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ABSTRACT

Background and Purpose

In acute ischemic stroke, the Hyperdense Artery Sign (HAS) on non-contrast CT is thought to represent intra-luminal thrombus and therefore is a surrogate of arterial obstruction. We sought to assess the accuracy of HAS as a marker of arterial obstruction by thrombus.

Methods

The Third International Stroke Trial (IST-3) was a randomized controlled trial testing use of intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke in patients who did not clearly meet the prevailing license criteria. Some participating IST-3 centers routinely performed CT or MR angiography (CTA and MRA, respectively) at baseline. One reader assessed all relevant scans independently, blinded to all other data; we checked observer reliability. We combined IST-3 data with a systematic review and meta-analysis of all studies that assessed the accuracy of HAS using angiography (any modality).

Results

IST-3 had 273 patients with baseline CTA or MRA and was the largest study of HAS accuracy. The meta-analysis (n=902+273=1175, including IST-3) found sensitivity and specificity of HAS for arterial obstruction on angiography to be 52% and 95%, respectively. HAS was more commonly identified in proximal than distal arteries (47% versus 37%, p=0.015), and its sensitivity increased with thinner CT slices (r=-
0.73, p=0.001). Neither extent of obstruction nor time after stroke influenced HAS accuracy.

**Conclusions**

When present in acute ischemic stroke, HAS indicates a high likelihood of arterial obstruction, but its absence indicates only a 50/50 chance of normal arterial patency. Thin-slice CT improves sensitivity of HAS detection.

**Clinical Trial Registration Information**

URL: [http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN25765518](http://www.controlled-trials.com/ISRCTN25765518)

Registration number: ISRCTN25765518
INTRODUCTION

Non-contrast CT remains the primary imaging modality for hyperacute assessment of stroke in most centers\textsuperscript{1}. Identifying features of acute ischemic stroke on CT therefore remains important for routine practice. Hyper-attenuation of a cerebral artery on non-contrast CT in acute ischemic stroke is thought to represent acute thrombus or embolus; the presence of the Hyperdense Artery Sign (HAS) therefore is a surrogate of arterial obstruction and may provide useful confirmation of the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke. The sign has been defined as any artery that subjectively appears transiently denser than adjacent or equivalent contralateral vessels\textsuperscript{2,3} although objective measures have also been applied\textsuperscript{4}. Compared with angiography, previous studies have shown that the HAS is a specific (although false positives are described\textsuperscript{5}) but not very sensitive indicator of arterial obstruction\textsuperscript{6,7}. To our knowledge, no systematic review and meta-analysis of HAS sensitivity and specificity has been published.

The Third International Stroke Trial (IST-3) was a multi-center, randomized controlled trial which tested intravenous thrombolysis (Alteplase) given within 6 hours of ischemic stroke\textsuperscript{8}. Baseline (pre-randomization) and follow-up (within 48 hours) brain imaging (predominantly non-contrast CT) was performed for all IST-3 patients (n=3035). In some centers, CT or MR angiography (CTA and MRA, respectively) were also routinely obtained pre-randomization as part of their local stroke imaging protocol\textsuperscript{9}.
In a prespecified analysis, we investigated the diagnostic accuracy of HAS for arterial obstruction detected with CTA or MRA and assessed if characteristics of the non-contrast CT scan (slice thickness), the corresponding angiographic obstruction (location, extent), or the patient (time from stroke onset) affected the accuracy of HAS. We examined data from IST-3 and performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of previous studies.
METHODS

Third International Stroke Trial

IST-3 was an international, multi-center, prospective, randomized, open, blinded endpoint (PROBE) trial of intravenous rt-PA (recombinant tissue plasminogen activator) in acute ischemic stroke. Ethical approval, enrolment and data collection were described elsewhere\(^{10}\). Briefly, patients with acute stroke of any severity, with no upper age limit, were eligible for trial inclusion if, in the opinion of the responsible physician the patient might benefit from rt-PA and there was no clear indication for or contraindication to rt-PA, if intravenous rt-PA could be started within 6 hours of stroke onset and CT/MR imaging had reliably excluded both intracranial hemorrhage and any structural stroke mimic. In other words, patients who definitely met the prevailing strict license criteria, or who had definite contraindications to rt-PA were not eligible. Many patients fell outside the strict license criteria and did not have definite contraindications and therefore could be randomized in the trial. Stroke severity prior to randomization was assessed with the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS). Patients were randomized to receive intravenous rt-PA (0.9mg/Kg) or control. No intra-arterial therapy was used. Functional status was assessed at six-months with the Oxford Handicap Scale (OHS). IST-3 is registered, ISRCTN25765518.

The imaging protocol required that non-contrast CT scans extend from the foramen magnum to vertex, with maximum slice thickness 4-5mm through the posterior fossa
and 8-10mm for the cerebral hemispheres. There was no pre-defined requirement for thin-slice sections but all acquired data including spiral volumes were accepted.

CTA or MRA data were also collected if available; the protocol for the IST-3 angiography substudy specified minimum acquisition standards\textsuperscript{11}. Only IST-3 patients who had CTA or MRA performed concurrently with baseline non-contrast CT are included in this present analysis.

All centers had to submit test imaging to the IST-3 central office for quality assessment prior to being certified to join the trial.

**Image analysis**

A single neuroradiologist evaluated all relevant IST-3 images analysing first the non-contrast CT followed by CTA or MRA sequentially and independently, blinded to any subsequent imaging or other scan reads, clinical and treatment data, using a validated, pre-specified rating proforma (see: [www.sbirc.ed.ac.uk/research/imageanalysis.html](http://www.sbirc.ed.ac.uk/research/imageanalysis.html)) that recorded presence, location, extent of HAS and any angiographic obstruction\textsuperscript{9,11}.

Standard brain window settings (center 40 Hounsfield Units [HU], width 80 HU) were used for non-contrast CT analysis but these could be altered as required. We identified HAS on non-contrast CT if the lumen of any intracranial artery appeared more dense than adjacent or equivalent contralateral arteries but non-calcified. Thin-slice sections were used where possible to minimize volume averaging of any
arterial wall calcification. We classified the internal carotid, mainstem of middle cerebral, vertebral and basilar arteries as ‘proximal’ and the sylvian branches of middle cerebral and any part of the anterior or posterior cerebral arteries as ‘distal’ for analysis purposes. We classified arterial obstruction on CTA/MRA using a modified 4 point Thrombolysis in Cerebral Infarction (TICI) score.9,11

To assess intra-observer reliability of HAS and CTA/MRA, the single neuroradiologist repeated ratings of 15 randomly selected patients at least 2 months later. To assess inter-observer reliability, we compared the single neuroradiologist to the ratings performed by the IST-3 expert image reading panel performed separately using the same analysis method11 (details of expert panel are provided in online Appendix II; these expert panel reads were not otherwise used in this present analysis).

Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

We performed the systematic review and meta-analysis according to the PRISMA 2009 checklist.12

Search Strategy

We searched Embase and Medline (see online Table I for full strategy) between 1980 and September 2013, since HAS was first described in the early 1980s2, including hand searching references of returned papers.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria and data extraction
We screened abstracts for more in-depth assessment and included only peer-reviewed original articles, published in English, that contained data on ischemic stroke patients assessed for HAS who underwent invasive or non-invasive angiography.

We assessed study quality for secondary eligibility criteria, using a modified STARD checklist\textsuperscript{13} (online Table II). We excluded articles if imaging was performed >24 hours after stroke onset (limit chosen to include articles assessing posterior fossa HAS) or if <20 patients underwent CT-, MR-, or digital subtraction angiography.

Two observers independently extracted data to calculate true and false positive and negative rates. We only meta-analysed papers where sensitivity or specificity (ideally both) could be calculated. We also recorded time from stroke onset to imaging, location and/or extent of angiographic obstruction. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

**Statistics**

We compared clinical characteristics of the IST-3 patients with angiography to all IST-3 patients using t-tests, Mann-Whitney U tests, or Chi-squared tests as appropriate. We assessed observer reliability using the Kappa statistic. We used Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient to assess correlations between normally distributed continuous data and t-tests to compare ratios of patients with and without HAS in the systematic review.
For simplicity in the present analysis and to harmonise angiographic scoring between papers, we dichotomised angiography as ‘normal’ or ‘obstructed’ (i.e. any luminal narrowing or occlusion). We compared the angiography location of arterial obstruction with the HAS location, noting false positives and negatives.

We calculated sensitivity (true positives/[true positives plus false negatives]), specificity (true negatives/[true negatives plus false positives]) in individual studies. We meta-analysed sensitivity and specificity with a random effects model in R2.8.1 (http://cran.r-project.org/), using the DiagMeta function, modelling within-study variation as a binomial proportion\textsuperscript{14} (joint meta-analysis of sensitivity and specificity was not possible due to estimation problems).

Unless stated otherwise, all analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics software, version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, NY, USA) and a p-value <0.05 was considered significant.
RESULTS

In total, 273 IST-3 patients (9% of the total of 3035) had baseline CTA (n=269) or MRA (n=4). Patients with (versus without) angiography had very similar baseline characteristics, but less severe strokes (median NIHSS 10 versus 11, p=0.020) and better 6-month outcomes (median OHS 3 versus 4, p=0.002); online Table III.

Of the 273 IST-3 patients with angiography, 114 (42%) had some degree of luminal obstruction on angiography while 69 (25%) had a HAS.

Inter- and intra-observer reliability (Kappa) for identification of HAS was 0.59 and 0.58, respectively; for any versus no obstruction on angiography was 0.59 and 0.82, respectively.

Reliability of HAS versus angiography in IST-3

In IST-3, HAS correctly identified arterial obstruction in 62, was falsely positive in 7 and falsely negative in 52, giving a sensitivity of 54% (95% CI 45-64%) and a specificity of 96% (92-99%).

Sensitivity, but not specificity, improved with thinner baseline non-contrast CT scan slices: ≤3mm slices, n=162, sensitivity 62%, specificity 98%; versus >3mm slices, n=108, sensitivity 41%, specificity 92%, (p=0.031, p=0.089, respectively). There was no difference in the prevalence of HAS by location of arterial obstruction: proximal n=91, sensitivity 55% versus distal, n=23, sensitivity 52% (p=0.814). More extensive
angiographic obstruction, i.e. involving more than one named artery (n=48) versus obstruction of one named artery (n=66), did not influence sensitivity of HAS (58% versus 52%, p=0.475). Time from stroke onset did not alter the accuracy of HAS: patients scanned ≤180 minutes (n=151) sensitivity 49%, specificity 97% versus patients scanned >180 minutes (n=122), sensitivity 61% (p=0.221), specificity 94% (p=0.500).

**Systematic review, results of search**

We identified 326 papers by database search: 75% discussed non-intracranial HAS; 10% were published only in abstract; 10% were review articles or non-English language; online Figure I. Thirty one articles underwent more in-depth assessment plus 5 further articles were found in reference lists, giving a total of 36 articles for full review. After secondary exclusion criteria, 16/36 original articles (n=902, Figure 1) remained for meta-analysis.

Twenty articles were excluded: 7 provided insufficient raw data; 6 had <20 patients with angiography; 2 failed essential quality criteria; 2 were duplicates; 2 included patients imaged >24 hours after stroke; 1 included non-ischemic strokes.

**Quality assessment**

The 16 papers identified in systematic review (n=902, not including IST-3) had a median of 52 patients (range 20-105); most (14/16, 88%) were prospective, only 7 (44%) provided specific inclusion and/or exclusion criteria and none included data from a randomized controlled trial.
Most papers provided scan parameters and time from stroke onset to scan (15/16, 94% in both cases). Catheter angiography was the commonest technique (9/16, 56%); CTA and MRA were equally common (5/16, 31%, 4/16, 25%, respectively) and used almost exclusively since 2003. Most articles declared the experience or professional position of those analysing images (14/16, 88%); with 24 neuroradiologists and 9 neurologists in the range of 1-6 observers per article (median 2). Image assessors were blinded to other data in 11/16 (69%) papers, 12/16 (75%) papers used a standardized definition for HAS, only 4 papers assessed reproducibility of HAS (median kappa-statistic for HAS detection 0.85, range 0.53-0.91) and no papers assessed reproducibility of angiography.

Meta-analysis

Amongst a total of 1175 patients with angiography including IST-3, 769 had arterial obstruction, and 405 had a HAS (Figure 1). The random effects summary estimate of sensitivity, based on 771 patients (384 true positive plus 387 false negative), was 52.4% (95% CI 41.2-63.4%). The random effects summary estimate of specificity, based on 493 patients, (468 true negative plus 25 false positive), was 94.9% (92.5-96.6%). Four studies with missing data were omitted from specificity analysis.

HAS was more common with angiographic obstruction in proximal arteries than distal (47% versus 37%, p=0.015), Table 1. CT slice thickness was significantly associated with sensitivity (Figure 2, r=-0.72, p=0.002) but not specificity of HAS, and was inversely proportional to the year of article publication (r=-0.80, p=0.001, Figure 1). The number of obstructed arterial segments (59% had HAS if ≥2 segments
obstructed versus 49% if 1 segment obstructed, p=0.160) and time from stroke onset to scan (27% had HAS if ≤180 minutes from stroke onset versus 25% if >180 minutes, p=0.682), were not associated with HAS prevalence. Three studies with missing data were omitted from analyses of thrombus characteristics and time from stroke onset.
DISCUSSION

We provide this first meta-analysis assessing the accuracy of HAS as a non-contrast CT marker of arterial obstruction in acute ischemic stroke and confirm using large patient numbers, that HAS is highly specific and moderately sensitive for angiographically demonstrated arterial obstruction with overall specificity 95% and sensitivity 52%. IST-3, as the largest individual study of HAS sensitivity and specificity, contributes 30% more data (273/902 patients, new total 1175) than previously available. Our results are widely applicable and in situations where angiographic imaging is not currently available, can enable those performing non-contrast CT to make the best use of all available imaging information; the presence of HAS provides substantial confidence that there is a very high likelihood of the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke and of arterial obstruction. However, absence of HAS does not predict normal arterial patency; in acute ischemic stroke patients without HAS, approximately half will have arterial obstruction on angiography. It remains to be seen whether the presence (or absence) of acute arterial obstruction is important for intravenous thrombolysis treatment decisions; but in that context, or indeed in centers looking to perform appropriate endovascular therapy, this limitation of a ‘negative’ HAS might encourage centers performing acute ischemic stroke imaging to consider providing baseline CTA or MRA in all cases.

Our meta-analysis confirmed that HAS prevalence increases with thinner CT slices, but thinner slices have no effect on HAS specificity, perhaps as HAS is already highly specific for obstruction. The mean diameter of intracranial arteries is less than 3mm. A slice thickness above this value, used in most of the included studies, may
impair HAS sensitivity (especially in smaller arteries) by averaging intra-luminal thrombus and surrounding CSF space. Volumetric thin-slice CT is now widely available, as suggested by the highly significant inverse relationship between year of publication and CT slice thickness in the systematic review. Allowing for the rising availability of volumetric CT, sensitivity rates in current routine clinical practice are therefore likely to be on the high side of those we report here.

Meta-analysis also confirmed that HAS is more likely to be identified in proximal than distal arteries, probably reflecting the larger calibre of proximal arteries and greater volumes of thrombus required for obstruction. Other factors such as extent of angiographic obstruction and time after stroke onset were not significantly related to HAS prevalence.

**Strengths and limitations**

IST-3 was conducted in many centers, so inevitably includes variability in scan parameters and protocols. However, IST-3 represents ‘real world practice’ and, combined with the systematic review, provides results that are widely applicable to centers assessing acute stroke with a range of CT scanners. Angiography in IST-3 was performed in about 10% of centers and may have been influenced by local practice, so has limitations. Nevertheless, IST-3 angiography is the largest complete dataset of its kind, the only one performed in the standardized context of a randomized trial, and increases the available data by almost one-third. We found only one larger dataset but it only included patients with a HAS precluding assessment of sensitivity and/or specificity.
We used a qualitative measure to identify HAS in IST-3 which reflects routine practice. Further work is ongoing to assess whether measuring intra-arterial thrombus density quantitatively improves accuracy for arterial obstruction or interacts with treatment response.

Our method of dichotomizing angiography results may have included some patients with chronic atheroma in the ‘obstructed’ group. This could erroneously raise the number of false negative HAS cases and thereby appear to reduce the sensitivity of HAS. However this is a general problem in acute stroke, no other studies that we identified in the literature had addressed this point, and we decided that the opposite approach (to only consider patients with occluded arteries as abnormal) would have been less accurate by having the same effect on HAS specificity but also by excluding patients with genuine non-dense thrombus from our analyses entirely.

By using PRISMA and STARD, we maintained a high quality systematic review and meta-analysis of HAS. We identified many papers, most not relevant, but we assert that evaluating several hundred abstracts was preferable to missing relevant work. Excluding abstract-only and non-English publications may have reduced the completeness and led to publication bias, but abstract-only publication provides insufficient raw data for our analyses.

The final 16 articles retained for meta-analysis were of moderate to high quality according to our criteria. In particular, most of the data were prospective and the
methods were detailed enough to be replicated. More standard definitions for HAS and more consistent reporting of factors such as blinding of image assessment would improve future research\textsuperscript{30}.

**Conclusions**

The high specificity of HAS provides confidence for its use as a surrogate marker of angiographic obstruction and to confirm the diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke. The moderate sensitivity means that absence of HAS cannot be used alone to indicate that angiography will be normal; those performing acute stroke imaging might therefore consider undertaking angiography in this context. Sensitivity of HAS is significantly improved with thin-slice volumetric CT.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Systematic review data for the 16 selected articles and IST-3

Footnote:

Data from individual studies was only included if at least sensitivity or specificity could be calculated. Unless stated otherwise, CT slice-thickness refers to the thickest slices used. CTA = CT angiography. MRA = MR angiography. HAS = Hyperdense Artery Sign. TP = True positive. TN = True negative. FP = False positive. FN = False negative. CI = Confidence Interval.

* One patient had both a false positive HAS and a true occlusion without HAS (FN) in contralateral arteries; 39 results are therefore reported from 38 angiograms.

† Data for proximal and distal middle cerebral artery are presented separately providing assessment of 200 arterial segments from 100 angiograms.

‡ Thin-slice CT data are presented. Thick-slice (5mm) data for the same angiography is also available.
Figure 2. Relationship between the sensitivity of a hyperdense artery sign (HAS) for arterial obstruction and non-contrast CT slice thickness

Footnote:
Closed dots represent IST-3 data (thin-slice ≤3mm, mean 1.65mm; thick-slice >3mm, mean 4.5mm). Open dots represent results from articles identified on systematic review. Two dots have been fractionally altered to reveal identical results (sensitivity = 0.27, slice thickness = 10mm). Correlation is r=-0.73, p=0.001.
### Table 1.
Systematic review data assessing how characteristics of arterial obstruction (location and extent) and time from stroke onset affect hyperdense artery sign (HAS) prevalence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Angiography n</th>
<th>Location of Arterial Obstruction</th>
<th>Number of Obstructed Arterial Segments</th>
<th>Time from Stroke Onset to Scan (minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assouline25</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8/16(50)</td>
<td>6/7(86)</td>
<td>11/17(65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barber22</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7/25(28)</td>
<td>11/24(46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bastianello6</td>
<td>1991</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12/17(71)</td>
<td>6/13(46)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacke19</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6/10(60)</td>
<td>0/10(0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Froehler28*</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>15/20(75)</td>
<td>23/43(53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garg7</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1/8(13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim23†</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11/38(29)</td>
<td>7/31(23)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim26</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>36/56(64)</td>
<td>10/22(45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koga20</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>21/63(33)</td>
<td>6/38(16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomsick15</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4/9(44)</td>
<td>2/7(29)</td>
<td>3/10(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomsick\textsuperscript{16}</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7/14(50)</td>
<td>5/12(42)</td>
<td>8/22(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>von Kummer\textsuperscript{18}</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wolpert\textsuperscript{17}</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12/43(28)</td>
<td>4/17(24)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IST-3\textsuperscript{8}</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>50/91(55)</td>
<td>12/23(52)</td>
<td>34/66(52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>189/402(47)</td>
<td>92/247(37)</td>
<td>56/115(49)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p-value for difference: 0.015 0.160 0.682

Results represent number of HAS within each total (%). Data were only included when results were available for both sides of the equation (e.g. proximal and distal); 3 articles with incomplete data are not included.

Unless otherwise stated, proximal arterial locations include internal carotid artery, main-stem of the middle cerebral artery (MCA), vertebral and basilar arteries. Distal arterial locations include sylvian branches of the MCA, and anterior and posterior cerebral arteries.

* Proximal and distal arteries are defined here as internal carotid and middle cerebral arteries, respectively.

† Thick-slice (5mm) CT data are presented here. Thin-slice data are also available.