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Comparative Performance of Four Methods for High-throughput Glycosylation Analysis of Immunoglobulin G in Genetic and Epidemiological Research*


The biological and clinical relevance of glycosylation is becoming increasingly recognized, leading to a growing interest in large-scale clinical and population-based studies. In the past few years, several methods for high-throughput analysis of glycans have been developed, but thorough validation and standardization of these methods is required before significant resources are invested in large-scale studies. In this study, we compared liquid chromatography, capillary gel electrophoresis, and two MS methods for quantitative profiling of N-glycosylation of IgG in the same data set of 1201 individuals. To evaluate the accuracy of the four methods we then performed analysis of association with genetic polymorphisms and age. Chromatographic methods with either fluorescent or MS-detection yielded slightly stronger associations than MS-only and multiplexed capillary gel electrophoresis, but at the expense of lower levels of throughput. Advantages and disadvantages of each method were identified, which should inform the selection of the most appropriate method in future studies. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 13: 10.1074/mcp.M113.037465, 1598–1610, 2014.

Glycans are important structural and functional components of the majority of proteins, but because of their structural complexity and the absence of a direct genetic template our current understanding of the role of glycans in biological processes lags significantly behind the knowledge about proteins or DNA (1, 2). However, a recent comprehensive report endorsed by the US National Academies concluded that “glycans are directly involved in the pathophysiology of every major disease and that additional knowledge from glycoscience will be needed to realize the goals of personalized medicine” (3).

It is estimated that the glycome (defined as the complete set of all glycans) of an eukaryotic cell is composed of more than a million different glycosylated structures (1), which contain up to 10,000 structural glycan epitopes for interaction with antibodies, lectins, receptors, toxins, microbial adhesins, or enzymes (4). Our recent population-based studies indicated that the composition of the human plasma N-glycome varies significantly between individuals (5, 6). Because glycans have important structural and regulatory functions on numerous glycoproteins (7), the observed variability suggests that differences in glycosylation might contribute to a large part of the human phenotypic variability. Interestingly, when the N-glycome of isolated immunoglobulin G (IgG)† was analyzed, it was found to be

† The abbreviations used are: IgG, immunoglobulin G; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; UPLC-FLR, ultraperformance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection; CGE-LIF, multiplex capillary gel electrophoresis with laser induced fluorescence detection; MALDI-TOF-MS, matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight MS; LC-ESI-MS, liquid chromatography electrospray MS.
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even more variable than the total plasma N-glycome (8), indicating that the combined analysis of all plasma glycans released from many different glycoproteins blurs signals of protein-specific regulation of glycosylation.

A number of studies have investigated the role of glycans in human disease, including autoimmune diseases and cancer (9, 10). However, most human glycan studies have been conducted with very small sample sizes. Given the complex causal pathways involved in pathophysiology of common complex disease, and thus the likely modest effect sizes associated with individual factors, the majority of these studies are very likely to be substantially underpowered. In the case of inflammatory bowel disease, only 20% of reported inflammatory bowel disease glycan associations were replicated in subsequent studies, suggesting that most are false positive findings and that there is publication bias favoring the publication of positive findings (11). This situation is similar to that which occurred in the field of genetic epidemiology in the past when many underpowered candidate gene studies were published and were later found to consist of mainly false positive findings (12, 13). It is essential, therefore, that robust and affordable methods for high-throughput analysis are developed so that adequately powered studies can be conducted and the publication of large numbers of small studies reporting false positive results (which could threaten the credibility of glycoscience) be avoided.

Rapid advances of technologies for high-throughput genome analysis in the past decade enabled large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS). GWAS has become a reliable tool for identification of associations between genetic polymorphisms and various human diseases and traits (14). Thousands of GWAS have been conducted in recent years, but these have not included the study of glycan traits until recently. The main reason was the absence of reliable tools for high-throughput quantitative analysis of glycans that could match the measurements of genomic, biochemical, and other traits in their cost, precision, and reproducibility. However, several promising high-throughput technologies for analysis of N-glycans were developed (8, 15–20) recently. Successful implementation of high-throughput analytical techniques for glycan analysis resulted in publication of four initial GWAS of the human glycome (21–24).

In this study, we compared ultra-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection (UPLC-FLR), multiplex capillary gel electrophoresis with laser induced fluorescence detection (xCGE-LIF), matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS), and liquid chromatography electrospray mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS) as tools for mid-to-high-throughput glycomics and glycoproteomics. We have analyzed IgG N-glycans by all four methods in 1201 individuals from European populations. The analysis of associations between glycans and ~300,000 single-nucleotide genetic polymorphisms was performed and correlation between glycans and age was studied in all four data sets to identify the analytical method that shows the strongest potential to uncover biological mechanisms underlying protein glycosylation.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Study Participants**—All research in this study involved adult human participants from the Croatian Adriatic islands of Vis and Korčula who were recruited within a larger genetic epidemiology program previously described (25). The study conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Split Medical School. All participants in this study have signed the appropriate informed consent. IgG was purified from the plasma of 1821 individuals using monolithic protein G 96-well plates at the Genos Glycoscience Laboratory in Zagreb. Aliquots of purified IgG were sent to the Leiden University Medical Center for MS analysis (MALDI-TOF-MS and LC-ESI-MS) of IgG glycopeptides and to the Max Planck Institute and glycXera in Magdeburg for xCGE-LIF analysis of IgG glycans. UPLC-FLR analysis was performed at Genos. Using all four methods, 1201 individuals were successfully analyzed.

**Isolation of IgG**—Immunoglobulin G was isolated from plasma by affinity chromatography using a 96-well protein G monolithic plate (BIA Separations, Ajdovščina, Slo). The protein G plate was first washed with 10 column volumes (CV) of ultrapure water and equilibrated with 10 CV of binding buffer (1× PBS, pH 7.4; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). Plasma samples (50 μl) were diluted 10× with the binding buffer, applied to the plate and instantly washed five times with 5 CV of binding buffer to remove unbound proteins. IgGs were eluted from the protein G monoliths using 5 CV of 100 mM formic acid (FA; Fisher Scientific), pH 2.5, into a 96 deep well plate and immediately neutralized to pH 7.0 with 1 M ammonium bicarbonate (Fisher Scientific). After each sample application, the plate was regenerated with the following buffers: 10 CV of 10× PBS, followed by 10 CV of 0.1 M FA and afterward 10 CV of 1× PBS to re-equilibrate the monoliths. Each step of the isolation was done under vacuum (approx. 60 mmHg pressure reduction while applying the samples, 500 mmHg during elution and washing steps) using a manual set-up consisting of a multichannel pipette, a vacuum manifold (Beckman Coulter, La Brea, CA, USA), and a vacuum pump (Pall Life Sciences, Ann Arbor, MI, USA).

**Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography of IgG N-glycans—Sample Preparation and Analysis**

**Glycan Release and Labeling**—Aliquots (1/5; 200 μl) of the protein G eluates were applied to a 96-well flat-bottomed microtiter plate, dried down in a vacuum concentrator and reduced by adding 2 μl of 5× sample buffer (125 μl of 0.5 M Tris (Sigma-Aldrich, St, Louis, MO, USA), pH 6.6, 200 μl of 10% SDS (Sigma-Aldrich), and 675 μl of water), 7 μl of water, and 1 μl of 0.5 μM dithiothreitol (DTT; Sigma-Aldrich) and incubating at 65 °C for 15 min. Ultrapure water was used throughout. The samples were then alkylated by adding 1 μl of 100 mM iodoacetamide (IAA; Sigma-Aldrich) and incubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. Afterward, the samples were immobilized in a gel block by adding 22.5 μl of 30% (w/v) acrylamide/0.8% (w/v) bis-acrylamide stock solution (37.5:1, Protogel; Sigma-Aldrich), 11.25 μl of 1.5 M Tris, pH 8.8, 1 μl of 10% (w/v) SDS (Invirogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 1 μl of 10% (w/v) ammonium peroxodisulfate (APS; Sigma-Aldrich), and 1 μl of N,N,N′,N′-tetramethyl-ethylenediamine (TEMED; Invirogen). The gel blocks were transferred to a Whatman protein precipitation plate and washed with 1 ml of acetone with vortexing on a plate shaker for 10 min, followed by removal of the liquid on a vacuum manifold. The gel blocks were then washed twice with 1 ml of 20 mM sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃; Sigma-Aldrich), pH 7.2, followed by 1 ml of acetone (ACN; J.T.Baker, Phillipsburg, NJ, USA). N-glycans were released by adding 50 μl of 2.5 mM PNGase F.
supplementary Table S1
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(ProZyme, Leandro, CA, USA) in 20 mM NaHCO₃, pH 7.2, to reswell the gel pieces. After 5 min another 50 µl of 20 mM NaHCO₃ was added and the plates were subsequently sealed with adhesive film (USA Scientific, Ocala, FL, USA) and incubated overnight at 37 °C. The released N-glycans were collected into a 2-ml polypropylene 96-well plate (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) by washing the gel pieces with 3 × 200 µl of water, followed by 200 µl of ACN, 200 µl of water, and finally 200 µl of ACN. The released N-glycans were dried, redissolved in 20 µl of 1% FA, incubated at room temperature for 40 min, and dried again. N-glycans were labeled with 5 µl of 2-AB labeling solution (55 mg of anthranilamide, 66 mg of sodium cyanoborohydride, 330 µl of glacial acetic acid, and 770 µl of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); all from Sigma-Aldrich), shaken for 5 min, incubated for 30 min at 65 °C, shaken again for 5 min, and further incubated for 90 min. Excess 2-AB was removed using solid-phase extraction with 1-cm square pieces of prewashed Whatman 3MM chromatography paper which was dried, folded into quarters and placed into a Whatman protein precipitation plate (prewashed with 200 µl of water, followed by 200 µl of ACN), dried, folded into quarters and placed into a Whatman protein pre-washed paper and left to dry and bind for 15 min. The excess 2-AB was washed off the paper by shaking with 1.6 ml of ACN for 15 min and collected by vacuum centrifugation. The labeled N-glycans were eluted from the paper by shaking with 500 µl of water for 20 min and collected by vacuum into a 2-ml 96-well plate; this step was repeated two times. The eluted 2-AB IgG N-glycans were dried before resuspending in a known volume of water ready for analysis by UPLC-FLC.

Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography—2-AB labeled IgG N-glycans were separated by hydrophilic interaction chromatography on a Waters Acquity UPLC instrument consisting of a quaternary solvent manager, sample manager and a FLR fluorescence detector set with excitation and emission wavelengths of 330 and 420 nm, respectively. The instrument was under the control of Empower 2 software, build 2145 (Waters). Labeled N-glycans were separated on a Waters BEH Glycan chromatography column, 100 × 2.1 mm i.d., 1.7 µm BEH particles, with 100 mM ammonium formate, pH 4.4, as solvent A and ACN as solvent B. A linear gradient of 75%-62% ACN was used at flow rate of 0.4 ml/min in a 20 min analytical run. Samples were maintained at 5 °C prior to injection, and the separation temperature was 60 °C. The system was calibrated using an external standard of hydrolyzed and 2-AB labeled glucose oligomers from which the retention times for the individual glycans were converted to glucose units (GU). Data processing was performed using an automatic processing method with a traditional integration algorithm after which each chromatogram was manually corrected to maintain the same intervals of integration for all the samples. The chromatograms obtained were all separated in the same manner into 24 peaks and the amount of glycans in each peak was expressed as % of total integrated area.

Mass Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS and nanoLC-ESI-MS) of IgG N-glycopeptides - Sample Preparation and Analysis

Trypsin Digestion and Reverse-phase Solid-phase Extraction (RP-SPE)—Aliquots (1/20; 50 µl) of the protein G eluates were applied to 96-well polypropylene V-bottom microtiter plates. TPCK trypsin (Sigma-Aldrich) was first dissolved in ice-cold 20 mM acetic acid (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) to a final concentration of 0.4 µg/µl after which it was further diluted to 0.02 µg/µl with ice-cold ultrapure water. To each sample 20 µl of the diluted trypsin was added followed by overnight incubation at 37 °C.

For reverse-phase desalting and purification of glycopeptides, 5 mg of Chromabond C₁₈,ec beads (Marcheray-Nagel, Duren, Germany) were applied to each well of an OF1100 96-well polypropylene filter plate with a 10 µm polyethylene frit (Orochem Technologies Inc., Lombard, IL, USA). The RP stationary phase was activated with 3 × 200 µl 80% ACN containing 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA; Fluka, Steinheim, Germany) and conditioned with 3 × 200 µl 0.1% TFA. The IgG digestes were diluted 10 × in 0.1% TFA, loaded onto the C₁₈ beads, and washed with 3 × 200 µl 0.1% TFA. The entire procedure was performed on a vacuum manifold (< 3 mmHg). IgG glycopeptides were eluted into a V-bottom microtiter plate by centrifugation at 500 rpm with 90 µl of 18% ACN containing 0.1% TFA. Eluates were dried by vacuum centrifugation, reconstituted in 20 µl MQ water and stored at −20 °C until analysis by MS.

MALDI-TOF-MS—Purified and desalted tryptic IgG glycopeptides (3 µl) were spotted onto MTP 384 polished steel target plates (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and allowed to dry at room temperature. Subsequently 1 µl of 5 mg/ml 4-chloro-α-cyanocinnamic acid (Ci-CCA; 95% purity; Bionet Research, Carmelford, Cornwall, UK) in 50% ACN was applied on top of each sample and allowed to dry. Glycopeptides were analyzed on an UltrafleX II MALDI-TOF/TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics) operated in the negative-ion reflectron mode, because negative-ion mode has been found well-suited for the analysis of IgG glycopeptides and specifically for sialylated glycopeptides (26), while reflectron mode greatly improves the resolution and sensitivity of the analysis. Ions between m/z 1000 and 3800 were recorded. To allow homogeneous spot sampling a random walk laser movement with 50 laser shots per raster spot was applied and each IgG glycopeptide sum mass spectrum was generated by accumulation of 2000 laser shots. Mass spectra were internally calibrated using a list of known glycopeptides. Data processing and evaluation were performed with FlexAnalysis Software (Bruker Daltonics) and Microsoft Excel, respectively. Structural assignment of the detected glycoforms was performed on the basis of literature knowledge of IgG N-glycosylation (27–32). The data were baseline subtracted and the intensities (peak heights) of a defined set of 27 glycopeptides (16 glycoforms for IgG1 and 11 for IgG2-3) were automatically defined for each spectrum as described before (33). See supplementary Table S1 for a complete list of the assigned peptides and corresponding MS signals.

In Caucasian populations, IgG2 and IgG3 have identical peptide moieties (E²₉₀EQFNSTFR₃₀₁) of their tryptic Fc glycopeptides and were, therefore, not distinguished by the profiling method (34). Relative intensities of IgG Fc glycopeptides were obtained by integrating and summing four isotopic peaks followed by normalization to the total subclass specific glycopeptide intensities, as described previously (33).

Reverse Phase nano-LC-sheath-flow-ESI-MS—Purified and desalted tryptic IgG glycopeptides were also analyzed on an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Dionex Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA), consisting of a degasser unit, binary loading pump, dual binary gradient pump, autosampler maintained at 5 °C and fitted with a 10 µl PEEK sample loop, and two column oven compartments set at 30 °C. To protect the trap and analytical column for particulates, samples were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 5 min and passed through a 2 µm pore size stainless steel frit mounted between the autosampler transfer tubing and the trap column. Samples (250–5000 nl) were applied to a Dionex Acclaim PepMap100 C18 (5 µm × 300 µm i.d.) SPE trap column conditioned with 0.1% TFA (mobile phase A) for 1 min at 25 µl/min. After sample loading the trap column was switched in-line with the gradient and Ascentis Express C18 nano-LC column (50 µm × 75 µm i.d., 2.7 µm HALO fused core particles; Supelco, Bellefonte, USA) for 8 min while sample elution took place. This was followed by an off-line cleaning of the trap column with three full loop injections containing 5 µl 5% isopropanol (IPA) + 0.1% FA and 5 µl 50% IPA + 0.1% FA. On-column separation was achieved at 900 nl/min using the following gradient of mobile phase A and 95% ACN (Biosolve BV, Valkenswaard, the Netherlands; mobile phase B): 0 min 3% B, 2 min 5% B, 5 min 20% B, 6 min 30% B, 8 min 30% B, 9 min 20% B, 25 min 100% B.
0% B, and 14 min 0% B. The separation was coupled to a quadrupole-TOF-MS (micrOTOF-Q; Bruker Daltonics) equipped with a standard ESI source (Bruker Daltonics) and a sheath-flow ESI sprayer (capillary electrophoresis ESI-MS sprayer; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, USA). The column outlet tubing (20 μm i.d., 360 μm o.d.) was directly applied as sprayer nozzle. A 2 μl/min sheath-flow of 50% IPA, 20% propionic acid (PA) and 30% ultrapure water was applied by one of the binary gradient pumps to reduce the TFA gas phase ion pairing and assist with ESI spray formation. A nitrogen stream was applied as dry gas at 4 L/min with a nebulizer pressure of 0.4 bars to improve mobile phase evaporation. Glycan decay during ion transfer was reduced by applying 2 and 4 eV quadrupole ion energy and collision energy, respectively. Scan spectra were recorded from m/z 300 to 2000 with two averaged scans at a frequency of 1 Hz. Per sample the total analysis time was 16 min. The software used to operate the Ultimate 3000 HPLC system and the Bruker micrOTOF-Q were Chromelon Client version 6.8 and micrOTOF control version 2.3, respectively.

Each LC-MS data set was calibrated internally using a list of known glycopeptides, exported to the open mXML format by Bruker Data-Analysis 4.0 in batch mode (35) and aligned to a master data set of a typical sample (containing many of the (glyco)peptide species shared between multiple samples) using msalign2 (36) and a simple warping script in AWK (37). From each data set a list of 402 predefined features, defined as the peak maximum within mass window of ± m/z 0.04 and a retention time window of ±10 s, were extracted using the in-house developed “Xtractor2D” software and merged to a complete data matrix as described previously (39). As input, Xtractor2D takes a data set in the mXML format aligned to the master data set and a reference list with predefined features with m/z windows and retention times in seconds. The theoretical m/z values used to identify the glycopeptide features are calculated, and the retention times on the chromatographic time scale of the master data set are used for the alignment. Because of the use of TFA as ion pairing reagent, all glycopeptides belonging to the same IgG subclass have approximately the same retention time, regardless of the number of N-acetyleneuraminic acid residues. The software and ancillary scripts are freely available at www.ms-utils.org/Xtractor2D. The complete sample-data matrix was finally evaluated using Microsoft Excel.

Structural assignment of the detected glycoforms was performed on the basis of literature knowledge of IgG N-glycosylation (27–32). Relative intensities of 20 IgG1, 20 IgG2/3, and 10 IgG4 glycopeptide species were obtained by integrating and summing the first three isotopic peaks of both doubly and triply charged glycopeptides species followed by background correction and normalization to the total IgG subclass specific glycopeptide intensities. The list of the assigned IgG1, IgG2 and 3, and IgG4 glycopeptides as well as the charge states corresponding m/z values is given in supplemental Table S1 as well as in (39). Nonfucosylated IgG4 species were not included in this list, because of spectral overlap with isomeric IgG1 species (listed in supplemental Table S1). These IgG4 species are not expected to influence the IgG1 glycopeptide abundance levels, because they elute after the IgG1 glycopeptides. There is also spectral overlap between several IgG2 and 3 and IgG4 glycopeptides, but because IgG4 elutes before IgG2 and 3 and is present at a much lower abundance, this is not expected to be a problem for the analysis of either of the glycopeptides.

**Multiplex Capillary Gel Electrophoresis with Laser-induced Fluorescence (xCGE-LIF) of IgG N-glycans - Sample Preparation and Analysis**

**Glycan Release and Labeling—** Approximately 10 μg of the protein G monolithic plate IgG eluates were redissolved in 3 μl 1× PBS (Sigma-Aldrich) and dispersed in a 96-well microtiter plate (Greiner Bio-One, Solingen, Germany). IgG samples were denatured with the addition of 4 μl of 0.5% (w/v) SDS (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) in 1× PBS and by incubation at 60 °C for 10 min. Subsequently, the remaining SDS was neutralized by adding 2 μl 4% (v/v) IGEPAL (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1× PBS. IgG N-glycans were released by adding 0.1 U PNGase F (BioReagent ≥ 95%, Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 μl 1× PBS. The 96-well microtiter plate was sealed with adhesive tape and the final sample volume of 10 μl was incubated for 3 h at 37 °C. After N-glycan release samples were dried in a vacuum centrifuge and stored until labeling at −80 °C.

Dried samples were redissolved by adding 2 μl of 1× PBS, 2 μl of 20 mM aminopyrine-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid (APTS; Darmstadt, Sigma-Aldrich) in 3.6 mM citric acid monohydrate (CAaq; Merck-Millipore, Germany) and 2 μl of 0.2 mM 2-picolinolborane (2-PB; Sigma-Aldrich) solution in DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich). Ultrapure water was used throughout. The 96-well microtiter plate was sealed using adhesive tape followed by shaking for 2 min at 900 rpm. Labeling was performed at 37 °C for 16 h. To stop the reaction, 100 μl 80% ACN (LC-MS Grade ≥ 99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich) was added and the plate was shaken for 2 min at 500 rpm. Post derivatization sample clean-up was performed by HILIC-SPE. To remove free APTS, reducing agent and other impurities, 200 μl of 100 mg/ml BioGel P10 (Bio-Rad, Madrid, Germany) suspension in water/ETOH/ACN (70:20:10%, v/v) was applied to AcroPrep 96-well GHP Filter Plates (Pall Corporation, Dreieich, Germany). Solvent was removed by application of vacuum using a vacuum manifold (Merck-Millipore, Germany). All wells were preswashed with 5 × 200 μl water, followed by equilibration with 3 × 200 μl 80% ACN. The samples were applied to the wells of the GHP Filter Plate and shaken for 5 min at 500 rpm to enhance glycan binding. The plate was subsequently washed 5× with 200 μl 80% ACN containing 100 mM triethylamine (TEA; Sigma-Aldrich) adjusted to pH 8.5 with acetic acid (Sigma-Aldrich), followed by washing 3 × 200 μl 80% ACN. After addition of solvent, each washing step was followed by incubation for 2 min and removal of solvent by vacuum. For elution 1 × 100 μl (swelling of BioGel) and 2 × 200 μl of water were applied to each well followed by 5 min incubation at 500 rpm. The eluates were removed by vacuum and collected in a 96-well storage plate (Thermo Scientific, Germany). The combined eluates were either analyzed immediately by xCGE-LIF or stored at −20 °C until usage.

**xCGE-LIF—** For xCGE-LIF measurement, 1 μl of N-glycan eluate was mixed with 1 μl GeneScan 500 LIZ Size Standard (Invitrogen, Darmstadt, Germany; 1:50 dilution in Hi-Di Formamide) and 9 μl Hi-Di Formamide (Invitrogen). The mixture was transferred to a MicroAmp Optical 384-well Reaction Plate (Invitrogen), sealed with a 384-well plate sealt (Invitrogen) and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 1 min to avoid air bubbles at the bottom of the wells. The xCGE-LIF measurement was performed in a 3130xl Genetic Analyzer, equipped with a 50 cm capillary array filled with POP-7 polymer (all from Invitrogen). After electrospray sample injection, samples were analyzed with a running voltage of 15 kV. Data were collected for 45 min. Raw data files were converted to .xml file format using DataFileConverter (Invitrogen) and subsequently analyzed using the MATLAB (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA) based glycan analysis tools glyXtool and glyXalign. GlyXtool was used for structural identification by patented migration time normalization to an internal standard and N-glycan database driven peak annotation (40). The data comparison was performed by glyXalign (41).

**Genotype and Phenotype Quality Control—** Individuals with a call rate less than 97% were removed, as well as SNPs with a call rate less than 98% (95% for CROATIA-Vis), minor allele frequency less than 0.02 or Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p value less than 1 × 10−10. A total of 924 individuals from the CROATIA-Vis and 898 individuals from the CROATIA-Korčula cohort passed all genotype quality control thresholds.
IgG was purified from the plasma of 1821 individuals, out of which 1201 had their IgG glycans successfully measured by all four methods. Individuals who had not been successfully measured for all glycan traits using all four methods were removed in order to bias the comparison as little as possible. This left a total of 445 individuals from CROATIA-Vis and 655 individuals from CROATIA-Korčula for which genotype data was also available, providing a final meta-analysis sample size of 1100.

**Genome Wide Association Analysis**—Each trait was adjusted for sex, age, and the first three principal components obtained from the population-specific identity-by-state (IBS) derived distances matrix. The residuals were transformed to ensure their normal distribution using quantile normalization. The "mmscore" function of GenABEL-package (42) was used for the association test under an additive model. This score test for family based association takes into account relationship structure and allowed unbiased estimations of SNP allelic effect when relatedness is present between examinees. The relationship matrix used in this analysis was generated by the "IBS" function of GenABEL (using weight = "freq" option), which uses genomic data to estimate the realized 

Correlations with Other Methods—All glycan traits from the minimal data set were adjusted for sex, age, and relatedness using the "polygenic" function of the GenABEL package for R (42). The resulting pgresiduals, that is, corrected glycan traits were used to calculate Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients with age using the "cor.test" function implemented in stats package for R (43). Correlation coefficients were computed using the same 1100 individuals used for GWAS as the genetic data was required to account for relatedness within the population. To account for multiple testing, the significance level was Bonferroni adjusted (94 tests) and set at \( p < 5 \times 10^{-8} \).

**Correlations with Age**—All glycan traits from the minimal data set were adjusted for sex, age, and relatedness using the "polygenic" function of the GenABEL package for R (42). The resulting pgresiduals, that is, corrected glycan traits were used to calculate Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficients and corresponding \( p \) values using the "cor.test" function in the stats package for R (43). Correlation coefficients were computed using the same 1100 individuals used for GWAS as the genetic data was required to account for relatedness within the population. The correlations were then compared for all the glycan traits from the minimal data set measured by the four different methods.

**RESULTS**

IgG N-glycosylation profiling was performed for 1201 individuals using four different analytical approaches: UPLC-FLR, xCGE-LIF, MALDI-TOF-MS, and LC-ESI-MS. An important difference between UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF, on one side, and MS-based methods, on the other side, is that UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF analyze IgG glycosylation at the level of released glycans (and therefore include glycans on both Fab and Fc parts of IgG), whereas MS-based methods included in this study analyze glycopeptides. Although in-depth analysis of released glycans may provide a detailed picture of the glycan structure, no information on the original glycan attachment site is provided. Such site-specific information can be obtained by the direct analysis of glycopeptides. Because different IgG subclasses have different amino acid sequences around the glycosylation site, by analyzing glycans at the glycopeptide level MS-based methods measure subclass-specific Fc glycosylation. However, unlike the used MS-based methods, UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF provide branch-specific information, that is, separation between the 3-arm and 6-arm isomers of glycans shared among several glycans (e.g. FA2[3]G1 and FA2[6]G1) because of a slightly higher retention of the 3-arm isomer. Another important difference between the used methods is the way they generate quantitative information. UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF have the advantage that only the fluorescent dye, attached to the reducing end of a glycan, is being detected. Because the structural diversity in glycans is confined to their nonreducing ends, it is safe to assume that each glycan structure will fluoresce with the same quantum yield. With the MS-based methods this is more complex, because the specific response factor of each glycopeptide is affected by both its own structure and by co-eluting peptides (44), thus the relative intensities of different glycans/glycopeptides cannot be directly compared.

Representative analyses of IgG glycosylation using UPLC-FLR, xCGE-LIF, MALDI-TOF-MS, and LC-ESI-MS are shown in Fig. 1. Details of the analytical procedures are presented in the Experimental Procedures section. In addition to the directly measured glycan structures, a number of derived traits that represent common biologically meaningful features (e.g. galactosylation, fucosylation, etc.) were identified among glycans measured by each method. A full list of traits and a description of how they were calculated is available in supplemental Table S1. Descriptive statistics of IgG glycosylation measured by four methods is provided in supplemental Table S2.

Because of the different level at which glycosylation was analyzed (released glycans versus glycopeptides), the information provided by the four used methods is similar, but not identical. To enable meta-analysis of data measured by different methods, we defined a shared set of glycan features common to all four methods (Table I).

The glycome composition determined by MALDI-TOF-MS deviated pronouncedly from the results of other three methods, which produced more similar results. However, even methods based on fluorescent dye quantification (UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF) gave slightly different values for some glycan traits describing sialylation, for example FGS/(FG1S1)FA2[3]G1 and FG1S1/(FG1+FG1S1)FA2[6]G1 (Table I). This indicates that in addition to different response factors in MS-based methods (which distort quantification), sample preparation and clean-up procedures (which can lead to selective loss or enrichment of some glycans) can also significantly distort final results.

At the moment there is no "gold standard" method to analyze protein glycosylation with absolute precision, thus it is not possible to decide which of the methods we used most accurately reflects the real biological situation. Aiming to eval-
uate the precision of the four methods, we analyzed associations with individual genetic polymorphisms and correlations with age under the assumption that the most precise method will show the strongest associations with the biology underlying IgG glycosylation. Because glycome composition was shown to be under strong genetic influence (5, 8), we believe that a genome wide association approach is a good tool to comparatively assess the power of detecting associations between genetic polymorphisms and IgG N-glycans measured by each of the four methods. In order to have an unbiased approach GWAS was performed on the minimal shared data set using only data from individuals whose glycosylation traits were successfully measured by all four methods (n = 1201 glycomes, 1100 of them with complete genetic data). Genome wide significant association with SNPs in two genomic loci were obtained using all four methods. Six glycan traits showed significant genome wide association in at least one of the data sets generated by the various analytical methods; LC-ESI-MS analysis uncovered all six of these glycan traits, UPLC-FLR and xCGE-LIF determined five, and four of the traits were found with MALDI-TOF-MS. Glycan structures measured by MALDI-TOF-MS seemed to fare the worst in the GWAS comparison which also corresponded with lower correlation coefficients between MALDI-TOF-MS and other used methods for the glycan traits from the minimal data set (supplemental Table S4). All the observed associations replicated...
TABLE I

Minimal shared dataset and median values for these IgG glycan structures and traits measured by four different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycan Class</th>
<th>Glycan Structure or Trait Description</th>
<th>UPLC-FLR</th>
<th>MALDI-TOF-MS</th>
<th>LC-ESI-MS</th>
<th>xCGE-LIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Median IgG1</td>
<td>Median IgG2&amp;3</td>
<td>Median IgG1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IgG glyans</td>
<td>% of FA2 glycan</td>
<td>25.28</td>
<td>35.14</td>
<td>49.03</td>
<td>21.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2B glycan</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>7.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2[3]G1 glycan</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2[6]BG1 glycan</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>5.66</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>10.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2[3]BG1 glycan</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2G2 glycan</td>
<td>13.38</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>13.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2BG2 glycan</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2G1S1 glycan</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of FA2G2S1 glycan</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IgG glyans - derived traits</td>
<td>% of sialylation of fucosylated galactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc</td>
<td>25.29</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>24.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of sialylation of all fucosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc</td>
<td>25.95</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>17.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of monosialylation of fucosylated monogalactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>6.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of monosialylation of fucosylated digalactosylated structures without bisecting GlcNAc</td>
<td>44.80</td>
<td>16.28</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>47.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral IgG glyans - derived traits</td>
<td>% of agalactosylated structures</td>
<td>37.80</td>
<td>42.16</td>
<td>56.65</td>
<td>34.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of monogalactosylated structures</td>
<td>43.91</td>
<td>47.43</td>
<td>37.15</td>
<td>47.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of digalactosylated structures</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>17.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
those from a recently published IgG glycome GWAS study (24). However, because of the lower sample size in this study, not all associations from the previous paper could be replicated. SNPs with the most significant $p$ values at each of the loci are listed in Table II. The full list of all associations with all glycans measured by all the methods is available in supplemental Table S3.

Glycosylation of IgG strongly correlates with age (8), and thus the strength of correlation of IgG glycans with age could also be used to compare the precision of different analytical methods. The results presented in Table III show that for the majority of glycans in the minimal shared data set all four methods show comparable strengths of correlation, with UPLC-FLR showing somewhat stronger correlation coefficients and lower $p$ values. Table III presents only results from CROATIA-Vis, however, these replicated in CROATIA-Korcula and full results are present in supplemental Table S5.

An important observation is that both MS-based methods and chromatography/electrophoresis revealed some associations that were undetectable by other methods. For example, the association between monogalactosylated glycans and age was restricted to IgG glycans with galactose on the 6-arm (FA2G1; SMARC81; rs9620326) and age was restricted to IgG1, IgG2, and 3, than for IgG4.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we have compared four different methods (UPLC-FLR, xCGE-LIF, MALDI-TOF-MS, and LC-ESI-MS) for the quantitative analysis of IgG $N$-glycosylation by analyzing the same 1201 IgG samples using all four methods. These four analytical methods, together with direct infusion MSn and LC-MS/MS, have been commonly used for glycosylation analysis in the past years, but there is currently no "gold standard" analytical method for the evaluation of other methods. Therefore, we have decided to use an innovative approach to determine the relative accuracy of the four most widely used methods by comparing association analysis of IgG glycans with genetic polymorphisms and correlations of glycans with age of studied individuals.

GWAS are routinely being used to identify genetic loci associated with specific traits. We have also successfully applied this approach in previous studies to identify genetic loci that are associated with the regulation of protein glycosylation (21, 22, 24, 45). For this study we decided to use GWAS in a different way. We analyzed IgG $N$-glycosylation with four different methods in the same individuals for whom genetic data was also available. Genetic association analysis was performed separately on glycan data generated by the four methods under the assumption that any imprecision in measurement will decrease power to detect the biological association between genetic polymorphisms and measured glycans. Therefore the analytical method that is the most precise is expected to show the strongest association with genetic loci relevant for IgG glycosylation.

The results presented in Table II (and supplemental Table S3) clearly show that all four methods generate glycan data of sufficiently high quality to be used to detect associations with genetic polymorphisms. The chromatography-based methods, UPLC-FLR and LC-ESI-MS, appear to be somewhat more precise because the measured glycome generally shows stronger associations with genetic polymorphisms, but MALDI-TOF-MS and xCGE-LIF offer the advantage of higher throughput (which could compensate in some circumstances for somewhat lower precision). In addition to GWAS of the minimal shared data set, we also performed the analysis of all glycans measured by all four methods (supplemental Table S3). The number of successfully analyzed samples and glycan traits was different for each method, thus direct comparison of methods is not possible, but the results presented in supplemental Table S3 generally support the conclusion that chromatography-based methods (UPLC-FLR and LC-ESI-MS) yield somewhat better associations with genetic polymorphisms. The same conclusion can also be derived from...
### TABLE III
Correlation of age and glycan structures or traits measured by different methods in 1100-individual cohort. Presented p values are corrected for multiple testing using Bonferroni correction. Significance level is set at $p \leq 5.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (84 tests)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycan Class</th>
<th>Glycan Structure or Trait</th>
<th>UPLC-FLR (Total IgG)</th>
<th>MALDI-TOF-MS</th>
<th>LC-ESI-MS</th>
<th>xCEG-LIF (Total IgG)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>$p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total IgG glycans</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.598 1.28E-46</td>
<td>0.560 1.95E-39</td>
<td>0.626 2.01E-52</td>
<td>0.554 1.74E-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2B</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.107 1.00E+00</td>
<td>-0.085 1.00E+00</td>
<td>-0.396 1.49E-17</td>
<td>0.053 1.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2G1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2BG1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
<td>-0.085 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2BG2</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.393 2.89E-17</td>
<td>-0.333 6.46E-12</td>
<td>0.507 4.34E-31</td>
<td>0.422 2.34E-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2G1S1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.062 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.026 1.00E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA2G2S1</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.588 1.35E-44</td>
<td>0.359 4.04E-12</td>
<td>-0.577 1.55E-42</td>
<td>0.176 1.61E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG2S/(FG1 + FG3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.194 2.84E-03</td>
<td>0.113 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.072 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.252 2.85E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG1S/(FG1 + FG1S1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.203 1.06E-03</td>
<td>0.070 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.070 1.00E+00</td>
<td>0.252 2.85E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG2S1/(FG2 + FG2S1 + FG2S2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.626 1.68E-52</td>
<td>0.582 4.42E-44</td>
<td>0.639 3.36E-55</td>
<td>0.586 2.70E-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total IgG glycans-derived traits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG1n</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.638 5.15E-55</td>
<td>0.605 2.65E-48</td>
<td>0.637 2.82E-55</td>
<td>0.618 1.15E-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FG2n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neutral IgG glycans-derived traits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1n</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.473 2.05E-26</td>
<td>0.495 2.13E-29</td>
<td>0.605 5.34E-48</td>
<td>-0.373 2.33E-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2n</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.638 5.15E-55</td>
<td>0.605 2.65E-48</td>
<td>0.637 2.82E-55</td>
<td>-0.618 1.15E-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These glycan structures are measured as two isomers with UPLC-FLR and xCEG-LIF (with galactose on 6- and 3-arm), but as single masses in the MS methods.
TABLE IV
Comparison of four methods for high-throughput glycomic and glycoproteomic analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UPLC-FLR</th>
<th>xCGE-LIF</th>
<th>MALDI-TOF-MS</th>
<th>LC-ESI-MS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acceptance/usage for</strong></td>
<td>Widely used</td>
<td>Rarely used</td>
<td>Widely used</td>
<td>Moderately used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>glycomics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Throughput</strong></td>
<td>Medium, approximately 50 samples per instrument per day</td>
<td>(Very) high, multiplexing with up to 96 capillaries enables analysis of thousands of samples</td>
<td>(Very) high, as measurement of a sample can be performed at a sub-minute time scale</td>
<td>Medium, approximately 100 samples per day per instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Required expertise</strong></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution</strong></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Very high</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isomer separation</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantification</strong></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs of equipment</strong></td>
<td>Ca. Euro 40–70,000</td>
<td>Ca. Euro 100,000 for a 4-capillary instrument</td>
<td>Ca. Euro 100–500,000</td>
<td>Euro 200–500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Costs per sample in high throughput mode</strong></td>
<td>Rather high costs, mainly due to low throughput and costs of consumables</td>
<td>Low costs per sample, due to low running costs and parallelization by multiplexing</td>
<td>Low costs per sample due to high throughput per instrument</td>
<td>Very high costs, mainly due to expensive equipment and low throughput per instrument</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main advantages for genetic and epidemiological studies</strong></td>
<td>Reliable quantification, robustness</td>
<td>Less demanding in sample preparation, low costs, high robustness and high throughput, no sample carry over; reliable relative quantification, very sensitive (low LOD)</td>
<td>Low cost and high throughput, site specific glycosylation analysis, sensitive, enables structural elucidation via fragmentation experiments</td>
<td>Reliable quantification, site specific glycosylation analysis, sensitive, enables structural elucidation via fragmentation experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Main disadvantages for genetic and epidemiological studies</strong></td>
<td>Inability to perform site specific glycosylation analysis, relatively low throughput and high cost</td>
<td>Inability to perform site specific glycosylation analysis, comparatively small database (to be enlarged)</td>
<td>Less reliable quantification, loss of sialic acids</td>
<td>Relatively high costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific advantages for IgG glycosylation analysis</strong></td>
<td>Differentiation of galactosylation on 3- and 6-arms, accurate quantification of IgG sialylation</td>
<td>Differentiation of galactosylation on 3- and 6-arms, accurate quantification of IgG sialylation</td>
<td>Differentiation of glycans on different IgG subclasses, analysis of only Fc glycans</td>
<td>Differentiation of glycans on different IgG subclasses, analysis of only Fc glycans, accurate quantification of IgG sialylation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
support the hypothesis that MALDI is underperforming in quantitative analysis of sialylated glycans, and stabilization of sialic acids may be needed for a more robust quantitation of sialic acids by MALDI methods. Interestingly, xCGE-LIF also showed lower relative quantitative values for some of the sialylated glycans that resulted in weaker associations with both genetic polymorphisms and age. Each of the methods reveals some additional complementary information about the glycome, indicating that in some situations the combined analysis by different methods can yield additional useful information, which helps in interpretation of complex biological systems.

CONCLUSIONS

It is increasingly recognized that variation in glycan structures is likely to play an essential and ubiquitous role in human physiology and pathophysiology. This recognition has led to glycomics being declared a research priority for the next decade (3), and it is expected that an increasing number of future large clinical and population studies will include glycan analysis (1). However, methods for high-throughput glycan analysis have been developed only recently, and thorough evaluation and standardization of the analytical methods is needed before a significant amount of time and other resources should be invested in large-scale studies. In this study we have used association with genetic polymorphisms and age as the evaluation criterion to compare four methods (UPLC-FLR, xCGE-LIF, MALDI-TOF-MS, and LC-ESI-MS) that are currently being used to study protein glycosylation. All four methods delivered reliable quantitative data. In this study we identify a number of specific advantages and disadvantages of each method (Table IV) in order to guide selection of the most appropriate and cost-effective approach for any given research study.
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