Does vasectomy accelerate testicular tumour? Importance of testicular examinations before and after vasectomy

Citation for published version:

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):
10.1136/bmj.300.6721.370

Link:
Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:
BMJ

Publisher Rights Statement:
Copyright 1990 BMJ Publishing Group

General rights
Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy
The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.
Does vasectomy accelerate testicular tumour? Importance of testicular examinations before and after vasectomy

A R J Cale, M Farouk, R J Prescott, I W J Wallace

The incidence of testicular tumour in Scotland has risen over the past decade. During this period vasectomy has become a more popular form of contraception. We conducted a retrospective study of all patients in whom testicular tumour had been diagnosed at Bangour General Hospital in the past 10 years.

Patients, methods, and results

Testicular tumours were detected in 37 patients aged under 60 attending the hospital, the only hospital serving the West Lothian district, during 1977-87. During this period 3079 men had a vasectomy, of whom eight were subsequently found to have testicular tumours. The average time between vasectomy and the diagnosis of a tumour was 1-9 years (range 0-25-4 years). Data provided by the information and statistics division of the Common Services Agency of the Scottish health service showed that less than 0.5% of vasectomies were performed outside the district. The table shows the number of cases of testicular tumour in patients aged 20-59 and the age specific incidence in West Lothian over the study period calculated from population figures. The incidences, together with the numbers of patient years of risk in the group who had had a vasectomy, were used to calculate the expected number of testicular tumours in that group (1.9); the observed number was eight.

Thus the standardised incidence ratio for patients who had had a vasectomy was 4.2 (95% confidence interval 1.8 to 8.2). The absolute annual incidence of testicular tumour in men who had had a vasectomy is 53/100000 men.

Comment

Our study suggests an association between vasectomy and subsequent development of testicular tumours. Immunological and pathophysiological effects have been shown to occur after vasectomy. Thornhill et al also observed an increased incidence of testicular tumours in men who had had vasectomies but suggested that the tumours had been present at the time of the procedure. The time interval between vasectomy and diagnosis of a testicular tumour in our study would seem to support this hypothesis as new tumours are unlikely to arise in such a short period.

Other workers and four large multicentre studies have not shown an association between vasectomy and testicular cancer. The combined power of the multicentre studies to show a twofold increased incidence of testicular cancer, however, was only 0.2 because of the low incidences of testicular tumours. Only a very large cohort study would be able to discover whether a true association exists.

We suggest that vasectomy accelerates the development of a palpable tumour from a carcinoma in situ or that palpable tumours are overlooked at the time of vasectomy. Thorough examination before a vasectomy should pick up most palpable tumours, and carcinomas could be detected by cytological examination of semen expressed from the proximal end of each vas during the vasectomy. Alternatively, men could be screened by a single examination 12 to 18 months after the vasectomy. Swelling after a vasectomy is common, and if it persists it may delay the diagnosis of tumour.

Our results require clarification from a large prospective study and analysis of confounding factors such as smoking and social class. The possibility of vasectomy affecting the aetiology of testicular malignancy is important especially in view of the current trend towards male sterilisation.
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Incidence of testicular tumour in West Lothian, 1977-87

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Patient years of risk</th>
<th>No of cases</th>
<th>No/100000 men/year</th>
<th>Patient years of risk</th>
<th>Expected No of cases</th>
<th>Observed No of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-</td>
<td>65100</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-</td>
<td>57500</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-</td>
<td>58800</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1497</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-</td>
<td>55800</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4521</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-</td>
<td>47100</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>2966</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-</td>
<td>42100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-</td>
<td>52200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-59</td>
<td>33700</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>396800</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>15091</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>