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Abstract

DNA methylation and chromatin states play key roles in development and disease. However the extent of recent evolutionary divergence in the human epigenome and the influential factors that have shaped it are poorly understood. To determine the links between genome sequence and human epigenome evolution we examined the divergence of DNA methylation and chromatin states following segmental duplication events in the human lineage.

Chromatin and DNA methylation states were found to have been generally well conserved following a duplication event, with the evolution of the epigenome largely uncoupled from the total number of genetic changes in the surrounding DNA sequence. However the epigenome at tissue specific, distal regulatory regions was observed to be unusually prone to diverge following duplication, with particular sequence differences, altering known sequence motifs, found to be associated with divergence in patterns of DNA methylation and chromatin. Alu elements were found to have played a particularly prominent role in shaping human epigenome evolution, and we show that human-specific AluY insertion events are strongly linked to the evolution of the DNA methylation landscape and gene expression levels, including at key neurological genes in the human brain.

Studying paralogous regions within the same sample enables the study of the links between genome and epigenome evolution while controlling for biological and technical variation. We show DNA methylation and chromatin divergence between duplicated regions is linked to the divergence of particular genetic motifs, with Alu elements having played a disproportionate role in the evolution of the epigenome in the human lineage.
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Background

Epigenomic features, such as DNA methylation and histone modifications, are involved in a number of key cellular processes ranging from the regulation of gene expression (Li & Reinberg 2011), to splicing (Shukla et al. 2011) and the repression of transposable elements (Miura et al. 2001). Inactivation of the genes controlling DNA methylation in mice has been shown to be lethal during early development (Okano et al. 1999) and in humans, aberrant DNA methylation and chromatin patterns have been linked to a number of human diseases including cancer and various neurodevelopment disorders (Urdinguio et al. 2009; Campbell & Turner 2013).

Despite the clear importance of DNA methylation and other chromatin features to development and disease, the extent of recent human epigenome evolution and the phenomena driving such changes remain poorly understood (Su et al. 2011; Bird 2011). Genome-wide inter-species comparisons of DNA methylation and chromatin states, now possible with the advent of high throughput sequencing technologies, have provided glimpses of the extent and nature of epigenetic divergence between species (Shibata et al. 2012; Zeng et al. 2012). However, it is still largely unclear what drives this divergence. Heritable spontaneous gains or losses of DNA methylation have been identified in plants that occur independently of genetic mutations (Schmitz et al. 2011), suggesting that DNA methylation divergence can occur independently of the underlying genomic sequence. However, particular genetic variants have also been observed to be linked to changes in DNA methylation levels within both populations (Gibbs et al. 2010) and individuals (Prendergast et al. 2012). Similarly sites of DNA independent DNA methylation variation have been shown to be affected by rearrangements in neighbouring regions (Foerster et al. 2011). Beyond the DNA sequence itself it has been proposed that the broad epigenomic context and chromosomal location of a region may also play a role in determining DNA methylation states (Lienert et al. 2011).

Studies investigating the links between underlying genetic sequence and the divergence of DNA methylation and chromatin have predominantly examined changes between individuals or species.
However as the activity of determinants of methylation and chromatin states (such as methyltransferases) will differ between samples, these studies are confounded by natural biological variation. Likewise the comparison of different samples will also often lead to the introduction of technical variation that can be difficult to control for. To circumvent these problems we have investigated how patterns of DNA methylation and chromatin variation, and their link to underlying DNA sequence divergence, can be studied within a single sample. Human segmental duplications, often defined as pairs of DNA sequences greater than 1 Kb in length which align with more than 90% identity (She, Jiang, et al. 2004), comprise approximately 5% (~150Mb) of the human genome (Marques-Bonet et al. 2009). A previous study has suggested that certain histone modifications may vary between duplicated regions (Zheng 2008), but we lack a comprehensive view of epigenomic divergence between duplicons. In particular, the extent of divergence in DNA methylation state between duplicons and its relationship to changes in the underlying sequence remain unknown.

The study of divergence in the epigenome between paralogous regions has the potential to not only uncover the links between the evolution of DNA sequence, DNA methylation and chromatin state but also allow us to investigate how duplications have potentially contributed to species evolution. Segmental duplication events have been an important mechanism by which new genes are created and current gene families expanded, providing a key mechanism for species evolution (De Grassi et al. 2008). Whether functional regulatory modules are also maintained and evolve following a duplication event has yet to be examined genome-wide. If DNA methylation and chromatin states are broadly maintained following the duplication of a region then this is likely to have implications for the expression of genes in close proximity to the new duplicon. Alternatively, divergence in chromatin states following duplication potentially provides another mechanism for the evolution of a locus and the neo or subfunctionalisation of a genomic region, beyond simply the evolution of the underlying genome sequence. DNA sequence is thought to evolve in a relatively clock-like fashion across the genome, with the number of changes between duplicons expected to increase with
increasing time since the duplication event. Whether the epigenome evolves in the same way, and at similar rates, remains largely unknown.

In this study we examined DNA methylation and chromatin divergence at the tens of thousands of loci that have been duplicated across the human genome to investigate the links between the evolution of the genome and epigenome in unprecedented detail. Human embryonic stem cells were used as the primary model in this study but we validate the results in other cell types, and extend our observations to examine the evolution of the human brain epigenome since divergence from chimpanzee. This study provides the first comprehensive analysis of DNA sequence, DNA methylation and chromatin divergence across paralogous sites in the human genome.

Results and Discussion

Widespread conservation of DNA methylation and chromatin states following duplication

We first examined how methylation states have evolved following a segmental duplication event in the human lineage (>1Kb in length and >90% identity). Examination of paralogous CpG sites in the human genome illustrate that DNA methylation levels have been strikingly well conserved following a duplication event and the insertion of a homologous sequence into a new genomic location. As shown in Figure 1 both unmethylated and methylated sites overwhelmingly maintain their approximate methylation levels at both paralogous copies of a duplicated region. Of 82,692 paralogous pairs of CpG sites examined in H1 ES cells 78.4% displayed an absolute difference of 20% or less in methylation levels (Permutation p value < 0.01. Spearman’s rank correlation of 0.23, p < 2.2x10^{-16}). High levels of conservation in methylation levels were also observed in the H1 derived neural progenitor and IMR90 cell lines (Permutation p values for both < 0.01. Spearman’s rank correlations of 0.37 and 0.48 respectively, both p < 2.2x10^{-16}, Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S1). Both methylated and unmethylated sites were observed to generally display high levels of
conservation (Figure 1). No consistent difference was observed between the methylation state of
the ancestral and derived copies of paralogous CpG sites in these three cell types, with the derived
copy of interchromosomal duplicated CpG sites observed to be as likely as the ancestral copy to have
a low (<50%), putatively functional, methylation state (Supplementary Figure S2). Perhaps
surprisingly DNA methylation divergence was observed to be largely uncoupled from the mean
sequence level divergence in the surrounding region. Although methylated CpGs predominate in the
human genome, this remained the case when only examining paralogous CpG sites with at least one
lowly methylated locus (<50% methylation, Kruskal-Wallis test of association between methylation
and average sequence divergence as in Figure 2, p = 0.31). We could also find no evidence that
substitutions within close proximity of the CpG site were more likely to be associated with
divergence in methylation levels than those further away.

This conservation of DNA methylation levels is matched by conservation of a wide variety of
chromatin features at duplicated loci. The location of various histone modifications, as well as CTCF
binding (a chromatin regulator (McDaniell et al. 2010)) and DNase I hypersensitivity (a marker of
functional regulatory regions (McDaniell et al. 2010)), were all well conserved between paralogous
regions (Figure 1, Supplementary Figure S3). Thus broad chromatin states, reflected in many
chromatin features, have generally been well conserved following the insertion of a DNA sequence
into a new genomic location and higher order chromatin environment.

**DNA methylation and chromatin divergence are linked to divergence at specific local
sequence motifs**

We next investigated whether where divergence in DNA methylation and chromatin states has
occurred it is related to particular changes in the underlying DNA sequence. If the evolution of the
epigenome is entirely uncoupled from the evolution of the DNA sequence then no particular DNA
motifs would be expected to show enrichment around either the methylated or unmethylated
copies of discordantly methylated, paralogous CpG sites. However, as shown in Table 1 particular
motifs were observed to be linked to methylation divergence. This included a motif matching the known chromatin regulator SP1 (q=0.001). Further analysis highlighted that the loss of these putative SP1 binding sites is also associated with detectable falls in the observed levels of SP1 binding at these regions (Figure 3A).

As well as the SP1 binding site, a number of other motifs were found to be enriched around the hypomethylated copies of discordant CpG sites (Table 1). These include a motif matching the MED-1 (Multiple start Element Downstream 1) sequence that was found to be associated with divergent CpG sites found outside CpG islands across cell types. This motif was found around 35.9% of the hypomethylated copies of these discordant CpG sites but at only 5.04% of the matching hypermethylated copies (p=1x10^{-12}). The MED-1 sequence is a downstream protein-binding element previously linked to TATA-less promoters with multiple distinct start sites (Butler & Kadonaga 2002). Although, as far as we are aware, not previously linked to DNA methylation divergence, mutations at this element within the P-glycoprotein promoter have been shown to lead to a reduction in transcription of the gene through selectively decreasing the use of alternative transcriptional start sites (Ince & Scotto 1995). Together these results suggest that mutations at this element lead to changes in methylation levels linked to altered transcription levels.

The divergence of many chromatin features (various histone modification levels, CTCF binding and DNase I hypersensitivity) between paralogous regions was also found to be linked to the divergence in particular transcription factor binding sites (Supplementary Table S1). The ‘chromatin depleted’ copies (those duplicons with relatively less of a given chromatin feature) of discordant paralogous pairs being consistently associated with a relative lack of known protein binding motifs (Figure 3B). These included motifs known to be associated with particular chromatin states. For example, the known CTCF binding site was found to be substantially depleted from the copies of paralogous regions lacking CTCF binding (Supplementary Table S1), validating this approach for detecting sequence motifs linked to chromatin states, however various other transcription factor motifs were
observed to be linked to the divergence in particular chromatin marks. The full list of motifs linked to the divergence of each chromatin state can be seen in (Supplementary Table S1). The general link observed between transcription factor binding and chromatin divergence supports the concept of pioneer transcription factors (Zaret & Carroll 2011), whose initial binding at a region enables subsequent chromatin remodelling and the recruitment of histone modification enzymes. The approach presented here provides an indication of which transcription factors are most strongly linked to variation in particular chromatin features and might therefore act as pioneers in the H1 cell type.

**Distal regulatory regions have been foci for DNA methylation and chromatin divergence**

Although DNA methylation levels have previously been shown to be correlated with local CpG content (Gaidatzis et al. 2014), strong conservation of DNA methylation levels was observed following a duplication event irrespective of local CpG density (Supplementary Figure S4). However, the subset of CpG sites in the H1 cell line most discordant in their methylation levels with respect to their paralogous copy (654 sites with a methylation difference >80%) were found to generally locate to CpG islands (8% of sites) or CpG island ‘shores’ (51% of sites, defined here as less than 2 Kb from the neighbouring CpG island), regions important in gene regulation and disease (Doi et al. 2009; Irizarry et al. 2009). Thus methylation divergence is seen at regions where methylation levels are known to be particularly important to regulatory function and is not simply restricted to CpG poor, putatively non-functional regions of the genome. This is in contrast to sequence divergence, where elevated rates of divergence are often seen at non-functional regions of the genome lacking selective constraint.

CpG islands are often associated with gene promoters, however examination of the proximity to promoter regions of the most significantly discordant CpG sites in the H1 cell line (53 pairs of sites with p<5x10^{-7}, Fisher’s Exact test; Supplementary Table S2) revealed that they were found almost exclusively distal to known transcription start sites (Figure 4). In stark contrast, methylation levels at
CpG sites within 1 Kb of promoter regions are strongly conserved following a duplication event (Figure 4). The specific conservation of methylation levels at proximal promoter regions is consistent with a more focused study of methylation levels at ten mouse promoter regions (Lienert et al. 2011). Thus, although DNA methylation divergence is linked to CpG islands, those CpG sites close to promoters are generally well conserved, it is the sites at more distal CpG dense regions showing the highest levels of divergence.

To investigate the regulatory potential of these distal regions showing methylation divergence we analysed the occurrence of 23 histone modifications around these 53 most discordant CpG sites. Those associated with active regulatory regions, including H3K4me2, H3K4me3, and H3K9ac, were indeed significantly enriched around the unmethylated copies of discordant paralogous CpG sites, and depleted around the corresponding methylated copies (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Table S3). These patterns are observed in spite of these chromatin features being generally well conserved following a duplication event (Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2). Other histone modifications, including H3K9me3 and H3K36me3, that are not preferentially found at regulatory regions, displayed no relative enrichment around methylated or unmethylated copies of discordant CpG pairs (Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Table S3). In addition the unmethylated copies of discordant CpG sites were substantially enriched for CTCF binding and DNase I hypersensitivity, general markers of functional regulatory regions (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Table S3). We conclude that the divergence of DNA methylation levels between duplicons is associated with the evolution of other chromatin features, consistent with the emergence or destruction of distal regulatory regions in the human genome. It is consequently the small fraction of the epigenome at functional distal regulatory regions that appears to have evolved most rapidly in the human lineage.
Sites differentially methylated during differentiation are particularly prone to methylation divergence during evolution

Many functional sites in the genome undergo transitions in DNA methylation during cellular differentiation, and are thought to modulate regulatory interactions and transcription (Ong & Corces 2011; Mohn & Schübeler 2009). How are these sites, implicated in development and cancer (Jones 2012), related to sites showing evolutionary divergence in DNA methylation? To test this we examined whether the difference in methylation levels between the 82,692 pairs of paralogous CpG sites in H1 ES cells was correlated to the observed change in methylation levels of the same sites between H1 and the H1 derived neural progenitor cell types. As can be seen in Figure 6, in general, the larger the observed change in methylation of a CpG site following differentiation (i.e. between cell types), the larger the difference in methylation levels between the same CpG site and its paralogous site (i.e. within the same cell type). This suggests that sites showing regulated alterations in methylation during differentiation are also particularly prone to diverge following duplication in embryonic stem cells. The direction of change in methylation levels is generally the same between duplicated copies as between cell types (Figure 6) highlighting that these sites do not simply show higher variability in their methylation levels.

The extent of divergence in methylation levels following differentiation and between paralogous sites was found to be largest at sites unmethylated and lowly methylated in the H1 stem cell line (<50% methylated, Figure 6). A simple linear model incorporating the methylation level of each individual CpG site and its observed change in methylation levels following differentiation was found to be sufficient to explain a substantial proportion of the variation in the observed differences in methylation levels between paralogous CpG sites ($R^2$: 0.33, $p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). Consequently the methylation levels of sites of cell-type specific methylation are particularly prone to diverge following a segmental duplication event and subsequent DNA sequence divergence.
Alu elements are associated with DNA methylation divergence at flanking sites

Despite the observed links with DNA sequence motifs one of the strongest correlates to DNA methylation divergence between paralogous CpG sites was found to be discordance in the distance to the nearest Alu element. The hypermethylated copy of the 53 discordant pairs of paralogous CpG sites in the H1 cell line were found to in general be significantly closer to an Alu element than their corresponding hypomethylated copy (Supplementary Figure S6). The other major repeat classes displayed no similar enrichment around either the methylated or unmethylated copies of discordant paralogous CpG pairs (LINE p=0.85, LTR p=0.74, simple repeat p=0.69 – paired Mann-Whitney tests) suggesting that methylated sites in discordant pairs are not simply associated with regions densely populated by repeat elements, which might be expected at regions simply under less evolutionary constraint, but are specifically associated with Alu element insertion events. Alu elements have been implicated in the creation of segmental duplication events, and are often found at the junctions of duplicated regions (Bailey et al. 2003). However we could find no evidence that discordant CpG pairs were simply closer to junctions than non-discordant CpG sites (discordant CpG sites median distance to junction: 364 bp, non-discordant CpG sites median distance to junction: 341 bp, Mann-Whitney p = 0.13). These data are consistent with previous proposals that certain transposable elements may play functional roles in regulation as a result of their general high levels of methylation affecting the methylation state of nearby CpG sites (Wang et al. 2011). The results presented here suggest Alu elements may have played a substantial role in the evolution of the epigenome in the human lineage. Of the 32 pairs of paralogous regions containing CpG sites discordant in their methylation levels (i.e. the 32 pairs of regions containing the 53 significantly discordant pairs of CpG sites), the methylated copies in each pair were closer to an Alu element in 22 cases (with 4 pairs showing no difference in the distance to an Alu element). Of the 6 remaining pairs of regions the unmethylated copy was substantially closer (>15 bp) to an Alu element in only 2 cases. Consequently the methylated copies of CpG sites that have diverged following duplication are highly enriched for proximity to Alu elements.
The loss of SP1 binding and the close proximity of an Alu element were observed to often co-occur at regions divergent in their methylation levels. Of the 22 discordant pairs of CpG sites where the methylated copy was closer to an Alu element, the corresponding SP1 ChIP-seq read count was also lower at the methylated copy in 19. Although methylation levels at TSSs were observed to be generally relatively stable, one of the few sites of divergence in methylation levels at a TSS (a duplicated CpG island at the 5’ end of the TPTE and LOC400927 genes) is linked to divergence in both the proximity to the closest Alu element as well as SP1 binding (Supplementary Figure S7). A corresponding large change in expression is observed between these genes, with LOC400927 being expressed at approximately thirty times the level of TPTE in the H1 cell line (LOC400927 reads per kilobase per million reads (RPKM): 1.79; TPTE RPKM: 0.061). It may be that methylation levels are generally well conserved following a duplication event because such “multiple hits” are required to substantially remodel the methylation levels at a region.

**Alu element insertions are linked to the remodelling of methylation patterns in the human brain**

To investigate further how Alu elements have potentially shaped key phenotypes in humans through affecting the evolution of the human epigenome, we looked at how these findings from paralogous regions translated to the whole genome and key methylation differences between humans and chimpanzees by characterising the location of human-specific Alu insertions and their link to methylation divergence in primary human brain tissue. In total we identified 4,435 Alu elements present in the human genome but absent from the corresponding orthologous regions of the chimpanzee and orangutan genomes. Average methylation levels at conserved orthologous CpG sites flanking these human Alu element insertion sites were found to be significantly higher in human prefrontal cortex samples than in matched chimpanzee samples (Figure 7A). This elevation in human methylation levels at sites flanking human-specific Alu insertion events was observed for sites both methylated and unmethylated in the chimpanzee genome. Examination of chimpanzee specific insertions highlighted that these are also associated with increases in flanking methylation
levels in the chimpanzee genome (Figure 7B). Subdivision of the human Alu insertions into families highlighted that the increase in flanking methylation levels is predominantly related to AluY insertions, the most active family in recent primate history (The Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium 2005), with no observable changes in flanking DNA methylation levels linked to the less common AluJ and AluS insertions (Figure 7C-F). We conclude that not only at paralogous regions but across the genome AluY element insertions in the human lineage have been linked to the remodelling of local methylation patterns, including in the human brain.

Examination of the differences in expression levels between human, macaques and chimpanzees where a human specific Alu element insertion in close proximity to a gene promoter is linked to an increase in methylation, illustrates that this is generally linked to a lower expression of the corresponding genes in humans relative to chimpanzees (Figure 8). Consequently these changes in methylation levels linked to an Alu element insertion are linked to downstream changes in gene expression. Examination of the location of these CpG sites that have diverged in their methylation levels following the insertion of an Alu element in close proximity (relative to those sites where methylation levels have not changed following an Alu insertion nearby) shows that they are significantly enriched in regions harbouring genes with neural functions, including those involved in neurotransmitter transport, synapse function and insulin secretion (Supplementary Figure S8). Thus Alu element insertion events in the human lineage appear to be directly linked to the remodelling of methylation levels around regulatory regions involved in key brain pathways, are linked to interspecies changes in gene expression and may have consequently contributed to some of the key phenotypic differences between humans and our closest relatives.

Conclusions

The evolution of the human genome sequence has been intensively studied over the past decade, providing numerous important insights into the evolution of the human lineage. However, despite
their substantial importance to various traits and diseases, comparatively little is known about how DNA methylation and chromatin states evolve. Through the study of many tens of thousands of paralogous CpG sites and 25 chromatin marks we have shown that DNA methylation and chromatin levels are surprisingly well conserved following segmental duplication events. Following the duplication of CpG islands and regulatory regions, and their insertion into a new genomic location, there is in general little divergence in DNA methylation levels or patterns of chromatin. This implies that intact regulatory modules have been copied to a new location in the human genome, and a new genomic neighbourhood, while maintaining their original spectrum of DNA methylation and chromatin states. It has already been shown in vitro that the insertion of genes adjacent to previously distant regulatory regions can affect their expression patterns (Weiler & Wakimoto 1995). Just as gene duplication is now regarded as a key substrate for genome evolution, this duplication of functional, regulatory modules is likely to have provided a rich source of phenotypic variation.

Where divergence in methylation patterns did occur it was observed to be largely uncoupled from the average rate of divergence of the surrounding DNA sequence. The gross levels of genomic and epigenomic divergence at a locus appear to be largely independent. Under the neutral theory of molecular evolution (Kimura 1989) the amount of DNA divergence between two paralogous regions should be approximately related to the time since duplication of the ancestral region. In contrast it appears that methylation divergence between paralogous CpG sites is largely unlinked to the time since the corresponding duplication event. This argues against DNA methylation levels evolving in a neutral, clock like fashion. Consistent with this, methylation divergence is enriched at CpG island and shore distal regulatory regions where DNA methylation levels are known to be functionally important (Doi et al. 2009; Irizarry et al. 2009). Protein coding DNA sequences have been shown to sometimes experience unusually high levels of positive selection following duplication (Zhang 2003). Analogously, the elevated rate of DNA methylation level divergence at functional regions relative to other CpG sites may be indicative of positive selection acting on the methylation state of duplicated regulatory regions.
The mechanisms underlying evolutionary divergence of the epigenome have until now been poorly characterised. Although DNA methylation and chromatin divergence was observed to be largely uncoupled from the average sequence divergence between paralagous regions, in this study we have shown that divergence in many features of chromatin structure between two paralagous regions is linked to divergence at particular DNA sequence motifs. For example, unmethylated copies of discordant CpG sites were preferentially associated with a GC base-pair rich motif matching the known binding site of a key chromatin regulator, SP1. Data directly assaying SP1 binding at these loci confirmed that binding was preferentially associated with the unmethylated copies of discordant CpG sites. Artificial mutations in the SP1 motif of the mouse Gtf2a1l promoter have previously been shown to be associated with loss of neighbouring CpG methylation (Lienert et al. 2011). We have shown here that the evolution of this and other key binding motifs has been linked to the divergence of methylation levels across a range of locations in the human genome.

Particular DNA binding motifs were also observed to have diverged between regions discordant for particular chromatin marks. In particular discordance in the presence of particular histone modifications between paralagous regions was observed to be linked to divergence in the motifs for particular transcription factors. This suggests that the loss of key transcription factor binding motifs leads to the loss of binding of the corresponding transcription factor at the region and the loss of the subsequent recruitment of the corresponding chromatin mark, supporting the concept of pioneer transcription factors (Zaret & Carroll 2011). Single nucleotide polymorphisms at transcription factor motifs have recently been linked to chromatin divergence (Kasowski et al. 2013), in agreement with these findings. However such population based studies not only suffer from biological and technical variation but also require the assaying of chromatin states across multiple individuals. Given the links between chromatin and transcription factor motifs is likely to differ between cell types, using such population based approaches to identify pioneer factors for each cell type can be expensive. Here we show putative pioneer factors can potentially be identified within a single sample via the study of paralagous regions.
The majority of DNA methylation and chromatin divergence was observed to occur at distal regulatory regions. Whereas DNA methylation states at promoter regions have been highly conserved, these distal regions appear to have been the main reservoirs of epigenome divergence in the human lineage. Such distal regulatory regions have previously been shown to display more cell type specific patterns of DNA methylation (Ziller et al. 2013), and we observed that sites of cell type specific methylation were also more likely to diverge following a duplication event.

Alu elements were observed to be preferentially enriched around methylated copies of discordant paralogous CpG sites. A third of all CpG sites in the human genome are located within an Alu element and it has been shown that the methylation of these CpG sites can be transcriptionally repressive and increase their mutation rate (due to the high deamination rate of methylated cytosines) ultimately leading to the loss of their activity (Cordaux & Batzer 2009). A “seed and spread model” has been proposed to explain the observed patterns of DNA methylation patterns in the genome where methylation at one region can spread to neighbouring sites (Zhang et al. 2012; Turker 2002). It has also been shown that repetitive elements can potentially act as seeds, with the insertion of repetitive elements adjacent to the INSL6 promoter leading to the de novo methylation of specific CpG sites at the region (Zhang et al. 2012). The results presented here support the hypothesis that close proximity to repetitive elements can alter the methylation state of nearby sites, and that Alu elements have substantially shaped the evolution of the human epigenome.

Transcription factor binding appears broadly to abrogate DNA methylation at a local region, whereas the presence of Alu elements is associated with increased methylation levels. We highlight that both factors can occur together to reshape the epigenome at regions of otherwise generally strong methylation conservation. These results support the model that DNA methylation can spread from seed regions, such as Alu elements, but be blocked by barriers such as transcription factor binding (Turker 2002; Zhang et al. 2012). We have shown that recent Alu element insertions in the human genome are linked to the remodelling of local methylation patterns in human brain cells, with sites of Alu-associated methylation remodelling preferentially linked to inter-species differences in gene
expression and regions associated with key neurological pathways. It is important to note that methylation divergence is not at the Alu element itself, but at conserved sites often hundreds of bp from the insertion site that diverge in their methylation levels. These results suggest Alu elements are not always neutral or pathogenic additions to the human genome but may have driven key changes in the human epigenome, leading to important phenotypic differences between humans and other primates.

A substantial literature attests to the importance of gene duplication and the divergence of sister copies during the evolution of protein coding genes (Zhang 2003). Here we provide evidence of analogous processes acting at the level of DNA methylation and chromatin structure to affect regulatory evolution across the human genome. We show that regulatory modules (particularly at promoters) can be copied, inserted into new chromosomal environments, and usually maintain their original chromatin states. On the other hand, particular duplicated distal regulatory elements have diverged to adopt different chromatin states and presumably different functions. The mechanisms underlying this chromatin divergence appear to be linked to surprisingly specific sequence-level changes, underlining the interplay of genome and epigenome in recent human evolution.

Materials and Methods

Datasets

The locations and alignments of human segmental duplication events greater than 1 Kb in length and over 90% identical between regions were obtained from http://humanparalogy.gs.washington.edu/build36/align_both/ (She, Jiang, et al. 2004). The distribution of sizes of these duplicated regions is shown in Supplementary Figure S9 and the genomic preferences of segmental duplications have previously been documented, with many showing an association to regions of known chromosomal instability and rearrangement such as
those at subtelomeric and pericentromeric regions (Bailey et al. 2001; She, Horvath, et al. 2004). They are also enriched within relatively gene rich chromosomes (Bailey et al. 2002). In total 159 Mb of the genome is involved in at least one of these duplication events. Assuming neutrality and a molecular clock, this is the fraction of the human genome that has undergone duplication within the past 35 million years of primate evolution [13]. The H1, H1 derived neural progenitor and IMR90 whole genome bisulfite sequencing datasets were obtained from the NIH Roadmap Epigenomics project (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/roadmap/epigenomics/?view=matrix) (Lister et al. 2009). Histone modification, transcription factor ChIP-seq and DNase-seq data were obtained from a combination of both the ENCODE (Consortium 2012) and NIH Epigenomics Roadmap (Bernstein et al. 2010) projects. A full list of the histone modification datasets used in this study can be found in the following file (http://datashare.is.ed.ac.uk/bitstream/handle/10283/239/1756-8935-5-6-s6.xlsx).

**Read mapping**

To enable the accurate study of chromatin at segmentally duplicated regions only reads that could be unambiguously assigned to a single region in the reference genome were included in all analyses in this study. ChIP-seq reads were first trimmed to the first base whose quality was 20 or below using FastX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/index.html) to remove low quality read sections. Reads were then mapped to the hg18 reference genome using bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009) with the –e 1 and –m 1 parameters ensuring only reads that uniquely mapped to one region with no mismatches were retained. Whole genome bisulfite sequencing reads were mapped to the reference genome using Bismark (Krueger & Andrews 2011). Bismark fully bisulfite converts sequence reads and maps each to bisulfite converted versions of the reference genome, with only reads producing a unique best alignment to a region being kept. Reads that contained any mismatches to the genome that could not be attributed to bisulfite conversion (C→T or G→A) on the appropriate strand were discarded. H1 RNA-seq data from the ENCODE and NIH epigenome roadmap projects were obtained and analysed as previously described (Prendergast et al. 2012).
DNA methylation analysis

The location of duplicated CpG sites on both strands of the reference sequence was first determined, and these sites were then stringently filtered according to the following criteria. Sites where a known polymorphism (dbSNP 135) overlapped the cytosine in a CpG site, or was located at either flanking base, were excluded. Likewise any CpG sites overlapped by reads carrying alternative alleles (excluding those expected from bisulfite conversion) were excluded and all CpG sites had to be covered by at least one read supporting the presence of a cytosine at the corresponding position. The number of bisulfite converted and unconverted reads overlapping each CpG site was counted, and sites where either CpG site was covered by less than 6 reads were excluded (H1 median depth at paralogous CpG sites: 5, mean depth: 8.13, standard deviation (SD): 15.27; H1np median: 7, mean: 8.91, SD: 11.69; IMR90 median: 6, mean: 8.75, SD: 16.31). Sites where total read coverage across the two sites exceeded 100 were also excluded (to exclude sites displaying significant but only marginal differences between paralogous regions). Having applied these filters 82,692, 127,187 and 85,966 paralogous pairs of CpG sites remained in the H1, H1 derived neural progenitor and IMR90 datasets respectively. To assess whether the concordance between methylation levels of paralogous CpG sites was more than would be expected by chance CpG sites were randomly shuffled between all duplicated regions 100 times. In none of the permutations in none of the cell types was the proportion of sites displaying a $\leq 20\%$ difference in methylation levels greater than that observed in the unpermuted data. Only paralogous pairs of CpG sites with a $p$ value smaller than $5 \times 10^{-7}$ (Fishers exact test) were deemed to be significantly differentially methylated (corresponding approximately to a Bonferroni corrected $p$ value of 0.05 in each analysis). The ancestral and derived copies of inter chromosomal paralogous CpG sites were determined by lifting both sites over to the PanTro4 chimpanzee genome. If both sites lifted over to the same chromosome, the site on the syntenic human chromosome was determined to be the ancestral copy. The ancestral copy of 383 (11.9%), 987 (12.8%) and 2065 (9.7%) pairs of inter-chromosomal paralogous CpG sites with at least one copy
with a methylation level < 50% in the H1, H1 derived neural progenitor and IMR90 cell lines respectively were successfully determined in this way.

**Linear Modelling**

The relationship between methylation changes between cell types and the divergence in methylation levels observed between paralogous sites was modelled using multiple linear regression. The change in methylation levels observed between the H1 and H1 derived neural progenitor cell lines and the methylation level of the same site in the H1 cell line were fitted as explanatory variables along with an interaction term i.e. the equation was of the form:

\[
Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i1} X_{i2} + \epsilon_i
\]

Where \(X_{i1}\) corresponds to the observed methylation level of the ith CpG site in the H1 cell line, \(X_{i2}\) is the observed methylation change between the H1 and H1 derived neural progenitor cell lines of the same ith site and \(Y_i\) corresponds to the ith sites observed difference in methylation level to its paralagous site. Both variables as well as the interaction term were highly significantly linked to the corresponding sites difference in methylation to its paralogous site within the H1 cell line \((p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16})\).

**ChIP-seq analysis**

Conservation of chromatin patterns between paralogous regions was determined from ChIP-seq data by first excluding pairs of aligned bases to which reads could not be uniquely mapped in both regions. Mapped reads less than 35 bp were discarded and regions of 35 bp that were not unique in the genome were identified using the wgEncodeDukeUniqueness35bp table from the UCSC genome browser (Kent et al. 2002). Corresponding sites that were not unique at either duplicated copy of a region were ignored, and the number of reads mapping to the remaining positions at each non-overlapping 500 bp region counted. Regions of discordant chromatin state were identified using a binomial test. Only pairs of paralogous sites with a Bonferroni corrected \(p\) value less than 0.05 and
where one copy of the region had a read count of zero (to restrict to sites where binding has been completely lost on one copy) were used in the analysis of the divergence of underlying DNA motifs.

Motif analysis

DNA motifs enriched 500 bp either side of discordant CpG sites were identified using MEME (Bailey & Elkan 1994) and HOMER (Heinz et al. 2010). To ensure each region only appeared once in this analysis, where more than one of the discordant CpG sites was within 1 Kb only one (arbitrarily chosen) paralogous pair of sites was kept. Following this filtering 32 pairs of sites remained in the H1 analysis, 46 in the H1 derived neural progenitor dataset and 22 in the study of the human pre-frontal cortex. To identify motifs linked to methylation divergence at sites outside CpG islands across tissues, 13 pairs of sites were randomly selected from each dataset (13 being the number of pairs of CpG sites not linked to a CpG island in the pre-frontal cortex dataset, the smallest number of pairs across these datasets). Discriminative motif discovery was carried out by providing the regions around the methylated and unmethylated sites separately, and reversing the background and foreground sets to discover motifs enriched around both groups of sites. Locations of repeats in the human genome were obtained from the UCSC genome browser (Kent et al. 2002).

The HOMER program (Heinz et al. 2010) was used to determine motifs that had diverged between paralogous regions discordant in their chromatin states. Only histone modifications with at least 70 discordant regions could be successfully analysed with HOMER. Known sites with a corresponding Benjamini q value less than 0.01 were treated as enriched between discordant regions.

To detect an enrichment of repeat elements around methylated copies of discordant CpG pairs the locations of repeats in the human genome were obtained from the RepeatMasker track at the UCSC genome browser (Kent et al. 2002).

Primate brain methylation divergence

Human specific Alu insertions were characterised by identifying Alu sequences present in the human genome but absent from the orthologous region of the chimpanzee and orangutan genomes in the
UCSC genome browser chained alignments (Kent et al. 2002). The same approach was used to identify chimpanzee specific insertions. In total 4,435 human specific and 1,882 chimpanzee specific Alu insertion events were identified. BS-seq data corresponding to three human and three chimpanzee pre-frontal cortex samples were obtained from (Zeng et al. 2012). Reads were mapped to the hg19 and PanTro3 genomes using Bismark (Krueger & Andrews 2011) with duplicate reads subsequently removed. Data were combined across the three replicates for each species and the level of methylation for each CpG site conserved across both species was determined. Sites with a combined depth of less than 5 reads in either species were excluded. Gene level, processed RNA-seq expression data for human, chimpanzee and macaque (Zeng et al. 2012) were obtained from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE33587.

Functional enrichment analysis was carried out using GREAT (McLean et al. 2010) by comparing all CpG sites of low methylation in the chimpanzee genome (<40% methylated) within 2 Kb of a human-specific Alu insertion site, to those subset of these sites where the human methylation proportion has increased by an absolute proportion of at least 0.6 relative to the chimpanzee methylation level (i.e. had gone from a low to a high methylation level). This allowed us to identify regions where the methylation level showed evidence of having been substantially remodelled following the Alu insertion.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council. We thank Nick Gilbert and Richard Meehan for helpful comments and discussions regarding this project. We would also like to acknowledge the Yi lab (Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta) and the ENCODE and NIH Epigenomics Roadmap Projects for making their datasets freely available for public use.
References


Figure Legends

Figure 1. Strong conservation of DNA methylation and other chromatin features following a segmental duplication event. Comparative methylation levels at paralogous CpG sites in the H1 and H1 derived neural progenitor cell lines are shown in the top two panels (corresponding plot for IMR90 shown in Supplementary Figure S1). Intensity of colour corresponds to the density of paralogous pairs of CpG sites with the corresponding methylation levels. Densities are rescaled for the sub-plots displaying the lower areas of the graphs in more detail. The lower four panels show the read counts from three ChIP-seq and one DNase-seq experiment found at each pair of 500 bp paralogous regions in the H1 cell line. Paralogous pairs of windows with no reads mapping to either region are excluded from these plots. The corresponding plots for all 23 histone modifications examined can be found in Supplementary Figure S2.

Figure 2. DNA methylation divergence is independent of levels of surrounding DNA sequence divergence. Mean difference in methylation levels between paralogous CpG sites associated with different levels of flanking sequence divergence. The number of single base substitutions was measured in the DNA sequence 500bp either side of the corresponding CpG site (or to the end of the duplicated region if closer). 95% confidence intervals are shown along with the genome-wide mean difference in methylation levels between paralogous CpG sites (red horizontal line). No significant difference was observed in the average difference in methylation levels between paralogous CpG sites of different levels of flanking sequence divergence (p = 0.17, Kruskal-Wallis test).

Figure 3. DNA methylation and chromatin divergence is associated with variation in known sequence motifs. (A) Loss of putative SP1 binding site at methylated copies of discordant paralogous CpG sites is associated with a corresponding lack of SP1 binding at these loci. SP1 ChIP-seq read depths 500 bp either side of the corresponding methylated and unmethylated copies of discordant paralogous CpG sites are shown. (B) Divergence in chromatin patterns between paralogous regions
is consistently associated with the loss of transcription factor binding sites. Only the 11 chromatin marks with at least 70 discordant pairs of regions were analysed, with the number of discordant pairs of regions shown in brackets following the modification name. A corresponding list of each of the known sequence motifs enriched at either the chromatin enriched or depleted copies of paralogous regions can be found in Supplementary Table S1.

**Figure 4. Elevated rates of divergence in methylation levels at pairs of paralogous CpG sites distal to transcription start sites (TSS).** Distance to nearest transcriptional start sites of paralogous pairs of CpG sites completely unmethylated on both copies (red) and pairs of paralogous CpG sites significantly different in their methylation levels (blue). Contour lines correspond to a 2D kernel density estimate for each group of points highlighting the separate clustering of pairs of discordant paralogous CpG sites and paralogous sites unmethylated on both copies. Discordant pairs of CpG sites are generally greater than 1 Kb from the nearest TSS.

**Figure 5. Divergence of methylation state at distal regions is associated with divergence in regulatory chromatin features.** The top three panels show the total ChIP-seq/DNase-seq reads for 3 chromatin marks found 500 bp either side of the methylated and unmethylated copies of discordant pairs of CpG sites. Read counts are significantly higher around the unmethylated sites (corresponding plots for all 25 chromatin features examined with associated p values shown in Supplementary Figure S5 and Table S3). Corresponding plots with the window size increased to 500kb either of the CpG sites, illustrating this is a local effect and not a broader feature of the genomic regions, are shown in Supplementary Figure S11. The bottom three panels display the read depths for the same chromatin marks at non-discordant paralogous CpG sites completely unmethylated on both copies (CpG site labelled as “second” site being randomly chosen). Pairs of sites in each panel are sorted separately according to read counts around the unmethylated/second CpG sites.
**Figure 6.** Sites of cell type specific methylation are particularly prone to divergence following duplication. The observed difference in methylation level to their paralog of CpG sites within the H1 cell line (y axis). Sites are grouped by their observed methylation level in H1 (x axis) as well as their observed change in methylation following differentiation (coloured bins). The cutoffs for the bins were selected so that each category contained approximately the same number of CpG sites.

**Figure 7.** Alu elements are linked to DNA methylation divergence at flanking sites. Elevation in prefrontal cortex methylation levels at CpG sites in close proximity to Alu insertion events. Only orthologous CpG sites present in both species were retained. Sites were grouped into 500 bp windows with a 100 bp offset. Mean methylation levels for each window and corresponding 95% confidence intervals are shown. Panels correspond to methylation levels around sites of (A) Alu insertions in the human lineage (paired t-test comparing corresponding methylation levels within 1kb of insertions site in human and chimpanzee: p<2.2x10^{-16}) (B) Alu insertions in the chimpanzee lineage (p=7.5x10^{-5}) (C) AluYa5 insertions in the human lineage (p=1.5x10^{-15}) (D) AluYb8 insertions in the human lineage (p=8.5x10^{-15}) (E) AluJ insertions in the human lineage (p=0.57) (F) AluS insertions in the human lineage (p=0.25).

**Figure 8.** Alu insertions are linked to inter-species gene expression changes. Genes displaying a change in methylation at their promoter following a human specific Alu insertion in close proximity also disproportionately display a corresponding lower expression level in humans.
### Table 1: Motifs enriched around the hypomethylated copies of the significantly discordant (p<5x10^-7) paralogous CpG sites in various tissues. To ensure each region only appeared once in this analysis, where more than one pair of discordant CpG sites was within 1 Kb only one was kept (arbitrarily chosen).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motif</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Top Match</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H1 CELL LINE (32 pairs of sites)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCCCSCCKCCTCMKCTCCC</td>
<td>1.80E-25</td>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KKGSKKGRRYRSGG</td>
<td>1.80E-04</td>
<td>Zfp281</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td>SP1 q=0.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STYTTYTITTYTTTTTTTTTT</td>
<td>1.40E-29</td>
<td>MTF1</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRSGSSYSAGSCMCCGYSSSC</td>
<td>1.60E-06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTARDACWGT</td>
<td>1.00E-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Homer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motif</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Top Match</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>H1 DERIVED NEURAL PROGENITOR CELL LINE (46 pairs of sites)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTYTTWTYTTYTTYTTYTIT</td>
<td>2.50E-43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YYWCCCKCCKCCWYCCYCCW</td>
<td>6.10E-35</td>
<td>SP1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td>Zfp281 q=0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRGGCTGGRTSSRSSRGGM</td>
<td>3.20E-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YTCYCRATTGAryTGGCATTA</td>
<td>1.70E-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAWWTTWTWTKTTTADKKGW</td>
<td>3.80E-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GYGAGSASCSCGCYCCGYCC</td>
<td>2.00E-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td>SP1 q=0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFY (RGCCAATSRG)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NFY</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>Homer</td>
<td>Known motif enrichment result</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motif</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Top Match</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PRE FRONTAL CORTEX PRIMARY TISSUE (22 pairs of sites)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWKCYYCCYYMMSCCYCC</td>
<td>8.60E-06</td>
<td>Zfp281</td>
<td>9.7x10^-4</td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td>SP1 q=0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTYWTTKTMRTKTYTTYTW</td>
<td>2.10E-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MEME</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Motif</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Top Match</th>
<th>Match score</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SITES NOT IN A CpG ISLAND (13 pairs from each cell type)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGGGAGCGCWK</td>
<td>1.00E-12</td>
<td>MED-1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>Homer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGGCCCTA</td>
<td>1.00E-12</td>
<td>Zfp281</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>Homer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Pairs of paralagous CpG sites discordant in their methylation levels

- Number of significantly enriched known motifs
- Chromatin-enriched regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chromatin-enriched regions</th>
<th>Number of significantly enriched known motifs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTCF (325)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK4me1 (1339)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK9me3 (975)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dnase1 (439)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK4me2 (560)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2me3 (1100)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2me2 (620)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK2me1 (173)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HK7me2 (180)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Genes displaying a change in methylation at their promoter following a human specific Alu insertion in close proximity:

- Chimpanzee expression > human
- Macaque expression > human
- Chimpanzee expression > macaque

Proportion of genes

- All genes