Modulating Innate Immunity Improves Hepatitis C Virus Infection and Replication in Stem Cell-Derived Hepatocytes
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SUMMARY

In this study, human embryonic stem cell-derived hepatocytes (hESC-Heps) were investigated for their ability to support hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and replication. hESC-Heps were capable of supporting the full viral life cycle, including the release of infectious virions. Although supportive, hESC-Hep viral infection levels were not as great as those observed in Huh7 cells. We reasoned that innate immune responses in hESC-Heps may lead to the low level of infection and replication. Upon further investigation, we identified a strong type III interferon response in hESC-Heps that was triggered by HCV. Interestingly, specific inhibition of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway led to responses in hESC-Heps may lead to the low level of infection and replication. Upon further investigation, we identified a strong type III interferon response in hESC-Heps that was triggered by HCV. Interestingly, specific inhibition of the JAK/STAT signaling pathway led to an increase in HCV infection and replication in hESC-Heps. Of note, the interferon response was not evident in Huh7 cells. In summary, we have established a robust cell-based system that allows the in-depth study of virus-host interactions in vitro.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infects an estimated 2%–3% of the world population and is a major cause of liver disease and cancer. It is estimated that more than 350,000 people die of the HCV-related liver disease each year (Te and Jensen, 2010; Yang and Roberts, 2010). Although the efficacy of current treatments has improved considerably, the high genetic variation of the virus still poses significant issues. Therefore, to develop new targets for effective therapy, it is necessary to gain greater understanding of the processes that control viral infection, replication, and ultimately pathogenesis.

The organ primarily affected by HCV is the liver. HCV entry into target cells occurs via receptor-mediated endocytosis and fusion with intracellular membranes. This process requires multiple attachment and entry factors. Among those, CD81, scavenger receptor class B type 1 (SR-B1), claudin 1, and occludin play a critical role (Evans et al., 2007; Pileri et al., 1998; Ploss et al., 2009; Scarselli et al., 2002). Postviral infection, the host innate immune system is the first line of defense. Human hepatocytes mount their initial immune response, producing interferon (IFN) (Horner and Gale, 2013; Kotenko et al., 2003; Takeuchi and Akira, 2009). IFNs are released from the infected cells and serve to reduce viral replication and spread (Dickensheets et al., 2013).

In order to limit the persistence, and therefore the pathology associated with HCV, it is imperative that we develop a better understanding of virus-host interactions. Cell-based models that support HCV propagation have provided the field with enabling technology. Although enabling, current models possess significant drawbacks, including diminished innate immunity (Foy et al., 2005). Therefore, if we are to gain a better understanding of HCV life cycle and associated pathogenesis, biologically relevant model systems, which more closely mimic human physiology, must be developed. For this reason, primary human hepatocytes (PHHs) have been employed. However, their scarcity, inconsistency, and rapid dedifferentiation in culture impede their widespread deployment.

The delivery of human hepatocytes, from a renewable source, is therefore an attractive strategy to bypass the issues associated with primary material (Sun et al., 2013; Hay, 2013). Of note, several reports have demonstrated the potential of pluripotent stem cells to deliver functional hepatocytes (Cai et al., 2007, Duan et al., 2007, Hay et al., 2008, 2011; Medine et al., 2013; Si-Tayeb et al., 2010; Sullivan et al., 2010; Szkolnicka et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2012; Lucendo-Villarin et al., 2012). Most recently, stem cell-derived hepatocytes have been used to support HCV infection (Roelandt et al., 2012; Schwartz et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012); however, the host innate immune response has not yet been studied in detail.

To study this in detail, we employed a robust and serum-free hepatocyte differentiation procedure (Szkolnicka et al., 2014). Human embryonic stem cells were efficiently differentiated toward the hepatocyte lineage. Importantly, those cells expressed critical viral receptors, supported the full life cycle of HCV and exhibited a “tunable” type III interferon response, which was not intact in Huh7s. Therefore, human embryonic stem cell-derived hepatocytes
hESC-Heps represent an important, defined, and renewable model system with which to study HCV.

**RESULTS**

**Robust Hepatocyte Differentiation from Pluripotent Stem Cells**

hESCs were cultured and differentiated using previously described conditions (Szkolnicka et al., 2014). In line with morphological changes (Figure 1A), we observed changes in gene expression confirming hepatocyte commitment. OCT4 expression was not detected in stem cell-derived hepatocytes (0%). In contrast, albumin, HNF4α and E-cadherin were expressed in 87% (±5%), 90% (±4%), and 92% (±2%) of cells, respectively (Figure 1B). Furthermore, stem cell-derived hepatocytes exhibited liver specific function. This peaked at day 19 with the greatest cytochrome P450 3A (CYP3A) and cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2) activities detected (Figure 1C). These data demonstrate the robust delivery of hESC-Heps, which were suitable in character for further modeling studies.

**hESC-Heps Express the Essential HCV Entry Factors**

hESCs and hESC-Heps were fixed and immunostained for the major HCV host cell entry factors; CD81, SR-B1, claudin-1, and occludin (Evans et al., 2007; Pileri et al., 1998; Ploss et al., 2009; Scarselli et al., 2002). Claudin-1 expression was not detected in hESCs, whereas it was abundant in hESC-Heps (90% ± 5%). The other viral entry factors

---

**Figure 1. Hepatocyte Differentiation from Human Embryonic Stem Cells**

(A) Morphologic change of hESCs to hESC-Heps during cellular differentiation. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(B) At day 19 hESC-Heps were fixed and immunostained for the hepatic markers Albumin/HNF4α/E-cadherin and hESC marker OCT4. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(C) Following 16 and 19 days of differentiation, hESC-Heps exhibited increasing CYP3A4 and CYP1A2 metabolic activity, which were measured using p450-Glo systems (Promega). Relative luminescence unit (RLU) values were normalized to protein (mg) and medium volume (ml), and shown as the mean ± SD. Error bars represent the SD of the mean. n = 6, biological replicates.

See also Figure S1 and Table S2.
were expressed in both hESCs and hESC-Heps, with levels increased in hESC-Heps. Expression of occludin, CD81, SR-B1, and claudin-1 was estimated at 92% (±4%), 90% (±5%), and 84% (±4%), respectively (Figure 2A). These results were confirmed by western blotting and quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Figures 2B and 2C), suggesting that hESC-Heps would support HCV entry.

**hESC-Heps Support HCV Infection and Infectious Virion Production**

To test our hypothesis, HCV strain JFH-1 (Wakita et al., 2005) was selected to infect hESC-Heps. This strain replicates efficiently in Huh7 cells. At 96 hr postinfection, HCV nonstructural protein NS5A and core protein expression were detected in hESC-Heps by immunostaining demonstrating viral entry (Figure 3A). Infected foci were visualized by NS5A staining, and the total focus number per well of 12-well plate (3.8 cm²) were calculated. The size of infected foci was measured as average number of cells per focus (Calland et al., 2012). The average number of infected foci per well and the size of the infected foci were 242.5 ± 82.3 and 4.2 ± 1.8, respectively. To test for viral entry, we also examined HCV RNA levels in the presence or absence of a HCV polymerase inhibitor (2’CMA). The HCV RNA levels relative to HCV with 2’CMA group were measured by qPCR. In line with previous studies, 2’CMA inhibited HCV replication in hESC-Heps indicating assay specificity (Figure 3B). In addition to infection and viral genome replication, we were interested in studying virus assembly and secretion. To assess this, the presence and infectious titer of the virus progeny from hESC-Heps were calculated by performing a focus-forming unit (FFU) assay in Huh7 cells (Figure 3C and Figure S2 available online). hESC-Heps were capable of supporting HCV life cycle, including the release of new infectious virions. However, we noted that the level of infection and
replication was markedly less than that detected in Huh7 cells. In support of this, we found that HCV replication plateaued in hESC-Heps by 48 hr, but this was not observed in Huh7 cells, even at the 72 hr time point (Figure 3D). To test if the medium of HCV-infected hESC-Heps carried antiviral activity, we incubated the reporter cell line Huh7-J20 that had been preinfected with HCVcc, with hESC-Hep supernatant collected at various times postinfection. Of note, there was a significant inhibition of HCV replication in Huh7-J20 cells when they were incubated with the medium collected from hESC-Heps (Figure 3E). These data strongly suggest the presence of key factor(s) in the infected hESC-Hep supernatants that likely accounted for the inhibition of viral replication observed in the Huh7-J20 cells.

**HCV Infection of hESC-Heps Activates a Type III IFN Response**

Given the important role that the IFN response plays in defense against microbial and viral infection, we opted to study gene expression of key immune mediators. hESC-Heps were infected with HCVcc for 4 hr, before replacing with fresh cell medium. Seventy-two hours postinfection, total RNA was prepared and profiled using an IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) PCR array. In response to HCV infection, hESC-Hep gene expression was representative of a type III IFN response (Table 1). These findings were corroborated by qPCR (Figure S3) and strongly implicated interleukin (IL)-29, the dominant type III IFN produced by primary human and primate hepatocytes in response to hepatitis C virus infection (Park et al., 2012).

To confirm that IL-29 was eliciting a strong activation of ISGs in our model, we incubated hESC-Heps with recombinant IL-29 (Table 1). Recombinant IL-29 activated the JAK/STAT pathway in hESC-Heps (Figure 4A), leading to the phosphorylation of STAT1 and induction of ISG expression (IFIT1, MX1, OAS1, ISG15, CXCL10, IRF9, IRF7, IRF1, and IRF2) in line with the literature (Figures 4A and 4B; Table 1). In contrast to hESC-Heps, very little or no induction of RIG-I, ISG15, CXCL10, CXCL11, and IFIT1 gene expression was observed in Huh7 cells (Figure 4B).
Poly I:C Induces IL-29 and A Type III IFN Response in hESC-Heps

To further establish the role of IFN response in our cell-based model, hESC-Heps were transfected with polyinosinic/polycytidylic acid (polyI:C) to mimic intracellular viral RNA. Twenty-four hours posttransfection, we observed upregulation of both type I (IFN-α and IFN-β) and type III IFNs (IL-28 and IL-29) by PCR or ELISA (Figures 5A and 5B). Of note, IRF9, a JAK/STAT pathway enhancer (Samuel, 2001), and RIG-1, a detector of extraneous double-stranded RNAs (dsRNAs) responsible for IFNβ production (Kato et al., 2006), were also upregulated. Additionally, inflammatory chemokines (CXCL10 and CXCL11) and antiviral ISGs (IFI15, MX1, and ISG15) were also strongly stimulated by dsRNA (Figure 5A). To study the effect of host factors generated in hESC-Heps after polyI:C treatment on viral replication, supernatant collected from transfected hESC-Heps were transferred to the infected Huh7-J20 reporter cells. In support of our previous experiments (Figure 5E), the supernatants of polyI:C-treated hESC-Heps possessed antiviral agents, including IL-29 (Figure 5B), strongly inhibiting virus replication (Figure 5C).

Inhibition of JAK/STAT Pathway Promotes HCV Replication in hESC-Heps

To improve viral infection and replication in hESC-Heps, we hypothesized that downregulation of the IFN response was necessary. To test this hypothesis, we pretreated hESC-Heps with JAK/STAT inhibitor (JAK Inhibitor I; 10 μM) for 1 hr prior to infection. HCV replication was significantly increased in JAK/STAT-inhibitor-treated hESC-Heps (Figure 6). We observed that the infected foci were larger in hESC-Heps treated with a JAK/STAT inhibitor (Figure 6A). In the hESC-Heps without inhibitor pretreatment, the total number of infected foci was 284.3 ± 94.5 per well of 12-well plate, and the average size of infected foci was 3.8 ± 3.1. In contrast, hESC-Heps pre-treated with the inhibitor, the number of infected foci was 241.2 ± 77.5, and the average size of infected foci was 14.3 ± 5.8. In line with this observation, HCV RNA level in JAK/STAT-inhibitor-treated hESC-Heps was significantly increased (Figure 6B). Moreover, we also detected increases (>2-fold) in ISG expression (IFI27, IFI44, IFI44L, CXCL10, ISG15, IFI11, IFITM1, IFNA8) in cells treated with the JAK/STAT inhibitor and following infection (Table S4).

Table 1. Increase of IFNs and ISG Expression in Stem Cell-Derived Hepatocytes after Treatment with IL-29 or HCV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>RefSeq</th>
<th>Fold Increase</th>
<th>Gene Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IFIT1</td>
<td>NM_001548</td>
<td>2,488.73</td>
<td>IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI27</td>
<td>NM_005532</td>
<td>927.55</td>
<td>alpha-inducible protein 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI44</td>
<td>NM_006417</td>
<td>389.86</td>
<td>IFN-induced protein 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI44L</td>
<td>NM_006820</td>
<td>216.25</td>
<td>IFN-induced protein 44-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIT2</td>
<td>NM_001547</td>
<td>152.75</td>
<td>IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI6</td>
<td>NM_002038</td>
<td>111.52</td>
<td>IFN, alpha-inducible protein 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>NM_001565</td>
<td>110.63</td>
<td>chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAS1</td>
<td>NM_002534</td>
<td>88.16</td>
<td>2'-5'-oligoadenylate synthetase 1, 40/46 kDa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISG15</td>
<td>NM_005101</td>
<td>52.36</td>
<td>ISG15 ubiquitin-like modifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFIH1</td>
<td>NM_022168</td>
<td>51.89</td>
<td>IFN induced with helicase C domain 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFITM1</td>
<td>NM_003641</td>
<td>20.27</td>
<td>IFN-induced transmembrane protein 1 (9–27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF7</td>
<td>NM_001572</td>
<td>18.56</td>
<td>IFN regulatory factor 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFNA8</td>
<td>NM_002170</td>
<td>16.90</td>
<td>IFN, alpha 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFI35</td>
<td>NM_005533</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>IFN-induced protein 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX1</td>
<td>NM_002462</td>
<td>11.26</td>
<td>myxovirus (influenza virus) resistance 1, IFN-inducible protein p78 (mouse)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-29</td>
<td>NM_172140</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td>IL-29 (IFN, lambda 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See also Table S3.
To further confirm that JAK/STAT inhibitor treatment facilitated HCV replication, we collected the supernatants from JAK/STAT-inhibitor-treated hESC-Heps, Huh7, or control cells and examined their effect on HCV replication in the Huh7-J20 reporter cell line (Figure 6C). Strikingly, only supernatants collected from JAK/STAT-inhibitor-treated hESC-Heps (Figure 6D), not Huh7s (Figure 6E), significantly increased HCV replication.

DISCUSSION

Pluripotent stem cells are scalable and retain the ability to form every cell type in the human body. The ability to derive human soma in limitless amounts offers great possibilities for regenerative medicine and cell-based modeling. In these studies, we used hESCs to derive human hepatocytes. hESCs were differentiated using established procedures (Szkolnicka et al., 2014). The derivative cells displayed stable hepatocyte function (Figure 1), expressed the main entry factors for HCV (Da Costa et al., 2012; Evans et al., 2007) (Figure 2), and supported full virus life cycle, including the release of infectious progeny (Figures 3, 4, 5, and 6).

Although our model supported viral life cycle, there were key elements of the system that required more detailed attention. Despite robust infection, hESC-Heps and primary hepatocytes produce less infectious virions than Huh7 line (Liang et al., 2009; Ploss et al., 2010; Podevin et al., 2010).
et al., 2010; Roelandt et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012). We hypothesized the lesser infection of hESC-Heps may be due to a robust induction of cellular immunity. Indeed, after HCV infection, hESC-Heps demonstrated strong induction of IL-29, followed by IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) expression. This was lesser in Huh7 cells and is likely attributable to defects in retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 (RIG-1) pathway (Sumpter et al., 2005). These observations were tested extensively in vitro, and further supported by studies in which extraneous RNA was introduced to the cells to stimulate the IFN response (Park et al., 2012).

Following the demonstration that the IFN response was intact, we sought to alter the dynamics of this system to see if we could improve viral infection and replication. In these studies, we chose one of the major signaling pathways effecting the IFN response. Through the use of a JAK/STAT pathway inhibitor, it was possible to attenuate the hESC-Hep innate immune response. In line with reduced JAK/STAT activity, hESC-Heps displayed enhanced HCV replication, which was most likely attributable to cell-to-cell transmission of virus. Notably, innate immunity was not intact in the Huh7 line, highlighting the need to develop new models of HCV biology, which more accurately reflect virus-host interactions.

In conclusion, although infection in hESC-Heps has been established, it is relatively low (Roelandt et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012). Prior to these studies, the reason for this had proved elusive. We provide evidence that by modulating the JAK/STAT pathway and the downstream IFN response, hESC-Hep infection and subsequent replication is “tunable.” This, in combination with the scalable nature of our system and the defined genetics, provides the field with an important model and platform technology.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Reagents**

RPMI, 50× B27 Supplement, Knockout DMEM (KO-DMEM), Knockout Serum Replacement Medium (KO-SR), GlutaMAX, Penicillin/Streptomycin (P/S), and HepatoZYME-SFM (HZM) were purchased from Life Technologies. Recombinant Mouse Wnt3a, Human Activin A (AA), Human Hepatocyte Growth Factor...
(HGF), and Human Oncostatin M (OSM) were from PeproTech (Hannoun et al., 2010; Hay et al., 2011; Szkolnicka et al., 2013).

**Hepatocyte Differentiation**

H9 were maintained on MEF cells in MEF-CM (R&D Systems). Before differentiation, H9 was passaged onto feeder-free Matrigel-coated plates in mTeSR medium (STEMCELL Technologies). hESC identity was assessed using a number of criteria including, the absence of stage-specific embryonic antigen-1 (SSEA-1) expression and presence of stage-specific embryonic antigen-4 (SSEA-4) (Figure S1). A stepwise method for cellular differentiation was employed as described (Szkolnicka et al., 2014). Briefly, hESC (H9) differentiation to endoderm was driven by incubating cells in RPMI/B27 supplemented with 50 ng/ml Wnt3a and 100 ng/ml Activin A for 72 hr. Following which cells were maintained in 20% SR/1% DMSO/KO-DMEM for a further 4–5 days to generate hepatoblastic populations. Hepatocytes were subsequently specified in HepatoZYME-SFM (supplemented with 10 μM Hydro-cortisone, 10 ng/ml HGF, and 20 ng/ml OSM) for a further 10–15 days.

**Immunofluorescence Staining for HCV Receptors and Intracellular Antigens**

Cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol for 10 min. After washing with PBS-0.05% Tween 20 (PBST) and blocking in 0.5% BSA in PBS for 1 hr, cells were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were rabbit anti-human Oct4 (Abcam), mouse anti-human Albumin (Sigma-Aldrich), rabbit anti-human HNF4a (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-human E-cadherin (Abcam), mouse anti-human Occludin (Invitrogen), mouse anti-human CD81 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-human claudin-1 (Invitrogen), mouse anti-human SR-BI (BD), mouse anti-NS5A (9E10, a kind gift from Figure 6. JAK Inhibitor I Improves HCV Infectivity in hESC-Heps

(A) hESC-Heps pretreated (bottom panel) or not (top panel) with 10 μM JAK inhibitor I were infected with HCVcc. At 3 days post-infection, cells were immunostained for viral NS5A antigen (green) and counterstained with DAPI (blue). Scale bar, 200 μm. (B) hESC-Heps were pretreated with 0, 1, 5, or 10 μM JAK inhibitor I before infection. HCV RNA levels in infected hESC-Heps were measured by qPCR. The results are presented as fold change of HCV RNA level relative to that of mock-treated cells. (C) Generation of JAK-inhibitor-I-treated conditioned medium (JTC). hESC-Heps or Huh7 were pretreated for 1 hr with 0, 1, 5, and 10 μM JAK inhibitor I, respectively, before infection with HCVcc and the JTC at 72 hr postinfection was collected. (D) JTC of hESC-Heps improved HCV infectivity in human hepatoma cells. Huh7-320 reporter cells were infected in advance by HCV for 3 hr, washed with PBS, and then incubated with JTC of hESC-Heps for 72 hr. The virus infectivity levels were determined by measuring SEAP activity in the medium. (E) JTC of Huh7 has no effect on HCV infectivity in human hepatoma cells. Huh7-320 reporter cells were infected in advance by HCV for 3 hr, washed with PBS, and then incubated with Huh7 JTC for 72 hr. The virus infectivity was determined as described in (D).

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.001 compared with the group treated with 0 μM JAK inhibitor I. Error bars represent the SD of the mean. n = 3, biological replicates.
Charles M. Rice, Center for the Study of Hepatitis C, The Rockefeller University, New York), rabbit anti-HCV core serum (R308, a kind gift from John McLauchlan). Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-mouse (Molecular Probes), Alexa Fluor 488 donkey anti-rabbit (Probe molecular), and Alexa Fluor 594 donkey anti-rabbit (Molecular Probes) conjugates. Details of working dilution of each antibody are provided in Table S1. Cells were counterstained with DAPI (Sigma-Aldrich), and the pictures were captured by microscope of Zeiss Axio Observer.

**CYP3A and CYP1A2 Assay**

CYP3A and CYP1A2 assay were conducted as per the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, CYP3A P450-GloTM Assay and CYP1A2 P450-GloTM Assay). The relative light unit (RLU) of the product was determined by the GloMax-96 Microplate Luminometer (Promega) and normalized to per milligram protein.

**Western Blot Analysis**

The XCell II Blot Module (Invitrogen) was employed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total protein was extracted by RIPA buffer (Pierce). The protein concentrations were measured using the standard BCA assay (Pierce). Samples containing equal amounts of total proteins were separated by Bis-Tris Gels (Life Technologies) and electrophoretically transferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad). Blots were blocked with 5% BSA in TBST (Tris-buffered saline containing 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 hr at room temperature and then probed with primary antibody at 4°C overnight under constant rotation. The secondary antibody was incubated for 1 hr at room temperature, and detected using SuperSignal West Pico substrate (Thermo Scientific). Details of antibody sources and the infectious virus yield presented as focus-forming unit (FFU) per ml. Virus produced from infected Huh7 cells were used as a control throughout.

**Preparation of Cell Culture Infectious HCV and Infection**

Human hepatoma Huh7 cells were propagated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco), 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 µg/ml streptomycin, and 0.1 nM essential amino acids. JFH-1-based HCVcc were produced in Huh7 cells as previously described (Wakita et al., 2005). The HCVcc virus used in this study, JFH-1 DGCSL, is a JFH-1-derived cell-culture adaptive mutant, the characterization of which will be described elsewhere (A.G.N.A. and A.H.P., unpublished data). The HCVcc virus was produced at 10^7 FFU/ml and was further diluted 10 times to final concentration of 10,000 FFU/ml. Infectious virus was used to inoculate hESC-Heps or Huh7 cells for 3 hr. Supernatant and RNA were collected 24 hr after infection and frozen at −80°C and −70°C, respectively.

**Determination of Virus Yield by Focus-Forming Assay**

To test if infected hESC-Heps produced infectious virions, supernatants were harvested at 5 days postinoculation and serially diluted to infect Huh7 cells. To assess viral NSSA antigen expression, the infected Huh7 cells were fixed in methanol, counterstained with DAPI (Invitrogen), and immunostained with mouse anti-NSSA (9E10) antibody followed by Donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen). NSSA foci formed in the plate were calculated and the infectious virus yield presented as focus-forming unit (FFU) per ml. Virus produced from infected Huh7 cells were used as a control throughout.

**Quantification of Virus Infectivity Using a Reporter Cell Line**

The Huh7-J20 reporter cell line has been described previously (Iro et al., 2009). This cell line stably express eGFP fused in-frame to secreted alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) via a recognition sequence of the viral NS3/4A serine protease. The level of SEAP activity in the culture medium directly correlates with the level of intracellular viral RNA replication. Huh7-J20 cells seeded in a 96-well tissue culture plate were first infected with the virus for 3 hr after which the inoculum was replaced with fresh medium and the cells incubated at 37°C for 3 days. The SEAP activity in the infected cell medium was determined as described (Iro et al., 2009) using the Hidex Chameleon plate reader and expressed as relative light units (RLUs).

**PCR Array for IFN-Stimulated Gene Assay**

Quantitative PCR was performed using the ABI 7500 instrument and kit (ABI). Primers were designed separately to span at least an intron. First-strand cDNA was used as a template. The relative expression of the target genes to internal control (GAPDH) was calculated by the comparative threshold cycle (DDCt) method. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Polyinosinic/Polyctydil Acid Transfection of hESC-heps**

hESC-Heps were transfected with 1, 5, or 10 µg polyI:C (tlrl-pic, InvivoGen) lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) as described (Park et al., 2012). Supernatant and RNA were collected 24 hr after infection and frozen at −20°C and −70°C, respectively.

**IL-29 ELISA**

IL-29 levels were measured using the human IL-29 sandwich ELISA kit (eBioscience) according to manufacturer’s instructions.

**Chemical Inhibitor Studies**

hESC-Heps or Huh7 cells were exposed to 1, 5, 10 µM InSolution JAK inhibitor 1 (Millipore) for 1 hr prior to infection with HCV. Cells were infected with HCVcc at 10 FFU/ml for 3 hr and changed
to fresh medium. Cultures were incubated for 5 days. During the incubation, medium was renewed every 2 days. The supernatant from infected cells were collected and stored at 4°C prior to use in the infectivity influence assay described below.

**Infectivity Influence Assay**

Huh7-J20 reporter cells were plated in a 24-well plate at 30% confluence. Cells were first infected with HCVcc for 3 hr and washed with DMEM. To test the influence of exogenous supernatant to the HCV replication, supernatant collected from either polyI:C or JAK inhibitor I-treated cells were added to the infected Huh7-J20 cells. The cells were incubated and the medium collected after 3 days. The infectivity of HCV in collected medium was measured by SEAP assay.

**Statistical Analyses**

Data collected from biological replicates are expressed as mean ± SD. Differences between groups were examined for statistical significance using Student’s t test, or one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett t tests; p values < 0.05 were regarded as significant.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes three figures and four tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2014.04.018.
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