Recent developments in performance studies and in theories of performativity have encouraged us to reflect on performance beyond the established confines of dramatic events. Performance is increasingly understood not as bound by the theatre, but as a fundamental medium both of social relationships and of individual identity. While medieval and sixteenth century notions of public and private were very different from our own, the late Middle Ages shows an analogous and active consciousness of the power of performance in and of daily life. Perhaps especially in the arena of the court, there is a clear recognition and exploitation of performance as an important mode of social and political interaction which seems not separate from, but on a continuum with formal performance events.

One especially vivid source of documentation and commentary on this spectrum of formal and informal performance can be found in the accounts of the spectacular pageantry associated with occasions such as Royal Entries, marriages, and funerals which form an increasingly popular literary genre in the fifteenth and early sixteenth centuries. Often written by heralds, these narratives memorialise significant events; but they are primarily concerned not so much with the events themselves as with the ways in which they were embodied in public ceremonial and magnificent display. The occasions often include episodes easily definable as performances: pageants, tournaments, music. But within the narratives, such inset performances are not always easily separable from the wider spectacle of the events they celebrate. The overall choreography of the event offered a crucial means of enacting to a public audience the national, political, or social significance of the occasion.

Political theorists of the time were alive to this active use of performance. Thomas Elyot, reflecting on the coronation ceremony in The Boke named the Governour, explains how performance draws spectators into its meaning:

For what purpose was it ordeyned / that christen kynges ... shulde in an open and stately place before all their subiectes, receyue their
crowne and other Regalities / but that by reason of the honorable
circumstances than vsed / shulde be impressed in the hartes of the
beholders perpetuall reuerence: whiche … is fountayne of
obedience / or els mought the kynges be enoyned and receyue their
charge in a place secrete / with lasse payne to them / and also their
ministers.4

The point of the ceremony, Elyot explains, is not purely functional or
sacramental, but to ‘impress the hearts of the beholders’. It is the responses
of the witnesses that are central to the meaning of the ceremonial. The
narrative accounts of this kind of spectacle may equally be concerned not
just to record what happened, but to attempt to communicate or recreate
for readers the experience of performance.

One particularly engaging example of this genre recounts events
associated with the marriage of Henry VII’s daughter Margaret Tudor to
James IV of Scotland in August 1503. The account offers a vivid narrative
of the month-long journey of the thirteen-year-old Margaret and her train
into Scotland, her first meetings with her betrothed husband, Royal Entry
into Edinburgh, and the marriage celebrations at Holyrood. The writer
was John Young, the Somerset Herald of England who accompanied the
convoy, apparently remaining with Margaret for some two years in
Scotland.5 Although there has been no edition of the account since the
eighteenth century, Young’s narrative is well known to theatre historians,
providing valuable eye-witness evidence of the pageantry and spectacle
associated with Scotland’s first recorded Royal Entry.6 As with similar
narratives, the tendency so far has been to mine it for information about
these formal pageants and shows.7 But there is much to learn about
performance not just from extracting information from the narrative but
from exploring its rhetoric, its point of view, its preoccupations and
shaping of material. It was composed by a man who was both an eye-
itness and a participant in the marriage celebrations: Young was a
spectator but also in part a performer of the spectacle. This makes his
perspective especially interesting if we want to understand more about the
experience of late medieval performance. Issues of performance also inform
his purposes as an author, and his shaping of the narrative for particular
effects on his intended readers. All this may give us insight into what were
understood as the aims and effects of the performance of the marriage.

Many sixteenth-century spectacle-narratives seem designed for wide
public circulation, increasingly in print.8 Young’s account seems closer to
a slightly earlier group of reports, less explicitly aimed at a broad public
‘TO THEXALTACYON OF NOBLESSE’

readership, and perhaps intended primarily for fellow officers of arms, recording protocol and establishing precedents. But they are distinctly more than bare records of ceremonial facts, suggesting that their rôle was more dynamic than simply heraldic record. Young’s manuscript apparently circulated primarily among heralds, being copied several times, seemingly for officers of arms. Yet he shows a real interest both in the effects of the performances he describes and in the affective shaping of his own narration to convey this. Throughout the journey, he records in detail the costumes, the settings, the movements and gestures of the participants. This conveys a lively interest in the transmission of the experience of performance. Comparing what he says with records of material preparation from beyond his narrative suggests that the courts of both England and Scotland equally recognised the importance of performance in drawing spectators into the asserted significance of the marriage.

Young is relatively self-conscious in outlining his intentions in his narrative. He opens by declaring:

To thexal
tacyone of noblesse shalbe rehersed in thys lityll Treatys the hono

ur of the right noble departynge owt of the Realme of Inglaund the right high and myghty & the right excellent princesse Margaret by the grace of god qwene of scotlaund. Also to thende to confort the hertes of age for to here it, and for to gyffe corage to the yong to do there after in suche cas to come. ffor sens the hono

ur of the said departynge to thende of her voyage schalbe wrytten the names of the nobles after the dignyte astates & degrees that in thys conveyng war ordonned.

London: College of Arms MS 1st M 13 fol 76a
(Hearne Collectanea 265)

His first purpose, then, is ‘the exaltation of noblesse’. He presents the work as belonging to the body of literature through which courtly society celebrates, and so promotes and sustains, its ideal conception of itself. Young is clearly using the slippery term ‘noblesse’ to mean both the assumed generous and magnificent virtues of the elite and the costly display through which those virtues might be published. His further gloss on his aims is interesting: he wishes his narrative to ‘confort the hertes of age’ and to ‘give corage to the yong’. These terms suggest that he is alert to the affective and inspirational quality of performance. His narrative is not concerned with the national and political benefits of the marriage.
alliance, which he never mentions; it is the magnificently ordered spectacle and performance he is about to describe that he believes will inspire such feelings of comfort and courage. This strongly suggests that the purpose of the narrative is not only to record events, but to convey the affective experience of spectacular performance to those readers who were not present.

The last sentence of the quotation above is informed by intertwined issues of memorial and of presence. One of the ways in which Young will exalt noblesse is to list the names of the nobles who took part in the convoy and celebration, ‘after the[ir] dignyte asstes & degrees’. The narrative does indeed catalogue the names and titles of those present at every possible stage of the wedding journey. Official record and recognition is important here, as is protocol: noblesse is at least in part to do with ancestry and historical acknowledgement. But there is another dimension: one of the key identifying features of a performance (as opposed to other kinds of literary or visual representation) is presence. Performance is time-limited, and performers and spectators must both be present at the moment of enactment and witness. The lists of names, which for modern readers tend to hold up the progress of the narrative, are a confirmation of presence: these people were on the spot, participating in the show. To name them is part of the re-creation and validation of the moment of performance.

Two qualities Young especially emphasises are the magnificence that asserts the momentousness of the alliance; and the images and gestures of unity, both formal and informal, that embody its political implications. Magnificence is embodied in part in the protocol, and the careful lists of the names and ranks of those present. He repeatedly records the formal gestures of reception that attend the journey. At each town, Margaret’s convoy is greeted by carefully listed local dignitaries; she is brought a cross from church or cathedral or relics to kiss, bells are rung, musicians play, trumpeters display banners. Henry VII had ensured that his daughter was accompanied to Scotland by appropriate spectacle that would demonstrate royal magnificence wherever she passed. She was provided with a litter of cloth of gold, and Young records that even her baggage wagons were ‘couered w’ couuerynges whytt & grene the armes of scotlaund & of inglauand halff parted w’ Red Rosys & portecollies crowned’ (fol. 78b; Hearne 268). Interestingly, he confirms that Henry also sent professional musical and dramatic performers. Henry Glasebury, marshall of his still minstrels, led a company of musicians while John English, leader of ‘les
pleyars of the Kyngs enterludes' took a company of players. Both companies made a prominent contribution to the spectacle of Margaret’s progress. Young reports the standard ordering of procession on entering and leaving towns:

Before thesaid qwene war by ordre, Johannes & hys Company, henry glacebery & hys company the trompettes / officers of armes sergentes of masse y’ ... it was a Joy for to se & here.

In translating events into verbal report he repeatedly emphasises those key aspects of performance: ‘it was a joy for to see and hear’.

Even more colourfully Young attempts to convey the sensory impression of performance by his marked emphasis on clothing. Sensitive to the crucial importance of costume in the performance of magnificence, he provides detailed assessments of fabrics, cut, jewels, and accoutrements.

Outside York Margaret was met by the Earl of Northumberland:

well horst opon a fayr curser w’ a fowt cloth to the grownde of cramsyn velvet all borded of orfavery, hys armes varey Ryche in many places apon his sadle & harnays, his sterropes gylt. Hym self arayd of a gowne of thesaid cramsyne the opinynes of the slyves & the coller of grett bordeures of stones, hys bouttes of velvet blak, hys spours gylt in many places & maid gambades plaisantes for to se.

This goes well beyond simply a naive interest in the glamour of luxury and high fashion. Northumberland’s ‘gambades plaisantes for to se’ present a conscious gesture of self-display which seems understood not as vanity but as the deliberate performance of splendour. His magnificent display honours Margaret as much as himself. Sydney Anglo has acutely reminded us that such clothes might be understood not only aesthetically or as assertions of wealth, but as images designed to convey a desired truth. Anglo cites Elyot in The Boke named the Governour describing how honour can be perceived only ‘by some exterior signe / and that is either by laudable reporte, or excellencie in vesture: or other thinge semblable: But reporte is nat so commune a token / as apparayle’. Costume can be an embodied sign of Young’s ‘noblesse’, a semantic and legible aspect of performance.

Young’s responsiveness to the performance effects of clothes was clearly shared by the courts that produced them. In Scotland, record evidence
allows us to trace these costumes all the way from the cutting room to the stage, revealing the deliberate manipulation of their purpose and effect. James IV’s Treasurer’s Accounts list lavish expenses on garments for the wedding period, many of whose effects are then documented in Young’s account. From the accounts we find, for example, a consciously staged gesture of unity in the decision to make matching wedding gowns for James and his young bride.

Item for ane steik of quhit damas flourit with gold contenand xxxij elne j quartar quhilk was ane goune to ye king And ane oyir to ye quene ... 14

Further payments for taffeta linings and crimson velvet to border the Queen’s gown bring the total expense to £183 2s 6d. When Young reports the wedding ceremony, he records this linking of the couple, uniting the thirty-year-old king with his girl bride:

the kyng was in a gowne of damask hwytt figured w’ gold & lynned w’ sersend [sarcenet?] ... The said qwene was arayd in a Ryche rokke / lyke hymself borded of velvet cramsyn and lyn of y’self.15

Young then reveals a further role for the costume at the wedding feast.

The King:

before y’ he satt, sent hys gowne of maryage to the offycers of armes of inglaund & chaunged an nother of velvet blak long & fourred of marten.  The wiche Robbe berred the next day in cowrte sommersett herawld acompayned of hys compaynons, the wiche thaunked the kyng

The King ceremoniously changes out of the white and gold damask, which becomes publicly displayed largesse to the English heralds, including Young himself. This appears to be a traditional practice: in London in January 1502 Margaret had been formally betrothed, the Earl of Bothwell standing proxy for James. After that ceremony ‘the Earle Bothwel sent to the Officers of Armes the Gowne of Cloth of Gold, that hee were when he was fyanced in the Name of his Soveraigne Lord’.16 In Edinburgh, Margaret’s gown was delivered the next day to the Scots Officers of Arms, but later redeemed for largesse of cash and returned to the royal wardrobe. As a dress for a young girl it was presumably less valuable for itself than for its symbolism in the performance of royal generosity. The ‘excellence in vesture’ of these matching robes therefore becomes an ‘exteriour signe’ of
honour not only in the wedding itself, but in the performance of honour between sovereigns and heralds of the two nations.

Settings for the performance were similarly lavishly created and carefully recorded. Large sums went towards hangings and traverses which provided a backdrop for the action, including £400 for two gold Cloths of Estate for the King and Queen. Again, Young emphasises their effect as he reports the scene of the wedding feast where the new Queen kept estate:

thesaid chammer [where the queen dined] rychely drest & the clothe of a statt wher she satt of clothe of golde, vary riche ...
thesaid chammer [where the king dined] was haunged of Rede & of blew, a cyll of a state of cloth of gold; bot he was not vnder for y' same day

fols 208a–b (Hearne 294–5)

Young shows the protagonists’ demonstrative interaction with the performance setting by this scrupulous noting of choreographed gesture. Throughout the wedding he records how James publicly deferred honour and precedence to Margaret in all ceremonious details of the day. He repeatedly refused to kiss the Cross and relics before her, would not kneel in church before she did, would not even have his own largesse called but only hers, consistently enacting, as Young says, ‘the most grett humilite & Reuerence as possible myghte be’ (fol. 207b; Hearne 294). His refraining from holding estate at the wedding feast himself by sitting out from beneath his canopy is another example of this significant gesture of performed deference.

It is in such reading of gesture that Young’s narrative is perhaps most clearly nudged towards performance. His interest in the organisation of ceremony leads to a natural focus on choreography in formal settings as he traces order, precedence, and ritualised movement through space. Through the wedding ceremony he charts movement almost like the figures of a dance: who accompanies whom, where people are placed, how the King and Queen approach separately, make reverence, he leads her by the right hand to the altar, they kneel together, retire each to separate traverses, and return. But this also colours the narration of far less obviously ritualised moments, which are similarly described in terms almost like stage directions or blocking instructions. As the Queen on her progress neared the castle of Dalkeith, for example, Young explains how she stopped half a mile out and ‘apoynted hyr rychely’ to enter ‘in fayre ordre’. The Earl of Morton then ‘came before hyre without the yatt’ to
present her with the keys. Beyond him ‘Betuyx the two yattes was the lady
accompayned of gentylmen and ladyes the wiche kneeled downe, and thesaid
qwene toke her up and kissed her, and so she was conveyd to hyre chammer’. The reader is guided through the precise sequence of
movement, as if a spectator of the action.

Young’s narrative is slightly unusual in covering not only formal
ceremonial and display, but material which is less obviously public or
performed, especially during Margaret’s first days in Scotland before the
Royal Entry and wedding. It is in these episodes that the translation of
events into quasi-performances is most overt. Most striking is the account
of the first meetings of James and Margaret which are presented as a few
days of ‘courtship’ before the wedding. Their first encounter opens with a
formal description of magnificent approach much like the public civic
welcomes:

the kynge cam araydof a Jakkette of cramsyn veluet borded with
cloth of gold hys lewre behynde hys bake his bearde somthynge
longe acompayned of thes reu
er
en
and chauncellor of
saunt andrew brother of thes aid kynge and chauncellor of
scotlaund ... w’ many others lordes knyghtes and gentylmen to the
nombre lx horsys.  

fols 95a–b (Hearne 283)

But these formal details are followed by a personal encounter in a slightly
different key. Though not a public ceremony, Young presents it very
much as a choreographed action. The King:

was conveyde to the qwenes chamber, wher she mett hym at her
gret chamber dore, right honurable accompainde, and at the
mettynge he & she maid grett reuences the one to the tother, his
hed barre, and kyssed together, and in lyke wys kyssed the ladyes ...
And then they went a syd the qwene & he and commouned
together, by long space. She held good maner, & he barre hed
durynge the tyme / & many courtaysyes. 

fol 95b (Hearne 283)

The resonantly presented sequence of gestures again casts the reader as
spectator, witnessing a silent performance not only of significant meeting
but of a publicly enacted moment of privacy. We are not close enough to
hear what passes between King and Queen in their private encounter, but
we are enabled to watch. Young shows us the embodied gestures of
intimacy presented to public view: the personal conversation, the ‘good
manere’ of one and the ‘bare heded’ reverence of the other in the exchange of lovers’ ‘courteysyes’. The fact that the royal couple do not withdraw altogether for their private conversation suggests that they too recognise the performed nature of the encounter. This is confirmed in an earlier narrative of an English princess travelling abroad to her wedding. When an earlier Margaret, the sister of Edward IV, travelling to her marriage with Charles the Bold of Burgundy, first met with her future mother in law, we are told that they went ‘after dynner to communycacion, in a tresaunce betwixt, wher all the people of bothe nacions myght se ther famlyarite.18

Young’s account of the following days shows how the courts of both countries found performance an appropriate means of both building and expressing the new relationship between the king and queen. Informal musical performance and dance played an important role during James’ visits to Margaret at Dalkeith. Margaret herself is especially involved in dance, from her very first meeting with James when, ‘After the soupper they wasched ageyne, with the Reueries / mynstrelles begone to blew, wher daunced the qwene acompayned of my lady of Surrey’ (fol. 95b; Hearne 283). Dance was an expected accomplishment of a princess, used as a licensed means of public display especially of marriageable young women.19 Margaret’s dancing for James, repeated many times on the following days, is not just a pleasurable entertainment but a presentation of herself for him in performance.

Only once does Young record that James joined Margaret in dancing.20 He more often responded by playing for her. On the second day, after she has danced:

\[
\text{incountynent the kynge begonne before hyre to play of the claricordes and after of the lut wiche pleasyd hyre varey muche and had grett plaisur to here hym.}
\]

fol. 96b (Hearne 284)

Like dance, the performing of music is presented by Young as an acknowledged ritual of courtship. The following day Margaret responded:

\[
& the one kyssed y’ other & after drew them a syd for to comoun, and after she playd apon the claricordys, and after of the lut, he beynge apon his kne all wayes barre hed.
\]

fol. 97b (Hearne 285)

The two enact, to each other but also their households, their roles as serenading lovers. James pursued further what seems a genuine pleasure in
music-making, organising an impromptu chamber concert to follow his own performance:

Apon thesaid claricordyes Sire edward stanneley playde a ballade and sange therwith wiche the kynge commended right mucche, and incountynent he called a gentylman of hys y’ could syng e well & maid them syng e togeder the wiche accorded varey well and afterward thesaid Sire edwarde stanneley & two of hys servauntes sange a ballade or two wheroff the kynge could hym good thanke.

This picture suggests the familiar and participatory use of the performance of music as informal courtly entertainment, allowing the two courts a shared means of pastime but also of interaction. James apparently exploited this in deliberately arranging for the harmonious duet between an English and a Scots courtier. Although presented and received primarily as an informal gesture of entertainment and courtesy, it allowed for the potential tensions of the political union to be at least symbolically overcome in domestic performance.

There is some evidence that the English found the arrangements of the Scottish court more informal than those they were accustomed to, and that Young’s narrative in part attempts to re-present that informality as more deliberate performance. He takes pains to point out at various times that things were ‘apoynted after ther [the Scots’] gyse’ and this is echoed in the contemporary Great Chronicle of London which reported that ‘she cam Into Scotland, where she was Joyously and honourably afftyr theyr maner Ressayvid’. Edward Hall, later in the century, is more explicit, commenting that King James ‘feasted the English lordes, and shewed to them Justes and other pastymes, very honourably, after the fassion of his rude countrey’ but concluding that the English nobility gave ‘more prayse to the manhood, then to the good maner and nurture of Scotlande’. Scots historians, unsurprisingly, read this rather differently, John Leslie later claiming that ‘the Inglismen returne to thair king, tha declair the king of scotis his humanitie, the sueitnes of his Nobilitie, commendeng mekle thair graciousnes, honour and fauour, bot maist thair courteous cleithing’. Both sides, however, seem to recognise that there was a difference in style.

This may inform Young’s handling of the notoriously spontaneous and demonstrative personal manner of James IV. He repeatedly notes, with apparent faint surprise, James’ informality: ‘went to his horse, on whom he
did lepe w'out puttynge the fowt w'in the sterrope ... incountynent he sporred follow who myght' (fol. 97a), 'he beinge all wayes mere [merry] and his beerde somethynge longe' (fol. 98a). There are famous legends of James' impulsiveness and ready interaction with people of all classes; similar signs are visible even in the Treasurer's Accounts which record with a certain resignation, 'ij ½ eln wellus to be ane jacat to the king quhen gaif [sic] away his awne jacat', 'Item for ane hat to ye king quhen he gaif away his hat for caus it wes hevy'.24 The accounts also reveal English reactions similar to Young's: the 'somethynge longe' beard was tidied by the Countess of Surrey just after the wedding:

Item, the ix day of August, eftir the marriage, for xv elne claith of gold to the Countess of Surry of Ingland, quhen scho and hir dochter Lady Gray clippit the Kingis berd.25

In his narrative Young finds strategies to translate this informality of manner into a more deliberate performance of graciousness. Sometimes he does so simply by presenting what might seem informal as a deliberate feature of the ceremonious spectacle. As James and Margaret enter the Abbey Church of Holyrood after her Royal Entry, he describes how:

he tuke thesaid qwene in doynge humble Reuерence & led hyr to the grett awter by the body ... hym transported the kynge to the pallais thorough the clostre holdynge all wayes the qwene by the body and hys hed barre ... the kynge let go the qwene tyll she had kissed all thesaid ladies ... And so he tuke hyre ageyn with low courtaisy and barre hed.

Young's emphasis, while suggesting that such physical contact is faintly unusual in public, presents it as a deliberate performance of deferential affection. More rhetorically, as Louise Fradenburg has pointed out, he draws on a literary discourse of courtly love to define the King's behaviour. Most strikingly we find this in metaphorical imagery drawn from the hunt of desire. The day after the couple's first meeting, lords from the English party at Dalkeith ride out to greet the King as he returns to visit his bride. However:

The kynge flyinge as the byrde y' sykes [seeks] hyre pray tuke oyer waye & cam pryvely to thsaid castell, and entred w'in the chammer w' small company & founde thesaid qwene playinge at the cardes

fols 203b–204b

fols 96a
This visit — the King riding privately, escaping the official welcome party, and entering without ceremony on the Queen at play — seems to record behaviour slightly at odds with the formality with which the progress has been conducted so far. But through the hawking image Young invites his readers to understand the gesture not in terms of the normal protocol of courtly spectacle, but rather in terms of the discourse of courtly love. The King is cast in a familiar rôle, not this time that of sovereign ruler, but of ardent lover. The noticeable intrusion of literary simile here perhaps even serves to emphasise the generally spectacular and performance bias of the account. Again, there is a vivid parallel in an analogous moment from the 1468 marriage narrative. When Charles the Bold first met his bride, the author reports:

the Duc toke hir in his armes, kisside hir, and than kissid al the ladies and jentillwomen; and whane he hade so done, he loked and regarded the beautie of hir; he rejoysid, and in his rejose in suche case, me thought, as Troylus was ine, for he tarrid, and avised hir a tracte of tyme ar he went to hir againe, and thane reverently ywent to hir againe, and toke hir by the ryght hande, and set bothe them down, and askid her a questione secretlye.

As with Young, the presentation of the meeting of the lovers as a scene staged to the view is momentarily mediated through a literary imagery of courtly romance. In both writers, these moments suggest a wider intended circulation than just officers of arms, and a concern not with performance as such, but with an attempt to convey the affective experience of the observed encounter.

The mixture of magnificent and informal behaviours in the Scottish wedding, and their presentation as performance to Young’s readers are both well illustrated in the description of Margaret’s Royal Entry into the city of Edinburgh. This begins with the expected choreographed display of splendour. On 7 August the Queen was conducted towards Edinburgh, ‘putt in hyr litere varey Rych e enorned’ (fol. 99a; Hearne 286) and dressed in cloth of gold. Her ‘pallefrey of honno’ was led behind, caparisoned in a costly ‘pil3eane’ of five ells of cloth of gold. Half way there:

the kynge came toward hyre for to mett hyre monted apon a bay horse Rennyng as he wolde Renne after y’ hayre acompanyed of many gentylmen thesaid Horse trapped in a demy trappure of cloth of gold ... The kynge ware a Jakette lyke to the trappure ... at the
comynyng toward the qwene he maid hyre varye humble obeysaunce in lepynge downe of hys horse, and kyssed hyre in hyre liter ... a gentylman hussher bare y’ swerd before him, thesaid swerde couuered, with a scabard of pourple velvet, and was written apon with perles / god my defende.

The elaborate cloth of gold caparisons matching the couple’s clothes, and the sword of honour with its heraldic motto, all display the royal authority of the Sovereign, though mixed with displays of personal eagerness and tenderness as he welcomes his bride.29

Young then records a slightly curious episode. A gentleman led another elaborately trapped courser to the King, ‘apon the wiche horse the kynge monted w tout puttynge the fout within the sterrope’ (fol. 100a; Hearne 287).30 James then told one of his gentlemen ‘to monte behinde hym, to assay iff he wolde berre behynd / or not’. Having experimented, it was decided that the courser was not suitable.31 Instead James ‘monted apon the pallefrye of thesaid qwene, and thesaid qwene behinde hym and so rode thorow the said towne of edenbourgh’ (fol. 100b).32 This episode reveals interesting attitudes to performance. There is a suggestive note of improvisation in the Scots’ need to experiment with James’ courser. But it implies that James had already decided that it would be symbolically appropriate for Margaret to ride not in her rich litter, but behind him on the same mount, presumably as a sign of affection and royal unity. Sharing a horse was not a normal sign of courtly magnificence, nor indeed was it usual English practice for a king to play so active a part in the entry of his bride.33 The gesture seems to reveal James’ insistence on enacting the part of courtly lover as well as king right through the official entry.34 Young’s detailed account of the stage management of the mounted entry reveals not only the more informal style of Scottish pageantry, but also the sharp recognition by both participants and spectators of the rhetorical power of gestures of performance.

It remains unclear exactly what was the rôle and readership Young envisaged for his narrative. The manuscript itself is not a presentation copy. It appears to be autograph, to be corrected as the writer went along, and towards the end description gets more sketchy as the celebrations gradually wind down. As an official report of ceremonial performance it has an obvious function for the officers of arms and the wide range of people involved in courtly organisation. It is also entirely possible that it was aimed to circulate more widely at the English court, even as far as the
king, to reassure the royal family of England of the reception of the princess. But it plainly also has a more affective intention than this might suggest. The readership envisaged in the introduction might not extend much beyond the professional (it is not clear who are ‘the young’ who are encouraged to imitate the noble spectacle described). But that readership is addressed in ways which are more emotive than simply informational. The rhetorical strategies of the account suggest that not only factual descriptions of what occurred but the effects of witnessing performance are important. Certainly this narrative, and others like it, offers a valuable resource as an eye-witness response to performance; there are very few of these for other genres of late medieval dramatic activity.

Speaking of the wedding day itself, Young tells us that:

Trompettes somuch of the kynge as of the qwene mynstrell of many sortes Johanes & his company dyd yare devour for y’ day, somuche of y’ mornynge as at the dynnare, and after as of y’ soupper & also of the days followynge.

fol 110a (Hearne 296)

He conveys a sense of an environment defined and animated by continuous performance. Young himself emerges as a writer who is alive to the organisation, the design, and the intentions of that performance, and of the ways in which audiences might respond to it — or might be wished to respond to it. As his professional role would suggest, he certainly sees performance of all kinds as crucial to establishing the significance of events like this marriage. And his narrative confirms that the courts of both England and Scotland shared this view, investing heavily and making extensive contribution to sustained and affective display.
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NOTES


2. Examples would be: ‘The Marriage of the Princess Margaret, 1468’ edited Thomas Phillipps Archaeologia 31 (1846) 326–38; ‘The Marriage of Richard

3. For reflection on the wider significance of early spectatorship and witnessing, see John J. McGavin Theatricality and Narrative in Medieval and Early Modern Scotland (Aldershot: Ashgate, 2007) 1–13 and passim.


5. The original manuscript is preserved as London: College of Arms MS 1st M 13, fols 76r–115v. For Young, see W.H. Godfrey, A.R. Wagner and H.S. London The College of Arms (London: London Survey Committee, 1963) 107–8.


8. The Spousells of the Princess Mary (1505) for example, was printed in both English and Latin versions. See note 1.
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