



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Spherical subcategories in algebraic geometry

Citation for published version:

Hochenegger, A, Kalck, M & Ploog, D 2012 'Spherical subcategories in algebraic geometry' ArXiv.

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



SPHERICAL SUBCATEGORIES IN ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

ANDREAS HOCHENEGGER, MARTIN KALCK, AND DAVID PLOOG

ABSTRACT. We study objects in triangulated categories which have a two-dimensional graded endomorphism algebra. Given such an object, we show that there is a unique maximal triangulated subcategory, in which the object is spherical. This general result is then applied to algebraic geometry.

CONTENTS

1. Preliminaries	2
2. Twist functors	6
3. Spherical subcategories	9
4. Examples from algebraic geometry	13
Appendix A. 0-spherelike objects	17

INTRODUCTION

Spherical objects were introduced by Seidel and Thomas [21] to construct autoequivalences of triangulated categories. By definition, the Serre functor shifts such an object (Calabi-Yau property) and its graded endomorphism algebra is two-dimensional. Under Kontsevich's Homological Mirror Symmetry conjecture [15], these autoequivalences are mirror dual to generalised Dehn twists about Lagrangian spheres. Some typical examples are structure sheaves of (-2) -curves on surfaces, and line bundles on Calabi-Yau varieties.

However, the Calabi-Yau property is in general not preserved under important fully faithful functors like pull-backs along blow-ups. This forces us to study *spherelike objects* (i.e. objects with two-dimensional graded endomorphism algebras) in general.

Moreover, the dimension of the graded endomorphism algebra may be viewed as a measure for complexity. Exceptional objects (i.e. objects with one-dimensional graded endomorphism algebras) have been extensively studied for several decades, see e.g. the seminal work of the Rudakov seminar [20]. Our work may be regarded as a first step towards a general theory for spherelike objects which come next in terms of complexity.

The following general theorem is our main result. It shows that given a spherelike object in a triangulated category, there exists a unique maximal triangulated subcategory in which the object becomes spherical.

Theorem (Theorems 3.4 & 3.6). *Let \mathcal{D} be a Hom-finite \mathbf{k} -linear triangulated category, and let $F \in \mathcal{D}$ be a d -spherelike object possessing a Serre dual. Then there is a triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D}_F \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that $F \in \mathcal{D}_F$ is a d -spherical object, and if $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}$ is a triangulated subcategory with $F \in \mathcal{U}$ d -spherical, then $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}_F$. We call \mathcal{D}_F the spherical subcategory of F .*

We want to remark that the spherical subcategory reflects important information of the ambient category, see e.g. the proposition and example below. Keller, Yang and Zhou [14] study the subcategory generated by a spherelike object and show that it does not depend on the ambient category — in particular, it does not contain any geometric information. Their category is the minimal subcategory such that the object becomes spherical.

Applications of our theory to algebraic geometry are given in the final Section 4. Here we mention Proposition 4.5 and Example 4.8.

Proposition. *Let X be a Calabi-Yau variety and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be any succession of blow-ups in points. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is a spherelike sheaf, and its spherical subcategory is $\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$.*

It is well-known that the derived category of a blow-up has an explicit description as a semi-orthogonal decomposition; see [12, §11.2]. However, our result says that the spherical subcategory of the structure sheaf recovers the derived category of the original variety in one fell swoop. One thus might consider going from a category to a spherical subcategory as a kind of derived birational transformation.

Example. Let Y be a ruled surface over an elliptic curve. Then the structure sheaf is spherelike and the spherical subcategory $\mathcal{D}^b(Y)_{\mathcal{O}_Y}$ depends in an explicit manner on the choice of $Y = \mathbb{P}(V)$ where V is a rank two bundle on the elliptic curve; see Example 4.8 for details.

Conventions. All subcategories are assumed to be full. The shift (or translation, or suspension) functor of triangulated categories is denoted by $[1]$. All triangles in triangulated categories are meant to be distinguished. Also, we will generally denote triangles abusively by $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$, hiding the degree increasing morphism $C \rightarrow A[1]$.

All functors between triangulated categories are meant to be exact. Derived functors are denoted with the same symbol as the (non-exact) functors between abelian categories. For example, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a proper map of varieties, $f_*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(Y)$ denotes the derived push-forward functor.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we collect some terminology and basic facts. All of this is standard apart from the notion of a piecewise invertible functor. Most of the material can be found in [12] unless otherwise stated. Readers exclusively interested in spherical subcategories can fast forward to Section 3.

Fix an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} . We write $(\cdot)^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(\cdot, \mathbf{k})$ for dualisation over the ground field. By $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B)$ we denote the complex $\bigoplus_i \text{Hom}(A, B[i])[-i]$ of \mathbf{k} -vector spaces with the zero differential. A \mathbf{k} -linear triangulated category \mathcal{D} is called *Hom-finite* if $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Hom}(A, B) < \infty$ for all objects A, B . It is called *Hom $^\bullet$ -finite* if $\dim_{\mathbf{k}} \text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B) < \infty$ for all objects A, B ([18, Definition 3.12] calls this *proper*). The category \mathcal{D} is called *idempotent complete* if any projector splits, i.e. for $p \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$ with $p^2 = p$ there is $A \cong A_1 \oplus A_2$ such that p is $A \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A$. It is well-known that Hom-finite and idempotent complete categories are *Krull-Schmidt*, i.e. every object has direct sum decomposition into indecomposable

objects, unique up to order and isomorphism. For the convenience of the reader, we give the short argument below.

Lemma 1.1. *Let \mathcal{D} be a \mathbf{k} -linear Hom-finite, idempotent complete additive category. Then \mathcal{D} is Krull-Schmidt.*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$. We denote by $\text{add}(A)$ the smallest additive subcategory of \mathcal{D} containing A ; it is obtained by taking finite direct sums of direct summands of A . Put $\text{proj End}(A)$ for the category of finitely generated projective right modules over the ring $\text{End}(A)$. The functor $\text{Hom}(A, \cdot): \text{add}(A) \rightarrow \text{proj End}(A)$ is an equivalence of additive categories since \mathcal{D} is idempotent complete.

Moreover, $\text{End}(A)$ is in fact a finite-dimensional \mathbf{k} -algebra. The Krull-Schmidt property holds for the category of finitely generated modules over an artinian ring (see [2, Section II.2]), hence particularly holds for $\text{proj End}(A)$, and then also for $\text{add}(A)$. Therefore A decomposes essentially uniquely into a direct sum of indecomposable objects. \square

Serre duality. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$ be an object. We say that A has a Serre dual if the cohomological functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(A, \cdot)^*$ is representable. If this is the case, the representing object is unique and will be denoted by $\mathbf{S}(A)$. By definition, we then get isomorphisms $\sigma_B: \text{Hom}(B, \mathbf{S}(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(A, B)^*$, functorial in $B \in \mathcal{D}$. Note that there is a canonical map $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, A)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ and we claim that Serre duality implies that the pairing

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B) \otimes \text{Hom}^\bullet(B, \mathbf{S}(A)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(A, \mathbf{S}(A)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}^\bullet(A, A)^* \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$$

is non-degenerate for all $B \in \mathcal{D}$. This claim follows from $\sigma_A(gf)(\text{id}_A) = \sigma_B(g)(f)$ for all $f: A \rightarrow B$ and $g: B \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(A)$. These relations are formal consequences of the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(A, \mathbf{S}(A)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_A} & \text{Hom}(A, A)^* \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Hom}(B, \mathbf{S}(A)) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_B} & \text{Hom}(A, B)^* \end{array}$$

expressing functoriality of the σ maps (the vertical maps are induced by f).

We say that the category \mathcal{D} has a right Serre functor if every object A has a Serre dual $\mathbf{S}(A)$ and write $\mathbf{S}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for the induced functor. Here we follow [19, §I], where it is shown that a right Serre functor is always fully faithful but in general not essentially surjective.

We say that \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor if it has a right Serre functor which is an equivalence. We will denote Serre functors by \mathbf{S} , unless $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$ is needed for clarity. Serre functors are unique up to unique isomorphism, hence commute with equivalences. To be precise, if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are triangulated categories with Serre functors $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$, and if $\varphi: \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence, then $\varphi \mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{C}} \varphi^{-1}$ is a Serre functor for \mathcal{D} , hence has to be isomorphic to $\mathbf{S}_{\mathcal{D}}$.

An object $A \in \mathcal{D}$ is called a d -Calabi-Yau object, for an integer d , if $A[d]$ is a Serre dual for A , so there are isomorphisms $\text{Hom}^\bullet(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(B, A[d])^*$, natural in $B \in \mathcal{D}$.

The category \mathcal{D} is called a d -Calabi-Yau category, if the shift $[d]$ is a Serre functor. We remark that a triangulated category might be d -Calabi-Yau for

several numbers d at once if a multiple of the shift functor is the identity. Also, it is not enough to demand that all objects are d -Calabi-Yau; see [8, Example 9(1)] for a specific instance.

Spanning and generation. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be a subset (or subclass) of objects. The left and right orthogonal subcategories of Ω are the subcategories

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^\perp &= \{A \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\omega, A) = 0 \ \forall \omega \in \Omega\}, \\ {}^\perp\Omega &= \{A \in \mathcal{D} \mid \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(A, \omega) = 0 \ \forall \omega \in \Omega\}.\end{aligned}$$

Both of these are triangulated. We say that Ω *spans* \mathcal{D} (or is a *spanning class*) if $\Omega^\perp = 0$ and ${}^\perp\Omega = 0$.

On the other hand, we say that Ω *generates* \mathcal{D} if the smallest triangulated subcategory containing Ω is \mathcal{D} . We denote by $\langle \Omega \rangle$ the smallest triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under direct summands which contains Ω ; this is sometimes denoted by $\mathrm{thick}(\Omega)$. We say that Ω *split generates* \mathcal{D} if $\langle \Omega \rangle = \mathcal{D}$. A generating class is always spanning, but in general not vice versa.

Semi-orthogonal decompositions. Essentially, the concepts here can be found in [6], except the notion of weak semi-orthogonal decompositions which seems to be defined for the first time in [17]. A triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called *left (or right) admissible* if the inclusion admits a left (or right) adjoint. To rephrase, \mathcal{N} is right admissible if for any $A \in \mathcal{D}$ there is a unique functorial triangle $A_{\mathcal{N}} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_\perp$ with $A_{\mathcal{N}} \in \mathcal{N}$ and $A_\perp \in \mathcal{N}^\perp$. In fact, $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, $A \mapsto A_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^\perp$, $A \mapsto A_\perp$ are triangle functors by [5, Proposition 1.3.3]. We call \mathcal{N} *admissible* if \mathcal{N} is left and right admissible.

Actually, a pair $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ of triangulated subcategories of \mathcal{D} , such that \mathcal{N} is right admissible and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}^\perp$, is called a *weak semi-orthogonal decomposition* of \mathcal{D} . Note that \mathcal{M} is automatically left admissible. If both \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are additionally admissible then we call the pair a *semi-orthogonal decomposition*. In both cases, we write $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \rangle$. For readers more familiar with t-structures, we mention that a weak semi-orthogonal decomposition $\langle \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \rangle$ is the same thing as a t-structure $(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$ for which both subcategories \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are triangulated.

The definition can be extended inductively: a sequence $(\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_k)$ is a (weak) semi-orthogonal decomposition if $\langle \langle \mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_{k-1} \rangle, \mathcal{N}_k \rangle$ is.

A special case are exceptional sequences. An object $E \in \mathcal{D}$ is *exceptional* if $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, E) = \mathbf{k}$. A sequence of objects (E_1, \dots, E_k) is called *exceptional* if all E_i are exceptional and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_j, E_i) = 0$ for $j > i$. Subcategories generated by exceptional sequence are admissible. In particular, if the exceptional sequence is *full*, i.e. generates the whole category, then $\mathcal{D} = \langle E_1, \dots, E_k \rangle$ is a semi-orthogonal decomposition; by common abuse of notation we write E_i to mean the triangulated category generated by the exceptional object E_i .

Adjoints. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact functor between triangulated categories. If φ has a right adjoint, it will be denoted by $\varphi^r: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. It is a simple fact that φ^r is again exact; [12, Proposition 1.41]. The same holds for a left adjoint φ^l . The next lemma collects two well known and simple properties of adjoints:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\varphi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact functor between triangulated categories with a right adjoint φ^r . Assume that \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor $S_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

- (1) *If φ is fully faithful, i.e. \mathcal{C} right admissible in \mathcal{D} , then $S_{\mathcal{C}} = \varphi^r S_{\mathcal{D}} \varphi$ is a right Serre functor for \mathcal{C} .*
- (2) *If \mathcal{C} has a Serre functor, then there is a left adjoint $\varphi^l = S_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} \varphi^r S_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. (2) is straightforward. For (1), compute for any objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, S_{\mathcal{C}} B) &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, \varphi^r S_{\mathcal{D}} \varphi B) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varphi A, S_{\mathcal{D}} \varphi B) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\varphi B, \varphi A)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)^*. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Functor properties. We list some properties a functor might enjoy and which equivalences always have. All notions are standard apart from the last one.

Definition 1.3. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be an exact functor between \mathbf{k} -linear, triangulated categories. Then φ is said to be

fully faithful: if the maps $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(D_1, D_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}'}(\varphi(D_1), \varphi(D_2))$ induced by φ are isomorphisms for all $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{D}$.

conservative: if f is a morphism in \mathcal{D} such that $\varphi(f)$ an isomorphism, then f is an isomorphism itself.

essentially surjective: if for any object $D' \in \mathcal{D}'$, there is an object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi(D) \cong D'$.

an *equivalence*: (or *invertible*) if φ is fully faithful and essentially surjective.

piecewise invertible: if there are weak semi-orthogonal decompositions $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n \rangle$, $\mathcal{D}' = \langle \mathcal{D}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}'_n \rangle$ such that $\varphi(\mathcal{D}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{D}'_i$ and $\varphi|_{\mathcal{D}_i}$ induces an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'_i$.

Lemma 1.4. *A piecewise invertible functor $\varphi: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ is conservative.*

Proof. We choose weak semi-orthogonal decompositions $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}' = \langle \mathcal{D}'_1, \mathcal{D}'_2 \rangle$ such that φ induces equivalences of each component — more than two components can be dealt with by induction.

Given a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{D} such that $\varphi(f)$ is an isomorphism, we consider the triangle $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ where $C = \mathrm{Cone}(f)$. Through the triangle functors $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i$ associated with the semi-orthogonal decomposition, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_2 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & A_1 \\ \downarrow f_2 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f_1 \\ B_2 & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_2 & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C_1 \end{array}$$

with $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ and where the rows and columns are exact. Applying φ to the whole diagram, we find $\varphi(C) = 0$ since $\varphi(f)$ is an isomorphism by assumption. Thus $\varphi(C_1) \cong \varphi(C_2)[1]$ lives in $\mathcal{D}'_1 \cap \mathcal{D}'_2 = 0$, and we get $\varphi(C_1) = 0$, $\varphi(C_2) = 0$. As φ induces equivalences $\mathcal{D}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}'_2$, we deduce $C_1 = 0$ and $C_2 = 0$. Hence f_1 and f_2 are isomorphisms and then f is an isomorphism, as well. \square

Note that the composition of piecewise invertible functors is not necessarily piecewise invertible again, whereas the other four properties of the

definition are closed under composition. Let us recall a standard criterion for fully faithfulness:

Proposition 1.5 ([12, §1.3]). *Assume that φ has left and right adjoints and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be a spanning class. Then φ is fully faithful if and only if $\varphi|_{\Omega}$ is fully faithful.*

2. TWIST FUNCTORS

Let \mathcal{D} be a \mathbf{k} -linear, Hom-finite triangulated category and d an integer. For an object $F \in \mathcal{D}$ we consider the following two properties:

- (S^d) $\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(F, F) = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d]$, i.e. the only non-trivial derived endomorphism besides the identity is a d -extension $F \rightarrow F[d]$, up to scalars.
- (CY_d) F is a d -Calabi-Yau object, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(F, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^{\bullet}(A, F[d])^*$, functorially in $A \in \mathcal{D}$.

F is called *d -spherelike* if it satisfies (S^d). Is it called *d -spherical* if it satisfies both (S^d) and (CY_d). The number d may be dropped when it is clear from the context or not relevant. We say that F is *properly spherelike* if it is spherelike but not spherical.

Non-positive numbers d are allowed. Often, the case $d = 0$ needs special attention. For example, given a d -spherical object F with $d \neq 0$ it is obvious that the Serre dual of an isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}(F, F) \simeq \mathbf{k}$ is a non-trivial extension $F \rightarrow F[d]$ which can simplify arguments. Because most emphasis is on positive d , in the main text we will state general results (including $d = 0$) but will defer the proofs for $d = 0$ to the Appendix.

2.1. Algebraic triangulated categories and functorial cones. In order to define the twist functors in great generality, we have to make a rigidity assumption on our triangulated categories: they should be *enhanced* in the sense of Bondal and Kapranov [7] or *algebraic* in the sense of Keller [13]. (These notions are equivalent by [13, Theorem 3.8].)

More precisely, an *enhancement* of a \mathbf{k} -linear triangulated category \mathcal{D} is a pretriangulated differential graded (dg) category \mathcal{A} together with an equivalence $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathcal{D}$ of triangulated categories. We refer to [7, §3], [13, §4.5] or [22, §4.4] for the notion of a pretriangulated dg category.

This assumption implies that cones of morphisms are functorial in the following sense: given two dg-categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} with \mathcal{B} pretriangulated, then the category $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of dg-functors $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is itself a pretriangulated dg-category — hence has cones (loc. cit. in [7, 13, 22]). The catchphrase about ‘functorial cones in $\mathcal{D} \cong H^0(\mathcal{A})$ ’ then means this: given a natural transformation $\eta: \varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2$ of exact endofunctors $\varphi_i: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that there are dg-functors $\tilde{\varphi}_i: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and a dg-functor morphism $\tilde{\eta}: \tilde{\varphi}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\varphi}_2$ with $H^0(\tilde{\varphi}_i) = \varphi_i$, and $H^0(\tilde{\eta}) = \eta$, then the cone exists on the dg level, $\tilde{\gamma} := \mathrm{Cone}(\tilde{\eta}) \in \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ and induces triangles $\varphi_1(D) \rightarrow \varphi_2(D) \rightarrow \gamma(D)$ for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, where $\gamma := H^0(\tilde{\gamma})$. These triangles are functorial in D because their dg-lifts in $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ are. See [22, §5.1] for details.

All triangulated categories occurring in this article and its representation-theoretic counterpart [11] are of this type: bounded derived categories of abelian categories with enough injectives have an enhancement by [7, §3]. In fact, by [16] enhancements also exist for the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ of

coherent sheaves on a smooth projective scheme, even though there are no injectives and are, moreover, unique.

2.2. General twist functors and adjoints. Assuming that \mathcal{D} is Hom^\bullet -finite, we will associate to an object $F \in \mathcal{D}$ a *twist functor* $\mathbb{T}_F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. We begin by considering the exact functor $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot): \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{k})$; it is well-defined because \mathcal{D} is Hom^\bullet -finite. Next, we get an induced exact functor $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, together with a natural transformation $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes F \rightarrow \text{id}$ coming from the evaluation $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, A) \otimes F \rightarrow A$. The twist functor is then defined by the following exact triangle of functors

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes F \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_F.$$

This assumes that \mathcal{D} is algebraic, so that cones descend from the dg level and hence are functorial: this is obvious for the identity functor and easy for the Hom functor; see [7, Proposition 3]. This construction is well known; see [21] and the much more general [1]. For a definition of twist functors using Fourier-Mukai kernels, see [12].

We mention in passing that the functor $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ — and hence the twist functors — can exist in greater generality; it suffices that all direct sums $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, A) \otimes F$ exist for $A \in \mathcal{D}$. Our assumption that \mathcal{D} is Hom^\bullet -finite just ensures this sum is finite.

Throughout the rest of the article, whenever twist functors are mentioned we presume that \mathcal{D} is algebraic and Hom^\bullet -finite.

We are going to describe the adjoints of \mathbb{T}_F . The left adjoint exists in full generality; the right adjoint needs a Serre dual $\mathbf{S}(F)$ of F . For any object $G \in \mathcal{D}$, the endofunctor $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes G$ has adjoints

$$\text{Hom}^\bullet(\cdot, G)^* \otimes F \dashv \text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes G \dashv \text{Hom}^\bullet(G, \cdot) \otimes \mathbf{S}(F)$$

It is immediate that a triangle of functors $\varphi \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \eta$ leads to a triangle $\eta^l \rightarrow \psi^l \rightarrow \varphi^l$ of their left adjoints; likewise for the right adjoints. For the twist functor \mathbb{T}_F under consideration, we get

$$\mathbb{T}_F^l \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(\cdot, F)^* \otimes F \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}_F^r \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes \mathbf{S}(F).$$

We will prove in Lemma 2.1 that for a spherical twist the left and right adjoints coincide and give the inverse. For a properly spherelike object F , the adjoints are necessarily distinct.

2.3. Special cases of twist functors. The twist functors are most interesting when the derived endomorphism algebras are small:

Zero object. Clearly, $\mathbb{T}_F = \text{id}$ for $F = 0$. From now on, assume F non-zero.

Exceptional objects. An exceptional object F , i.e. $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) = \mathbf{k}$, is one with the smallest derived endomorphism ring. Each such object yields two semi-orthogonal decompositions $\langle F^\perp, F \rangle$ and $\langle F, {}^\perp F \rangle$ of \mathcal{D} . Furthermore, the twist functor \mathbb{T}_F is a right adjoint of the inclusion $F^\perp \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$; the shifted functor $\mathbb{T}_F[-1]$ is just the left mutation along F , as in [20, Section 7.2.2]. An exceptional object is typically not studied for its own sake. Rather, one is looking for a full exceptional sequence — a ‘basis’ for the category — or tries to strip off an exceptional object or sequence, by considering the orthogonal complement. See [20] for many geometric examples of this approach.

Spherelike objects. If $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)$ is two-dimensional, then by definition F is spherelike. This is the next simplest case after exceptional objects, in terms of complexity. Spherical objects can be characterised as the simplest type of Calabi-Yau objects.

A spherical object F is interesting on its own, since the associated twist functor \mathbb{T}_F is an autoequivalence of the category [21]. Collections of spherical objects provide interesting subgroups of autoequivalences; a topic related to (generalised) braid group actions and also first taken up in [21].

2.4. Spherelike twist functors. In this article, we will deal exclusively with spherelike objects and show that their twist functors still have some interesting properties even though they are fully faithful only if the object is already spherical. Remarkably, an abstract spherelike object becomes spherical in a naturally defined subcategory. We start by giving a number of basic properties of twist functors, somewhat more careful than in [12] or [21], as we are not only interested in autoequivalences.

In the following lemma, we write $\mathrm{Hom}_\circ^\bullet(F, F)$ for the complex of *traceless derived endomorphisms* of an object F ; it is defined as the cone of the natural map $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathrm{id}_F \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $F \neq 0$ be an object of \mathcal{D} having a Serre dual.*

- (1) $\mathbb{T}_F|_{F^\perp} = \mathrm{id}$ and $\mathbb{T}_F|_{\langle F \rangle} = [1] \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_\circ^\bullet(F, F)$.
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathbb{T}_F(F), \mathbb{T}_F(F))$ if and only if F is spherelike.
- (3) If \mathbb{T}_F is fully faithful, then \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence.
- (4) If F is spherical, then \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence.
- (5) If \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence and \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor and is Krull-Schmidt, then F is spherical.

Proof. (1) This follows at once from the defining triangle for twist functors.

(2) The condition means that \mathbb{T}_F is fully faithful on the singleton $\{F\}$. The proof for the “if”-part can be found in [12, Proposition 8.6], which carries over verbatim, since no Serre duality is needed here. For the converse, note that $\mathrm{Hom}_\circ^\bullet(F, F)$ has to be one-dimensional, so F is spherelike.

(3) We show now that $\mathbb{T}_F^l(D) \cong 0$ implies $D \cong 0$. Then we can apply [12, Lemma 1.50] to deduce that \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence. Assuming $\mathbb{T}_F^l(D) \cong 0$, the triangle defining \mathbb{T}_F^l boils down to $D \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(D, F)^* \otimes F$. Applying \mathbb{T}_F^l to this isomorphism, we find $0 \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(D, F)^* \otimes \mathbb{T}_F^l(F)$. Since $\mathbb{T}_F^l(F) = F[d-1]$, we get $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(D, F)^* \cong 0$ and hence $D \cong \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(D, F)^* \otimes F \cong 0$.

(4) Assume that F is spherical. We start by showing that $\Omega := \{F\} \cup F^\perp$ is a spanning class for \mathcal{D} : The property $\Omega^\perp = 0$ follows immediately from the construction of Ω . The other vanishing ${}^\perp\Omega = 0$ uses the Serre dual of F and that F is a Calabi-Yau object.

We claim that the maps $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(A, A') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathbb{T}_F(A), \mathbb{T}_F(A'))$ induced by \mathbb{T}_F are isomorphisms for all $A, A' \in \Omega$. This is true for $A = A' = F$ by (2). It holds for $A, A' \in F^\perp$ as $\mathbb{T}_F|_{F^\perp}$ is the identity. Both Hom spaces vanish if $A = F$ and $A' \in F^\perp$. Finally, we also have vanishing in the remaining case $A \in F^\perp$ and $A' = F$ — this is the place where we need the Calabi-Yau property of F in order to invoke Serre duality: $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(A, F) = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, A[-d])^* = 0$. Thus \mathbb{T}_F is fully faithful on the spanning class Ω and then fully faithful altogether by Proposition 1.5. Using (3) we are done.

(5) Now assume that F is an object such that \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence. We start with the observation that for any fully faithful functor φ , there is a natural transformation $\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{S}\varphi\mathbb{S}^{-1}$. If φ is an equivalence, the transformation is a functor isomorphism.

We look at the triangle defining the twist and at its Serre conjugate:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot) \otimes F & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{id} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{T}_F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \mathbb{S}^{-1}(\cdot)) \otimes \mathbb{S}F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}\mathbb{S}^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{S}\mathbb{T}_F\mathbb{S}^{-1} \end{array}$$

The two right-hand vertical maps define the one on the left. As id and \mathbb{T}_F are equivalences, these functor maps are actually isomorphisms, hence the left-hand map is as well. Plugging in $\mathbb{S}F$, we get

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \mathbb{S}F) \otimes F \simeq \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \otimes \mathbb{S}F.$$

Since we already know from (2) that F is d -spherelike for some d , we thus get $F \oplus F[d] \cong \mathbb{S}F \oplus \mathbb{S}F[-d]$. For $d \neq 0$, we note that F is indecomposable from $\mathrm{Hom}(F, F) = \mathbf{k}$. Hence $F \cong \mathbb{S}F[-d]$, as \mathcal{D} is Krull-Schmidt. For $d = 0$, we refer to the Appendix. \square

So far, we have solely considered \mathbb{T}_F as an endofunctor of \mathcal{D} . In the following, we will also take into account subcategories of \mathcal{D} inheriting the twist functor. The subsequent lemma shows a dichotomy for such subcategories. Note that F will be spherelike in any subcategory containing it. However, F might become spherical in a suitable subcategory and in fact, in the next section we will look for the maximal subcategory containing F on which \mathbb{T}_F becomes an equivalence.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated subcategory which is closed under taking direct summands. The twist functor \mathbb{T}_F induces an endofunctor of \mathcal{U} , i.e. $\mathbb{T}_F(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ if and only if either $F \in \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathcal{U} \subseteq F^\perp$.*

Proof. Only one direction is not obvious. So assume $\mathbb{T}_F(\mathcal{U}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ and pick $U \in \mathcal{U}$. As the last two objects of the triangle $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, U) \otimes F \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_F(U)$ are in \mathcal{U} , we find $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, U) \otimes F \in \mathcal{U}$ as well. Since \mathcal{U} is closed under summands, this boils down to $F \in \mathcal{U}$ or $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, U) = 0$. We are done, since the existence of a single $U \in \mathcal{U}$ with $U \notin F^\perp$ forces $F \in \mathcal{U}$ by the same reasoning. \square

3. SPHERICAL SUBCATEGORIES

In this section, we are going to associate a canonical subcategory \mathcal{D}_F to a spherelike object F where the latter becomes spherical. Therefore we call \mathcal{D}_F the *spherical subcategory* of F . Before that we need a ‘measure’ for the asphericity of F . Recall that F is d -spherelike if $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \cong \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d]$.

3.1. The asphericity triangle. To a d -spherelike object $F \in \mathcal{D}$ with Serre dual, we will associate a canonical triangle, the *asphericity triangle*

$$F \xrightarrow{w} \omega(F) \rightarrow Q_F$$

whose last term Q_F is called the *asphericity* Q_F of F . It measures how far F is from being spherical. If the object F is clear from the context, we will often write Q in place of Q_F .

We begin by putting $\omega(F) := \mathcal{S}(F)[-d]$. The notation is borrowed from algebraic geometry; see Section 4. Then we find

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \omega(F)) = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \mathcal{S}(F)[-d]) = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)^*[-d] = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d].$$

So for $d \neq 0$, there is a non-zero map $w: F \rightarrow \omega(F)$, unique up to scalars. For $d = 0$, the construction is slightly more elaborate and we give it in the Appendix.

Lemma 3.1. *For a d -spherelike F holds $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, Q) = 0$, i.e. $F \in {}^\perp Q$.*

Proof. We apply $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \cdot)$ to the triangle $F \xrightarrow{w} \omega(F) \rightarrow Q$ and obtain

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \xrightarrow{w_*} \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \omega(F)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, Q)$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \omega(F))$ are isomorphic to $\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d]$. Obviously $w_*(\mathrm{id}) = w$. For $d \neq 0$, denote non-zero d -extensions $\varepsilon: F[-d] \rightarrow F$ and $\eta: F[-d] \rightarrow \omega(F)$. We look at the pairing

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F[-d], F) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}(F, \omega(F)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F[-d], \omega(F)), \quad \varepsilon \otimes w \mapsto w \circ \varepsilon$$

which is non-degenerate by Serre duality. Now all three Hom-spaces are one-dimensional, so that $w \circ \varepsilon$ is a non-zero multiple of η . Hence w_* is an isomorphism and thus $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, Q) = 0$, as desired.

For the case $d = 0$ we refer to the Appendix. \square

Remark 3.2. For F not spherical, i.e. $Q \neq 0$, the marked morphism in the shifted triangle $\omega(F) \rightarrow Q \xrightarrow{*} F[1]$ is non-zero; thus Q is not left orthogonal to F , i.e. $Q \in F^\perp \setminus {}^\perp F$. In particular for $d \neq 1$, the asphericity always spoils fully faithfulness of \mathbb{T}_F , in view of $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(Q, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathbb{T}_F(Q), \mathbb{T}_F(F)) = \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(Q, F)[1-d]$ with $\mathrm{Hom}^1(Q, F) \neq 0$.

Remark 3.3. Given a $2d$ -spherelike object $F \in \mathcal{D}$ with its twist functor $\mathbb{T}_F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, the associated endomorphism $t_F := \mathbb{T}_F^K: K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{D})$ of the K -group of \mathcal{D} is an involution with the property $t_F([F]) = -[F]$. This follows immediately from $t_F(x) = x - \chi(F, x)[F]$ for all $x \in K(\mathcal{D})$ and unravelling $t_F^2(x)$, using $\chi(F, F) = 2$ as F is $2d$ -spherelike.

Thus spherelike objects might stand behind K -group involutions of geometric interest, as they allow lifts to endofunctors, even if not autoequivalences in general. Note that if \mathcal{D} is a $2d$ -Calabi-Yau category, then the involution t_F is actually the reflection along the root $[F] \in K(\mathcal{D})$.

Furthermore, these involutions satisfy the braid relations. More precisely, let $E, F \in \mathcal{D}$ be spherelike with $\chi(E, E) = \chi(F, F) = 2$ and $\chi(E, F) = \chi(F, E) =: s$. Another direct computation shows that $t_E t_F = t_F t_E$ if $s = 0$, and $t_E t_F t_E = t_F t_E t_F$ if $s = \pm 1$.

3.2. The spherical subcategory \mathcal{D}_F . We define the *spherical subcategory* \mathcal{D}_F and the *asphericity subcategory* \mathcal{Q}_F of F as the triangulated subcategories of \mathcal{D}

$$\mathcal{D}_F := {}^\perp Q_F, \quad \mathcal{Q}_F := \mathcal{D}_F^\perp = ({}^\perp Q_F)^\perp.$$

Regarding the asphericity subcategory, we have the inclusion $\langle Q_F \rangle \subset \mathcal{Q}_F$. If Q_F is an exceptional object, then the two categories coincide. This will occur in examples considered below, but we will also encounter cases where the inclusion is strict. By Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{D}_F contains F and, in particular, the twist functor $\mathbb{T}_F: \mathcal{D}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_F$ is well-defined (assuming it exists).

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{D} be a \mathbf{k} -linear, Hom-finite triangulated category. If F is a d -spherelike object of \mathcal{D} with Serre dual, then F is d -Calabi-Yau and hence d -spherical in \mathcal{D}_F .*

Proof. We want to show that $\mathrm{Hom}(A, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F, A[d])^*$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}_F$. For $A \in \mathcal{D}_F = {}^\perp Q$, we apply $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \cdot)$ to the triangle $F \rightarrow \omega(F) \rightarrow Q$, and get $\mathrm{Hom}(A, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(A, \omega(F)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(F, A[d])^*$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let $F \in \mathcal{D}$ be as above and assume that \mathcal{D} is Hom^\bullet -finite and algebraic. Then \mathbb{T}_F induces autoequivalences of \mathcal{Q}_F and \mathcal{D}_F .*

If moreover \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor, then the left adjoint \mathbb{T}_F^l induces autoequivalences of \mathcal{D}_F and of $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_F)$, and the right adjoint \mathbb{T}_F^r induces autoequivalences of \mathcal{Q}_F and of $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_F)$.

Proof. By the assumptions on \mathcal{D} , the twist functor $\mathbb{T}_F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is well-defined. Moreover, \mathbb{T}_F induces endofunctors of \mathcal{D}_F and \mathcal{Q}_F by Lemma 2.2. The restriction $\mathbb{T}_F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_F$ is an autoequivalence by the theorem and Lemma 2.1(4). Finally note that $\mathbb{T}_F|_{\mathcal{Q}_F} = \mathrm{id}$ which follows from $\mathcal{Q}_F = \mathcal{D}_F^\perp$ and $F \in \mathcal{D}_F$. So \mathbb{T}_F quite trivially is an autoequivalence of \mathcal{Q}_F .

It is straightforward to show that \mathbb{T}_F^l is fully faithful on \mathcal{D}_F : again, we test the functor on the spanning class Ω , and we obtain $\mathbb{T}_F^l(F) = F[d-1]$ and $\mathbb{T}_F^l|_{{}^\perp F \cap \mathcal{D}_F} = \mathrm{id}$. Next, \mathbb{T}_F^l is essentially surjective on \mathcal{D}_F , since \mathbb{T}_F is an equivalence there. (For this, the existence of a Serre dual of F suffices.) The statement about the right adjoint follows from $\mathbb{T}_F^r = \mathcal{S}\mathbb{T}_F^l\mathcal{S}^{-1}$. \square

Now we prove that \mathcal{D}_F is indeed the maximal subcategory containing F as a spherical object:

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a full, triangulated subcategory and $F \in \mathcal{U}$ a d -spherical object. If F has a Serre dual in \mathcal{D} , then $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}_F$.*

Proof. The statement is an extension of Lemma 3.1: here we want to show $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(U, Q) = 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$. This is equivalent to $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{D}_F = {}^\perp Q_F$.

The proof will use cohomological functors, i.e. contravariant functors from \mathbf{k} -linear triangulated categories to \mathbf{k} -vector spaces, mapping triangles to long exact sequences. For $D \in \mathcal{D}$, we put $h_D := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot, D): \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}$, and $h_D|_{\mathcal{U}} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot, D): \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\text{-mod}$ for the induced functor on \mathcal{U} .

Using the Serre duals of F in \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{U} , there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]} &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\cdot, \mathcal{S}F[-d]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F[-d], \cdot)^*, \\ h_F|_{\mathcal{U}} &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\cdot, F) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(F[-d], \cdot)^*, \end{aligned}$$

where the second line uses $F \in \mathcal{U}$ and that \mathcal{U} is a full subcategory. Hence we obtain an isomorphism of cohomological functors $g: h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}} \xrightarrow{\sim} h_F|_{\mathcal{U}}$. In general, $h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is not representable as a functor on \mathcal{U} . However, $h_F|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is representable due to $F \in \mathcal{U}$, allowing to invoke the Yoneda lemma:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fun}}(h_F|_{\mathcal{U}}, h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}}) = h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}}(F) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, \mathcal{S}F[-d]).$$

The morphism $w: F \rightarrow \omega(F) = \mathcal{S}F[-d]$ induces a natural transformation $w_*: h_F \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}$. Our aim is to show that $w_*|_{\mathcal{U}}: h_F|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a functor isomorphism — assuming this is true, the induced triangles $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(U, F) \xrightarrow{w_*} \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(U, \mathcal{S}F[-d]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(U, Q_F)$ then immediately enforce $\mathrm{Hom}(U, Q_F) = 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Now, to show that $w_*|_{\mathcal{U}}: h_F|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{S}F[-d]}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a functor isomorphism, we can equivalently check $g \circ w_*|_{\mathcal{U}}: h_F|_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow h_F|_{\mathcal{U}}$. By the Yoneda lemma, latter is an isomorphism if and only if the corresponding map in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(F, F)$ is, which is given by $(g \circ w_*|_{\mathcal{U}})(F)(\text{id}_F)$. Unraveling this and using that g is an isomorphism, we are left to show this for $w_*: \text{Hom}(F, F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, \omega(F))$, which is true by Lemma 3.1. \square

3.3. Assumption $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}^\perp, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ with $F \in \mathcal{C}$ spherical. Under some abstract assumptions, quite a bit can be said about the spherical subcategory. Here, we consider:

- (\dagger) \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor and $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is the inclusion of a full subcategory having a right adjoint π such that $F \in \mathcal{C}$ is d -spherical.

We recall the simple fact that the right adjoint to an inclusion is a left inverse, i.e. $\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{C}$ is the identity; see [12, Remark 1.24].

Whenever (\dagger) holds, the following theorem allows to compute the spherical subcategory without recourse to the asphericity. This will be used in many of the examples. However, there are also interesting examples not of this type.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $F \in \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ such that (\dagger) holds. Then F is d -spherelike as an object of \mathcal{D} , and there is a weak semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D}_F = \langle \mathcal{C}^\perp \cap {}^\perp F, \mathcal{C} \rangle$.*

Proof. It is immediate that ιF is d -spherelike in \mathcal{D} . In this proof, we write $\iota: \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for the inclusion. We get $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}_F = {}^\perp Q_F$ from Theorem 3.6.

For $A \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$, we have $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\iota A, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}} \iota F[-d]) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F[-d], A)^* = 0$, as $F \in \mathcal{C}$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\iota A, \cdot)$ to the asphericity triangle of ιF then shows $\mathcal{C}^\perp \cap {}^\perp Q_{\iota F} = \mathcal{C}^\perp \cap {}^\perp \iota F$.

Tying the weak semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{C}^\perp, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ in with the equality of intersections just shown, we see that $\mathcal{D}_{\iota F} = {}^\perp Q_{\iota F} = \langle \mathcal{C}^\perp \cap {}^\perp \iota F, \mathcal{C} \rangle$ is a weak semi-orthogonal decomposition as well. This is the formula of the theorem, where by abuse of notation we have identified F with ιF as an object of \mathcal{D} . \square

Remark 3.8. Let $F = E \oplus E'$ be the 0-spherelike object obtained from two mutually orthogonal, exceptional objects E and E' . Then $F \in \mathcal{C} := \langle E, E' \rangle$ and the inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ has right adjoint $\pi := \mathbb{T}_E \oplus \mathbb{T}_{E'}$. Since $\pi \iota = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, we can apply the proposition and get $\mathcal{D}_F = \langle {}^\perp F \cap F^\perp, F \rangle$.

Obviously, $\mathcal{D}_F \supset \langle {}^\perp F \cap F^\perp, F \rangle$ holds for all spherelike objects F . However, the inclusion is strict in general. A simple example is given by the 1-spherical skyscraper sheaf $\mathbf{k}(p)$ for a point p on a smooth curve C . Then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{k}(p)} = \mathcal{D}^b(C)$ as $\mathbf{k}(p)$ is spherical, but $\langle {}^\perp \mathbf{k}(p) \cap \mathbf{k}(p)^\perp, \mathbf{k}(p) \rangle$ only contains objects with zero-dimensional support; see Example 4.7.

3.4. Assumption $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{D}_F \rangle$. We consider this condition:

- (\ddagger) \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor and $\mathcal{D}_F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is right admissible.

As a direct consequence, we get a weak semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{D}_F \rangle$. Furthermore, (\ddagger) gives semi-orthogonal decompositions $\langle \mathcal{D}_F, \mathcal{S}^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_F) \rangle$ and $\langle \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{D}_F), \mathcal{Q}_F \rangle$ of \mathcal{D} ; therefore Lemma 1.4, Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5 immediately imply

Proposition 3.9. *Assume (\ddagger) and that \mathcal{D} is Hom^\bullet -finite and algebraic. Let $F \in \mathcal{D}$ be a d -spherelike object. Then the twist functor \mathbb{T}_F and its adjoints are piecewise invertible and in particular conservative.*

4. EXAMPLES FROM ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY

We will always work with smooth, projective varieties over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} and the triangulated category under investigation will be the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves. As is well known, classical Serre duality shows that $\mathbb{S}(A) := A \otimes \omega_X[\dim(X)]$ is the Serre functor of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. Note that d -Calabi-Yau objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ must have $d = \dim(X)$. This is why among d -spherelike objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ those with $d = \dim(X)$ are particularly interesting and we have $\omega(A) = \mathbb{S}(A)[-d] = \omega_X \otimes A$ for such an object A , justifying the notation.

4.1. Spherelike vector bundles. Let V be a d -spherelike locally free sheaf on a variety of dimension n . Assuming that \mathbf{k} has characteristic 0, the endomorphism bundle splits $V^\vee \otimes V \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus W$ where W is locally free and self dual, i.e. $W^\vee \cong W$. We get

$$\mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d] = \text{Hom}^\bullet(V, V) \cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X, V^\vee \otimes V) \cong H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X) \oplus H^\bullet(W).$$

Since $H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{k}$ in any case, there are two possibilities:

- Either $H^\bullet(W) = \mathbf{k}[-d]$ and $H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{k}$, i.e. \mathcal{O}_X is exceptional,
- or $H^\bullet(W) = 0$ and $H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{k} \oplus \mathbf{k}[-d]$, i.e. \mathcal{O}_X is d -spherelike.

Let us restrict to dimension 2. We are therefore interested in surfaces with exceptional or spherelike structure sheaf. Below, we compile a list of those, assuming $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$. Recall that the irregularity $q := \dim H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and the geometric genus $p_g := \dim H^2(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are birational invariants, as is the Kodaira dimension κ . See e.g. [4] for these notions and the following list.

	κ	minimal model (or example)
\mathcal{O}_X exceptional ($q = p_g = 0$)	$-\infty$	rational surfaces
	0	Enriques surfaces
	1	(e.g. Dolgachev surfaces)
	2	(e.g. Barlow, Burniat, Campedelli, Catanese, Godeaux surfaces)
\mathcal{O}_X 1-spherelike ($q = 1, p_g = 0$)	$-\infty$	ruled surfaces of genus 1
	0	bielliptic surfaces
\mathcal{O}_X 2-spherelike ($q = 0, p_g = 1$)	0	K3 surfaces

Wherever we write ‘e.g.’ only examples are known and a full classification is not available; those surfaces need not be minimal. We treat structure sheaves of ruled surfaces over elliptic curves in Example 4.8, and 2-spherelike structure sheaves in Proposition 4.5

4.2. Blowing ups. Let X be a variety of dimension $d \geq 2$ and $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ the blow-up of X in a point p . Denote the exceptional divisor by R ; we know $R \cong \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_R(R) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-1)$. Recall that the derived pullback functor $\pi^*: \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})$ is fully faithful and that the canonical bundle of the blow-up is given by $\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^*\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R)$ where for notational purposes we set $d' := d - 1$ in this section.

The derived category of $\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})$ has a semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_R(-d'), \dots, \mathcal{O}_R(-1), \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(X) \rangle,$$

where we note that $\mathcal{O}_R(-d'), \dots, \mathcal{O}_R(-1)$ is an exceptional sequence. Let $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ be a spherical object and $F := \pi^*S \in \mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})$ the d -spherelike pull-back. Then assumption (\dagger) holds, so that Theorem 3.7 applies.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ be spherical and $F = \pi^*S \in \mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})$ its sphere-like pull-back. Then F has asphericity $Q_F = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R)$. Furthermore, F is spherical if and only if $p \notin \text{supp}(S)$.*

Proof. First, assume $p \notin \text{supp}(S)$. Then, $F \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}} \cong F$, since $\text{supp}(F) \cap R = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R)$ is trivial off R .

Now we turn to the asphericity Q . We can assume $p \in \text{supp}(S)$ — otherwise, $Q = 0$, in compliance with the claimed formula. Now observe $F \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^*S \otimes \pi^*\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R) = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R)$, using the formula for $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ and the Calabi-Yau property $S \otimes \omega_X = S$. Tensoring the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R) \rightarrow 0$ with F gives the triangle

$$F \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R) \rightarrow F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R)$$

where we recall that the tensor product of the last term is derived. Note that the first map must be non-zero — otherwise $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R)$ would be a direct sum $F[1] \oplus F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R)$, contradicting that $F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R)$ is supported on R but the support of $F = \pi^*S$ is strictly bigger than R : spherical objects are not supported on points if $d \geq 2$. As $\text{Hom}(F, \omega(F)) = \text{Hom}(F, F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d'R))$ is one-dimensional, the above triangle is henceforth isomorphic to the triangle defining the asphericity, $F \rightarrow \omega(F) \rightarrow Q_F$.

Finally, we show that F spherical implies $p \notin \text{supp}(S)$. Thus we have $0 = Q_F = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}(d'R)$ and then $0 = F \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R} = \pi^*S \otimes \mathcal{O}_{d'R}$. Applying π_* and the projection formula, we get $0 = S \otimes \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{d'R}$. Now $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_{d'R} = V^\bullet \otimes \mathbf{k}(p)$ for some graded vector space V^\bullet . The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{d'R}$ has global sections, hence $V^0 \neq 0$ and we deduce $S \otimes \mathbf{k}(p) = 0$, so that $p \notin \text{supp}(S)$. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowing up of a smooth projective variety of dimension d in a point p . If $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is a spherical object with $p \in \text{supp}(S)$, then for $F := \pi^*S$ assumption (\ddagger) holds true and, moreover there is a refinement of semi-orthogonal decompositions*

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_R(-(d-1)), \dots, \mathcal{O}_R(-1), \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(X) \rangle \prec \langle \mathcal{Q}_F, \mathcal{D}_F \rangle$$

with $\mathcal{D}_F = \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_F = \langle \mathcal{O}_R(-(d-1)), \dots, \mathcal{O}_R(-1) \rangle$.

Proof. Again we put $d' = d - 1$ for the sake of readability. As another temporary notation, put $\mathcal{E} := \langle \mathcal{O}_R(-d'), \dots, \mathcal{O}_R(-1) \rangle$, so that $\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X}) = \langle \mathcal{E}, \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(X) \rangle$. From Theorem 3.7, we know $\mathcal{D}_F = \langle \mathcal{E} \cap {}^\perp F, \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(X) \rangle$. Our

goal is to prove $\mathcal{E} \cap {}^\perp F = 0$. Since \mathcal{E} is generated by an exceptional sequence, we are reduced to showing $\mathcal{O}_R(-i) \notin {}^\perp F$ for $i = 1, \dots, d'$.

Fix such an i and proceed

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_R(-i), F) &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, \mathcal{O}_R(-i) \otimes \omega_{\tilde{X}}[d])^* \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\pi^* S, \mathcal{O}_R(-i) \otimes \pi^* \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d' R))^*[-d] \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\pi^*(S \otimes \omega_X^{-1}), \mathcal{O}_R(-i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(d' R))^*[-d] \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\pi^* S, \mathcal{O}_R(-i - d'))^*[-d] \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_R(-i - d'))^*[-d] \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, \mathbf{k}(p) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_R(-i - d')))^*[-d],
 \end{aligned}$$

using Serre duality, the formula for $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$, the Calabi-Yau property of S , the relation $\mathcal{O}_R(R) = \mathcal{O}_R(-1)$ and adjunction $\pi^* \dashv \pi_*$. About the equality used in the closing step, $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_R(-i - d') = \mathbf{k}(p) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_R(-i - d'))$: the two maps $R \hookrightarrow \tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ and $R \rightarrow \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$ coincide, and so give a commutativity relation of direct image functors. The cohomology is non-zero due to $H^{d'}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d'}}(-i - d')) \neq 0$, for $i > 0$.

With S supported on p , i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, \mathbf{k}(p)) \neq 0$, we finally assemble these pieces into the desired non-orthogonality $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_R(-i), F) \neq 0$. \square

The proposition can be extended inductively.

Corollary 4.3. Let $\tilde{X} = X_l \xrightarrow{\pi_l} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_1} X_0 = X$ be a sequence of blowups in points of a smooth projective variety of dimension d . If $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ is spherical and the blowups happen in the support of (the pullback of) S , then $\mathcal{D}_F^b = \pi^* \mathcal{D}^b(X)$ where π is the concatenation of the blowups and $F = \pi^* S$. Moreover, (\ddagger) holds in this situation.

Proof. Let π_i be the blow-up of the point p_i with exceptional divisor E_i , and write $\pi_{l,k} := \pi_l \circ \dots \circ \pi_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$. There is the semi-orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X}) = \langle \mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-d'), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-1), \pi_l^*(\mathcal{E}), \pi^* \mathcal{D}^b(X) \rangle$$

for a category \mathcal{E} generated by certain $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-k)$ with $1 \leq i < l$. Applying Theorem 3.7, we have to check that $\langle \mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-d'), \dots, \mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-1), \pi_l^*(\mathcal{E}) \rangle \cap {}^\perp F$ is zero. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\pi_l^*(\mathcal{E}), F)$ does not vanish by induction. So it remains to show that $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-k), F)$ is non-zero for $1 \leq k \leq d'$. Since $\omega_{\tilde{X}} = \pi^* \omega_X \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^l \pi_{l,i+1}^* \mathcal{O}(d' E_i)$ where $\pi_{l,l+1} := \mathrm{id}$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-k), F) &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-k - d')))^*[-d] \\
 &= \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(S, \mathbf{k}(p) \otimes H^\bullet(\mathcal{O}_{E_l}(-k - d')))^*[-d] \neq 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

using analogous arguments as in the proof above. Additionally, we have used that $E_l \cdot \pi_{l,i+1}^*(E_i) = 0$ for $i < l$ and moreover, $(\pi_{l-1,1})_* \mathbf{k}(p_l) = \mathbf{k}(p)$ for some point p in the support of S . Therefore, we are done. \square

Remark 4.4. The assumption that the centers of the blow-ups have to be within the support of (the pullback of) S is not a strong restriction. In fact, blow-ups outside of S can be performed independently. So without loss of generality, we can perform such blow-ups at first, under which the pullback of S stays spherical by Lemma 4.1.

Consequently, if a spherelike object is a pullback of a spherical one, then we can recover the derived category of the variety where it is spherical.

We single out a special case of the corollary which already appeared in the introduction. By definition, X is a Calabi-Yau variety if its structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is spherical. Note that the proposition applies to any smooth, projective surface with $q = 0$ and $p_g = 1$ — its minimal model is a K3-surface.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a Calabi-Yau variety and $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be any succession of blow-ups in points. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is a spherelike sheaf, and its spherical subcategory is $\mathcal{D}^b(\tilde{X})_{\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}} = \mathcal{D}^b(X)$.*

Example 4.6. Let X be a surface containing a -2 -curve C , i.e. a smooth, rational curve C with $C^2 = -2$. Then $S = \mathcal{O}_C$ is a spherical object in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$; see [12, Example 8.10(iii)]. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X in a point on C . Then $\pi^*S = \mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(C)}$ is a 2-spherelike object.

The total transform $\pi^{-1}(C) = \tilde{C} + R$ is a reducible curve, having as components the strict transform \tilde{C} of C and the exceptional divisor R . We remark that $\tilde{C} + R$ has self-intersection -2 , as follows from $\tilde{C}^2 = -3$ and $R^2 = -1$. Let us abusively write C instead of \tilde{C} for the strict transform.

We explicitly compute the asphericity Q of the properly 2-spherelike object $F = \mathcal{O}_{C+R}$. By Lemma 4.1, it is given by the (derived) tensor product $Q = \mathcal{O}_{C+R} \otimes \mathcal{O}_R(R)$. Resolving \mathcal{O}_{C+R} by $i: \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-C - R) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \mathcal{O}_{C+R} \otimes \mathcal{O}_R(R) = \mathcal{O}_R(R) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-C - R) \otimes \mathcal{O}_R(R)[1] \\ &= \mathcal{O}_R(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_R(-C)|_R[1] = \mathcal{O}_R(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_R(-1)[1], \end{aligned}$$

where we used $i|_R = 0$, giving the direct sum, and $C.R = 1$. We conclude $\langle Q \rangle = \mathcal{Q}_F$ — note that would be wrong without split closure on $\langle Q \rangle$.

4.3. Ruled surfaces. For a different kind of example consider a ruled surface $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ where C is a smooth, projective curve of arbitrary genus. There is a section which we denote by $C_0 \subset X$. It is a classical fact about ruled surfaces that the direct image $V := \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(C_0)$ is a vector bundle of rank 2 on C (in particular, all higher direct images vanish) with the property $X = \mathbb{P}(V)$; see [10, V.2] or [9, §5].

Since ruled surfaces are special cases of projective bundles, we again get a semi-orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{D}^b(X) = \langle \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0), \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(C) \rangle$, see [12, Corollary 8.36]. Here, $\mathcal{O}_X(C_0)$ is the relatively ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}_\pi(1)$. This is another situation in which Theorem 3.7 applies.

Given a spherical object $S \in \mathcal{D}^b(C)$, its pullback $F := \pi^*S$ is 1-spherelike in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. We know $\mathcal{D}_F = \langle (\pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0)) \cap {}^\perp F, \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(C) \rangle$ from the theorem. In order to determine the left-hand intersection, take an object $B := \pi^*A \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0)$ with $A \in \mathcal{D}^b(C)$ and carry on with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_X^\bullet(B, F) &= \mathrm{Hom}_X^\bullet(\pi^*A \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0), \pi^*S) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_C^\bullet(A, S \otimes \pi_*(\mathcal{O}_X(C_0))) = \mathrm{Hom}_C^\bullet(A, S \otimes V). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude $\mathcal{D}_F = \langle \pi^*({}^\perp(S \otimes V)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0), \pi^*\mathcal{D}^b(C) \rangle$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$ has no non-trivial semi-orthogonal decompositions unless $C = \mathbb{P}^1$, and then assumption (\ddagger) cannot be met.

Example 4.7. The skyscraper sheaf $S := \mathbf{k}(p)$ is spherical in $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$ for any point $p \in C$. Then $F = \mathcal{O}_P$ where $P := \pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is the structure sheaf of the fibre over p . Here, $S \otimes V = \mathbf{k}(p)^2$ regardless of the actual surface. We claim that ${}^\perp \mathbf{k}(p) = \mathcal{D}_U^b(C)$, the subcategory of objects of $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$ supported on the open set $U := C \setminus \{p\}$. (This claim follows from standard facts: as C is a smooth curve, every object of $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$ is isomorphic to its cohomology complex; every sheaf is a direct sum of its torsion sheaf and the torsion-free quotient, the latter always mapping to any skyscraper sheaf.) Altogether

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_P} = \langle \pi^* \mathcal{D}_U^b(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0), \pi^* \mathcal{D}^b(C) \rangle.$$

We point out that $\pi^* \mathcal{D}_U^b(C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0)$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^{-1}(c)}(-1)$ for all $c \in U$, i.e. the (-1) -twisted structure sheaves of all fibres except $\pi^{-1}(p)$.

As to the asphericity: $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(-2C_0) \otimes \pi^* L$ for some line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$. Hence $F \otimes \omega_X \cong \pi^*(\mathbf{k}(p) \otimes L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-2C_0) = \mathcal{O}_X(-2C_0)|_P = \mathcal{O}_P(-2)$. The triangle defining Q therefore is $\mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(-2)[1] \rightarrow Q$ so that $Q \cong \mathcal{O}_P(-1)^2[1]$ — this is just the Euler sequence for $P \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

Hence, assumption (\dagger) is not fulfilled: $\mathcal{Q}_F = \langle \pi^* \mathbf{k}(p) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0) \rangle$ and \mathcal{D}_F do not generate $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ because $\langle \mathbf{k}(p) \rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}_U^b(C)$ do not generate $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$.

This example shows that $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$ can contain infinitely many pairwise incomparable spherical subcategories. See [11, §2] for a further study of this and related questions.

Example 4.8. Now consider the special case of a ruled surface of genus 1, i.e. C is an elliptic curve. Then the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_C is 1-spherical in $\mathcal{D}^b(C)$, hence its pull-back $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_C = \mathcal{O}_X$ is 1-spherelike in $\mathcal{D}^b(X)$. By the above general computation, the spherical subcategory is

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}_X} = \langle \pi^*({}^\perp V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-C_0), \pi^* \mathcal{D}^b(C) \rangle.$$

However, the orthogonal category ${}^\perp V \subset \mathcal{D}^b(C)$ depends on the geometry, i.e. the choice of V . It is well known that for ruled surfaces over elliptic curves, only three possibilities for V can occur, up to line bundle twists which don't affect $\mathbb{P}(V)$; see [9, §5] or [10, Theorem V.2.15]:

- $V = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus L$ with $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$ of non-negative degree;
- V is a non-trivial extension of \mathcal{O}_C by \mathcal{O}_C ;
- V is a non-trivial extension of $\mathcal{O}_C(p)$ by \mathcal{O}_C for a point $p \in C$.

For example, if $V = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus L$ with $L \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$, then ${}^\perp V$ contains all line bundles of degree 0 different from \mathcal{O}_C and L . In particular, the complement is smaller for $V = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus L$ with $L \neq \mathcal{O}_C$ than for $V = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C$.

APPENDIX A. 0-SPHERELIKE OBJECTS

Let \mathcal{D} be a category as in Section 2 and let $F \in \mathcal{D}$ be a d -spherelike object. Ignoring the grading, the endomorphism algebra $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \cong \mathbf{k}^2$ as a \mathbf{k} -vector space. As an ungraded \mathbf{k} -algebra, only two cases can occur, since \mathbf{k} is algebraically closed. We call F

- *nilpotent* if $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) = \mathbf{k}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^2$ where $\varepsilon: F \rightarrow F[d]$ is unique up to scalars;
- *disconnected* if $\text{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) = \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}$, so that $\text{id}_F = p_1 + p_2$ for two orthogonal idempotents which are unique up to order.

To see that these are all cases, let $\{1, b\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)$ and consider the surjection $\mathbf{k}[x] \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F)$, $x \mapsto b$. Its kernel is generated by a polynomial of degree 2 having either just one or two distinct roots, which separates both cases. We note that the second case can only occur for $d = 0$. We want to mention that given two exceptional, mutually orthogonal objects E and E' , their direct sum $E \oplus E'$ is a disconnected 0-spherelike object. If \mathcal{D} is idempotent complete (for example, if it is a derived category [3, Corollary 2.10]), then every disconnected spherelike object is of the form above.

Proof of Lemma 2.1, (5): If F is nilpotent then F is indecomposable, so the original argument applies. On the other hand, if F is disconnected, i.e. $\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, F) \cong \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k}$ and consequently $d = 0$, then $F \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$ for two non-zero objects E_1 and E_2 . With F 0-spherelike, both objects E_1 and E_2 have to be exceptional and mutually orthogonal. In particular, they are indecomposable, so $F \oplus F \cong SF \oplus SF$ implies $F \cong SF$, using that \mathcal{D} is Krull-Schmidt. Hence, the claim is established.

Construction of $w: F \rightarrow \omega(F) = S(F)$ and proof of Lemma 3.1: First, we will treat the case of F being nilpotent, so $\mathrm{End}(F)$ has the basis $(\mathrm{id}, \varepsilon)$ as a \mathbf{k} -vector space with $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Let $(\mathrm{id}^\vee, \varepsilon^\vee)$ be the dual basis of $\mathrm{End}(F)^*$. There is a natural structure of $\mathrm{End}(F)^*$ as a right $\mathrm{End}(F)$ -module, given by $\varepsilon^\vee \cdot \varepsilon = \mathrm{id}^\vee$ and $\mathrm{id}^\vee \cdot \varepsilon = 0$. Since $\sigma: \mathrm{Hom}(F, SF) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}(F)^*$ is functorial, σ is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{End}(F)$ -modules. Hence, there is a basis (ι, ϕ) of $\mathrm{Hom}(F, SF)$ with $\iota \circ \varepsilon = 0$ and $\phi \circ \varepsilon = \iota$. We can choose $w = a\iota + b\phi$ with a, b arbitrary, as long as $b \neq 0$.

Using the basis of $\mathrm{End}(F)$ we see that $w_*: \mathrm{End}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F, SF)$ is an isomorphism, which proves Lemma 3.1 in this case.

Next, we turn to the case that $F = E_1 \oplus E_2$ is disconnected, so E_i are mutually orthogonal exceptional objects. By Serre duality we get that

$$\mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E_i, SE_j) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{k} \cdot s_i & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Therefore any map $F \rightarrow SF$ is of the form $a_1s_1 + a_2s_2$. Now choose $w = a_1s_1 + a_2s_2$ with $a_i \neq 0$.

Again, $w_*: \mathrm{End}(F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F, SF)$ turns out to be an isomorphism, so also in the disconnected case, Lemma 3.1 is shown. We note that if one would choose $a_i = 0$, then E_i is a direct summand of Q_F .

Finally, we want to remark, that in both cases, different choices of w yield isomorphic Q_F . We show in the nilpotent case, that the asphericity Q_F of $w = a\iota + b\phi$ is isomorphic to Q'_F of $w' = \phi$; the disconnected case is similar. For the corresponding aspherical triangles, we get the following map of triangles, where the right map is the isomorphism between the asphericities:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \xrightarrow{w'} & SF & \longrightarrow & Q'_F \\ \frac{1}{b} \mathrm{id} - \frac{a}{b^2} \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow \\ F & \xrightarrow{w} & SF & \longrightarrow & Q_F \end{array}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Martin Kalck is grateful for the support by the DFG grant Bu-1886/2-1. The authors would like to thank Greg Stevenson, Michael Wemyss as well as an anonymous referee for valuable comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Anno, T. Logvinenko, *Spherical DG-functors*, [arXiv:1309.5035](#) .
- [2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S.O. Smalø, *Representation Theory of Artin Algebras*, Cambridge University Press (1995).
- [3] P. Balmer, M. Schlichting, *Idempotent completion of triangulated categories*, *J. Algebra* 236 (2001), 819–834.
- [4] W. Barth, K. Hulek, C. Peters, A. van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces* (second edition), Springer-Verlag (2004).
- [5] A. Bernstein, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque 100 (1982).
- [6] A.I. Bondal, M.M. Kapranov, *Representable functors, Serre functors, and mutations*, *Math. USSR, Izv.* 35 (1990), 519–541.
- [7] A.I. Bondal, M.M. Kapranov, *Enhanced triangulated categories*, *Math. USSR Sbornik* 70 (1991), 93–107.
- [8] A. Dugas, *Resolutions of mesh algebras: periodicity and Calabi-Yau dimensions*, *Math. Z.* 271 (2012), 1151–1184, also [arXiv:1003.4960](#) .
- [9] R. Friedman, *Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles*, Springer-Verlag (1998).
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag (1977).
- [11] A. Hochenegger, M. Kalck, D. Ploog, *Spherical subcategories in representation theory*, to appear.
- [12] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier-Mukai Transforms in Algebraic Geometry*, Oxford University Press (2006).
- [13] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories*, *Proc. ICM, Vol. II (Madrid, 2006)*, Eur. Math. Soc. (2006), 151–190, also [arXiv:math/0601185](#) .
- [14] B. Keller, D. Yang, G. Zhou, *The Hall algebra of a spherical object*, *J. London Math. Soc.* 80 (2009), 771–784, also [arXiv:0810.5546](#) .
- [15] M. Kontsevich, *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, *Proc. ICM, Vol. I (Zürich, 1994)*, Birkhäuser (1995), 120–139.
- [16] V.A. Lunts, D.O. Orlov, *Uniqueness of enhancement for triangulated categories*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), 853–908, also [arXiv:0908.4187](#) .
- [17] D.O. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities*, in: Y. Tschinkel (ed.) et al., *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry. In honor of Y.I. Manin on the occasion of his 70th birthday. Vol. II. Progress in Mathematics* 270, Birkhäuser (2009), 503–531, also [arXiv:math/0503632](#) .
- [18] D.O. Orlov, *Smooth and proper noncommutative schemes and gluing of DG categories*, [arXiv:1402.7364](#) .
- [19] I. Reiten, M. Van den Bergh, *Noetherian hereditary categories satisfying Serre duality*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), 295–366, also [arXiv:math/9911242](#) .
- [20] A.N. Rudakov et al, *Helices and Vector Bundles*, Cambridge University Press (1990).
- [21] P. Seidel, R. Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, *Duke Math. J.* 108 (2001), 37–108, also [arXiv:math/0001043](#) .
- [22] B. Toën, *Lectures on DG-categories*, [ens.math.univ-montp2.fr/~toen/swisk.pdf](#).

Contact: ahochene@math.uni-koeln.de, m.kalck@ed.ac.uk, david.ploog@uni-due.de