Two-stage Genome-wide Methylation Profiling in Childhood-onset Crohn’s Disease Implicates Epigenetic Alterations at the VMP1/MIR21 and HLA Loci
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Background: As a result of technological and analytical advances, genome-wide characterization of key epigenetic alterations is now feasible in complex diseases. We hypothesized that this may provide important insights into gene-environmental interactions in Crohn’s disease (CD) and is especially pertinent to early onset disease.

Methods: The Illumina 450K platform was applied to assess epigenome-wide methylation profiles in circulating leukocyte DNA in discovery and replication pediatric CD cohorts and controls. Data were corrected for differential leukocyte proportions. Targeted replication was performed in adults using pyrosequencing. Methylation changes were correlated with gene expression in blood and intestinal mucosa.

Results: We identified 65 individual CpG sites with methylation alterations achieving epigenome-wide significance after Bonferroni correction ($P < 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$), and 19 differently methylated regions displaying unidirectional methylation change. There was a highly significant enrichment of methylation changes around GWAS single nucleotide polymorphisms ($P = 3.7 \times 10^{-7}$), notably the HLA region and MIR21. Two-locus discriminant analysis in the discovery cohort predicted disease in the pediatric replication cohort with high accuracy (area under the curve, 0.98). The findings strongly implicate the transcriptional start site of MIR21 as a region of extended epigenetic alteration, containing the most significant individual probes ($P = 1.97 \times 10^{-15}$) within a GWAS risk locus. In extension studies, we confirmed hypomethylation of MIR21 in adults ($P = 6.6 \times 10^{-3}$, n = 172) and show increased mRNA expression in leukocytes ($P < 0.005$, n = 66) and in the inflamed intestine ($P = 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$, n = 99).

Conclusions: We demonstrate highly significant and replicable differences in DNA methylation in CD, defining the disease-associated epigenome. The data strongly implicate known GWAS loci, with compelling evidence implicating MIR21 and the HLA region.
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The last decade has seen tremendous success in identifying genetic loci associated with inherited susceptibility to Crohn’s disease (CD), with 140 loci identified in the most recent GWAS meta-analysis.1 However, these determinants collectively explain only an estimated 13.6%1 of disease variability, and the biological variation each confers is unclear. The importance of noninherited factors in pathogenesis has been highlighted by studies on the increasing incidence of CD, especially in children,2,3 and in the developing world,4,5 and by a greater understanding of the effects of gut microbiota and diet on risk.5,7 A critical objective for CD research is to characterize the interaction between genetic and environmental factors.

Epigenetic alteration has emerged as a potential mechanism through which these interactions may occur.8 Developments allowing rapid assaying of cytosine methylation at nearly $5 \times 10^6$ positions, and insights into confounding effects in study design9 have provided the impetus to build on promising pilot data from previous generation technology to allow epigenome-wide association studies (EWAS) to become a valuable complement to the more mature GWAS.10 Epigenome mapping has been used to identify DNA regulatory elements, explore cancer biology, and provide a growing body of findings in complex diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis,11 multiple sclerosis,12 type 2 diabetes, and obesity.13,14
Although the relationship between methylation and gene expression and function is incompletely understood, relevant modifying influences include age, ethnicity, smoking, gut microbiota, and diet. DNA-binding factors can directly influence methylation, and in turn, altering methylation can directly influence expression. We hypothesized that identification of altered levels of methylation, which are significantly associated with disease state, whether predating or following disease, offers the potential for discovering new pathways integral to the disease process and for predicting disease status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

Illumina 450k DNA methylation analysis was performed separately in 2 pediatric cohorts (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A557 that contains demographic data for pediatric cohorts). Patients in the first cohort were treatment-naive, newly diagnosed CD cases. Patients in the second cohort were children with an established diagnosis of CD. Both cohorts used symptomatic controls, which were investigated by colonoscopy, but in whom no pathology or abnormality was discovered at initial investigation or subsequently. Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) was applied to data generated in the discovery cohort to identify biomarker candidates, which were then tested in the replication cohort.

As the 2 pediatric stages were of similar design and showed strong replication, they were amenable to a single joint analysis, an approach recommended for suitable data sets because of the increase in power it gives in discovering CpG sites with disease-associated methylation changes. Seven of the most significant CpG sites implicated by the combined pediatric analysis were assessed by pyrosequencing in an adult cohort with established CD, and disease-associated expression changes were explored (Fig. 1A).

Pediatric Patient Selection and Ethics

Pediatric samples were collected from centers across Scotland. The Bacteria in Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in Scottish Children Undergoing Investigation before Treatment (BISCUIT) study provided peripheral blood leukocyte DNA for the discovery cohort from 18 treatment-naive newly diagnosed patients and 18 matched nondiseased controls from Aberdeen, Glasgow, and Dundee. Controls had been rigorously investigated for gastrointestinal symptoms but did not have or subsequently develop any organic gastrointestinal pathology, including IBD. The replication cohort comprised DNA samples from 18 children with established CD supplied by the Paediatric-onset IBD Cohort and Treatment Study (PICTS), analyzed against a second set of 18 controls from the BISCUIT study. Within both cohorts, patients and controls were matched for age and gender. The BISCUIT study was approved by the North of Scotland Research Ethics Committee (09/S0802/24) and PICTS by ethics committees...
at participating centers (Edinburgh, Glasgow, Aberdeen, and Dundee—LREC 2002/6/18). Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of all participating children. Informed assent was also obtained from older children capable of understanding the nature of the study. Demographics for both cohorts are in Table, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A557.

**Genome-wide Methylation Profiling**

Peripheral blood leukocyte DNA was bisulfite converted and analyzed using the Illumina Human Methylation 450k platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA)\(^\text{23}\) with cases and controls distributed across chips. Probes were filtered to remove any with a detection \(P\) value of \(\geq 0.01\), those from sex chromosomes, and those that had single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with a minor allele frequency of \(\geq 0.01\) in the European population in the 1000 Genomes Project\(^\text{24}\) within CpGs assayed by the array. Samples were removed if there was a gender mismatch or if more than 5% of probes failed.

Data were corrected using background removal and quantile normalization in the lumi R package\(^\text{25,26}\) followed by beta mixture quantile dilation.\(^\text{27}\) Batch effects were controlled for using ComBAT.\(^\text{28}\)

Differential leukocyte counts from the same day that DNA samples taken were available for 24 patients and 19 controls. Linear models were created for all Illumina 450k probes and disease status in these samples. Probes were selected, which had F test with \(P\) values \(< 10^{-8}\) but a \(P\) value for disease association of \(> 0.05\). Combinations of 100 probes were tested, and the best probe set was used to predict the differential cell counts for samples without measured differential leukocyte counts. This model is similar to that described by Houseman et al.\(^\text{29}\)

Analysis of the methylation status of cases versus controls was performed using limma\(^\text{30}\) in R using linear modeling of beta values with measured or predicted neutrophil, other granulocyte, lymphocyte, and monocyte numbers as covariates.

The Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR)\(^\text{31}\) was calculated for each probe, with a FDR corrected \(P < 0.05\) used to define significance in analysis of broader methylation patterns, such as identifying differentially methylated regions. For significance of individual probes, the more conservative Bonferroni correction was used.

**Differently Methylated Regions**

Differently methylated regions (DMRs) were defined using a reimplementation of the probe lasso DMR-calling technique used by the ChAMP pipeline\(^\text{32}\) in R. This defined a DMR as 3 or more sequential probes with significant (FDR adjusted \(P < 0.05\)) unidirectional methylation changes falling within the lasso distance threshold. The distance threshold uses a base size of 2 kb, modified based on the methylation patterns of local genomic features.\(^\text{33}\)

**Replication of Methylation Findings in Adults**

Twenty whole-blood DNA samples from adults with CD were recruited from gastroenterology clinic while their disease was quiescent, with 20 healthy controls collected during the same time period (LREC 2000/4/192). In addition, methylation changes in **VMP1/MIR21** were replicated in an extended adult replication cohort of 87 adults with CD and 85 healthy controls (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A558 that contains demographic data), of which the smaller group was a subset.

DNA was bisulfite converted with EZ-96 DNA Methylation Kits (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA), assays were designed using PyroMark Assay Design Software (version 2.0.1.15; Qiagen, Dusseldorf, Germany) and primers (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A559 that contains primer sequences) were ordered from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis). Sequencing was performed on a PyroMark Q96 ID machine (Qiagen) and analyzed in R v3.0.1.

**VMP1 and MIR21 Expression**

**MIR21** primary transcript (pri-miR-21, primer details in Table, Supplemental Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A559) was assayed by qPCR, with all patients and controls giving written, informed consent (LREC 06/S1101/16, LREC 2000/4/192). Suitable patients with CD were prospectively recruited from gastroenterology clinic and endoscopy lists, and healthy controls were recruited from volunteers. Blood samples were taken using a 21-gauge butterfly needle and 9 mL K3 EDTA vacuette (Greiner, Germany) and stored at 4°C for up to 2 hours. Total RNA was then extracted from 1.5 mL whole blood using QIAamp RNA blood mini kit (Qiagen) and stored at −80°C. cDNA was converted using SuperScript Vilo cDNA synthesis kits (Invitrogen, Carlsbad) and analyzed on a Corbett Rotor-Gene 6000 (Qiagen) with DyNAmo Flash SYBR green reagent (Thermo Scientific, Waltham). Expression of pri-miR-21 was normalized to reference gene **TBP**, (primer details in Table, Supplemental Digital Content 3, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A559) after initial optimization against 4 reference genes (**GAPDH, TBP, SDHA, and ACTB**) and analyzed by the ΔΔCt method in R. Expression in inflamed and uninflamed intestinal biopsies from patients with CD, ulcerative colitis, and healthy controls was assessed in previously reported microarray data.\(^\text{34}\)

**Linear Discriminant Analysis**

LDA of methylation beta values in the pediatric discovery cohort was used to create biomarkers for the presence of CD, using the LDA function in the R package “MASS.”\(^\text{35}\) All probes with FDR adjusted \(P\) values \(< 0.05\) in the discovery cohort (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 4, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A560 that lists pediatric discovery results with FDR adjusted \(P < 0.05\)) were used as covariates, regardless of performance in the replication cohort, with each model including 2 probes. Models were tested using the pediatric replication cohort methylation beta values.

**GWAS Colocalization**

For range thresholds between 25 kb and 4 Mb, the lowest \(P\) value within range of each GWAS SNP for CD or IBD\(^\text{1}\) was compared by Wilcoxon rank sum test to 1000 randomly selected bins of the same genomic size, matched for probe density.
GO Term Enrichment Analysis

The R package GOseq was used as described by Geleher et al. to correct for bias introduced by the variation in Illumina 450k probes per gene and analyze gene ontology (GO) term enrichment. The number of probes sharing each gene symbol annotation and whether that annotation covers at least one differentially methylated probe (FDR corrected $P < 0.05$) was used to create a probability weighting function, used in the GO term enrichment analysis.

RESULTS

Pediatric Illumina 450k

Nine probes with FDR corrected $P < 0.05$ were identified in the discovery cohort, 8 of which achieved nominal significance in the replication cohort (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 4, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A560 that lists pediatric discovery results with FDR adjusted $P < 0.05$). Correlation between cohorts was high, with 89% of probes reaching nominal significance in both cohorts showing the same direction of change (Fig. 1B).

Combined analysis of the 2 cohorts identified 1319 probes with significant FDR adjusted $P$ values. Of these, 65 CpGs (Table 1, Fig. 1D) retained epigenome-wide significance after the more stringent Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. At these probes, there were absolute differences in mean methylation between CD and control groups of up to 16% (mean 6%), with 89% of probes showing hypomethylation in CD. Methylation variance in CD at these probes was greater than controls in 65%, 53% of which differences were statistically significant (17% for probes where variance was greater in controls). The mean ratio of variances between CD and control were 2.4 and 1.4 for probes where CD or control, respectively had the greater variance.

Nineteen DMRs were identified (Table 2) from the 1319 probes with significant FDR adjusted $P$ values. These regions involve several genes in pathways relevant to CD including TNF within the HLA region, MIR21, Toll-like receptor signaling (TOLLIP), and apoptosis (VMP1, PRF1 and DIABLO).

Colocalization of significant Illumina 450k methylation changes with GWAS SNPs was found across distance thresholds between 25 kb and 4 Mb, with peak correlation between 50 kb ($P = 3.66 \times 10^{-7}$) and 100 kb ($P = 2.41 \times 10^{-7}$) in line with previously published work. (Fig. 1C). This relationship remained significant if VMP1/MIR21 was excluded from analysis.

GO term analysis found 170 significantly enriched terms (see Table, Supplemental Digital Content 6, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A562 that lists all enriched GO terms) in the combined pediatric data, including terms related to NF-kB signaling, apoptosis, and the JAK-STAT cascade (FDR corrected $P$ values $6.32 \times 10^{-6}$, $2.31 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3.23 \times 10^{-3}$).

Adult Replication with Pyrosequencing

Pyrosequencing assays were designed for a series of 7 regions corresponding to significant disease-associated methylation changes in the combined pediatric Illumina 450k data. Methylation changes were assayed in a group of 20 adults with CD and 20 controls with resultant $P$ values between 0.004 and $2 \times 10^{-5}$ (Fig. 2B). As with

TABLE 1. The 15 Most Significant Individual Probes from the Combined Analysis of the Combined Pediatric Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe ID</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Coordinatea</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Discovery Cohort</th>
<th>Replication Cohort</th>
<th>Combined Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cg12054453</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57915717</td>
<td>VMP1</td>
<td>$-0.15 2.19 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$-0.12 1.55 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.13 1.97 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg17501210</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>166970252</td>
<td>RPS6KA2</td>
<td>$-0.11 1.17 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.10 3.73 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.11 4.47 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg12992827</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101901234</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$-0.12 3.82 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.08 5.14 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.10 1.59 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg16936953</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57915665</td>
<td>VMP1</td>
<td>$-0.17 9.11 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$-0.14 9.25 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.16 2.65 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg04389058</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>57041402</td>
<td>ARHGEF3</td>
<td>$-0.08 3.32 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$-0.06 4.69 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.07 1.44 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg02560388</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11969958</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$-0.04 1.93 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.05 1.60 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.04 8.45 \times 10^{-12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg00382138</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>110723299</td>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>$-0.08 1.88 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.08 2.60 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.07 3.27 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg01671681</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>155421735</td>
<td>PLCH1</td>
<td>$-0.05 4.43 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.05 5.23 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.05 4.52 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg19821297</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12890029</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$-0.08 3.35 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.08 7.09 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.08 1.91 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg27049094</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75067716</td>
<td>HK2</td>
<td>$0.07 9.03 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.07 8.62 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$0.07 2.04 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg27310092</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15194566</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$-0.05 2.67 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.07 2.56 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.06 2.54 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg01059398</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>172235808</td>
<td>TNFSF10</td>
<td>$-0.07 9.77 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$-0.07 1.63 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-0.07 3.28 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg18181703</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>76354621</td>
<td>SOCS3</td>
<td>$-0.09 3.05 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.09 2.43 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.09 4.56 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg01726890</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38370874</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$0.03 2.22 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$0.03 1.50 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$0.03 6.14 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cg18942579</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57915773</td>
<td>VMP1</td>
<td>$-0.10 1.69 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>$-0.09 2.99 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$-0.10 8.23 \times 10^{-10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All 65 probes significant after Bonferroni correction are listed in Table, Supplemental Digital Content 5, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A561.

aGRCh37/hg19.

bMean beta value for CD relative to the mean beta value for controls.
TABLE 2. Differently Methylated Regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Genes</th>
<th>( P ) (Minimum)</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>Coordinate</th>
<th>Length Probes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>VMP1</td>
<td>( 1.97 \times 10^{-15} )</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>57915150</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>ZBTB16</td>
<td>( 1.78 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>113946633</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>SLC15A4</td>
<td>( 4.58 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12281291</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>TNF</td>
<td>( 8.31 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31543764</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>DIABLO</td>
<td>( 1.17 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>122711835</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>ZFYVE28</td>
<td>( 1.76 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2321442</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>RUNX3</td>
<td>( 2.19 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25291794</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>GPR56</td>
<td>( 4.43 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>81399131</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>TOLLIP</td>
<td>( 1.21 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>46341036</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>PIEZO1</td>
<td>( 1.19 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88831961</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>PRF1</td>
<td>( 1.47 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72362714</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>ZBTB12</td>
<td>( 2.23 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31867623</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>PRF1</td>
<td>( 6.32 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>72360292</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>CD247</td>
<td>( 7.59 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>167486463</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↓</td>
<td>CETP</td>
<td>( 1.39 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>56995572</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>UBAH3A</td>
<td>( 1.45 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>43823747</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>↑</td>
<td>WRAP73</td>
<td>( 3.21 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3563024</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Direction of methylation change.  
\(^b\)Coordinates refer to GRCh37/hg19.

the pediatric data, the commonest finding was of hypomethylation with increased variance and combining methylation results at 2 probes achieved strong separation between control and disease groups (see Fig., Supplemental Digital Content 7, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A563 that shows 2-locus methylation plots for the adult replication cohort).

Linear Discriminant Analysis

Using the pediatric discovery cohort methylation beta values as learning set for LDA, models were created for each possible combination of 2 probes to predict the presence of CD, which were then tested using the beta values from the pediatric replication cohort. Area under the curve values for the performance of these models in the replication cohort ranged from 0.79 to 0.98 (median 0.86). Figure 2A shows the separation in 2-dimensional beta values by diagnosis in 10 two-probe combinations.

Interpretation and Selection of Genes for Further Study

To highlight genomic regions for further study, we considered 3 criteria: the significance of individual CpGs, clustering of CpGs into DMRs, and colocalization of methylation changes with risk loci identified by GWAS. Genes that scored highly in multiple categories were given the highest priority for further investigation (Fig. 3), with VMP1/MIR21 emerging as the strongest candidate. Similarly, the TNF locus within the HLA region was enriched for highly significant methylation changes within a DMR, in a region of established interest in CD. Other genes of interest include SBNO2 and IL18RAP, where highly significant CpGs are found within risk loci established by GWAS studies and ZBTB16 and RUNX3, where DMRs contain or neighbor highly significant individual CpGs.

VMP1/MIR21

Five probes within the VMP1/MIR21 locus, 4 of which lie within a DMR (Fig. 4), had disease-associated changes in methylation surviving Bonferroni correction. These probes are clustered at the 3’ end of VMP1, around the 11th exon, within 50 kb of a GWAS SNP (rs1292053). The DMR is directly adjacent to the transcription start site and promoter region for the primary transcript of MIR21 (pri-miR-21).

We confirmed CD-associated hypomethylation of this region in blood by pyrosequencing in 172 adults (\( P = 6.6 \times 10^{-5} \), Fig. 5A). The qPCR for pri-miR-21 in 43 adults with CD and 23 healthy controls demonstrated an increase of expression in CD (\( P < 0.005 \), Fig. 5B). Analysis of previously published data demonstrated an increased expression of MIR21 (\( P = 1.4 \times 10^{-6} \) and VMP1 (\( P = 2.6 \times 10^{-5} \)) in biopsies from inflamed versus uninfamed mucosa in CD, which was not observed in controls (Fig. 5C). MIR21 showed significantly increased expression in inflamed versus uninfamed UC biopsies (\( 5.1 \times 10^{-7} \)), but there was no inflammation-related increase in VMP1 expression, unlike that seen in CD.

DISCUSSION

Principal Findings

This study establishes a significant and highly replicable pattern of DNA methylation associated with pediatric CD, with further replication in adults for many of the most significant pediatric results. We show a significant enrichment of methylation changes in proximity to GWAS risk loci, offering a novel approach in exploring the biological variation associated with common genetic variants and have derived biomarkers, which show remarkable accuracy in determining the presence of CD. As such, this study provides an important confirmation of the validity and feasibility of methylation screening in complex disease and complements the emerging evidence implicating epigenetic alterations in IBD and other immune-mediated diseases and complex traits, such as rheumatoid arthritis, obesity, and diabetes.

VMP1 and MIR21

The discovery of methylation alterations within the VMP1/MIR21 locus emerges as the strongest individual result. Further confirmation of altered methylation of this region in CD by pyrosequencing in adults is augmented with data showing increased expression of MIR21 in blood in CD and increased expression of MIR21 in inflamed intestinal biopsies in CD but not controls.
**VMP1** encodes a transmembrane protein located in the Golgi apparatus, endoplasmic reticulum, and vacuoles with high degrees of expression in the intestine, kidney, ovary, and placenta. There is high transspecies conservation of **VMP1**, and it is noteworthy that expression induces autophagy through interactions with **BECN1**.

**MIR21** was one of the earliest described microRNAs and has been implicated in numerous cancers, including IBD-associated colorectal cancer. The mature sequence is produced from a precursor overlapping with the 3' end of **VMP1**. This region is highly conserved, exhibits DNase I hypersensitivity and is associated with the promoter-associated histone marks H3K4Me1 and H3K4Me3.

**MIR21** has a known role in T-cell differentiation and development. Increased expression of MIR21 in active IBD and IBD-associated dysplasia has been described elsewhere, and **MIR21** knockout mice have been shown to be protected from DSS-induced colitis.

There is a growing body of evidence for numerous microRNAs being involved in CD such as the regulation of **NOD2** by microRNAs and **NOD2** genotype influencing IL-23 production in dendritic cells by regulation of MIR29 production. Recent work has shown **ATG16L1** can be regulated by multiple microRNAs, with resulting effects on autophagy, particularly interesting about our data as **ATG16L1** contains a MIR21 target motif.

Other than the **VMP1/MIR21** discovery, a number of the other loci implicated by our study are noteworthy in the context of disease pathogenesis and will bear further investigation. The data implicating the HLA region, and, in particular, the **TNF** locus complement the genetic data implicating this region in determining IBD susceptibility and phenotype and the body of evidence implicating TNF in disease.

Other regions that are of great interest to intestinal immune regulation showing highly significant replicable alterations in methylation in our study include **SOCS3**, a suppressor of cytokine signaling to the JAK/STAT pathway, **TOLLIP**, and **RPS6KA2**, a ribosomal S6 kinase interacting with MAPkinase1/3.

**Linear Discriminant Analysis**
DNA methylation of specific loci has found use as a biomarker in diagnosis and prognosis of cancer, such as methylation at a tumor suppressor CpG Island. The results of our LDA serve as a proof of concept for the development of methylation-based...
diagnostic biomarkers in complex diseases. Future work should also seek to establish prospective links with other clinical outcomes, such as response to treatment and disease course.

The use of children who required colonoscopy to rule out IBD as controls precisely models the clinical scenario in which a diagnostic biomarker would find use. CD-specific methylation patterns weaken with increased age and were absent in the elderly (data not shown), possibly due to the accumulation of confounding factors, such as environmental exposure, comorbidity and polypharmacy, or inherent effects of aging on methylation. It remains to be determined if this approach is equally pertinent for conditions with a later age of onset.

**Strengths and Limitations**

This study provides an impetus for further analysis of alterations of leukocyte DNA methylation in IBD and other complex diseases, with many targets emerging for further study. In comparison with GWAS data, we show highly reproducible and significant disease-associated methylation changes using a modest number of samples. Indeed, the strength and reproducibility of our findings compare favorably with epigenetic data generated to date in IBD and other complex diseases and also with the results of theoretical modeling based on predicted disease-associated methylation patterns. In particular, the magnitude and variance of observed methylation changes in whole blood contrasts with models used to predict required group sizes (see Fig., Supplemental Digital Content 8, http://links.lww.com/IBD/A564, shows power to detect methylation changes similar to VMP1/MIR21). These data may inform future study design in IBD and other complex diseases.

**FIGURE 3.** Schema for the selection of targets for further study, showing examples and total numbers for each set. GWAS risk loci correspond to all CD and IBD results from recent GWAS meta-analysis, inclusion within epigenome-wide significance and differentially methylated regions sets based on individual probe significance surviving Bonferroni correction, and being identified as a DMR by the modified ChAMP algorithm, respectively (Methods). VMP1/MIR21 shown at the intersection of all 3 sets.

**FIGURE 4.** A, The significance of disease-associated methylation changes in all Illumina 450k probes in VMP1. A schematic representation of the gene is overlaid (bars represent exons, lines represent introns), the height of which corresponds to a Bonferroni corrected $P < 0.05$. B, Expanded view of the 3’ end of VMP1 in (A), with MIR21 primary transcript (line) and mature MIR21 (bar) plotted below. C, Beta values for each sample, colored by diagnosis, at all Illumina 450k probes across VMP1 (excluding the unmethylated 5’ CpG island). Background shading highlights probes contained in the DMR.
In designing this study, we addressed the key confounding issues relevant to epigenome analysis, which are currently subject to intensive scientific debate. Our approach of basing the study initially in pediatric disease has been successful in generating data replicable in children and adults. Studies in children have the advantage of reducing the influence of age, comorbidity, polypharmacy, smoking, and environmental factors, which could confound epigenetic changes. The focus on circulating leukocytes in IBD rather than intestinal mucosa in this study is strongly supported by scientific evidence of immune dysregulation, the well-recognized clinical extraintestinal manifestations, and indeed the recent evidence of an encouraging response to autologous bone marrow transplant in refractory disease.

Methylation at numerous sites has also been shown to influence PBMC response to stimulation of toll-like receptors ex-vivo with multiple ligands. Ease of access to blood is clearly advantageous in biomarker discovery. The heterogeneity of studied tissues is a commonly cited concern in epigenome-wide analysis. We demonstrated the ability to use genome-wide methylation data with contemporaneous clinical full blood count data to correct for whole-blood heterogeneity. If such data are not available, comparison with reference methylation data sets from separated cells and alternative techniques has been demonstrated effective and accurate. These strategies are feasible for translational studies, especially high throughput clinical investigations, where cell separation adds considerable processing and expense.

Our data are highly significant even after applying Bonferroni correction for multiple testing—this correction that is widely applied in GWAS studies is likely to emerge as overly conservative in the context of EWAS because it ignores the correlation of methylation between neighboring probes. The establishment of a consensus on the limit of epigenome-wide significance for DNA methylation arrays remains a priority for future reporting of epigenetic findings in complex diseases.

Although the combined factors of moderate study size and conservative correction for multiple testing may well contribute to false negatives (type II error), the reproducibility in 3 independent cohorts and level of statistical significance provide a high degree of confidence in our positive findings. Parallels may be drawn with the early linkage and association studies in IBD, which allowed modeling of the genetic architecture and delivered “low-hanging fruit” in terms of NOD2 and HLA associations findings that have subsequently been unequivocally replicated in large scale experiments. The emerging evidence of a role for MIR21 in IBD from other approaches enhances the biological plausibility of this finding and strengthens the case for using EWAS in CD and other complex diseases to discover novel biologically significant genes. Moreover, the enrichment of methylation differences near to genetic risk loci variants seen in these data and previous work raises the possibility that epigenetic modifications may help identify specific points within large genetic susceptibility loci where genetic and biological variation overlap.

There is at present intense interest in the application of epigenomic analyses in complex diseases, and technologies and analytic approaches are evolving rapidly. The strengths and limitations of the approach are becoming better understood, leading to the very real hope that EWAS will now evolve to complement GWAS in understanding pathogenesis. To date, methylation profiling has been limited by the application of...
analytic approaches developed for genetic rather than epigenomic analysis. In cases, this has led to overestimating the strength of results, such as failing to appreciate the bias introduced by the wide variety of probe numbers per gene in pathway analysis. However, failing to appreciate the difference between a SNP of limited possible states weakly correlated with disease risk, and the bounded continuous variable of DNA methylation has led to underestimations of the power of moderate-scale epigenetic studies.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, these observations serve to highlight the need to integrate methylation, genetic, and expression data in future studies of the pathogenesis of complex diseases and provide insight into potential mechanisms involved in gene-environmental interaction. There are exciting and immediate implications for early clinical translation; the discovery of easily accessible biomarkers in peripheral blood to predict disease susceptibility, progression or response to therapy, and the potential for new therapeutic targets.

Future studies should evaluate altered methylation and expression at these sites, including MIR21, both in whole blood and specific cell types, before initiation of disease and in association with environmental factors to better understand causality.
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