The ROTOЯ partnership between Huddersfield Art Gallery and the University of Huddersfield was established in 2011. ROTOЯ 1 and II was a programme of eight exhibitions and accompanying events that commenced in 2012 and was completed in 2013. ROTOЯ continues into 2014 and the programme for 2015 and 2016 is already firmly underway. In brief, the aim of ROTOЯ is to improve the cultural vitality of Kirklees, expand audiences, and provide new ways for people to engage with and understand academic research in contemporary art and design.

Why ROTOЯ, Why Now?

As Vice Chancellors position their institutions’ identities and future trajectories in context to national and international league tables, Professor John Goddard proposes the notion of the ‘civic’ university as a ‘place embedded’ institution; one that is committed to ‘place making’ and which recognises its responsibility to engaging with the public. The civic university has deep institutional connections to different social, cultural and economic spheres within its locality and beyond.

A fundamental question for both the university sector and cultural organisations alike, including local authority, is how the many different articulations of public engagement and cultural leadership which exist can be brought together to form one coherent, common language. It is critical that we reach out and engage the community so we can participate in local issues, impact upon society, help to forge well-being and maintain a robust cultural economy.

Within the lexicon of public centered objectives sits the Arts Council England’s strategic goals, and those of the Arts and Humanities Research Council – in particular its current Cultural Value initiative. What these developments reveal is that art and design education and professional practice, its projected oeuvre as well as its relationship to cultural life and public funding, is now challenged with having to comprehensively audit its usefulness in financially austere times.

Further Acknowledgements: Huddersfield Art Gallery, especially Ruth Gamble and Grant Scanlan, Graham Scourfield, Nicholas Taylor, Nicky Senior, Alan Keown.
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A fundamental question for both the university sector and cultural organisations alike, including local authority, is how the many different articulations of public engagement and cultural leadership which exist can be brought together to form one coherent, common language. It is critical that we reach out and engage the community so we can participate in local issues, impact upon society, help to forge well-being and maintain a robust cultural economy.

Within the lexicon of public centered objectives sits the Arts Council England’s strategic goals, and those of the Arts and Humanities Research Council – in particular its current Cultural Value initiative. What these developments reveal is that art and design education and professional practice, its projected oeuvre as well as its relationship to cultural life and public funding, is now challenged with having to comprehensively audit its usefulness in financially austere times. It was in the wake of these concerns coming to light, and of the 2010 Government Spending Review that ROTOЯ was conceived.

These issues and the discussions surrounding them are not completely new. Research into the social benefits of the arts, for both the individual and the community, was championed by the Community Arts Movement in the 1960s. During the 1980s and ‘90s, John Myerscough and Janet Wolff, amongst others, provided significant debate on the role and value of the arts in the public domain. What these discussions demonstrated was a growing concern that the cultural sector could not, and should not, be understood in terms of economic benefit alone. Thankfully, the value of the relationships between art, education, culture and society is now recognised as being far more complex than the reductive quantification of their market and GDP benefits. Writing in ‘Art School (Propositions for the 21st Century)’, Ernesto Pujol proposes:

‘…it is absolutely crucial that art schools consider their institutional role in support of democracy. The history of creative expression is linked to the history of freedom. There is a link between the state of artistic expression and the state of democracy.’

When we were approached by Huddersfield Art Gallery to work collaboratively on an exhibition programme that could showcase academic staff research, one of our first concerns was to ask the
Can artists as researchers use the [public] exhibition space as a laboratory for research?

One of the main challenges we faced was in aligning our research objectives with those of a municipal gallery. The aura of public engagement that art might underwrite in our annual reports or website news is one thing, but what really makes a difference is a towns cultural identity and the offices people in their daily lives. With these questions in mind we sought a distinctive programme within the municipal gallery space that would introduce academic research in art, design and architecture beyond the university in innovative ways.

It was important for ROTOЯ to be consistent with the composition of the school and our academic professions, which resulted in the exhibition of design and architecture, along with the more familiar contemporary art exhibitions. With a desire to demonstrate our commitment to research and the School’s portfolio, while presenting work in an educational workshops and bespoke visitor feedback channels. A key objective for ROTOЯ was to create dialogue and debate with the Gallery’s existing audience, and at the same time develop a new audience, perhaps one from further afield. In the spirit of ROTOЯ we especially selected exhibitions that valued participation and transition, the creation of images and the montage of elements, new connections are forged.

ROTOЯ reflects the multifaceted nature of our intentions, its title at once a palindrome and a metaphor. Herbert Marcuse argued that the role and knowledge of the artist is a complex problem in contemporary society. The more advanced people are from their inner needs, he suggested, the more fragmented they are in relation to the society in which they live and work. Likewise, the more society becomes alienated from the experience of art, the more people may resist it on the grounds of it being too obscure to benefit daily life. This is the artist’s dilemma, and a dilemma that still faces art and design education today. Daniel Burnen points out ‘[...] anyone who has the courage and the foolishness to show what they have done to others, and in public on top of that, opens the door to analyses, to commentaries, to criticisms and to praise.’

Including inclusive opportunity for conversation was central to ROTOЯ’s rationale. Robota notes in her essay, ‘Artistic practice as research takes us through the specificities of a singular practice as a means of thinking the world. By means of the jumps that can be made through combination, juxtaposition and translation, the creation of images and the montage of elements, new connections are forged.’

ROTOЯ was a dynamic propeller blade refreshing and responding to our practice is carried out. In this respect we wanted the programme to be publicly-aware and accessible to all. Through ROTOЯ we perceived these challenges as a positive fisson which brought different sensibilities and expectations together towards a joint aim. Therefore, from the outset, the partnership introduced a model for interpreting and accessing each exhibition. These included Gallery staff and University staff working together on an exhibition interpretation: a public presentation by each exhibitor during the preview night, reading groups which were formed around each exhibition, and a student ambassador programme – to enable students to be trained, briefed and timetabled to give public tours about their future work. Exhibitions also featured a related film night held in the gallery, as well as educational workshops and bespoke visitor feedback channels.
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Back in 2004 Charlesworth also proposed the most important aspect in the debate between art education and society is identifying the critical rallying points around which a younger generation of practitioners might form themselves as a constituency. 15

Nicholas Bourriaud’s Relational Aesthetics seems now so prevalent in the art school curriculum, suggests conviviality and community engagement is now the mark of the publicly engaged artist, where artists’ ‘good deeds’, or community events, are aestheticised into a relational outcome. In 2002 Bourriaud writes:

Many of the UK’s leading artists and designers are employed by universities

Social utopias and revolutionary hopes have given way to everyday micro-utopias and initiative strategies, an stance that is a “directly” critical of society is futile, if based on the illusion of a marginality that is nowadays impossible, not to say regressive. 16

Bourriaud’s point is that actively being ‘local’ is crucially important: that the artist needs to be placed in a micro-politics of difference and to participate in the organisation of communal needs. Pujol perhaps best sums up the relational turn art education has taken over the last twenty years when he writes:

Although art education is a site-specific process and cultural product, a sharing of fields, which I have organised into three specific categories: the curriculum, the faculty, and the community. 17

In his writing, Bourriaud brings subjectivity into play to defend the strategy of ‘Relational Aesthetics’ as a protector of difference in society. In his writings, Bourriaud brings subjectivity into play to defend the strategy of ‘Relational Aesthetics’ as a protector of difference in society.

The ROTOЯ programme mirrors some of these elements and like the ICA partnership provides opportunities for creative exchange, investigation and discussion between practitioners and audiences, as well as a fruitful dialogue with students engaged in critical thinking around contemporary practice, notions of informal learning, as well as formulating new ideas and theories. 18

To conclude, universities are perceived as key economic and cultural drivers and are increasingly significant shakers of cultural experiences to the public. Many of the UK’s leading artists and designers, which include our colleagues, are employed by the university sector while being engaged in public-centred professional practice.

ROTOЯ has now established its own identity and presence in the field of contemporary practice, notions of informal learning, as well as formulating new ideas and theories. 19

ROTOЯ has now established its own identity and presence in the field of contemporary practice, notions of informal learning, as well as formulating new ideas and theories.
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Fragments of a vessel which are to be glued together must match one another in the smallest details, although they need not be like one another.” - Walter Benjamin

Lisa Stansbie’s Flight brings to mind either a complex jigsaw puzzle or detective novel – both forms of representation where the image, structure or story requires the commitment and sensitivity of the reader-participant for its completion. Whilst such an active collaborator is necessary with respect to any and all works of art, one’s consciousness of the participatory requirements of Stansbie’s work is an implicit feature of its construction. This is both an attractive aspect of her practice, giving the viewer a heightened role in the work’s fabrication, and, arguably, a frightening or disturbing one. The viewer may ask: if indeed they are capable of making the work work, of setting the machine in motion so as to generate a comprehensible assemblage of interlocking parts, Stansbie’s multipart installations require not so much a reader or viewer as a performer or interpreter. In The Open Work, Umberto Eco makes reference to works of art involving processes which, instead of relying on a univocal, necessary sequence of events, open to all sorts of operative choices and interpretations.  

Stansbie’s playful but precise staging of multiple elements seem most aptly described by Eco’s remark: A few lines from Georges Perec’s disquisition on jigsaw puzzles may also be helpful here: with such puzzles:

‘... element’s existence does not precede the existence of the whole, it comes neither before nor after it, the parts do not determine the pattern, but the pattern determines the parts: knowledge of the pattern and of its law, of the set and its structure, could not possibly be derived from discrete knowledge of the elements that compose it’.

To apply these observations to Stansbie’s Flight is to suggest that decipherment of the broader picture is what one should aim for – each individual component being simultaneously a kind of mystery or puzzle within itself and a clue to a higher or more extensive.
Baker remarks, ‘Straight from the store’, presents the models as diagrams of themselves arranged in series. Instead, Stansbie undermines their representational function in a number of ways, notably by connecting together the components in a deliberately disorderly way, perhaps combining pieces from several individual kits into a single representational (though ‘abstract’) field. Additionally, the kits are employed as ‘readymade’ elements by being wall-mounted as complete kits. To place the pristine kit upon the wall in this fashion, gives an equal status to both the projected craft and the supporting structure in which individual components are held, in fact to refuse the hierarchy of the model and its attached packaging. Instead, art presents a path or staircase, as the act of a labourer or an artist, a flight or journey towards a specific place or position, but also a zigzagging or shifting about, a path or staircase, as the act of a displacement or escape.

The jigsaw analogy becomes considerably more complicated when one begins to classify the individual elements the artist employs. For example, Airfix models of aircraft are clearly a central signifier of actual machines. The Wings, an actual-size bar complete with convincing looking liquor glasses, a chair, beer mats and other sundry bric-a-brac, suggests the adult pleasures of alcoholic inebriation and a different kind of distortion, as pieces of the broader ‘puzzle’, so that in a sense the work is in fact comprised of jigsaw within jigsaw, the whole of the installation being akin to an archaeological dig in which some of the found materials (toy) have been restored in a questionable or uncertain manner. Thus the model aircraft invokes the child in his bedroom, hobbies, and nostalgia for ostensibly pointless pursuits, then the presence of The Wings, an actual-size bar complete with convincing looking liquor glasses, a chair, beer mats and other sundry bric-a-brac, suggests the adult pleasures of alcoholic inebriation and a different kind of distortion, as pieces of the broader ‘puzzle’, so that in a sense the work is in fact comprised of jigsaw within jigsaw, the whole of the installation being akin to an archaeological dig in which some of the found materials (toy) have been restored in a questionable or uncertain manner. The viewer is therefore asked to consider the act of taking apart the ‘evidence’ as much as ‘merely’ arranging it into a meaningful order.

Notes

5. The jigsaw puzzle was invented by Spilsbury, J. in 1767.
7. Jean-François Champollion (1790-1832) decoded in 1822, the hieroglyphs on the Rosetta Stone, now in the British Museum. Michael Ventris (1922-1956) was responsible, in 1952, for the decoding of the Greek script known as Linear B. For an awareness of both these major acts of deconstruction see Duthie, E. (1987) Voices in Stone, Duckworth; Arthur Conan Doyle’s fictional detective, Sherlock Holmes, first appeared in print in 1887.

Fabrication. This is not however to claim that Flight embodies a single, simple or true meaning waiting in the wings, as it were, to be realised and recognised. The ambiguity inherent in Flight’s title is to be taken seriously. A flight or journey towards a specific place or position, but also a zigzagging or shifting about, a path or staircase, as the act of a displacement or escape.
Perhaps the most arresting aspect of this stimulating exhibition is the feedback from the public, the consumers of fashion rather than the makers. There’s a sense of revelation and wonder to the comments they leave behind. These outsiders are being let into a fashion-insiders’ secret, the alchemy at the heart of one of the most glamorous industries in the world. Led to believe that the journey from designer’s sketch pad to model’s back is a short and easy one, they are suddenly introduced to the engineering, to the technical skill, to the disciplined mastery of line and volume, to the measuring and pinning, to the problem-solving, rule-breaking and innovation that turns concepts into clothes. They are meeting the pattern-cutter.

Along with some fairly repetitive superlatives — fantastic, amazing, stunning, breathtaking — gallery-goers use the words, insight, illuminating, inspired and inspiring, intricate, whimsical, subtle, complex, challenging, eclectic, weird, dramatic and sculptural. The small collection of archive black dresses demonstrates how cut is fashion is historical moment. And then the calico toile by final-year students that are the heart of exhibition reveal with great clarity the structure of the garments they have designed, a structure that out in the world, on a catwalk or in a shop window, is usually obscured by the texture, colour and pattern of the fabric, by the decorations, trims and notions used for the finished garment. Of course, the magickally complex garments in the exhibition are not in the normal run of clothing. They are bravura displays of the lyrical possibilities of the pattern-cutter’s art. Many are simply beautiful but others have wit and mischief, putting one in mind of those great experimental pattern-cutters, the Japanese. It is no accident that one of the strongest influences on young pattern-cutters is Prof Tomoko Nakamichi of Bunka Fashion College in Tokyo whose Pattern Magic and Pattern Magic 2 books (Laurence King Publishing) are required reading for all students of fashion for here are many, many ways to create flattery, illusion and mischief.

From Issey Miyake’s independent-life, bouncy dancing dresses and ‘transformer’ garments — now one thing now with the shrug of the shoulders, quite another – to Rei Kawakubo’s deconstructed, reconstructed ‘interventions in space’ and Yohji Yamamoto’s spherical body cages and beyond to the next generation of Japanese designers, these are designers who understand pattern-cutting and work hip to hip with their pattern-cutters developing endlessly enchanting
novelties which use the human body as an armature just as a sculptor does – or as a frame just as an architect would.

In his book exploring the close relationship between architecture and fashion, The Fashion of Architecture, Bradley Quinn quotes the architect and theorist of the Modern Movement, Adolf Loos’ 1898 essay, ‘The Principle of Dressing’ in which he asserts the primacy of the construction of clothing in mankind’s creative struggle for shelter.

‘Young architects, he suggested, should study textiles and clothing. This is the correct and logical path to be followed in architecture. It was in this sequence that mankind learned how to build. In the beginning was dressing.’ Quinn comments, irrespective of their modern permutations and respective roles as micro- and macro-structures, both disciplines remain rooted to the basic task of enclosing space around the human form.

There was a time back in the twentieth century when the most interesting fashion designers seemed to have studied for a degree in architecture. – Pierre Cardin, Roberto Capucci, Pablo Picasso, Gianfranco Ferré, Gianni Versace, Tom Ford – and their happy prescience with structure was very clear. But even those with a more conventional fashion education or with none, have acknowledged the pre-eminence of structure, for without it, where is shape, silhouette and volume? Where is eye-catching difference? Where is innovation? Where is fashion? The great innovators have not been sketchpad men or women; they have got down and dirty with seams and tucks, darts and interfaces. Look closely at the work of Paul Poiret, Madeleine Vionnet, Cristóbal Balenciaga, Charles James, Christian Dior, John Galliano, Hussein Chalayan, Alexander McQueen and you will find the same intensity of attention to spatial experimentation, to boundary-stretching and rule-breaking.

All of these have however been supported in their work by an overlooked cohort of craftmen and craftswomen whose training and tradition is not that of the fashion designer. Embedded in the atelier system of apprenticeships that paralleled very closely that of other trades and guilds, they were ever part of the infantry marching to the construction of clothing in mankind’s creative struggle for shelter.

The Fashion of Architecture, The Symposium will set itself to solve many of the problems surrounding this issue where the credit for creativity is publicly vested in one star ‘designer name’ and denied to all the members of the support team. I remember an event at Central Saint Martin’s a couple of years ago when the journalist, Sarah Mower, was slated to conduct a conversation with Marc Jacobs before an auditorium packed with design students. Waiting for it to start, we were surprised when two extra chairs were suddenly thrust on to the stage. Jacobs had insisted his shoe designer and his handbag designer accompanied him into the spotlight, giving credit where it is so rarely given, and enchanting the students who so desperately want to go work for him.

It could be taken as a long overdue beginning: Commercial enterprises that transfer star designers in the way of football teams but with less civility may not be able to hand out public accolades and vast salaries to the pattern-cutter but a system where there is a greater emphasis – not least and initially among the fashion press and bloggerati – of the input of the skilled and creative supporting cast is an excellent goal to be working for. Understandably the young, ambitious and fashion-bezzled will not long for a career as a pattern-cutter until that profession’s status is raised from ankle-length to somewhere, more finely, above the knee.

The dual approach demonstrated by Kevin Almond in the work of the exhibition and the forthcoming Creative Cut Symposium is a substantial and exciting step forward: the work in the show very much gives the gene away. It is both creative and technically accomplished. It could be taken as a long overdue beginning. Commercial enterprises that transfer star designers in the way of football teams but with less civility may not be able to hand out public accolades and vast salaries to the pattern-cutter but a system where there is a greater understanding – not least and initially among the fashion press and bloggerati – of the input of the skilled and creative supporting cast is an excellent goal to be working for. Understandably the young, ambitious and fashion-bezzled will not long for a career as a pattern-cutter until that profession’s status is raised from ankle-length to somewhere, more finely, above the knee.

Notes
2 Muir, J. in conversation with Brenda Polan.
3 Tyrrell, A. (29th May 1999), British Fashion Council, Drapers Record.

There were two possible routes for the educators to take. Make pattern-cutting the bedrock upon which their fashion degrees are built – or as Anne Tyrrell, Chair of the British Fashion Council’s Student Forum, suggested in 1999: ‘We must try to glamorise the field.’ Or maybe both.
Mining Couture is neither one thing nor another. It is neither here nor there. On entering the installation an appropriate response might be bemusement. It is not clear what kind of exhibition this is. Despite the obligatory wall-mounted introductory texts, the visitor is left uncertain as to where the artist Barber Swindells (in fact the collaborative duo Claire Barber and Steve Swindells) is coming from, what she/he is getting at, what the point of the show is.

On closer inspection and reflection one can identify a mix-up of all kinds of disparate disciplines. There are elements of clothes design, drawing, documentary video, photography, sculpture, nature studies, bouncy castle construction, social anthropology. There are references to the posh finesse of haute couture and to the nitty-gritty grind of the mining industry. It’s staged in a gallery so it must be art, but it’s far from evident what kind of art we are dealing with here.

A video monitor features a collage of fragments ranging through The Pitman Poets, National Coal Queen poses, dressmaking sessions and colliery closures. In an adjacent room a video is projected in blurred focus like an animated Gerhard Richter. On the sidelines there’s an ink and crayon sketch of 24 Hours at the Coalface by Malcolm East and, for some reason, a framed snap of a bull. A glass topped museum vitrine contains an assortment of leather glove exhibits including an exquisite miniature pair no larger than a fingernail or two. A caption informs us that in 1865 the Yeovil area was producing 421,000 dozen pairs of gloves a year and that fifteen to twenty women, mostly working from home, would be involved in sewing each pair. A notice-board wall is a mass of scraps: dressmaking patterns, sketchbook pages, iconic publicity shots of Marilyn Monroe and Marlon Brando, notes from a countryside trek: ‘12th October 2011 2oz of acorns picked from a single oak tree by the road to fishing pond.’

Then there are the enigmatic central exhibits. One to Twenty reproduces the design of a fireman’s leather glove (properly named a Firemaster) on a gigantic scale as a deflated inflatable playground sculpture. Ventilation Dress is a full-scale reproduction of an auxiliary fan (later known as a booster fan) used to boost the air supply to new coal mine seams. The sculptural fan however appears to breathe rhythmically and is clothed in a blue floral dress which is apparently an exact copy of the pattern of a dress once proudly worn by end Margaret Dominiak, the National Coal Queen for 1972. The wall-mounted texts draw my attention to the resemblance between the fan’s form and a human lung and mention conceptual clues of interconnection such as ‘seams’ and ‘fresh air’, but this could well mystify me even further.

And of course the puzzle gradually emerges as the whole point. Barber Swindells’ art isn’t meant to mean one thing to argue an issue, to illustrate a thesis. Its shifting focus and slipping form is a deliberate attempt to open up connections, to ask questions that are at times as imaginatively and even irreverently played as they are academically seriously and soberly researched. Just fancy coming across that breathing Ventilation Dress spot lit only by a helmet-mounted torch in an otherwise pitch dark mine shaft. Try to draw a narrative trajectory between Ventilation Dress and a photograph of Marilyn Monroe with her dress lifted around her thighs by the updraft from a New York subway grill. Then connect these to those petite kidskin gloves and a jotting that reads ‘Blackberries picked from Snibston spoil heap.’ Then again realize that this developing scenario is factually informed by the information that Pit Brow Lass dresses were traditionally dyed from natural sources collected at Snibston spoil heap, thus offering the local women a very particular look. Something resembling poetry starts to resonate.

Barber Swindells
Mining Couture
16 June - 11 August 2012
Reviewed by Robert Clark
The most clearly clashing elements of Barber Swindells’ works lie in the traditionally mutually exclusive genres of craft design and fine art. One is supposed to deal with practicalities and aesthetic pleasantries, the other with wayward flights of utterly non-utilitarian reverie. Then there’s the clash between the clear-cut responsibilities of sociological research and the open-ended improvisations of creative experiment. A further series of dislocations result from the fact that much of the Barber Swindells’ work was originally created as part of site-specific commissions and residencies at Snibston Discovery Museum and Yeovil Glove Factory before being installed within the culturally hallowed confines of Huddersfield Art Gallery. It’s almost as if the artists are attempting to creatively curate their own past work within this very different context. So, if the work looks somewhat out of place, it’s perhaps because in fact it is.

Intrepidly, Barber Swindells put differing things together to see what happens, what thoughts and interesting quandaries might be catalyzed. This is an art of ‘what if?’. The art of collage and assemblage has of course a long history stretching back through the twentieth century and beyond. When the surrelists championed Court de Lautréamont’s chance meeting on an operating table of a sewing machine and an umbrella they recognized an utterly new kind of marvellous beauty. The willed hybrids of surrealist might look somewhat predictable by now, but the aesthetics and thematic implications of collage remain one of the most potent trends of twenty-first century art. The centre no longer holds. Specialisms are only validated by a broader focus. Our universities are increasingly informed by multi-cultural and cross-associational studies. Mixed and multi-media artists proliferate and often blur the boundaries between documentary fact and fictional make-believe. On a daily basis perception is bombarded by more images and text fragments than at any time during the whole of human history. Artists put this next to that and the other to see what imaginative spark might link the space between them.

If the visitor to Mining Couture initially finds the show bewildering, maybe it’s because we live in a state of bewildering cultural multiplicities. The health of our cultural ecology depends on drawing imaginative interrelationships. It’s a matter of disorientation and reorientation, of thinking things through anew. Barber Swindells, like any artists worthy of the name, mirror aspects of the world in which we live. Today.
The Patrick Procktor retrospective exhibition at Huddersfield Art Gallery in 2012 gave an overall impression of an artist of profound distinction and achievement rooted in an integrity sustained over several decades (paradoxically evident even during his final years which were blighted by alcoholism and loneliness). Here was a probing portraitist of compassionate acuity, an authentic chronicler of his radically changing times, and a colourist of rare originality, audacity and grace. He possessed a quality which the painter John Craxton described as ‘the chic of facility’ — an uncanny ability to evoke a person, a place, a creature, still-life or a milieu with a gliding freshness; a disciplined spontaneity revealed in, say, a fluctuating watercolour wash impeccably expressing the languorous figure of a young man resting in sensual repose.

The art world reputation that had gathered around him over the years condensed in a kind of flamboyant frivolity and flippancy, a veneer of dilettante dilatoriness caused his true artistic standing to be gradually obscured and occluded; even at times critically undermined. (However, he did retain many faithful appreciative collectors and supporters, not least London’s Redfern Gallery which successfully exhibited his work throughout his career). The Huddersfield exhibition, along with Ian Massey’s 2010 monograph on the artist enabled us to realise – or at least to recall – that Procktor is an artist who we can, and should, take seriously, capable of awakening subtly pleasurable insights.

Proctor’s first exhibition at the Redfern Gallery in 1963 as a Slade graduate was a critical and commercial triumph; the critic Edvin...
Mullins then noted, ‘When I first saw his work some two months ago I was immediately struck that here was an artist of real stature.’ Fifty or so years on encountering this lifetime survey, our responses can now be as refreshingly open and vivid as Mullins’ were then, unhindered by decades of relative critical neglect and misunderstandings, and the kinds of snidely homophobic prejudices that too frequently marred the reception of his work over the years. I for one now happily concur with Mullins’ original evaluation.

His early ‘60s paintings have many sparkling, inventive intimations of an innately graceful sensibility in their depiction of balletic male nudes. These qualities may seem submerged under a weight of sombre impasto and the heavy existential seriousness and convoluted compositional complexities of a young ‘very tall, gangling, firework-display’ of an artist (as the renowned writer and curator Bryan Robertson characterised him) finding his way.

A delightful wing of the Huddersfield exhibition – though a centrally revealing one – was a wall of paintings (from the Kirklees Collection) by modern British artists who had inspired Procktor: an enchanting still-life by Christopher Wood; a vibrant mountainscape by David Bomberg; a fiercely tender assembly of male nudes in a Keith Vaughan gouache; and a tautly magisterial overview of *The Antique Room* at the Slade (1953) by Robert Medley. Bomberg’s example as a neglected visionary genius permeated Procktor’s experience at the Slade. Keith Vaughan never taught Procktor there but they became close friends. Procktor wrote, ‘I was very, very excited by his painting. I thought it was beautiful … He was the best painter of the male nude.’

Procktor’s meticulously pared-down though sometimes ecstatically diaphanous portraits from the mid-‘60s onwards were rooted in the sense of joyous liberation embraced in the period. In one portrait of a psychedelic green-and-yellow scarved Jimi Hendrix, the musician’s Afro hair is miraculously conjured up in a wild black watercolour wash. In a 1969 portrait in which Procktor’s handsome, pop star aspirant boyfriend Gervase Griffiths is seen absorbed in music on his headphones, the vibrant though minuscule detail of a single Moroccan Slipper (the picture’s title) perhaps hints at the phantasmagoric inner world Gervase has access to. The tactile and empathetic fluency, ‘the chic of facility’ of such pictures is surely equal to that achieved in Hockney’s more renowned portraits from the same period – as in Hockney’s own large acrylic portrait of Procktor himself standing in profile, cigarette in upraised hand, at home in *The Room, Manchester Street* (1967). The degree of evocative realistic clarity is astonishing in Procktor’s 1991 oil portrait of an introspective-looking young man, Richard Salley (a painter himself and Redfern Gallery director).

Procktor’s imagination was kindled by his long painting trips abroad. He wrote, ‘The light in Egypt is violet, in China daffodil, in Venice opalescent.’ The violet Egyptian light can be seen to permeate his exquisitely layered waterlandscape painting of *The Nile Near El-Balu* (1965), in which metallic paint is used to conjure up the sizzling heat haze of the mountains reflected in the water, whose colours run to deeper lilac-infused tones than those of the sky they mirror.

The aquatints that Procktor made following a trip to China in 1980 are masterpieces of dispassionate intimacy: in his distilled view of Peking’s Forbidden City (1980), architectural shapes and colours appear both theatrically monumental and elegantly spare and pristine in composition. A similar kind of spatial and colourist economy as well as an (understood) compassion for anyone immersed in such an apparently clinical environment is also evident in Procktor’s remarkable large-scale oil painting *Inside Old Holloway* (1974). It depicts the wire-enmeshed spiral stairscase descending to the immediately polished, gleaming blood-pink floor. Two indistinct yet somehow dignified appearing female inmates stand on the two bisecting prison landings. Further above, a muted expanse of blue is glimpsed through a hexagonal skylight, where grey metal bars, curiously branch-like in form, seem to reach beyond the confines of the prison. Perhaps they offer a transcendental allusion to the nature of freedom existing beyond the prison confines. The artist discloses a poignant, immediate beauty even in such a stark setting.

Notes

Artists in the late 1960s and 1970s who attributed the label ‘conceptual’ to created work that, for varying reasons, seemed to work to ease their own physicality. This was both a matter of these works’ ‘objectlessness’ (to use critical Michael Fried’s term coined at the time) and their ‘readability’ – that is, the matter of their being readable. But only in quite limited cases – two examples being Douglas Huebler and Joseph Kosuth – did conceptual artists attempt actively and systematically to eradicate these dual characteristics altogether from the works they produced: Huebler for instance, produced works consisting of only one line of text setting out a description or plan for an ‘artwork’. But this text, typed onto a bit of paper, was not the ‘work’ either. The ‘work’ was the instruction plan, which was, in essence, physically and visually intangible. Kosuth took this idea a stage further and produced whole essays as ‘works’, and in so doing attempted to erase the difference between an ‘artwork’ and a ‘work of philosophical reasoning’.

The legacy of these experiments for all artists, since the 1970s has been the creation of a repeated and ineluctable oscillation – both conscious in the artwork and in readings of the artwork – between focus on its physicality and visually and its conceptual meaning/implication. Jill Towner’s works in her exhibition Sisyphus at Haldenford Gallery exemplify the oscillation, or tension, and explore its diverse effects.

Towner’s Till Rolls (2011) for example, consists of 10,000 cash till rolls partly and differentially unrolled towards the sky, secured at the level of each till roll becoming evident and their visuality – that is, the matter of their being visible. To erase their own physicality. This was both a matter of these works’ ‘conceptualist’ created works that, for varying reasons, seemed to seek to erase the difference between an ‘artwork’ and a ‘work’ of philosophical reasoning.

Towner’s Sata 840 dramatizes these two antinomies. In this installation we see a video lasting over twenty hours, where the artist walks and then stands at the numbers between one and 840 with chalk on a blackboard. Based on a music score produced by Erik Satie though never performed in his lifetime, Sata 840 most evidentially brings Towner herself into the work. If the ancillary art of the anxiety of engines, has a rhetorical resolution of sorts with the work – the oscillation between reference and autonomy is transcended (or ‘superseded’ to use a category in dialectics) in the figure of agency that Towner herself here assumes. Structure is enabled, necessarily, by a process of structuring and structuring itself constructs structure (the central precept and insight of poststructuralist philosophy). But Gramsci’s antinomies were rooted in an analysis of twentieth century ideology and its power to motivate the working masses who should not miss the industrial-commercial materials, that Towner manipulates plastic spoons and the paper upon which till receipts are printed. Murders by Italian fascists in 1920, Gramsci’s sliding concern with the role of nationalism in the power to motivate the working masses who should have been won over by communism.’

3 See, for example, Podro, M. (1982), The Critical Historians of Art, Yale University Press.

4 The ancient myth of Sisyphus is that of the King of Corinth perpetually set to roll a boulder up a mountain only to have it roll down again.


7 See, for example, Podro, M. (1982), The Critical Historians of Art, Yale University Press.


All the works in Sisyphus allude to this question of society seen as a system – its principles of ordering and re-ordering. As a totality, however, society is in sub-title: it cannot be visualized, only imagined or partially figured. Towner, following in the footsteps of Heubler, continues an abstracted yet salient investigation into orders that are as open as visual, artistic, social and intellectual. Her works point toward the system’s totality, and toward the realities of its generative demise.

Notes


3See (for example, Kosuth, J.) 1970, Art After Philosophy and After, MIT Press.

4The common myth of Sisyphus is that of the King of Corinth perpetually set to roll a boulder up a mountain only to have it roll down again.

5See, for example, Podro, M. (1982), The Critical Historians of Art, Yale University Press.

6Writings, Lawrence and Wishart.
You enter the white space of the gallery. A well-placed cluster of plain white plinths house sculptural objects under protective Perspex boxes. These small objects are in fact throw-away cameras in tutti-fruity colours—orange, bird’s egg blue, lime green... Rather remarkably, they have been smashed. Useless and disembowelled, these objects are fascinating. Their crushing has exposed their mechanical innards reminding us that behind the whole tradition of photography—made-easy lies a history of miniaturisation, mechanics, and optics. I found myself peering into the boxed-in camera to discover what mechanisms for the flash and so forth looked like. There was also the revelation of the film. These pre-digital cameras have rolls of film within them and as the light broke in when they were battered and exposed to light, the celluloid has been chemically altered: there will forever be a raw image, held in the fractured camera, the invisible moment of its destruction. But that is the wrong word: the cameras are not destroyed. They remain. As broken cameras they still speak, even more eloquently, with their insides made visible, of the machinery necessary to the making of indexical images, images that once held a momentary and luminous relation to a real world before them.

Making the ‘dead’ cameras, the sculptural objects that solicit our art gallery gaze tell us something about the tenor of this exhibition by Gil Pasternak titled Future Backgrounds. It is not a show of photography; it is an installation about photography, which, therefore, opens on its uses, its rhetorics, its support for fantasies and ideologies. The gallery is not space of display but of investigation. The relations between its several elements and two key spaces ask the viewer to become a thinking participant rather than a dispassionate tourist. Yet the space of the gallery is knowingly ‘worked’ because the anticipation of being shown something—the expectation of the gallery goer—has to be invoked in order to be re-routed into reflecting on processes, politics, places and issues that cannot be ‘shown’ yet are everywhere part of our visual culture. Hence the least and most unprecious of cameras are offered up as the exhibited ‘object’ in a wry parody of the white-cube gallery exhibition of modernist sculpture.

Aligned in three groupings in the main gallery space are other sculptural forms. These are uniformly black metal structures that stand firmly on the floor. They are, however, supports typically used in photographic studios for the hanging of backdrop paper against which the photographer’s subjects are usually posed. Backdrops are fake, or rather they are imagined or fabricated scenarios into which a figure will be inserted while in fact standing in the photographer’s studio. The backdrop is about the artifice with which the apparent ‘real’ of photography is staged. Making it the subject of the exhibition tells us that we need to pay attention to the backdrops of real situations to the landscapes in which we live our lives, the human geographies we populate and make.
Closer inspection down the formal queue of hanging backdrops, waiting their turn in the light, show us that these are also carefully constructed images in which there is already a subject. These backdrops are already portraits, as it were. Their subjects are plants. Not any old plants, these plants represent for the Northern European setting of this show; the exotic, the hot, the dry the South, and the Middle East, over there, elsewhere.

The first backdrop in the main grouping shows a vast prickly pear, a cactus whose leaves are pricking with sharp protective needles while also sprouting its distinctive fruits. The Hebrew name for this plant is Sabra. It is the term adopted by the emerging Israeli state for those born within its territory—Home-born, native, indigenous. Pasternak explores with all the sharpness of the prickly pear’s needles, the land where the prickly pear now grows has been not just the backdrop but is the inhabited geography of many peoples and cultures over its millennia. By the early twentieth century nationalism swept up formerly dispersed or imperiled subjects into a longing for a national identity. This could only exist when bounded to a national territory—former co-inhabitants and new settlers, unwanted in other lands of a deadly Europe, coalesced to form one of the most tragic and intractable legacies of modernity, and its colonialism, imperialism and nationalism.

For the Palestinian people claiming their own indigeneity to these lands, Sabra is synonymous with Zion and Zionism with colonizer. For the Zionist, Sabra is the vision of the New Man and the New Woman in the age of return from millennia of exile and degradation in Europe and the Mediterranean worlds. Like these prickly, well armed and fruitful plants, the new Israelis want to be identified with being rooted in the soil and being well prepared in self-defence. Ironically, the prickly pear is not an indigenous plant to the eastern Mediterranean. It was transplanted in the sixteenth century from Latin America under another moment of violent colonisation. Their importation echoes settler colonisation. Yet having come many centuries ago, these plants have also functioned in Palestinian agriculture as boundary markers for their groves and villages. Thus the plant that is portrayed begins to unfold its many stories, its conflicting histories, and its competing uses; the deep difficulty of this place now.

The Victorians created a cultural language of flowing linking each flower to a specific, often sentimental, meaning. Pasternak has transposed this sentimental legacy to a zone of conflict and contestation, unpacking the nationalism ideologies that seek to root themselves in soil by calling our attention to these plants that have come to embody exotic places without belonging to the place. His photographs also make visible yet the irrigation tubes which these plants need as a life-support system to survive in this transplanted life in the Eastern Mediterranean.

If I have raised the spectre of the Victorians and even more remotely colonial travel, Pasternak has already enfolded it into his installation. The entry to the large gallery space in which the dead cameras and the exotic backdrops meet, is through a darkened ante-room in which there is a carpet, and a plaster Classical plinth—the stock in trade of the exotic backdrops meet, is through a darkened ante-room in which there is a carpet, and a plaster Classical plinth—the stock in trade of the nineteenth century photographic studio for the carte-de-visite landscape. Pasternak has long been engaged in making sense of a contradiction between the formal and sentimental aspect of the family photograph. If the backdrop reminds us of the formally posed, standing figure that adopts the pose of one person in a now invisible scene, the final elements of the exhibition come back to these plans that come to embody exotic places without belonging to the place. His photographs also make visible yet the irrigation tubes which these plants need as a life-support system to survive in this transplanted life in the Eastern Mediterranean.

Close to life in the exhibitions' main gallery, on the wall of the main gallery, is a digital drawing of a Kanak carousel projector, endlessly moving its statically circle with a microphone directed at its machinery to amplify the regular click as the machine moves on, slide by slide. For an art historian, the carousel is the slide view so far our only primary tool, making the translation of physical photographs and objects into transparencies illuminated by intense light in necessarily darkened rooms. Physical and material things, photographs or photographed things (paintings, prints, objects, sculptures etc.), were cast as immaterial shadows on the wall. Their ephemeral nature and spectral power to bring the distant and unseen close begins a dialogue with the second gallery's refusal of images and insistence on our attention being given to the machine and technologies of photography itself. This opening encounter with an archival technology of projection from the recent but almost forgotten past underlines the intention to ask us to think about the invisible and often very noisy mechanisms that make the spectacle of the image possible.

The slides that circulate on the carousel were discovered at Kirklees Image Archive. They are photographs made by a Victorian traveller and plant collector, Captain H.W. Brook, who photographed exotic plants in situ or in the home spaces to which he transported them. Pasternak found Brook’s portfolio as part of his visual research for the exhibition and it is one of the sites that he is exploring in his current academic, ethno-botanical-oriented research work into the political lives of plants in photography and its histories. There is a shared grammar at work. The Victorian photographer does not present his plants as specimens in the manner of a botanist. Rather using plinth, table and carpet as props, Brook produces portraits of these exotic flowers.

Pasternak researches the point of intersection between two sites of photographic practice that are deeply embedded in the cultural formation of subjects and of nations; the family photograph and landscape. Pasternak has long been engaged in making sense of a relation between the informal and sentimental aspect of the family photograph, and an official or national history marked monumentally into the landscape. In several publications he has looked into a wide range of interactions between family photograph and landscape


Think about design for healthcare and the spotlight inevitably falls on the systems, spaces and services of the hospital environment. Hospitals are where the real action is found in patient care – and where design innovation can make the biggest difference in terms of patient safety, whether this is related to controlling infection or avoiding medical error.

Against this background, it is all too easy to forget that more than a billion people around the globe now receive care in non-hospital settings. Indeed, the design story in healthcare extends far beyond the confines of the hospital, even if it commands less attention outside its walls.

It is to David Swann’s credit that his pioneering exhibition, Mobilising Healthcare, part of the ROTOЯ programme at Huddersfield Art Gallery, makes a comprehensive and engaging job of redressing the balance in design for healthcare by showing how innovation also flourishes in homes and communities away from the large nursing wards, operating theatres and intensive treatment units of the modern hospital.

Swann, who leads Product Design and Interior Design at the University of Huddersfield, shines a light on some relatively neglected corners of our healthcare system – from the home visit by the district nurse to the emergency ambulance on our streets – and demonstrates how design can make a difference there too. His primary tactic is to set contemporary innovations in the field, including some he has designed himself, within a strong historical context prefaced by Florence Nightingale’s assertion in 1861 that:

‘everyone will agree with me that every sick man (or woman) is better at home, if he (or she) could have the same medical treatment from the home visit by the district nurse to the emergency ambulance on our streets – and where innovation also flourishes in homes and communities away from the large nursing wards, operating theatres and intensive treatment units of the modern hospital.

Swann’s design vision for enhanced care outside the hospital.

The new ambulance project is in some ways the ‘poster boy’ for anticipates a time when electronic patient records can be called up stock control. A new digital diagnostics and communications system treatment consumables aid clinical performance, infection control and designed to be easier to clean. Equipment packs containing specific clinical efficiency but also enhances patient safety. The interior is and materials.

The new design aims to enhance patient safety by making sure that hands are decontaminated and generally improving the productivity of the health visitor. It also looks the part, clinical and efficient; indeed a key aspect of Swann’s thesis on healthcare is about projecting a professional image to build patient confidence outside the hospital.

The nursing bag innovation came about as part of a larger EPSRC-funded study at the RCA on designing the future of the ambulance. This research, and a futuristic prototype interior that emerged from a subsequent collaboration between the RCA, the London Ambulance Service, Imperial College Healthcare Trust and other partners, also features in Mobilising Healthcare.

Developed by bringing together frontline paramedics, clinicians, patients, academic researchers, engineers and designers in a co-design process, the prototype interior project began with the designers joining ambulance crews on callouts during twelve hour shifts. Key insights were translated into sketch designs; a full-scale test rig was mocked up in cardboard and foam, resulting in a full size looks like, feels like mobile demonstrator.

The new ambulance reconfigures the layout of the patient treatment space. There is 360° access to the patient, which not only improves clinical efficiency but also enhances patient safety. The interior is designed to be easier to clean. Equipment packs containing specific treatment consumables aid clinical performance, infection control and stock control. A new digital diagnostics and communications system anticipates a time when electronic patient records can be called up inside any ambulance racing to the scene of an emergency.

The new ambulance project is in some ways the ‘poster boy’ for Swann’s design vision for enhanced care outside the hospital.
Its ergonomic and digital innovation points to a future in which ambulances do not simply scoop up patients and ferry them back to primary care hospitals, but treat them on the spot or at walk-in clinics in the community, thus easing pressure on the system.

Politically, as UK governments try to rationalise care into fewer specialist super-hospitals and close some local hospitals, such design debates are right on the money. Recent Department of Health/Design Council demonstration projects to kick-start innovation in the NHS are also given airing in this exhibition, such as the Design Bugs Out initiative, which aimed to sit alongside a ‘deep clean’ of infection-ridden UK hospitals.

Design Bugs Out is represented in Mobilising Healthcare by Pearson Lloyd’s smart, simple and robust commode, which is made by NHS supplier Bristol Maid. The alliance of a leading British design firm with a prominent British manufacturer under the auspices of a publicly funded initiative to improve UK health services, deserves commendation. But other parts of the world, where people have far less access to hospital care, perhaps provide the most inspiring examples of what design thinking can achieve.

My favourite case study in Swann’s compendium is the ColaLife pilot in Zambia, which takes spaces in refrigerated Coca-Cola crates to transport pods containing essential drugs around the country. This is community-based healthcare innovation at its most basic and ingenious. Indeed faced with the accelerating demands of an ageing and obese population, there is now growing interest in the NHS in such frugal techniques and in ‘reverse innovation’ of low-cost, high-impact ideas back into our increasingly expensive healthcare system.

Swann’s own ABC Lifesaver syringe, a brilliant innovation designed to deter non-sterile syringe re-use in the developing world by turning bright red sixty seconds after use, points the way to better, more sustainable community healthcare. It addresses the estimated 1.5 million early deaths caused by unsafe needle injections worldwide through the clever combination of a nitrogen-filled pack and a special ink that colours the barrel of the syringe when exposed to air.

By curating a show of his own and other design innovations of this kind, David Swann brings a novel and important angle to the critical debate about the future of healthcare in the UK and around the world. We may want to provide more care outside the expensive hospital setting. However we need to design the right systems and services with the highest standards of patient safety to make it work. Recapturing the calm, immediate reassurance of the Queen’s Nursing Institute isn’t going to be easy.

Notes

For the architectural theorist Anthony Vidler ‘the house [has] provided an especially favored site for “uncanny” disturbances: its apparent domesticity, its residue of family history and nostalgia, its role as the last and most intimate shelter of private comfort sharpened by the terror of invasion by alien spirits’.¹ In The Imagining of Things, Chara Lewis, Annékel Pett teens and Kristin Mojsiewicz, the three artists working collectively as Brass Art, act as those “alien spirits”, invading the once private, now very public interiors of the Parsonage, a large, stone-built Georgian house standing on the very edge of Yorkshire moorland, once home to the Brontë sisters.

Inhabiting the creative spaces of the house on nocturnal visits, the improvised performances and resulting shadow-play which form the basis of video and photographic works in The Imagining of Things echo the scampering and game-playing of the Brontë children as they acted out the imaginary worlds of Angria and Gondal. The tiny books, maps and drawings of these fictional lands—the juvenilia of Charlotte, Emily, Anne and Branwell—allowed the children to invent and project narratives they could write and perform. In turn, Brass Art have used the domestic spaces of the Parsonage itself as an entry point for their own creative processes, employing the site as an expanded theatrical tableau, part transgressive homage, part performative return to the recurrent themes of their practice: doubling, mutability, liminality, the uncanny thresholds and the spectral nature of technology in the manifestation of these themes.

Brass Art
The Imagining of Things
October 2013 – January 2014
Reviewed by Susannah Thompson
The Imagining of Things is one element of a larger, ongoing research project, Shadow Worlds:Writer’s Rooms. The multi- and interdisciplinary methods and practices employed by the artists, together with their commitment to collaborative and collective ways of working combine in this work to reveal a rhizomorphic approach to topoanalysis. In the exhibition held at Huddersfield Art Gallery rather than attempting a literal or illustrative re-telling or interpretation of the preoccupations of the Brontë’s lives and works, the artists’ approach to space and subject attempts to reflect or parallel the affinities which exist between themselves and the literary figures which inform their practice, living past and present. The concentric circles of narration woven throughout the novels of the Brontë sisters, Russian doll-like stories within stories and rooms within rooms, are formally reflected in the immersive mise-en-âme of Brass Art’s installation. Standing within the gallery, the effect of the flickering forms and morphing, shifting shadows projected and reflected across the walls and ceiling of the space are disorientating. Half-captured images sweep and fill before the viewer, evoking shadows and images beyond the threshold of ‘the real’, ghostly forms appearing disconcertingly in front of, above and behind the viewer simultaneously. Using costume and handmade masks and props, the artists, although seen only as ethereal, spectral forms, are already in disguise. It’s as though Francesca Woodman had been cast in the film adaptation of a novel by Angela Carter: Glimpsed only fleetingly, these human-animus forms are avatars of the artists bodies as described through the technology of Microsoft Kinect, a motion sensor 3D scanner used in gaming.

Many of the invisible details, traces and fragments of the artists’ improved performances in the ‘real’, yet psychologically loaded spaces of the Bronte Parsonage (specifically the Hallway, Dining Room and Mr Brontë’s bedroom) were revealed only later – the shadows cast by the artists’ bodies as they whirled around and about the artefacts of the Brontë Parsonage (specifically, the Hallway, Dining Room and Mr Brontë’s bedroom) were revealed only later – the shadows cast by the artists’ bodies as they whirled around and about the artefacts of the preoccupations of the Brontë’s lives and works, the artists’ words of Anthony Vidler, ‘space [...] has been increasingly defined as a product of subjective projection and introspection as opposed to a stable container of objects and bodies’. In The Imagining of Things Brass Art recurrently deploy ‘the vocabularies of displacement and fracture, torquing and twisting, pressure and release, void and block, light and shadow flicker and fade, so too fragmented voices whisper, giggle, murmur and collide. Both image and sound combine to unsettle and disquiet the viewer’s sense of moving through spaces only half-illuminated, corridors echoing with voices half-heard, candles, draughts, firelight, the sweep of skirts and curtains, laughter from the attic, corridors, windows – the spaces and bodies in these works are often scarred, haunted, burnt or broken yet they remain resolutely powerful. In both the novels and in Brass Art’s work for this exhibition, gendered ideologies are questioned, thresholds are transgressed, rooms stormed and images, spaces and bodies are in flux, permeable. Rosi Braidotti has written of the ‘acute awareness of the non-fixity of boundaries’ and the intense desire to go on trespassing, transgressing’, a statement which seems to encapsulate the critical intentions in Brass Art’s practice. To return to (and appropriate) the words of Anthony Vidler’s space [...] has been increasingly defined as a product of subjective projection and introspection as opposed to a stable container of objects and bodies’. The Imagining of Things Brass Art recurrently deploy ‘the vocabularies of displacement and fracture, torquing and twisting, pressure and release, void and block, informal and hyperform [...] in work that seeks to reveal, if not critique, the conditions of a less than settled everyday life.’

**Notes**


5 Ibid.
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