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The energy required to detach a single particle from a fluid-fluid interface is an important parameter for designing certain soft materials, for example, emulsions stabilised by colloidal particles, colloidosomes designed for targeted drug delivery, and bio-sensors composed of magnetic particles adsorbed at interfaces. For a fixed particle volume, prolate and oblate spheroids attach more strongly to interfaces because they have larger particle-interface areas. Calculating the detachment energy of spheroids necessitates the difficult measurement of particle-volume interface tensions, in contrast with spheres, where the contact angle suffices. We develop a simplified detachment energy model for spheroids which depends only on the particle aspect ratio and the particle volume, prolate and oblate spheroids attach more strongly to interfaces because they have larger particle-volume interface tensions, in contrast with spheres, where the contact angle suffices. We develop a simplified detachment energy model for spheroids which depends only on the particle aspect ratio and the particle volume, prolate and oblate spheroids attach more strongly to interfaces because they have larger particle-volume interface tensions, in contrast with spheres, where the contact angle suffices. We develop a simplified detachment energy model for spheroids which depends only on the particle aspect ratio and the particle volume.

I. INTRODUCTION

The detachment energy of a single particle from a fluid-fluid interface plays a vital role in our understanding of particle-stabilised emulsions and, for example, flotation processes, whereby particles selectively attach to bubbles depending on their contact angle, isolating the desired mineral. Previous detachment energy studies focussing on free energy differences between an equilibrated particle at an interface (buoyancy, gravity and surface-tension forces interact to determine a particle’s equilibrium position at an interface) and in the bulk revealed a crucial dependence on particle shape: prolate and oblate spheroidal particles attach to interfaces more strongly because they reduce the interface area more than spherical particles for a given particle volume.

For a particle already adsorbed at an interface to detach itself, the particle must deform the interface and overcome the interface’s resistive force: there is a free-energy barrier and an associated activation energy. These energy contributions are difficult to investigate theoretically. Scheludko et al. and Rapacchietta et al. developed analytical expressions describing the interface deformation for small Bond numbers (ratio of gravity forces to surface-tension forces). O’Brien showed that for small Bond numbers the interface’s resistive force is linearly proportional to the particle displacement, similar to the Hooke’s law model of de Gennes et al. Experimentally, Pitois et al. measured the detachment energy of spherical particles from liquid-gas interfaces by integrating force-displacement curves, a technique we use in this paper, while others were able to obtain the detachment force but not the detachment energy. However, none of these studies extended their treatment to the case of anisotropic spheroidal particles, which this paper focuses on.

\[ \gamma = \oint \gamma_{	ext{dA}} \]
II. THERMODYNAMIC MODELS OF PARTICLES ADSORBED AT FLUID-FLUID INTERFACES

A. Spherical Particles

The ratio of gravity forces to surface tension forces for a particle adsorbed at an interface is called the Bond number

$$\text{Bo} = \frac{(\rho_p - \rho_f)g d^2}{\gamma}$$

where $\rho_p$ and $\rho_f$ are the particle and fluid densities respectively, $d$ is the characteristic particle size and $g$ is acceleration due to gravity. For particles of micron size, $\text{Bo} \ll 1$ and surface-tension forces dominate. In this case, the surface free energy of a particle at an interface (Fig. 1) is given by

$$E = \gamma_{12}A_{12} + \gamma_{p1}A_{p1} + \gamma_{p2}A_{p2}$$

where $A_{ij}$ is the area of the $i,j$ interface and $\gamma_{ij}$ is the surface-energy of the $i,j$ interface where $i,j = \{1: \text{fluid 1, 2: fluid 2, p: particle}\}$. We neglect line-tension since it is relevant only for nano-sized particles. The surface area of the particle is $A_p = A_{p1} + A_{p2}$. The free energy of a system in which the particle is fully immersed in either fluid 1 or fluid 2 is given by $E_i = \gamma_{12}A_{12} + \gamma_{pi}A_{pi}$ where $i = 1, 2$.

Taking the free energy difference between a spherical particle at an interface (Fig. 1) and a spherical particle immersed in the bulk fluid yields the detachment energy:

$$E = \pi R^2 \gamma_{12}(1 - |\cos \theta|)^2.$$  

For neutrally wetting micron-sized particles at an interface with surface-tension $\gamma_{12} = 50 \text{ mN m}^{-1}$, the detachment energy is much larger than the thermal energy, $E/k_B T \sim 10^7$, and particles irreversibly attach to the interface. For nano-sized particles at the same interface with very large or small contact angles, $E \sim k_B T$, and particles may freely adsorb at and desorb from the interface, similar to soluble surfactant molecules.

B. Oblate and Prolate Spheroids

Faraudo and Bresme developed an explicit analytic expression for the detachment energy of spheroidal particles from a planar fluid-fluid interface based on free energy differences. Compared with spherical particles, the detachment energy additionally depends on the orientation of the particle at the interface and the particle aspect ratio, $\alpha = R_\parallel/R_\perp$, where $R_\parallel$ and $R_\perp$ are the radii parallel and orthogonal to the particle’s symmetry axis, respectively. Particles with $\alpha > 1$ are prolate and particles with $\alpha < 1$ are oblate (Fig. 2). The model of Faraudo and Bresme assumes a flat three-phase contact line. For neutrally wetting spheroidal particles in their equilibrium configuration, the equations are exact; for non-neutrally wetting prolate spheroids and for non-equilibrium orientations the configuration of the particle at the interface is not symmetric (Fig. 2) and the particle deforms the interface and three-phase contact line.

![Equilibrium state of a spherical particle at a fluid-fluid interface.](image)

FIG. 1: Equilibrium state of a spherical particle at a fluid-fluid interface. The contact angle, $\theta = \cos^{-1}(h/R)$, where $h$ is the height of the particle centre of mass above the interface and $R$ the particle radius, is defined with respect to Fluid 1. The force, $F$, on the particle acts to detach it into the wetting (positive force) or non-wetting (negative force) fluid.

In this paper, we simulate the detachment of spherical and spheroidal particles from a liquid-liquid interface using a Shan-Chen multicomponent lattice Boltzmann (LB) model. LB simulations can play an important role in understanding the fundamental interactions between particles and interfaces and elucidate the behaviour of macroscopic systems such as Pickering emulsions, bijels and capillary suspensions. In the Shan-Chen multicomponent LB model that we utilise in this paper, surface-tension emerges from the fundamental mesoscopic interactions of particle distribution functions that the algorithm describes. No assumptions are made about the dynamics of the contact line during detachment.

We develop a simple thermodynamic model for the detachment energy of spheroidal particles from fluid-fluid interfaces as a function of contact angle and aspect ratio only, and highlight the implications of our simplifications.

This paper is organised as follows. Section II describes previous thermodynamic models for the detachment energy of spherical and spheroidal particles, Section III details our simulation methods. The main results are presented in Section IV and Section V concludes the article.
according to Laplace’s equation. This deformation is the cause of long-ranged quadrupolar capillary interactions between prolate spheroidal particles adsorbed at fluid-fluid interfaces. The equilibrium orientation of oblate and prolate spheroidal particles is with their symmetry axes parallel and perpendicular to the interface normal, respectively. The orientation-dependent detachment energies are

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta E^\perp &= \frac{\alpha}{4G} (1 - \tilde{h}^2) - \frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} \tilde{A}_{2p}^\perp (\tilde{h}), \\
\Delta E^\parallel &= \frac{1}{4G} (1 - \tilde{h}^2) - \frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} \tilde{A}_{2p}^\parallel (\tilde{h}),
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\tilde{h} = h/R_\perp\) and \(\tilde{h} = h/R_\parallel\) for prolate and oblate spheroidal particles in their equilibrium orientation, respectively, and \(G\) is a geometrical aspect factor:

\[
G = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}\log \frac{1 + \epsilon}{1 - \epsilon} & \text{if } \alpha \leq 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\sin^{-1} \epsilon & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1
\end{cases}
\]

where \(\epsilon = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\) and \(\epsilon = \sqrt{1 - \alpha^{-2}}\) is the eccentricity for oblate and prolate spheroids, respectively. \(\tilde{A}_{2p}^\perp (\tilde{h})\) and \(\tilde{A}_{2p}^\parallel (\tilde{h})\) represent the fraction of the particle immersed in fluid 2 and were incorrectly defined by Faraudo and Bresme, due to a typo. The correct equations are

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{A}_{2p}^\perp &= \frac{\alpha}{\pi G(\alpha)} \int_0^1 dx \sqrt{1 - (1 - \tilde{h}^2)(1 - \alpha^{-2}) x^2} \\
&\quad \times \sqrt{1 - \tilde{h}^2} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\tilde{h}} \sqrt{1 - \tilde{h}^2} (1 - x^2) \right], \\
\tilde{A}_{2p}^\parallel &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{4G} \tilde{h} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\alpha^2 h^2}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{4G\epsilon} \sinh^{-1} \left( \frac{\epsilon \tilde{h}}{\alpha} \right)}.
\end{align*}
\]

For a neutrally wetting particle, \(\tilde{h} = 0\) and Equations 4 and 5 become \(\Delta E^\perp = \frac{\alpha}{\pi \sigma}\) and \(\Delta E^\parallel = \frac{1}{2\sigma}\) respectively.

III. SIMULATION MODEL AND METHODS

We employ the lattice Boltzmann (LB) method on a D3Q19 lattice with the Shan-Chen multi-component model for the binary liquid part of the system. Suspended particles are implemented following the pioneering work of Ladd and Aidun. The LB method can be considered an alternative to traditional Navier-Stokes solvers for fluids and due to its local nature is well suited for implementation on supercomputers. While elaborate descriptions of the model implementation have been published previously, we revise some relevant details for the present work in the following.

In the LB algorithm, each fluid component \(c\) obeys the dynamical equation

\[
f_i^c(x + c_i \Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_i^c(x, t) + \Omega_i(x, t)\]

where \(i = 1, \ldots, 19\) so that \(f_i^c(x, t)\) represents the particle distribution function in direction \(c_i\) at lattice coordinate \(x\) and time \(t\). \(\Omega_i(x, t)\) is a generic collision operator: We use the Bhatnagar-Gross-Krook (BGK) operator,

\[
\Omega_i = -\frac{\Delta t}{\tau} (f_i - f_i^{eq})
\]

which has the effect of relaxing the system towards a local equilibrium distribution function \(f_i^{eq}\) on a time scale given by \(\tau\). The equilibrium distribution is a second order discretization of the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Apart from the common choice \(\Delta x = \Delta t = 1\), \(\tau\) the introduction of “lattice units”, we set \(\tau = 1\) which leads to a numerical kinematic viscosity \(\nu = \frac{1}{6}\) in lattice units.

The Shan-Chen model involves a mean-field interaction force between an arbitrary number of liquid components \(c\) at lattice site \(x\) and time step \(t\),

\[
F_{SC}^c(x, t) = -\Psi^c(x, t) \sum_{c'} g_{cc'} \sum_{x'} \Psi^{c'}(x', t)(x' - x),
\]

where \(\sum_{x'}\) runs over all lattice neighbours of site \(x\) and \(g_{cc'}\) is a coupling constant representing the interaction strength between the liquid components. In our case, we use two components and do not allow self-interactions.
The sign of $g_{cc'}$ determines whether the liquids exhibit attraction (positive sign as in our case) or repulsion (negative sign). $\Psi^c$ is a pseudo-potential of liquid component $c$ which plays the role of an "effective mass". It is a function of the density $\rho^c$ of component $c$ only:

$$\Psi^c(x, t) = \Psi(\rho^c(x, t)) = 1 - \exp(-\rho^c(x, t)).$$

Furthermore, we define a local order parameter $\phi(x, t) = \rho^1(x, t) - \rho^2(x, t)$ which we call the "colour" of the binary liquid. The interface between the liquids is defined as the surface with $\phi(x, t) = 0$.

It is possible to control the contact angle of the particle. All lattice sites in the outer shell of a particle are filled with a virtual binary liquid which itself is not governed by the LB equation but which participates in the computation of the Shan-Chen interaction forces. The densities of those virtual liquids $(vl)$ are

$$\rho_{vl}^{(1)} = \rho^{(1)} + \Delta \rho, \quad \rho_{vl}^{(2)} = \rho^{(2)} - \Delta \rho,$$

where $\rho^{(1)}$ and $\rho^{(2)}$ are suitable averages of the component densities on the surrounding liquid lattice sites. The parameter $\Delta \rho$ can be chosen to set the desired wettability behaviour of the particle surface. $\Delta \rho = 0$ recovers a neutrally wetting particle with a contact angle $\theta = 90^\circ$.

To perform numerical simulations of a colloidal particle detaching from a liquid-liquid interface, we initialise a system volume of size $128^3$ in lattice units which is half filled with liquid 1 and half liquid 2 of equal density, $(\rho^{(1)} = \rho^{(2)} = 0.7)$, such that an interface forms at $x = 64$, and a particle density greater than the density of the two fluids ($\rho_p = 2$), which is an arbitrary choice. The particle is placed at the interface and is not under the influence of any external forces such as gravity. The liquid-liquid interface is initialised linearly, spanning just a single lattice site. We first equilibrate the system until the interface diffuses and the particle establishes its equilibrium position, and hence its contact angle, on the interface. After equilibration the interface spans 5-6 lattice sites. This has to be taken into account when e.g. determining the radius of a droplet used in the calculation of the surface tension from the Young-Laplace law.

Then, we apply a constant external force to the particle. If the simulation volume is entirely periodic, the particle causes the interface to translate through the simulation domain, hence we place walls with simple bounce-back boundary conditions parallel to the interface and normal to the particle detachment direction at $x = 0$ and $x = 128$ (Fig. 1).

For a particle adsorbed at a fluid-fluid interface, the contact angle of the particle, $\theta$, quantifies its wettability by the different fluids, and is determined by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}}.$$  

For neutrally wetting particles, $\gamma_{1p} = \gamma_{2p}$ and the contact angle is $\theta = 90^\circ$. In our model, particles with contact angles $\theta < 90^\circ$ and $\theta > 90^\circ$ are preferentially wetted by liquid 1 and 2, respectively (Fig. 1). As stated above, we are able to vary the contact angle of the particles. We determine the contact angle by subtracting the height of the particle centre of mass above the interface (we linearly interpolate the interface position) and dividing by the particle radius, $\cos \theta = \frac{h}{R}$.

In the Shan-Chen multicomponent model, we control the surface-tension via the fluid-fluid coupling constant, $g_{pp}$, which determines the strength of the interaction between the two fluids. We therefore need to obtain a mapping from the coupling constant, $g_{pp}$, to the surface tension, $\gamma_{12}$. We use the data from Fig. 2 of Frijters et al. who determined this mapping using the Young-Laplace law.

To see whether a particle detaches for a given applied force, we inspect each simulation manually. To obtain the minimum detachment force, we employ a binary search algorithm: we start the algorithm by using the fact that the particle remains attached at the interface for a zero-force, $F_{att} = 0$, and guessing a force which detaches the particle, $F_{det}$. We then run a new simulation with a force, $F_{new} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{att} + F_{det})$ and repeat this procedure until we determine $F_{det}$ to the desired accuracy.

As a next step, we run a single simulation with the minimum detachment force, saving the simulation state frequently. We then run several simulations from the saved simulation snapshots (Fig. 3) but now with the particles fixed and measure the resistive force from the interface on the particle.
FIG. 4: The normalized resistive force for a spherical particle of radius $R = 10$ is approximately linear for small displacements as predicted by de Gennes et al.\textsuperscript{23,24} and O’Brien.\textsuperscript{22} The symbols are simulation data and the dashed lines represent fourth-order polynomial fits to that data. The fourth-order fits are integrated in order to obtain the detachment energy, $E$.

distance at which the resistive force exerted by the interface on the particle is zero. As discussed shortly, the resistive force decreases discontinuously to zero at the point of detachment.

An additional constraint for anisotropic particles is the choice of axis radii since there are an infinite number of axis radii for a given aspect ratio $\alpha = R_\parallel/R_\perp$. We vary the particle aspect ratio $\alpha$ while keeping the particle volume, rather than particle surface area, constant.\textsuperscript{40} We ensure the minimum axis radius is at least five lattice-sites so that the ratio of the particle diameter to interface thickness is at least 2:1, which has been shown to be sufficient for neutrally wetting spherical particles (Section IV A), and so that the contact angle is well defined.\textsuperscript{30}

IV. RESULTS

A. Detachment Energy of Spherical Particles

The restoring force provided by the interface to the particle as a function of displacement from equilibrium is shown in Fig. 1. The corresponding fourth-order polynomial fits are also shown. The slope of the curves for small displacements are contact angle invariant. This agrees with the theoretical calculations of Pitois et al.\textsuperscript{23} suggesting that the interface stiffness $k$ is a function of the Bond number only. This implies that only the distance at which the particle detaches, and hence the maximum force value, changes with the contact angle. However, our data show that there is a significant non-linear regime before the particle detaches, which has a large effect on the detachment energy.

Figure 5a shows the dependence of the detachment energy on the surface-tension for a neutrally wetting particle ($\theta = 90^\circ$). $\gamma_0 = 0.028158$ is the value of the smallest surface-tension we can obtain in our simulations, and $E_0 = \gamma_0 \pi R^2 = 8.846$ is a characteristic energy that corresponds to that surface-tension. We find $\Delta E \propto \gamma_{12}$ to be a straight-line with gradient $\pi R^2 (1 - |\cos \theta|)^2$ since $\Delta E \propto \gamma_{12}$, as expected. The values of the surface-tension $\gamma_{12}$ cover the entire accessible range of surface-tensions achievable in the Shan-Chen multicomponent model. We see that the simulation model and the analytical theory agree well for the entire surface-tension parameter range.

Figure 5b shows a similar plot, this time the variation of the detachment energy as a function of the particle radius.

FIG. 5: Variations in the detachment energy as either (a) surface-tension (b) or particle radius is varied. Symbols are data, solid lines are analytical predictions. Error bars are of the order of the symbol size.
radius with constant surface tension, \( \gamma_{12} = 0.0633 \), and contact angle, \( \theta = 90^\circ \). \( R_0 = 5 \) is the smallest particle radius that produces a well-defined contact angle\(^{[20]}\) and \( E_0 = \gamma \pi R_0^2 = 5.2104 \) is a characteristic energy that corresponds to that particle radius. We find the detachment energy to be a quadratic function of the radius, \( \Delta E \propto R^2 \), as expected from the theory. For a particle of radius \( R = 5 \), the interface thickness equals the particle radius, yet agreement between our numerical data and the analytical theory is still excellent, showing that the particle-interface scale separation does not need to be large, at least for neutrally wetting particles.

B. Detachment Energy of Spheroidal Particles

For spherical particles the contact angle and surface tensions are related according to

\[
\cos \theta = \frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} = \frac{\hbar}{R} := \bar{h},
\]

where \( \hbar \) is the height of the particle centre of mass above the interface and \( R \) the particle radius (Fig. 1). Since measuring the particle-fluid surface tensions experimentally is difficult, we hypothesise that \( \frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} \to \bar{h} \) is also a valid substitution for spheroidal particles. This substitution is complicated somewhat for spheroidal particles because there are two potential radii to choose, \( R_\perp \) or \( R_\parallel \). For oblate spheroids in their equilibrium configuration, we choose

\[
\frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} \to \frac{\hbar}{R_\parallel} := \bar{h},
\]

and for prolate spheroids in their equilibrium configuration, we take

\[
\frac{\gamma_{2p} - \gamma_{1p}}{\gamma_{12}} \to \frac{\hbar}{R_\perp} := \bar{h}.
\]

Eq. (4) and eq. (5) then become

\[
\Delta E_\perp = \frac{\alpha}{4G} (1 - \bar{h}^2) - \bar{h} A_2^\perp (\bar{h}), \tag{15}
\]

\[
\Delta E_\parallel = \frac{1}{4G} (1 - \bar{h}^2) - \bar{h} A_2^\parallel (\bar{h}). \tag{16}
\]

In Fig. 6 we plot the functions \( \bar{A}_2^\perp (\bar{h}) \) and \( \bar{A}_2^\parallel (\bar{h}) \) as defined in eq. (7) and eq. (8). We see that they are well approximated by the linear function

\[
A_2 = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \bar{h}). \tag{17}
\]

Incorporating these approximations into our already simplified model in eq. (15) and eq. (16) yields

\[
\Delta E_\perp = \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2G(\alpha)} \right) - \frac{\bar{h}}{2} + \frac{1}{4G(\alpha)}, \tag{18}
\]

\[
\Delta E_\parallel = \frac{\bar{h}^2}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2G(\alpha)} \right) - \frac{\bar{h}}{2} + \frac{1}{4G(\alpha)}. \tag{19}
\]

These approximate expressions are now simple quadratic functions of the dimensionless height \( \bar{h} \) and the aspect ratio \( \alpha \), and hence eq. (18) and eq. (19) represent our
simple thermodynamic model describing the detachment energies of prolate and oblate spheroids in their equilibrium positions from interfaces.

In Fig. 7 we investigate the interface force on anisotropic particles with contact angle $\theta = 68^\circ$ of various aspect ratios. Fig. 7 shows that the detachment distance depends weakly on the aspect ratio, but that the resistive force on the particle for a given displacement depends more strongly on the aspect ratio. The resistive interface force is linear until a critical distance whereupon it reaches its peak. Beyond the peak, the force decreases as the particle displacement increases before discontinuously falling to zero — this is the position where the particle detaches. The slope of the curves depends on the aspect ratio, which in the Hookean model suggests a spring constant which depends on the aspect ratio in addition to the Bond number. However, our data show that the detachment energy for oblate and prolate spheroidal particles is not as easily modelled using a Hookean approach as it is with spherical particles. The non-linear regime, which suggests the interface has been deformed beyond its elastic limits, has a large contribution to the detachment energy for non-neutrally wetting prolate and oblate spheroidal particles.

We normalise the data using a characteristic particle radius $R = \sqrt{R_\parallel R_\perp}$ for prolate and $R = R_\perp$ for oblate particles, which are proportional to the area removed from the interface by the particle. We remove outliers related to particle-pinning arising from the staircase approximation of the particles, for the benefit of visualising the data more easily. However, we include these outliers in our fitting function and hence they are taken into account in our numerically calculated detachment energies.

Comparing Fig. 4 and Fig. 7 we see that, for spherical particles of varying contact angle, the detachment energy changes simply because the interface is able to stay attached to the particle for longer, as the magnitude of the force is equal for small displacements (Fig. 4). In contrast, when the contact angle is constant but the aspect ratio is varied, the force is different for each aspect ratio but the particles detach at roughly the same distance for aspect ratios $0.66 \leq \alpha \leq 2.50$.

In Fig. 8 we compare the analytical results from Eq. (18) and Eq. (19) with our simulation data. The energy is normalised by the product of the surface-tension, $\gamma$, and the area of the particle, $A_p$. To calculate the theoretical comparison values, we substitute the relevant variables into our model in Eq. (18) and Eq. (19). The dimensionless height is calculated by using the height of the interface far away from the particle. We see good agreement between our thermodynamic model and our numerical simulations. For neutrally wetting particles, the measured detachment energies from simulations are larger than those predicted by the thermodynamic model; this is expected since the particle has to deform the interface to overcome its resistive force. The differences are of the order of $10\%$, suggesting that the thermodynamic model, which does not take into account interface deformations, is fairly accurate for the particle aspect ratios we investigated. Similarly, we find good agreement for $\theta = 68^\circ$ where the numerical data show a higher detachment energy than predicted by the thermodynamic model, as expected. For contact angles $\theta = 52^\circ$ and $\theta = 32^\circ$, we still find good qualitative agreement between thermodynamic theory and numerical simulations for both prolate and oblate spheroids. However, for oblate spheroids the numerical detachment energy is less than the analytical predictions, though within errors of the order of the symbol size.

The success of our thermodynamic model, in particular the validation of the mapping $2\pi a - 2\pi b \rightarrow \bar{h}$, has an important experimental ramification: for prolate spheroidal particles, one needs to measure only the height of the particle centre of mass above the interface to determine the contact angle. This should greatly ease contact angle measurements for non-neutrally wetting prolate spheroidal particles, which deform the three-phase contact line, and could be tested experimentally using e.g. a film-calliper method.

Further, a recent experiment on the physical ageing of the contact line on colloidal particles at fluid interfaces revealed surprising results, which have only recently been investigated theoretically. Particle adsorption involves a sudden breach of the interface followed by relaxation logarithmic in time, showing similarities with ageing in glassy systems. Experiments with oblate and prolate spheroidal particles in which the particle’s height above the interface, and hence contact angle, is measured as a function of time may provide insight into this contact line ageing phenomenon.
V. CONCLUSIONS

We developed a simple thermodynamic model for the detachment energy of prolate and oblate spheroidal particles from fluid-fluid interfaces, which depends on the particle aspect ratio, \( \alpha = R_p / R_d \), and the particle dimensionless height, \( h \), only. \( R_p \) and \( R_d \) are the particle radii parallel and perpendicular to the particle’s symmetry axis respectively, and \( h = h/R_p \) and \( h = h/R_d \) for oblate and prolate spheroidal particles in their equilibrium position at an interface, respectively. We tested our simple thermodynamic model by detaching spheroidal particles from liquid-liquid interfaces using a Shan-Chen multicomponent lattice Boltzmann model, finding good quantitative and qualitative agreement.

Our results provide evidence for the validity of our thermodynamic model, supporting our hypothesis that Young’s equation \( \approx R_\parallel \gamma R_\perp \) is equal to a suitably defined dimensionless height \( \hat{h} \) for spheroidal particles. This result has significant experimental consequences because it should greatly ease contact angle measurements for prolate spheroidal particles, which usually deform the three-phase contact-line, making contact angle measurements difficult. This prediction may be tested experimentally using e.g. a film-calliper method previously used to measure the contact angle of spherical particles. Further, using our predicted relation between the height of a prolate particle above the interface and its contact angle, surprising experimental results\(^{49,50} \) on the physical ageing of contact-lines may be further illuminated by investigating the contact-line ageing of spheroidal particles.

There are several natural extensions to the work reported in this paper. Much research focuses on emulsions and particle-stabilised non-planar liquid-liquid interfaces. The effect of interface curvature on the detachment energy of spherical particles has been investigated analytically, though the detachment energy of anisotropic particles from curved liquid-liquid interfaces has yet to be extensively investigated\(^{49} \). It has been suggested that for dimensionless curvatures much less than one, \( R_p / R_d \ll 1 \), where \( R_p \) and \( R_d \) are the particle and droplet radii respectively, Equation \( \hat{h} = h/R_p \) is still valid. Recent experiment\(^{49} \) investigating the viscosity of suspensions with small volume fractions of immiscible secondary fluid indicates the formation of emulsions droplets with \( R_p \sim R_d \).

Studying the detachment energy as a function of \( R_p / R_d \) could provide important insights into the formation of such emulsions.
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