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A combination of high-pressure single crystal X-ray diffraction and high-pressure SQUID magnetometry has been used to study two oxo-bridged mixed-valent Mn\textsuperscript{III}/Mn\textsuperscript{IV} dimers. [Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}(bpy\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}]ClO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{3−}·3CH\textsubscript{3}CN, (1·3CH\textsubscript{3}CN; bpy = 2,2′-bipyridine) has been compressed to 2.0 GPa whilst [Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}(bpy\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}]PF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{3−}·2CH\textsubscript{3}CN·1H\textsubscript{2}O, (2·2CH\textsubscript{3}CN·1H\textsubscript{2}O) could be measured crystallographically up to 4.55 GPa. The PF\textsubscript{6} salt of [Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}(bpy\textsubscript{4})\textsuperscript{3+}] has never been reported before while 1 has been reported as a hydrate and in a different crystallographic space group. The application of hydrostatic pressure imposes significant distortions and modifications in the structures of both complexes. In particular, in complex 1·3CH\textsubscript{3}CN the Mn–Mn separation is reduced by the contraction of some of the Mn–O bond lengths, whilst in 2·2CH\textsubscript{3}CN·1H\textsubscript{2}O the Mn–O–Mn bridging angles and the Mn–O bond lengths are substantially unchanged. Interestingly 2·2CH\textsubscript{3}CN·1H\textsubscript{2}O also shows a constant contraction in nearly all the Mn–N bonds. The magnetic behaviour of the complexes has been measured up to 0.87 GPa for 1·3CH\textsubscript{3}CN and 0.84 GPa for 2·2CH\textsubscript{3}CN·1H\textsubscript{2}O.
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Introduction

In the early 1950s [1], in a study that began with copper acetate, it was discovered that it was possible to determine a theoretical expression that relates the magnetic susceptibility as function of temperature to various structural parameters. Perhaps one of the most influential breakthroughs in the field was the discovery in the 1970s [2] of the relationship between the coupling constant \(J\) and the Cu–O–Cu bridging angle \((\alpha)\) in hydroxo-bridged Cu\textsuperscript{II} dimers. This was an important result because it facilitated estimation of the nature and magnitude of the magnetic interactions between metal centres in new complexes simply from initial crystallographic observations. In particular an antiferromagnetic interaction is to be expected if \(\alpha > 97.5^\circ\), and a ferromagnetic interaction is to be expected if \(\alpha < 97.5^\circ\). Since this discovery, much work has been done to improve and enhance this result, and to achieve similar magneto-structural correlations for different families of dinuclear systems containing first row transition metals [3 – 10]. Such studies require the synthesis of several analogous compounds, and this can lead to some practical difficulties because it may not be simple to prepare a large library of molecules with the same magnetic core. To achieve this, different organic co-ligands, solvents and/or counter ions must be employed, and their role assumed to be purely innocent, i.e. bar changes in geometry, they have no direct influence on the magnetism.

The ideal solution would be to twist, bend and stretch exactly the same molecule. Chemically this is essentially impossible, but with advances in technology a suitable alternative that is becoming more
widely available is the application of “external” hydrostatic pressure. Science at extreme conditions has developed greatly in recent years affording suitable techniques on molecular systems are somewhat less common [28–32].

Despite the availability of these exciting new tools, there are, to the best of our knowledge, only a handful of studies that combine high-pressure single crystal X-ray diffraction and high-pressure SQUID magnetometry [33–37]. These reports demonstrate that by the application of pressure it is possible to distort intra-molecular bond lengths and angles significantly, and that these structural changes are manifested in a concomitant change in the magnetic behaviour. In this paper we focus our high-pressure study on two mixed-valent oxo-bridged MnIII/MnIV dimers, a family of compounds that has attracted interest from both a magnetic and biological viewpoint [38].

**Results and Discussion**

**Description of structures**

[Mn2O2(bpy)4](ClO4)3·3CH3CN, (I·3CH3CN; bpy = 2,2’-bipyridine) has been previously reported as a hydrate in the monoclinic space group P21/c [39]. The structures of 1·3CH3CN and [Mn2O2(bpy)4]·(PF6)3·2CH3CN·1H2O, (2·2CH3CN·1H2O) are ana-

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure, GPa</th>
<th>ambient</th>
<th>1.00</th>
<th>2.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T, K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M_r</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.188</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size, mm³</td>
<td>0.23 × 0.18 × 0.11</td>
<td>0.14 × 0.12 × 0.08</td>
<td>0.14 × 0.12 × 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
<td>triclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a, Å</td>
<td>13.2108(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.969(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b, Å</td>
<td>13.7331(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.5755(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c, Å</td>
<td>16.0434(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.7245(14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α, deg</td>
<td>65.4150(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>64.275(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β, deg</td>
<td>72.0270(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>71.926(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ, deg</td>
<td>83.1960(10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>83.282(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D_{calc}, g cm⁻³</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength; λ, Å</td>
<td>MoKα: 0.7</td>
<td>synchrotron;</td>
<td>synchrotron;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ, mm⁻¹</td>
<td>0.7073</td>
<td>0.4850</td>
<td>0.48650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000), e</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>1214</td>
<td>1214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hkl range</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h ≤ k ≤ +18</td>
<td>–18 ≤ h ≤ +18</td>
<td>–13 ≤ h ≤ +13</td>
<td>–13 ≤ h ≤ +13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–15 ≤ k ≤ +15</td>
<td>–13 ≤ k ≤ +13</td>
<td>–13 ≤ k ≤ +13</td>
<td>–13 ≤ k ≤ +13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–16 ≤ l ≤ +16</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–17 ≤ l ≤ +17</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
<td>–14 ≤ l ≤ +14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ_{max}, deg</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. unique</td>
<td>76562</td>
<td>15556</td>
<td>11178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refl. unique</td>
<td>14287</td>
<td>4212</td>
<td>2959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{int}</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Param. refined</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R [F ≥ 2σ(F)]/wR(F)</td>
<td>0.050 / 0.052</td>
<td>0.093 / 0.069</td>
<td>0.085 / 0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GoF(F)</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δρ_{obs} (max / min), e Å⁻³</td>
<td>1.09 / –1.08</td>
<td>0.59 / –0.38</td>
<td>0.56 / –0.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

![Fig. 1. The molecular structure of the complex cation in 1·3CH3CN and 2·2CH3CN·1H2O. Colour scheme: Mn = violet, C = gold, O = red, N = blue. Counter ions, H atoms and solvent molecules omitted for clarity.](image-url)
logous (Fig. 1), containing a central mixed-valent \([\text{Mn}^{III}\text{Mn}^{IV}O_2]\) asymmetric rhomb of two Mn ions bridged by two \(\mu\)-O\(^2\)\(^-\) ligands. Two bpy ligands fulfill the octahedral coordination around each Mn atom, with charge balance maintained by the presence of three \([\text{ClO}_4]\)\(^-\) ions (1·3\text{CH}_3\text{CN}), or three \([\text{PF}_6]\)\(^-\) ions (2·2\text{CH}_3\text{CN}·1\text{H}_2\text{O}). Bond length considerations (see Tables 4 and 5) and BVS calculations reveal Mn1 to be 3\(^+\) and Mn2 to be 4\(^+\). In each case the Jahn-Teller axis of the Mn\(^{3+}\) ion is defined by the N–Mn–N vector which is approximately perpendicular to the \([\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_2]\) plane. The Mn–Mn separation and the O–Mn–O bridging angles are 2.7058(4) Å, 96.42(7)°, and 96.09(7)° in 1·3\text{CH}_3\text{CN} and 2.7177(7) Å, 97.00(11)°, and 96.97(11)° in 2·2\text{CH}_3\text{CN}·1\text{H}_2\text{O}.

There are three \text{CH}_3\text{CN} molecules of solvation per dimer in the crystal of 1·3\text{CH}_3\text{CN}, two \text{CH}_3\text{CN} and one \text{H}_2\text{O} molecule in the crystal of 2·2\text{CH}_3\text{CN}·1\text{H}_2\text{O}. In each case the solvent molecules and anions are hydrogen-bonded to the protons of the bpy rings at distances of approximately 2.6 Å, with the closest inter-cluster interactions being between staggered \(\pi\)-\(\pi\)-stacked bpy rings at approximately 3.6 Å (C···C). Packing diagrams illustrating the views along the three crystallographic axes are shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

Refinement of the high-pressure crystal structures

Although it was possible to refine the data of compound 1·3\text{CH}_3\text{CN} with all three solvent molecules at every pressure, the same could not be achieved for 2·2\text{CH}_3\text{CN}·1\text{H}_2\text{O}, and the SQUEEZE [40] routine was used to treat one \text{CH}_3\text{CN} molecule and the water molecule at ambient pressure and all the solvent at high pressure. The input to the SQUEEZE procedure consists of the ordered part of the structure; voids in the ordered model are located, and an electron density synthesis calculated in these void regions. The electron density found is back transformed into ‘solvent’ contributions to the structure factors. The results of the SQUEEZE calculations are reported in Table 3, which lists the volume of the solvent region and the number of electrons (per cell) treated by the procedure.

The solvent in the crystal structure of 2·2\text{CH}_3\text{CN}·1\text{H}_2\text{O} was badly disordered (even at high pressure), and little progress could be made during refinement using partial-occupancy atomic models. However, the SQUEEZE routine is based on a Fourier transformation, and this will be distorted if a data set is not complete. For this reason the SQUEEZE routine can be unstable when used with high-pressure data, since the pressure...
cell body results in shading of the diffraction pattern, leading to data sets of low completeness. The data in Table 3 illustrate some of the disadvantages of using the SQUEEZE routine with high-pressure data. The volume of the solvent void treated in the ambient-pressure refinement was larger than in the high-pressure refinements because one MeCN molecule was modelled explicitly. Nevertheless the electron count is similar at ambient pressure and 0.45 GPa whereas it should be bigger to account for the extra MeCN molecules per ambient pressure and 0.45 GPa whereas it should be consistent across each data set. If this assumption is correct the abrupt increase in the electron count at 3.00 GPa does appear to indicate that some surrounding hydrostatic medium is being pushed into the crystal voids. A similar effect has been observed in the porous zeolitic imidazole framework ZIF-8 at high pressure [41].

Effect of pressure on structures

The unit cells of both compounds contract as pressure increases (Tables 1 and 2). It should be noted that compound 1-3CH3CN has a slightly larger cell volume at 0.18 GPa and 300 K (2517.49(9) Å³) than at ambient pressure and 150 K (2536.23(3) Å³); in this case 0.18 GPa of pressure is not enough to overcome thermal expansion. Two perchlorate anions which are disordered in the low-temperature, ambient-pressure structure become ordered at 0.18 GPa of pressure is not enough to overcome thermal expansion.

Table 3. Crystal structure data for 2-2CH3CN-H2O as function of pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure, GPa</th>
<th>ambient</th>
<th>0.45</th>
<th>1.23</th>
<th>1.75</th>
<th>3.00</th>
<th>4.55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume, Å³</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>2-1CH3CN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N- e- per cell</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>2-1CH3CN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymmetric unit modeled after SQUEEZE applied</td>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>2-1CH3CN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The unit cells of both compounds contract as pressure increases (Tables 1 and 2). It should be noted that compound 1-3CH3CN has a slightly larger cell volume at 0.18 GPa and 300 K (2517.49(9) Å³) than at ambient pressure and 150 K (2536.23(3) Å³); in this case 0.18 GPa of pressure is not enough to overcome thermal expansion. Two perchlorate anions which are disordered in the low-temperature, ambient-pressure structure become ordered at 0.18 GPa. Perchlorate an-
ions are notoriously susceptible to disorder even at low temperature, as seen here for 1·3CH₃CN at 150 K, and it is notable that in this case high pressure was a more effective means to force the structure to become ordered, even at ambient temperature.

Intermolecular interactions are generally weak, and the compression in the crystal of 1·3CH₃CN is mainly driven by the reduction of the voids (Figs. 4 and 5). Void volumes can be estimated using a tool available in PLATON [42], and at 0.18 GPa the void/volume ratio is approximately 4.5% of the total unit cell volume, whereas at 2.00 GPa the ratio has decreased to 3% (a probe radius of 0.8 Å was used for these calculations). The same observation cannot be made for compound 2·2CH₃CN·1H₂O because of the uncertainty related to the presence or absence of solvent molecules.

Distortions of the intramolecular bond lengths and angles in 1·3CH₃CN are also observed (Table 4). The Mn–Mn distance contracts from 2.7058(4) Å at ambient pressure to 2.676(4) Å at 2 GPa, likely due to the significant contraction of both Mn–O4 bonds. Alterations to the Mn–O3 distances are statistically insignificant and so is the behaviour of the Mn1–O4–Mn2 angle. There are also significant changes in the Mn1(Mn3⁺)–N bond lengths, which see two of the four decreasing and one (N32) increasing (Table 4). The Jahn-Teller axis (N41–Mn1–N29), is significantly shortened as the Mn1–N29 bond contracts from 2.222(18) Å down to 2.142(6) Å. For Mn2 (Mn4⁺) the changes are less significant, with the exception of the Mn1–N5 bond which increases from 2.078(5) at 0.18 GPa to 2.144(8)Å at 2.00 GPa.

2·2CH₃CN·1H₂O shows essentially analogous behaviour: the Mn–Mn distance is contracted from 2.7177(7) Å at ambient pressure to 2.672(3) Å at 4.55 GPa. It seems likely that this change should be accompanied by a decrease in the Mn–O distances and Mn–O–Mn angles, but, because the standard un-
certainties at high pressure are large, the variations observed (Table 5) are not statistically significant. For Mn1(Mn3+), all four bonds to the N atoms shrink significantly (Table 5), and the same trend is observed in three of the four Mn2(Mn4+)--N bonds. These bond length changes are somewhat unusual as all our previous studies on transition metal cluster complexes have shown them to be somewhat resistant to pressure effects [36, 37]. Our attempts to analyse the structures at higher pressures were unfortunately hindered by the degradation of the crystals.

Effect of pressure on magnetism

Variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility data were collected on 1·3CH3CN and 2·2CH3CN·1H2O in the temperature range 350–20 K in an applied field of 1 kG at ambient and three different pressures and are plotted as the χmT product versus T in Figs. 6 and 7. The magnetic behaviour of 1·3CH3CN and 2·2CH3CN·1H2O under ambient pressure is analogous to that previously reported for the monoclinic analogue of 1·3CH3CN [43]. Simulations of the susceptibility data (Figs. 4 and 5) using the Hamiltonian of Eq. 1 suggest strong antiferromagnetic exchange in 1·3CH3CN (J = −177 cm−1, g = 1.96) and in 2 (J = −185 cm−1, g = 1.98) [44].

\[ \hat{H} = -2J(\hat{S}_1 \cdot \hat{S}_2) \]  

At all pressures and low temperatures (T < 100 K) the value of χmT for both complexes is constant at ~0.36 cm³ K mol⁻¹. Above 100 K the value then increases steadily with temperature reaching values of 0.66 cm³ K mol⁻¹ (1·3CH3CN) and 0.64 cm³ K mol⁻¹ (2·2CH3CN·1H2O) at 350 K. As can be seen from Figs. 6 and 7 the variable pressure data sets are essentially super-imposable on
the ambient pressure data. The independence of the magnetic properties from pressure (up to 0.87 GPa for 1·3CH3CN and 0.84 GPa for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O) is consistent with the minimal structural change below 1 GPa. Unfortunately, a lack of technology does not allow us to measure the susceptibility at the higher pressures where the major structural changes occur [33, 34, 36, 37]. This work will require the design of new pressure cells which is on-going.

**Conclusion**

We have presented a rare example of the combined use of high-pressure magnetometry and high-pressure single-crystal X-ray crystallography to study two dinuclear oxo-bridged mixed-valent MnIII/MnIV complexes. Magnetic data were recorded up to 0.87 GPa for 1·3CH3CN and 0.84 GPa for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O, with structural data available up to 2.00 GPa for 1·3CH3CN and 4.55 GPa for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O. The significant decrease in the cell volumes below 1 GPa occur via the compression of interstitial voids, with little change in the intra-molecular bond lengths and angles, and consequently there are no changes in the variable temperature susceptibility. Above 1 GPa the metal-metal separations decrease, and there are changes in both the bond lengths and bond angles that, in average, tend to decrease. The development of a new low-background pressure cell suitable for magnetic measurements above 1.0 GPa is in progress to investigate the magnetic behaviour of these complexes.
samples in the pressure range where structural modifications occur.

**Experimental Section**

All reactions were carried out in aerobic conditions using materials as received with no further purifications. [Mn2O2(bpy)4][ClO4]3·3CH3CN (1·3CH3CN) and [Mn2O2(bpy)4][PF6]3·2CH3CN·H2O (2·2CH3CN·1H2O) were made as previously described [45].

**General method**

A solution of 4.3 g Mn(OAc)2·4H2O (OAc = CH3COO) (17.5 mmol) in 60 mL of H2O was added to 8.2 g of 2,2′-bipyridine (52.5 mmol) in 30 mL of acetone with stirring. 80 mL of 1 M acetate buffer (pH = 5) was then added to the yellow solution, followed by 1.18 g of KMnO4 (7.5 mmol) in 50 mL of H2O. The resulting green solution was stirred for 15 min before NaClO4 (4.5 g, 36.8 mmol) was added to precipitate the product as a green powder. The product was then washed with ethanol and diethyl ether, and then recrystallised from acetonitrile to give brown-green crystals. – Anal. for C46H41Cl3Mn2N11O14: calcd. C 46.50, H 3.48, N 11.79; found C 44.12, H 3.26, N 11.88.

**X-Ray structure determination**

High-pressure single-crystal experiments were carried out using a Merrill-Bassett diamond anvil cell (half-opening angle 40°) [46], equipped with Bohler-Almax diamonds with 600 μm culets and a tungsten gasket [16]. Petroleum ether was used as hydrostatic medium for all the compounds. A small ruby chip was loaded into the cell as the pressure calibrant with the ruby fluorescence used to measure the pressure [47]. Diffraction data were collected using synchrotron radiation of wavelength λ = 0.4865 Å for 1·3CH3CN and 0.4863 Å for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O at r.t. on a Bruker Smart APEX II [12] diffractometer at Station 9.8 at SRS, Daresbury Laboratory [19]. Data collection and processing followed the procedures established by Dawson et al. [13]. Integrations were carried out using the program SAINT [48] and absorption corrections with the programs SADABS [49] and SHADE [14]. A key step in improving the data fitting in the structures of 2·2CH3CN·1H2O was masking of the gasket lines and the use of robust-resistant weighting during data merging [50]. Data collections were taken at 0.18, 1.00, and 2.00 GPa for 1·3CH3CN and at 0.45, 1.23, 1.75, 3.00, and 4.55 GPa for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O. Refinements of the compressed form of 1·3CH3CN and 2·2CH3CN·1H2O were carried out starting from the coordinates obtained from a separate data collection carried out under ambient pressure and T = 150 K using MoKα radiation on a Bruker Smart diffractometer [51]. The anomalous scattering factors and mass absorption coefficients were calculated with WINGX [52]. The program CRYSTALS [53] was used to refine the structures against F using all reflections with I > 2σ(I).

In the ambient-pressure refinement of 1·3CH3CN the perchlorate anion based on C15S8Cl63 is disordered in the ratio 60:40 over two orientations that share a common plane of three O atoms. The third anion, based on Cl55 was modelled with one ordered Cl–O axis, whilst the remaining three oxygen atoms disordered over three different orientations in the 45:35:20 ratio were restrained to be tetrahedral.

Due to the low completeness of the high-pressure data sets, the aromatic rings in 1·3CH3CN were treated as rigid bodies. All the perchlorate anions in 1·3CH3CN were ordered at high pressure, but tetrahedral restraints were applied. With the exception of Cl and Mn, all atoms were refined isotropically.

In 2·2CH3CN·1H2O all non-H atoms were modelled with anisotropic displacement parameters. Similarity restraints were applied to chemically equivalent bond lengths and angles of the bipy ligands and PF6 anions. At ambient pressure it was possible to model one molecule of CH3CH explicitly with the remaining solvent being treated with the SQUEEZE routine [40]. All the solvent was modeled using SQUEEZE in the high-pressure refinements.

Refinement parameters are reported in Tables 1 and 2. Void diagrams were calculated [42] and visualised with MERCURY [54, 55]. The structures and packing diagrams were drawn using DIAMOND [55].

CCDC 763230–763239 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

**Magnetic measurements**

Variable-temperature dc magnetic susceptibility measurements were made on a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (SQUID magnetometer) equipped with a 7 T magnet operating in the 350–2 K temperature range. Diamagnetic corrections were applied using Pascal’s constants. For the high-pressure magnetic measurements a cell of piston-cylinder design was used [17]. The body of the pressure cell was made of CuBe alloy. A pellet of sample was put in the cell using Daphne 7373 oil (IDEMITSU-ILS) as the pressure-transmitting medium. Data were collected at 0.32, 0.54, and 0.87 GPa for 1·3CH3CN and at 0.36, 0.58, 0.84 GPa for 2·2CH3CN·1H2O. The program MAGPACK [56] was used to simulate the susceptibility.
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