amongst managers to enhance the learning experiences of the cohort of students who had entered direct from further education colleges. Transitions from further to higher education are not straightforward, and the great majority of students with higher national qualifications (a qualification recognised for university entrance, but normally studied at a further education college rather than a school) move to study at less selective institutions, known in the UK as the post-92 universities (Gallacher 2006). This study is unusual for two reasons. First, it is based in an ‘elite’ university, where the proportion of students from non-traditional pathways is low. And second, it is longitudinal in nature and designed to follow the students over the three or four years of their university education and the year following graduation.

This article reports on the initial stages of the research, and draws on interviews undertaken with the students during their first year at university. In September 2004 students who were studying humanities and social sciences, and who entered directly from further education colleges, were invited to take part in the study. Twenty-eight out of a possible total group of 79 agreed to be interviewed twice over their first year, and this article is based on their narratives. Students were questioned broadly about their transitions to university, about learning to be university students, and about perceptions of their success once there. Although they were not questioned directly about the emotional aspects of their learning experiences, this emerged as an important theme in the interview data. This adds a new dimension to the study – namely an investigation of the links between emotions and learning
A new learning environment: the loss of learning identities

The first interviews, undertaken at the beginning of the students’ first semester, gave a strong sense of exhilaration and excitement about going to university to study (Christie et al. 2006). Faced with the reality of being there, however, many students described the process of transition in emotional terms. For the majority the difficulties lay in making the transition to a new teaching and learning environment, and, in common with other studies of student learning, they documented a process of ‘learning shock’ as they entered the university (Griffiths, Winstanley, and Gabriel 2005). It was fairly typical for candidates to describe coming to university as a ‘huge culture change and a huge shock’, to the extent that many regarded the first semester ‘as a total write off’ (01).

Common amongst the respondents was a sense that coming to university involved the loss of a secure learning identity, built up during their time in a further education college. In the first interview students were appreciative of their college – they were known and supported by both staff and students, and were familiar with the volume and standard of work they were expected to do (Christie et al. 2006). In contrast, the second interviews show that entering university was often a bewildering and dislocating experience, because those old certainties were lost: in Lave and Wenger’s terms, they had to learn the new rules of the university, so that they could engage in a new community of practice. Our analysis of emotions and learning indicates the importance of: first, their loss of knowledge about how the learning system worked, and, second, a loss of understanding about the academic standard of work expected of them. We consider these in turn.

Lack of knowledge about university

First, students no longer felt competent to be students (Wenger 1998), and this resulted in the loss of the secure learning identity built up during their time in a further education college. This loss stemmed from a range of factors, including the sheer size and scale of the
university. New students struggled to find their way about the campus, and the potentially
dislocating effects of this should not be underestimated. For example:
trying to find out who people were, where you should be. I know everybody’s an adult when
they come to university but I just felt ‘oh God!’ When you walk in you haven’t a clue what
building to even start looking for someone. (42)
Although the scale of the university and the difficulties of negotiating it took some people by
surprise, everyone anticipated that learning and teaching styles would be different. Their
overwhelming sense was of an environment based on attendance at large, formal lectures.
This was in contrast to their college experience, where all teaching had been based in small
groups, with interaction and discussion as valued modes of engagement. For many of the
students this change in emphasis was experienced as one of loss.
It’s [being in a lecture] very impersonal and you can’t really ask questions …. I feel there’s
… not just the openness that you had at College where you could say, ‘I want to ask a
question, I don’t understand, can you repeat that?’ (01)
The guy spoke to us quite a lot whereas like, from college, I’d been used to always
interacting, always discussing, always ‘what do you think?’ ‘right, you do this, I'll do this’,
whereas this guy just spoke at us and you just had to write notes. (13)
The loss of a previous learning identity also centred on the lack of supportive relationships
with staff. At university these relationships were perceived to be much more distant, with
students having to be proactive in seeking out support. This was in contrast to their colleges,
where participation and interaction with staff was embedded in everyday learning practices.
For example:
Although you’ve got tutorials, and tutors because you didn’t actually know any of them, it
was just like a number on a door, it wasn’t like there was a person there to say ‘Look if you
need anything, come in,’ you know, ‘knock on the door’. (22)
Here I find you have a lecture, you go away and you never see the person till the next one
again. They are there to flip a slide rather than have that human contact one to one. (42)
The evidence also suggests that the students’ security was threatened by a lack of tacit
knowledge about the rules of the university. Being outside of the university’s community of
practice can be a painful process, and one that exposes the hierarchical power relations
between staff and students, as well as differential knowledge positions within the student population. The following quote makes clear the real pain involved in not knowing the rules:

There was another mature student in [subject]. We had [name] and he was talking about kinship. Great lectures, very professional lecturer and she was in the front row and she put her hand up to ask a question. Now, it’s just a big no no, isn’t it, that you ask a question in lecture theatres … She must have been dying to say something. She put her hand up and … he says … ‘I can see you with your hand up but I am not going to answer you’. It was like this attitude and she was a mature student and she looked round and she was like, ‘oh’ … I suppose the younger 17 or 18 year olds come here, it’s still like at school. They haven’t been to college … Even college, it’s a different level. It treats you like … more of an adult. It doesn’t treat you like, ‘you’ll sit down and be quiet and if you don’t behave you’re getting put to the back’. (21)

This unfamiliarity with protocol and procedure, and the emotional insecurity it engendered, was a recurrent feature of the students’ accounts of their transitions.

**Insecurity about academic standards**

It was clear that the students had limited understanding of the new system, or of the standards expected of them, and that this was deeply unsettling. This uncertainty related both to the problems of deciphering lengthy reading lists – where to start, how many of the books and articles to read, and so on – and to the problem of anticipating the standard of work required.

For example:

I didn’t really know what was expected. Academic writing in comparison to college is completely different and I can’t stress that enough. (10)

Coming up to the essays I just felt that I wasted a whole lot of time, getting the wrong kinds of information, getting readings that I didn’t really need, just … because I didn’t know exactly what I was doing. (14)

It was clear to us what we had to do [for an essay] … but it was just never ever knowing if it was right. Is this what they were expecting of someone who is supposed to be of university standard? (32)

‘Not knowing’ what standards were expected, or how to undertake everyday learning activities, were bewildering experiences for many of the students, because they no longer
knew how to participate successfully in a community of practice. And high levels of emotional isolation and loneliness affected their academic confidence (Turner 2006). The evidence presented here suggests that the lack of clear expectations in semester one, and the lack of knowledge about university practices and procedures, can hinder students’ learning experiences. It supports Wenger’s (1998) claim that we form identities in relation to our competence. For these students, the move into university disrupted their sense of being competent learners, because their learning environment was no longer familiar or negotiable. Instead they experienced a crisis of confidence in a new context that felt unfathomable and alien to them. In the next section we consider the varying degrees of success with which they adapted to the new demands of the university during their first year.

**Significant learning**

Accounts which privilege the rational dimensions of learning stress that expertise and learning competence are located in the individual, and are independent of context, so learning skills are transferable from one institution to another. However, our evidence shows that learning competence is socially distributed amongst students, and the expertise learnt in one environment does not necessarily enable a student to succeed in a new learning environment. This has implications for understandings of transferable skills, which are a cornerstone of current education policy (Trowler and Knight 2000). Relying on a rational approach to learning misses the existence of ‘embedded’ or ‘tacit’ knowledge, which resides in systematic routines and forms of technology, as well as in the way they interrelate. Embedded knowledge includes the rules and formal procedures of the university, but they are located in the tacit routines which enable things to get done (Wenger 1998). Following Lave and Wenger (1991), our analysis suggests that to undertake ‘significant learning’ the students had to change their ability to participate in the social practices of learning. However, we suggest that we can only understand how students negotiate new meanings and learning identities by looking to the emotional values which they extract from the learning process.

Understandably, respondents found it frustrating to lack embedded knowledge and this loss of learning competence undermined their confidence:

I need a bit of a push to get rid of the self-doubt. (22)

I really dipped in the first term. (25)
But emotions are complex and multifaceted (Ahmed 2004), and the students who questioned their decision to go to university simultaneously spoke of the real thrill of learning new things, even in a very formal arena:

I’ve loved the lectures and I mean you just feel like ‘oh, I didn’t know that’, so it’s like you’re getting information all the time. (14)

And there were other emotional gains of being at university, beyond the well-documented career benefits and financial rewards (Reay, Ball, and David 2002; Smetherham 2006). While instrumental gains were important, the students more often commented on the intrinsic pleasures of studying, of doing something ‘for themselves’, and on their pride in belonging to a prestigious university:

The year between doing my [college course] and coming here, I missed the studying and I missed having that, you know, you’ve got a spare night to read a book and then you’ve got nothing to do. I do need it in my life. (21)

When I was in the [oldest building] sitting in the lecture theatre you’re kind of thinking ‘I wonder who sat here before me’, you know there’s a wonderful sense of history about the place. So I enjoy that. (12)

All of the students were strongly motivated, and it was the emotional gains which gave them something positive to work with in the midst of the anxieties about losing a secure learning identity: something to motivate them through the unfamiliar landscape of higher education, and something around which to build a new learning identity. Student 10, for example, had an acute awareness of the psychological costs of the transition to university and described semester one as:

a real roller coaster with confidence and emotions where one day you feel that you can take on the whole world, and the next day you feel so under confident and you think ‘I am out of my depth here and I am not very sure what I am doing’.

Yet for all the highs and lows she persisted because it was:

an experience; wonderful, absolutely enriching … It was very intense I thought but when I look back at the whole experience to think about the amount of time and what I actually got from that [it] was amazing, absolutely amazing.

Again, the evidence presented here suggests that it is the emotional gains produced through learning that helped students to form a (learning) relationship to the university, and enabled
them to engage in the identity work necessary to become members of a new learning community. Establishing their position in, and actively engaging with, particular cultural fields enabled them to move towards new learning identities. Through this process they achieved a balance between the cognitive, social and emotional dimensions of learning, which enabled them to become competent learners (Illeris 2003). Two aspects were important. First, students developed new ways of learning; and secondly, these changes in practices and the identity work they undertook helped them to develop a sense of belonging to, and membership of, the wider learning community. We consider these in turn.

**Developing new ways of learning**

The task facing the students on entering the university was to become engaged with the common set of assumptions held in the wider community of practice: that is, they had to ‘come to know’ the reality of their new learning environment. As Wenger (1998) argues, this knowledge is seldom built up through formal induction processes, being more commonly the product of participation in the community of practice. Often the first step was to recognise that participation required the development of new ways of learning, including taking responsibility for finding learning materials and moving closer to the model of the ‘independent learner’.

[At college] you’re handed everything. Here’s a photocopy, read this, read that. Whereas here you’ve got to go and do all your own learning … get your own resources and materials and get this book and that book … It takes forever. (21)

You do a lot more yourself and there’s nobody to spoon-feed you as such. You know, it’s a bit of a shock to the system but you just have to adapt quickly. But again that’s the big difference from anything else before. … At university you’re expected to do it yourself and the help you get is minimum. (27)

Only by recognising this difference could they engage with the new learning environment, and begin to make it familiar and usable. But the transfer of this necessary knowledge is not an easy process, because those who possess it are often not aware of it (Bourdieu 1997). When the students felt they had got something ‘right’ they began to develop a sense of being a competent learner. This process itself brought emotional gains: the reading for that’s a lot [one course] … but when you’ve done it at the end it’s sort of a sense of satisfaction with being able to cope with it and you’ve picked out the right bits. (01)
And the process of identifying themselves as competent learners took place through the formal assessment procedures. Receiving (good) marks and feedback on course work helped the students to authorise and validate their new learning identity. Again, the emotional underpinning to this validation was significant to their sense of self-confidence: we all did really well on our first assignment so that kind of gave us a boost that we all know where we are going now and that we are on the right lines. And, that what we have been doing has been good. (37)

I feel more like a university student than I felt in the past. I have got my first essay marks back and I have passed so I feel that I am in the right place. (38)

Another factor in moving towards significant learning was for the students to recognise what support was available from academic staff, and to learn how to access it proactively. For example:

I was a bit scared about coming here because it was so big. I was like, oh ‘what do I do if I’m stuck?’ There’s nobody going to be there to speak to me, but it’s brilliant. You can always find somebody to come and help you or they’ll find out an answer for you. (13)

If there was something you did not understand you could just go and talk to them, and they were not standoffish as I thought that they would be. That surprised me. (07)

The quotes above indicate the need to be sensitive to the discursive level in accounts of how students engage in significant learning: cultural narratives about the limited help available in the university collide with individual narratives about their (lack of) ability to learn in a new environment. The surprise registered by many of the students on finding that help was available was created in and through the tension between their experiences and these discourses. Again, this analysis demonstrates the social nature of learning – the act of seeking help and advice from teaching staff is a joint enterprise in which the new student becomes more fully involved in the social constitution of learning practices, values and attitudes (Clegg, Bradley, and Smith 2006). And the ambiguities and contradictions that can be invoked in the process of entering a new environment can provide key opportunities for individual and collective development. In these cases the context effectively becomes ‘new’ for everyone, and some form of learning is a likely outcome, even if this centres on resistance (Illeris 2003).
As the students gained in confidence they began to incorporate some of the more participatory learning styles they had been familiar with in college. A more secure learning identity was created when students recognised that learning was intrinsically related to the quality of communication and relationships in daily practice, and that they could actively challenge the perceived emphasis placed on rational learning in formal lectures. Some formed study groups or became more active about talking to peers because of the benefits it brought:

It’s taken us the whole of the first semester to realise that it is important to talk to each other and share, because we have just done it one week before the exam and it has really made a difference. So we talk a lot more. Rather than keeping answers to ourselves, we discuss them so that has helped. (04)

we’ve got … study groups going now, that we’ve set up ourselves … we just go away and look through stuff, and go away and write stuff up, then come back. We did this mock exam [laughs] we did it in the library, we did it sort of like you did at school ‘you turn the paper over, and what does that mean?’ And then she went ‘right, that’s it, it’s an hour’. And then we read each other’s, just to get an idea. (22)

These study groups bolstered some students’ confidence partly because they realised they were not alone in struggling with the transition to higher education, as described in the previous section. Student 22, in the quote above, spoke of the cognitive benefits of learning in a study group, but went on to discuss the emotional underpinning of this knowledge acquisition process. Participation in the study group helped to overcome her feelings of anonymity within the university system, and bolstered her confidence to persist:

I felt I was [the only person who was struggling with studying] … I thought ‘Oh my goodness, I’m gonna run’, you know. And then I was standing speaking to other people [in the study group] and I was saying ‘I’m running, I’ve had enough’. So there were quite a few people that said the same. (22)

This confidence boost enabled the students to become active learners, by engaging more fully with learning practices which were becoming more familiar and less alien to them:

I’ve come in this year determined not to be in that position again [overwhelmed/silent in tutorials] because even if I don’t feel that I can say in front of the rest of the tutorial group that ‘I don’t have a clue what you’re talking about’ I will definitely go to my tutor; I mean I’ve learnt that this year. (14)
One implication of this analysis of the social situatedness of learning is that new students benefit from coming to know the rules and procedures of the university, and that this awareness is also an invitation to identity creation of, and to actively change, the community of practice. What is also clear is that our analysis confirms Illeris’ (2003) thesis that the value and durability of the learning process, and the creation of a more secure learning identity, is underpinned and entangled with the emotional dimension of learning.

**Belonging and membership**

There are ongoing tensions between normative (and age- and class-specific) ideas about what it means to be a student, and to belong to a student community, and the reality of the lives of (non-traditional) students (Christie, Munro, and Wager 2005). Thus, the identity work undertaken by the students was not entirely located within their central community of practice (the university), but was crucially influenced by structural factors related to gender and class socialisation. Undertaking this work took an emotional toll on the students, because it brought with it conflicting feelings about their membership of the university and their aged, classed and gendered identities (see Thomas and Quinn 2006). There was a sense amongst many of the respondents that they were not full members of the university community:

I don’t know, I want to belong … but I don’t, I don’t know if I [do]. I feel I deserve to be here. (14)

I went to a couple of the lectures, but I feel like kids are the students, do you know what I mean? I just sort of swan in and out, and they let me sit in on their lectures … It’s … like they’re letting me come to their university. (21)

I find it really hard to integrate with … middle class people … I feel quite intimidated by this university and I feel as if I’m working class and I shouldn’t be here … I feel … if Ravenscraig [large steel works] hadn’t shut down … I’d be working there now but eh, I just feel I’m no’ good enough. (27)

Despite this ambivalence about belonging (or not), the students, like number 14 above, articulated a strong sense of their entitlement to read for a degree at an elite university. The analysis here suggests that there is a close connection between this sense of entitlement and ideas about membership of the learning community. Membership generally was perceived as involving two aspects: first, participating in the social practices to do with learning; and second, participating in the social practices to do with student life. For the students in this
study the emphasis was firmly on the former: belonging was provisional and could only be justified in relation to successful learning practices and outcomes, congruent with a sense of their entitlement to be at university. In contrast, being and becoming a ‘student’ with a social life was perceived as likely to be unachievable, as secondary in importance and as contributing to their more general sense of dislocation (Hughes 2002). Within an elite university they were not ‘proper’ full-time students, and should not expect to ‘fit in’: students are people who participate in a full social life that takes place through the social microcosm of the university (Waller 2006).

We found that they had to draw very tight imagined boundaries between their home life and their university life if they were to succeed in either. At this point differential power relations come into play, because the positions adopted may derive from their particular status within the university (mature student, course member and so on), or may be the expression of structural factors emanating from the wider socio-economic environment. Significant learning does not take place in isolation, and, as the students seek to develop a new learning identity, so they bring new ‘currents’ in to the university (and in to home life). Simply being at university, and developing identities as students, involved the respondents in making significant changes to their domestic arrangements. The emotional work this entailed often put a strain on their home lives – and was a price that they felt they could only justify in terms of learning outcomes. They noted the complex emotional demands of changing home life to better support their emerging identities as students:

A big, important thing for me is my husband changing shifts, accommodating me going out [to university] on a Tuesday night. (24)

It’s been difficult having two assessments at almost the same time and having to balance it with work and family, and trying to get in and out of [university]. But from a personal point of view it is gratifying to be doing something like this. (35)

If I had to pick out one thing [that helped him to succeed], I’d probably say my partner … she’s just finished her degree in nursing and she’s learnt me a lot of good habits … which I feel I couldn’t have done without so she’s been really beneficial for me in my private learning at home. (27)

The emotional toll of developing an identity as a student was greatest when family members were not supportive, and, in common with other studies, these pressures were felt most
acutely by women with dependent children (Edwards 1993). Again cultural discourses, this time about what it means to be a good mother, can conflict with the individual’s desire to become a student:

he [husband] is trying to help me a bit you know but [his attitude] is ‘I don’t like you going to uni ‘cos you don’t care about us any more’ … and the kids are sort of ‘oh, if you have to’, you know. (22)

I also wonder sometimes why I am doing this course, making my life [difficult] … he [husband] also asks sometimes ‘what is the point? You are a mother and you are doing the stuff and you didn’t have enough time. (31)

This respondent was from an ethnic minority family, and went on to detail the emotional pressure put on her by her extended family and friends:

Sometimes in our culture it’s your relatives and friends, they are making you feel bad: ‘why you are leaving your children at home and then doing this course?’ (31)

Trying to achieve membership, and becoming absorbed in the culture of practice, was primarily about the learning aspects of the student identity. To go beyond this was to stray too far into ideas of membership that centred on belonging to the social world of the student. For the majority of the students, personal and family sacrifices could not be made in order to engage in such activities:

if you can do enough to pass, you know, to get through plus keep your home life good, I think you’ve accomplished quite a lot actually. (21)

Indeed, they articulated a sense of ‘proper’ student life as something to look at but not touch, and so their membership of the student community could only ever be partial and incomplete:

Maybe because I don’t stay here, maybe I don’t feel a [part of the student community], people are going out and meeting and maybe the social life of it, maybe I am missing that in [university], but it doesn’t bother me. (30)

we all live out, we all have other lives. The people in my group were people with families and houses and an actual other life. So … I felt [I belonged to] my group who I suppose were part of [the] University’s group but as it was I never … felt like I had an identity as a student. (42)

Although the students were pragmatic in their approach to (a lack of) student social life, it was clear that, in discussing their partial and contested membership of the student
community, they drew upon affective discourses to map out a powerful emotional and
psychic landscape of class membership of the university:
Ye-es, I do [feel like I belong to the university] – for the most part. I think it’s quite divided
though in some ways. I think there’s … a whole section of wealthy English people who …
are just in a world of their own but aside from them, yes, I do. (23)
I enjoy it and I feel proud to be here but I don’t feel part of uni. (27)
Indeed, the affective dimensions of class were implicit in the students’ everyday social
processes and interactions, both inside and outside of the university. Student 10 described
how this cut across all of her interactions with other students:
I know that the way that I speak is working class and I have got an accent, and being in an
environment where there is lots of middle and upper class students and when they are
presenting, and they are able to project themselves, it just seems to be a completely different
thing for me, because I suppose I am class conscious … and I didn’t feel very confident
giving presentations in front of middle and upper class people because I know that I carry an
accent.
And these class dynamics held outside of the university, to the extent that the students felt a
sense of doing something unusual or even deviant by attending university (Edwards 1993;
Hughes 2002; Reay, 2005).
what I can’t believe, and it possibly comes down to this class thing as well, is that when
people have said to me ‘oh what are you doing, you are not working?’; and I say ‘I’m doing
my voluntary work at the school and I am going to university’, ‘oh what are you doing, which
university are you going to?’ and I say … ‘the university of [name]’, and it’s just they look at
you in a different way. (39)
Typically the students outlined the emotional injuries of their class location by contrasting the
(stereotypical imagery of the) ideal student life with their experience of gaining a degree
through dedication and persistence. They often drew on discourses of morality to make
judgements about the behaviour of their (more advantaged) peers:
there’s upper class people who don’t commit to studies, they are here and they just party on,
turn up drunk and I just feel ‘you are upper class, you may have money and support, financial
support and whatever to be here, but I am committing 100% hard work. (10)
These defensive comments were often accompanied by a statement about the individual student’s entitlement to be at university despite (or perhaps because of) their sense of class dislocation:

I have just as much right to be here as anybody else. (04)

As Reay (2005, 923) suggests, this defensive reliance on a discourse of rights reflects the students’ underlying feelings of shame about not being the ‘right’ person for an elite university, even when their level of achievement entitles them to a place.

**Conclusion**

In this article we have used theories about the emotional character of learning to broaden accounts of the learning experiences of non-traditional students entering elite universities. We have shown that engagement with learning is a subjective experience, bound up with other life events and experiences, and have drawn attention to its ‘social situatedness’. We have also shown how a person’s activities and choices are both constrained and enabled by their horizons for action, which, as Hodkinson (2004, 7) argues, ‘are influenced both by opportunities which a person has access to, and also to a person’s perception of self, of what they want to be, and of what seems possible’.

The analysis presented here allows for some reflection on the likely consequences for theoretical and empirical research of the learning experiences of students from across a range of socio-economic backgrounds. First, our findings suggest that many non-traditional students work with distinctive – and class-based – understandings of what it means to fully belong to a community of practice in an elite university. The identity work they undertook as part of the process of becoming a competent learner was underpinned by a strong emotional desire to engage in the social practices of learning. But they were only ever partial members of the wider community of practice, and their engagement with ‘proper’ student life engendered strong emotional feelings of ambivalence and dislocation. In line with Reay’s (2005) work, these class practices reveal the ways in which the emotional labour involved in becoming a student is actively shaping the creation of new inequalities within universities.

Second, while learning how to be a university student was an emotionally demanding process for all of the students in this study, it was also bound up with the very particular nature of the pathways they had taken through higher education. Our analysis has focused on how students
negotiate multiple and competing learning identities and subject positions, as they move from further education colleges to university. The extent to which they had built up secure learning identities, within the supportive environments of their colleges, was a significant factor in explaining their experiences of loss and displacement within a large and (at least initially) impersonal university environment.

Further comparative and longitudinal studies are required to explore the emotional journeys that different groups of students make, as they encounter different learning environments within the education system. For example, comparative studies would uncover the extent to which the experiences of loss and dislocation documented here are widespread amongst the student population. An important dimension of this debate concerns the learning experiences of students who enter different kinds of communities of practice within an institution. This highlights the need to investigate the nature of the relationship between the organisational context, particularly cultural characteristics, and individual and group practices. Only longitudinal interviews can portray the range of experiences of these students, and the emotional work they undertake as they ‘come to know’ the rules of their new learning environment.

Finally, our work suggests that it is important to avoid simplistic assumptions about the transferability of learning skills from one setting to another. Rather, moving to a different learning environment brings new sets of risks, because the students must negotiate the meaning and significance of the everyday practices embodied in the new learning setting. Whilst it is important for universities to be concerned with the quality of their teaching programmes, the social and collaborative aspects of students’ learning experiences, captured in the accounts of the social situatedness of learning, are also important determinants of graduate outcomes, and should be included in efforts to enhance the quality of student learning (Smith and Bath 2006). Within policy discourses there is often little explicit acknowledgement of the social and emotional components of learning, despite the well-publicised problems of student drop-out and mental health problems. The theory of situated learning outlined here is an important addition to policy debates about learning, which often make too easy an assumption between the acquisition of skills and learning competence.
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