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G3BP1 promotes stress-induced RNA granule interactions to preserve polyadenylated mRNA

Anaïs Aulas,1,2 Guillaume Caron,1,3 Christos G. Gkogkas,4,5 Nguyen-Vi Mohamed,1,3 Laurie Dестroismaisons,1 Nahum Sonenberg,6,7 Nicole Leclerc,1,3 J. Alex Parker,1,3 and Christine Vande Velde1,3

G3BP1, a target of TDP-43, is required for normal stress granule (SG) assembly, but the functional consequences of failed SG assembly remain unknown. Here, using both transformed cell lines and primary neurons, we investigated the functional impact of this disruption in SG dynamics. While stress-induced translational repression and recruitment of key SG proteins was undisturbed, depletion of G3BP1 or its upstream regulator TDP-43 disturbed normal interactions between SGs and processing bodies (PBs). This was concomitant with decreased SG size, reduced SG–PB docking, and impaired preservation of polyadenylated mRNA. Reintroduction of G3BP1 alone was sufficient to rescue all of these phenotypes, indicating that G3BP1 is essential for normal SG–PB interactions and SG function.

Introduction

Organisms have evolved a variety of strategies to overcome exposure to environmental stressors such as thermal stress, oxidative stress, osmotic stress, UV irradiation, or viral infection. One of these mechanisms is the formation of “stress-induced RNA granules” such as stress granules (SGs) and processing bodies (PBs; Kedersha et al., 1999; Sheth and Parker, 2003). Although SGs and PBs share many of the same protein and mRNA components, their exact compositions remain unknown (Lavut et al., 2012; Stocklin and Kedersha, 2013). SGs are cytoplasmic inclusions (≤5 µm²) that are absent in normal growth conditions but can be induced by stress stimuli. PBs are smaller, dense inclusions that are present in basal conditions, and can be induced by stress. Both structures recruit mRNA during stress, and this correlates with/further contributes to translational repression. The exact functions of SGs and PBs are a matter of some debate in the literature. The prevailing view is that SGs form primarily to protect endogenous mRNA from stress degradation, actively sorting transcripts for this storage versus degradation or reinitiation of translation, although this has never been explicitly demonstrated (Anderson and Kedersha, 2008). However, the chief function of PBs is considered to be mRNA degradation, although there are some reports that mRNA can be returned for reinitiation (Brengues et al., 2005). The stress-induced RNA granule response is conserved throughout evolution and is tightly regulated to facilitate cell survival after exposure to noxious stimuli (Thomas et al., 2011).

There is increasing evidence that SGs may participate in the pathogenesis of the late-onset neurodegenerative disease amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS; Strong, 2010; Li et al., 2013). ALS-affected neurons frequently feature the mislocalization/misaccumulation of two RNA binding proteins (RBPs), TDP-43 and FUS. Both are nuclear RBPs involved in many aspects of RNA metabolism, and are known genetic causes of familial and sporadic ALS, which suggests deregulation of the RNA life cycle as a common pathogenic mechanism (Bentmann et al., 2013). While mutant TDP-43 and FUS demonstrate recruitment to SGs in response to many different stimuli, whether the consequent disruption in SG dynamics is due to a loss or gain of function is still a matter of debate (Andersson et al., 2008; Colombrita et al., 2009; Bosco et al., 2010; Liu-Yesucevitz et al., 2010; Dewey et al., 2011; McDonald et al., 2011; Aulas et al., 2012; Bentmann et al., 2012). We have previously reported that depletion of TDP-43, but not FUS, yields a significant down- and up-regulation of the core SG proteins G3BP1...
and TIA-1, respectively (McDonald et al., 2011). Moreover, we have demonstrated that SG size is decreased by TDP-43 depletion via blocked secondary aggregation, which can be restored by exogenous expression of G3BP1 (Aulas et al., 2012). In neuronal-like cells, we have linked cellular vulnerability to oxidative stress to the loss of G3BP1 and its upstream regulator TDP-43 (Aulas et al., 2012).

In this study, we investigated the consequences of disrupted SG dynamics: namely the inability of SGs to achieve secondary aggregation as a consequence of decreased G3BP1 expression. We demonstrate that stress-induced translational arrest is normal despite TDP-43/G3BP1 depletion, but uncover that both molecules influence basal translation rates. In addition, in both transformed cells and primary neurons, TDP-43/G3BP1-mediated regulation of SG size determines the stability of interactions with PBs, another type of RNA granule, which is involved in mRNA decay. The disturbance in SG–PB interactions in stress conditions results in a progressive loss of polyadenylated transcripts. Collectively, these data demonstrate that the TDP-43 target G3BP1 is essential for a functional interaction between SGs and PBs.

Results

SG proteins and polyadenylated mRNA are localized normally to SGs despite impaired secondary aggregation

We have previously reported that depletion of TDP-43, or its target G3BP1, impairs the secondary aggregation step of SG assembly in response to oxidative stress, yielding increased numbers of SGs of reduced size. In contrast, SG assembly proceeds normally in FUS-depleted cells (Aulas et al., 2012). Given the reduction in size, we hypothesized that the recruitment of one or more SG components is disrupted by TDP-43/G3BP1 depletion. Because there is no method available to robustly isolate or enrich for SGs, we used a candidate approach to evaluate if the recruitment of certain SG components was altered in these smaller SGs. Specifically, we selected a panel of SG markers that are commonly used in the literature and have been previously shown to localize to SGs in a variety of contexts, namely HuR, eIF3A, and eIF4G (Kedersha and Anderson, 2002; Kimball et al., 2003; Liu-Yesucevitz et al., 2010; Dewey et al., 2011). Inspection of TDP-43– and G3BP1–depleted HeLa cells 60 min after a 30-min exposure to 0.5 mM sodium arsenite (SA; i.e., 90 min, the time at which SG secondary aggregation is complete) demonstrated undisturbed localization of HuR, eIF3A, and eIF4G to SGs marked with TIA-1 (Fig. 1 A and B). In fact, it was comparable to siControl and siFUS cells where SG size is normal. We noted the same localization in response to thermal stress and with a second set of siRNA for each of our target genes (unpublished data). Quantitative analysis also showed no major differences in SG protein localization between the groups (Fig. 1 B, histograms). The localization of Caprin1 and USP10, both known interacting partners of G3BP1 (Solomon et al., 2007; Takahashi et al., 2013), are also not affected by either TDP-43, G3BP1, or FUS depletion (Fig. 1 B).

SGs are composed of proteins and mRNA (Kedersha et al., 1999). Even though the function of SGs remains to be better defined, the leading hypothesis is that they are assembled to store and/or sort polyadenylated mRNA during a stress exposure (Kedersha et al., 2005). An obligate feature of SGs is the presence of polyadenylated mRNA. Thus, we performed FISH using a fluorescently conjugated oligo(dT) probe followed by HuR and TIA-1 immunostaining to label SGs (Fig. 1 C). In all conditions, mRNA was highly colocalized with TIA-1‘HuR’ SA-induced SGs to normal levels. The same result was obtained with a second set of siRNAs and in response to thermal stress (unpublished data). Although we cannot exclude the possibility that depletion of TDP-43 or G3BP1 may influence the localization of specific transcripts or proteins to SGs, from our experiments we conclude that the localization of polyadenylated mRNA and these core SG proteins is normal despite impaired SG assembly.

Normal stress-induced translational repression in TDP-43–, G3BP1–, and FUS-depleted cells

It is our hypothesis that the process of secondary aggregation in SG assembly (i.e., the fusion of numerous small SGs to fewer larger SGs organized perinuclearly) must hold some functional significance. However, there is no assay to test SG function per se. SG formation is concomitant to a translational repression after stress exposure, so as to provide translational priority to stress-responsive genes (Nover et al., 1983, 1989; Kedersha et al., 1999; Kedersha and Anderson, 2002). Although mRNA localization appeared normal, we speculated that the failure of SGs to coalesce may have an impact on the efficiency of this stress-induced translational repression and contribute to the increased cellular vulnerability we have previously reported in TDP-43–depleted cells (McDonald et al., 2011; Aulas et al., 2012). After a 72-h siRNA transfection, whole cell lysates were collected after pulsing with [35S]methionine for 30 min before SG assembly (i.e., unstressed), when SG coalescence is completed (90 min), and when SGs are fully resolved (180 min; McDonald et al., 2011; Aulas et al., 2012; Fig. 2). As expected, siControl cells displayed a robust transient repression of translation after SA treatment (82%) at 90 min with a full recovery to basal levels by 180 min (Fig. 2 B). Stress-induced translational repression occurred normally in TDP-43–, G3BP1–, and FUS-depleted cells, compared with siControl cells (Fig. 2 C; P = 0.421, 0.850, 0.791 for siTDP-43, siG3BP1, and siFUS, respectively), indicating that stress-induced translational repression is independent of SG size.

Basal translation is diminished by TDP-43 and G3BP1 siRNA

In unstressed conditions, siTDP-43 and siG3BP1 cells exhibited a significant reduction in basal translation compared with siControl cells. Specifically, unstressed siTDP-43 and siG3BP1 cells were metabolically labeled to 49% and 64% (P = 0.003 and P = 0.008, respectively) of that observed in siControl cells, respectively (Fig. 2 B, left; compare lanes 4 and 7 to lane 1). Protein synthesis was not significantly different between siTDP-43 and siG3BP1 cells (P = 0.224). Interestingly, at 180 min after stress, translation returned to the lower pre-stress/basal level in...
To investigate further the defect in basal translation, we performed polysome profiling of siRNA-treated cells. Compared with siControl cells, TDP-43–depleted cells demonstrate a decrease in total RNA associated with polysomes, as detected by adsorption at 254 nm. This is accompanied by an increase in the peak corresponding to 80S ribosome–associated RNA, which is consistent with a defect in translation initiation (polysome/monosome ratio: siControl, 3.46 ± 0.31; siTDP-43, 2.8 ± 0.29; P < 0.001; Fig. S1 C, top). siG3BP1 cells also show a trend for reduced formation of polysomes (polysome/monosome ratio: siControl, 3.58 ± 0.11; siG3BP1, 3.39 ± 0.22; P = 0.057; Fig. S1 C, middle). In contrast, and consistent with metabolic labeling, siFUS cells exhibit a normal polysome-to-monosome ratio.
targets which may be under regulation by these multifunctional RNA-binding proteins. Compared with cells treated with control siRNA, polyadenylated mRNA levels were increased 1.35 ± 0.07-, 1.23 ± 0.06-, and 1.45 ± 0.2-fold in siTDP-43, siG3BP1, and siFUS cells, respectively (Fig. 3 A; P = 0.007, 0.025, and 0.038, respectively). A second independent approach involving spectrophotometric measurement of directly isolated polyadenylated mRNA yielded similar results (Fig. S1 D).

These changes are not accounted for by deregulated steady-state levels of the PB-resident mRNA degradation enzymes Dcp1a or Xrn-1 (Fig. 3 B). The effect on polyadenylated mRNA was specific because total RNA levels were unchanged by TDP-43 siRNA (unpublished data). Also, despite an increased amount of polyadenylated mRNA, its nuclear–cytoplasmic (polysome/monosome ratio: siControl, 3.42 ± 0.27; siFUS, 3.45 ± 0.09; P = 0.142; Fig. S1 C, bottom). Collectively, the data indicate that TDP-43 and its target G3BP1 are required for normal translation in basal conditions, whereas FUS is dispensable.

**Increased levels of polyadenylated mRNA and PBs**

To evaluate whether the observed deficits in protein synthesis in basal conditions were due to a reduced steady-state level of polyadenylated mRNA, we performed FISH with a fluorescently conjugated oligo(dT) probe and quantified single plane confocal images for total cellular fluorescence intensity (Fig. 3 A). This approach was chosen so as to provide a global appreciation of polyadenylated mRNA levels, and not focus on individual targets which may be under regulation by these multifunctional RNA-binding proteins. Compared with cells treated with control siRNA, polyadenylated mRNA levels were increased 1.35 ± 0.07-, 1.23 ± 0.06-, and 1.45 ± 0.2-fold in siTDP-43, siG3BP1, and siFUS cells, respectively (Fig. 3 A; P = 0.007, 0.025, and 0.038, respectively). A second independent approach involving spectrophotometric measurement of directly isolated polyadenylated mRNA yielded similar results (Fig. S1 D). These changes are not accounted for by deregulated steady-state levels of the PB-resident mRNA degradation enzymes Dcp1a or Xrn-1 (Fig. 3 B). The effect on polyadenylated mRNA was specific because total RNA levels were unchanged by TDP-43 siRNA (unpublished data). Also, despite an increased amount of polyadenylated mRNA, its nuclear–cytoplasmic...
Increased mRNA correlates with alterations in PBs in basal conditions. (A–F) HeLa cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs for 72 h. (A) Polyadenylated mRNA was visualized via FISH with an oligo(dT) probe. Total cellular fluorescence was quantified from at least 10 cells per experiment from ≥3 experiments (35 cells per sample in total). Bar, 10 µm. (B) Whole cell lysates were examined by immunoblotting for PB proteins Dcp1a and Xrn-1. Bands were quantified via densitometry and normalized to Actin. (C–F) Unstressed HeLa cells were labeled for Dcp1a (to visualize PBs) and TIA-1 (to visualize the nucleus). These data are extracted from the kinetics presented in Fig. S2 C. (C) Representative images of ≥3 experiments. Bar, 25 µm. (D) Percentage of cells with PBs in basal conditions. (E) Quantification of the number of individual PBs per cell. (F) Quantification of individual PB size. In all cases, the means ± SEM (error bars) of three independent experiments are plotted. *, P < 0.05.

distribution was comparable to siControl cells for all conditions (unpublished data).

Decreased levels of translation and increased polyadenylated mRNA levels in basal conditions have been linked to changes in another type of RNA granule known as PBs (Coller and Parker, 2005; Eulalio et al., 2007). PBs are present in basal conditions and are also induced by stress (Sheth and Parker, 2003; Kedersha et al., 2005). In general, PBs contain mRNA decapping and degradative enzymes and thus are considered to be sites of mRNA degradation (Sheth and Parker, 2003; Cougot et al., 2004). Using Dcp1a as a marker for PBs, we counted the number of cells containing PBs in normal culture conditions (Fig. 3, C and D). In siControl cells, 30 ± 4% of HeLa cells contained PBs in basal conditions. However, in siTDP-43, siG3BP1, and siFUS cells, the percentage of cells was increased two- and threefold (62 ± 4%, 60 ± 6%, and 90 ± 3%), respectively, compared with siControl cells (Fig. 3 D; P < 0.005 for all conditions). Collectively, these observations correlate well with the increased levels of polyadenylated transcripts and were confirmed with a second set of siRNAs (Fig. S2 A).

We also examined the total number of PBs per cell and the size of individual PBs in basal conditions. We observed there to be a trend of 1.4 ± 0.1-fold and a significant 1.5 ± 0.1-fold more PBs per individual cell in unstressed siTDP-43 and siFUS cells, but not siG3BP1 (Fig. 3 E; P = 0.079, 0.018, and 0.935, respectively). In addition, individual PB size was not significantly different for siTDP-43 and siG3BP1 cells, but was reduced 36 ± 12% in FUS-depleted cells (Fig. 3 F; P = 0.026).
A size distribution analysis revealed there to be an increased proportion of the smallest sized PBs (0.1–0.2 µm²) in siFUS cells and a similar trend that doesn’t reach significance in siTDP-43 cells (Fig. S2 B; P = 0.041 and 0.075, respectively) in basal conditions. Thus, basal PB dynamics are variably disrupted in all siRNA conditions tested.

**Stress-induced PB kinetics are normal in all conditions**

Like SGs, PBs can also be induced by exogenous stress (Sheth and Parker, 2003; Kedersha et al., 2005; Eulalio et al., 2007). As we observed some PB deregulation in basal conditions, we next investigated whether there are alterations in stress-induced PB dynamics. Inspection of the number of cells forming PBs immediately after a 30-min exposure to SA shows that stress-induced PB formation occurs normally in TDP-43–, G3BP1–, and FUS-depleted cells (Fig. S2 C). In addition, PBs are observed in nearly 100% of SA-stressed HeLa cells at 30 and 90 min, and start to dissolve by 180 min, paralleling our previous observations for SG formation and disassembly (McDonald et al., 2011). Interestingly, we noted that at 180 min, regardless of siRNA condition, the number of cells containing PBs returns to the pre-stress level (Fig. S2 C). While the number of PBs is increased to a maximum of ~20 per cell compared with unstressed conditions for all siRNA conditions, we did not observe a stress-induced increase in the mean size of individual PBs (Fig. S2 D). However, at 180 min, an increased proportion of smaller PBs (0.15–1 µm²) was observed in siTDP-43 and siFUS cells when a size distribution analysis is performed (Fig. S2 B; P = 0.023 and P = 0.008, respectively).

**SG–PB docking is compromised in TDP-43– and G3BP1-depleted cells**

After SA stress exposure, one or more PBs are frequently observed to make close and transient contact with SGs, referred to as docking (Kedersha et al., 2005; Stoecklin and Kedersha, 2013). The association of these two distinct types of RNA granules has been proposed to permit or facilitate the exchange of mRNA and proteins between the two structures (Kedersha et al., 2005). To determine if SG–PB docking is influenced by the absence of TDP-43, G3BP1, or FUS, we performed immunofluorescent labeling with markers defined for each structure.

Although Dcp1a has been well established as being found only in PBs, TIA-1 is recognized as an SG marker that has also been observed in PBs in certain contexts (Dang et al., 2006; Leung et al., 2006; Stoecklin and Kedersha, 2013). However, in our experience with HeLa cells stressed with SA, TIA-1 is never detected in PBs. For these experiments, SGs are defined as TIA-1+ structures has been proposed to permit or facilitate the exchange of mRNA and proteins between the two structures (Kedersha et al., 2005).

Because SG–PB docking was reduced in siTDP-43 and siG3BP1 cells where SG size is diminished (Aulas et al., 2012), we wondered if SG size is a determinant of PB docking. To address this, we measured the size of SGs when they were docked with PBs. In HeLa cells stressed with SA, the mean size of a docked SG is ~2.5 µm² regardless of siRNA condition (siControl, 2.48 ± 0.16 µm²; siTDP-43, 2.49 ± 0.22 µm²; siG3BP1, 2.54 ± 0.21 µm²; siFUS, 2.7 ± 0.18 µm²; Fig. 4 F). A distribution analysis for SG size in all siRNA-treated cells analyzed at 90 min after stress (including 30 min of SA treatment) reveals that SGs distribute into two broad classes: “small SGs” measuring 0.75 to <2 µm² and “large SGs” measuring 2–5 µm². In siControl cells, SGs are divided evenly between the two classes. In the context of siTDP-43 and siG3BP1, the proportion of SG that measure as “large SGs” is similarly reduced by approximately half to 23 ± 2% and 24 ± 4%, respectively (P = 0.002 and 0.035). In contrast, siFUS cells where SG–PB docking is normal, the distribution of SGs into small and large classes is indistinguishable from siControl (52 ± 4% and 48 ± 4%, respectively; P = 0.600; Fig. 4 G).

To assess the dynamics of SG–PB docking in real time, we performed live cell imaging using transient transfection of HeLa cells with Dcp1a-RFP and TIA-1-GFP plasmids in the
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context of TDP-43 siRNA. We first verified that transient exogenous expression of the TIA-1-GFP reporter construct at the low amount we used (0.3 µg each) did not induce SG formation and that cells underwent SG formation with normal kinetics after SA treatment (Fig. S3 A). In cells treated with SA and then imaged for a 10-min window at 90 min (the time point where SGs are largest), images were collected every 30 s and individual PBs were monitored for contact with SGs. Although PBs are dynamic, the number of contacts between SGs and PBs was unchanged by TDP-43 depletion (Fig. S3 B). However, the mean duration of PBs docking onto large SGs (>2 µm²) was reduced by 41% in TDP-43–depleted cells compared with siControl cells (4.95 ± 0.98 min vs. 8.35 ± 0.76 min; P = 0.0104) but was comparable when small SGs (<2 µm²) were analyzed (Fig. S3 C). We further noted that in siTDP-43 cells, only 40% of PBs were continuously docked

Figure 4. SG–PB docking is dependent on SG size.

(A–C and F–H) HeLa cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs for 72 h. (D and E) Cortical neurons treated with TDP-43 siRNA. (A) Representative images of docking in siControl and siTDP-43 cells. Cells were labeled for TIA-1 and Dcp1a to follow SGs and PBs, respectively. The boxed regions are enlarged on the right. Bar, 10 µm. (B and C) Quantification of docking between SGs and PBs in HeLa using TIA-1 and Dcp1a. PBs are considered docked when SGs and PBs were in contact. n = total number of events analyzed. (D) Representative images of unstressed transfected cortical neurons. Arrows indicate TDP-43™ cells. Bar, 10 µm. (E) Quantification of SG–PB docking in cortical neurons. TDP-43™, n = 45 neurons; TDP-43Endogenous, n = 48 neurons. (F) Size of SGs when docked with PBs. (G) Distribution analysis of SG size. SG size was separated between small (0.75–2 µm²) and large (2–5 µm²) SGs, as shown in the pie charts. (H) The number of stable SG–PB docking events (large SGs only) during a 10-min window, as captured with live stage imaging. All data are representative of ≥3 independent experiments and plotted as the mean ± SEM (error bars). *, P < 0.05.
onto large SGs for the entire 10-min imaging window, in contrast to 83% in siControl cells (Fig. 4 H). Thus, we conclude that SG size, mediated by G3BP1-dependent secondary aggregation of SG, is a determinant of stable SG–PB docking.

Loss of polyadenylated mRNA correlates with reduced SG–PB docking

The field consensus is that the function of SGs is to sort mRNA for storage, reinitiation, or degradation (Kedersha et al., 2005). It has been proposed that mRNA and RNPs can be exchanged between SGs and PBs via docking and that this may serve to target certain transcripts for degradation in PBs while other transcripts are stored in SGs and thus protected from degradation (Kedersha et al., 2005). The prevailing view in mammalian cells is that mRNAs are selectively transferred from SGs to PBs during docking, with localization of mRNAs in PBs being a one-way ticket to decapping and degradation (Kedersha et al., 2005). However, evidence in yeast suggests that transcripts can be re-capped and that PBs also participate in the sorting of transcripts, such that mRNAs are selectively transferred from SGs to PBs

during docking, with localization of mRNAs in PBs being a one-way ticket to decapping and degradation (Kedersha et al., 2005). Exogenous G3BP1 fully rescues SG–PB docking and maintains mRNA levels

We have previously shown that depletion of TDP-43 induces a down-regulation of G3BP1 transcript and protein (McDonald et al., 2011), and that defective SG assembly induced by TDP-43 depletion is fully restored by exogenous G3BP1 expression (Aulas et al., 2012). In the current manuscript, the linear relationship from TDP-43 to G3BP1 is preserved since nearly every phenotype observed in siTDP-43 cells is also observed in G3BP1-depleted cells. To determine if G3BP1 is the molecule directly mediating these effects, we reintroduced G3BP1 expression into TDP-43 cells. As we have previously published, in order to avoid artifacts from G3BP1 overexpression, G3BP1
cDNA is limited to 0.3 µg and only cells with low total fluorescence and without spontaneous cytoplasmic aggregates (sometimes referred to as constitutive SGs) are analyzed (Aulas et al., 2012; Fig. 6A, arrow). SG–PB docking, analyzed as described in Fig. 4B, was fully restored by exogenous G3BP1 expression (Fig. 6B). In addition, the progressive loss of polyadenylated mRNA after consecutive SA exposures was fully rescued by G3BP1 expression (Fig. 6C). Thus, TDP-43 regulation of G3BP1 is central to coordinating the functional interaction between SGs and PBs.

**Discussion**

TDP-43 and G3BP1 depletion yields small SGs (Aulas et al., 2012). However, we show here that these smaller SGs contain canonical SG proteins such as elf3G, elf4A, HuR, and normal levels of polyadenylated mRNA. In addition, the localization of known G3BP1 binding partners, Caprin1 and USP10, is also undisturbed. Importantly, stress-induced translational arrest proceeds normally despite a defect in secondary SG aggregation. However, by three different assays, we demonstrate that TDP-43/G3BP1 depletion is associated with a defect in basal translation rates in HeLa cells, consistent with a previous study (Higashi et al., 2013), although this remains to be confirmed in additional cell types. In addition, we demonstrate that the defect in basal translation is not due to a loss of polyadenylated mRNA levels, which were increased in all siRNA conditions examined, compared with controls. It has been previously reported that a translational defect exists in TDP-43–depleted HeLa cells with a similar abundance in stalled preinitiation complexes. However, this was attributed to a decrease in total mRNA that could be available for translation (Higashi et al., 2013). Although we did not observe a decrease in total mRNA, in TDP-43– and G3BP1-depleted cells, less RNA was associated with polysomes and more was associated with monosomes, which is further consistent with impaired translation initiation. We speculate that, at least in TDP-43/G3BP1–depleted cells, the increase in basal polyadenylated mRNA could be attributed to reduced G3BP1 expression because G3BP1 has been reported to function as an endoribonuclease (Tourrière et al., 2001). However, FUS does not regulate G3BP1 expression. It remains unknown if FUS regulates posttranslational modifications on G3BP1 (e.g., phosphorylation, methylation) that are known to functionally impact G3BP1 (Tourrière et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2008). Alternatively, increased transcript levels in FUS-depleted cells could be due to some other unknown mechanism. The molecular aspects of translation that are affected in TDP-43– and G3BP1-depleted conditions warrant further study.

We have previously shown that TDP-43–mediated regulation of G3BP1 determines SG size (Aulas et al., 2012). We now conclude that SG size is a determinant of SG–PB docking. TDP-43 localization to SGs is maintained in G3BP1-depleted cells (Fig. S5). However, G3BP1 is absent from SGs in siTDP-43 cells due to down-regulation of its expression (Aulas et al., 2012). While we cannot exclude the possibility that there are other TDP-43–regulated SG proteins not investigated here that could be similarly absent from SGs, the data strongly suggest that G3BP1 is the central determinant of SG size and functional SG–PB interactions, as introduction of exogenous G3BP1 alone was sufficient to completely restore these aspects. Interestingly, the transition from primary to secondary aggregation has been postulated to integrate cellular signaling molecules via piggyback recruitment on core SG proteins, such as G3BP1 (Kedersha et al., 2000; Anderson and Kedersha, 2002; Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Bartoli et al., 2011; Wolozin, 2012). Our data extends this proposal to include SG–PB interactions. In addition, our data demonstrate that although there is some functional redundancy for G3BP1 and G3BP2 in SG nucleation, consistent with a published study (Matsuki et al., 2013), G3BP2 does not participate in SG secondary aggregation (unpublished data) or SG–PB docking in HeLa cells.

The current model in mammalian cells posits that upon stress, nontranslating mRNAs complexed with RNP s preferentially transit from polysomes to SGs (Brenquen et al., 2005). It is widely considered that at SGs, mRNPs are sorted either for degradation (presumably via docking and exchange with PBs),
returned to the polysome for reinitiation, or stored until the stress is abated and translation returns to normal (Kedersha et al., 2005). However, in yeast, there is evidence that suggests mRNPs localized to PBs can be remodeled and subsequently accumulated in SGs, effectively assigning the triage point to PBs rather than SGs (Buchan and Parker, 2009). As proposed by Buchan and Parker (2009), the relative rates of exchange of translation factors for PB proteins versus the aggregation of SG components might be the determining factor for mRNA fate (SG or PB) when translation is interrupted. Essentially, the question becomes: what determines the path of nontranslating mRNAs in times of stress? In our attempt to define the fate of mRNA immediately after polysome disassembly in TDP-43/G3BP1–depleted conditions, we developed an assay to determine the levels of polyadenylated mRNA after two consecutive rounds of SG assembly and disassembly. Here, we observed that although polyadenylated mRNA levels remain constant in siControl cells, implying efficient protection/storage of transcripts, there is a progressive and step-wise loss of mRNA when cells are depleted of TDP-43 or G3BP1. However, there is no evidence for decreased RNA synthesis, as steady-state polyadenylated mRNA levels are increased in basal conditions. In our view, as docking is not increased (to exchange mRNA from SGs to PBs), this scenario is only possible if nontranslating mRNAs preferentially transit from polysomes to PBs. At PBs, the transcripts are presumably degraded outright, and/or fail to be transferred to SGs. Moreover, because docking is not completely abolished, this suggests that both models coexist in mammalian cells (i.e., nontranslating mRNA can flow to both SGs and PBs). As TDP-43 localizes to SGs despite G3BP1 depletion, and reintroduction of G3BP1 alone to TDP-43–depleted cells rescues all phenotypes, we conclude that G3BP1 is a critical RBP that directs the preferential flow of mRNA from polysomes to SGs, possibly due to its role in driving the aggregation of SG components. In the absence of G3BP1 (either via direct siRNA or down-regulation as a consequence of TDP-43 siRNA), SG–PB contacts are less stable, resulting in the path to PBs to be used more often, consistent with the Buchan and Parker model. This implies that a functional significance of SG size is the preservation of mRNA transcripts.

Our data also clearly demonstrate an interesting subplot: while FUS does not influence SG–PB interactions, it does influence PB number and size, which suggests that PB dynamics might be a common underlying mechanism in ALS pathogenesis. In addition, translation levels remain comparable between siControl and siFUS cells, but there is an approximately threefold increase in PB formation and twofold increase in mRNA levels in basal conditions. This is consistent with reports that although changes in PB formation, mRNA levels, and translation often correlate, they are not fully interdependent, and thus FUS siRNA may be an important tool in further dissecting this mechanism (Teixeira et al., 2005; Sheth and Parker, 2006).

In the current report, using transformed cells and primary neurons, we establish that G3BP1, a target of TDP-43, is the critical regulator of the interaction between RNA granule subtypes, namely SGs and PBs. The data presented here are the first report that SG size, as determined by the presence of G3BP1, dictates the interactions between SGs and PBs. Moreover, we show that the progressive loss of polyadenylated transcripts is a functional consequence of sequentially disrupting normal SG assembly and subsequent interactions with PBs. We have previously reported that G3BP1 is a downstream target of the ALS-causing gene TDP-43 and that its protein levels are reduced in one of two transformed lymphoblastoid cells bearing TARDBP mutations (McDonald et al., 2011; Aulas et al., 2012). Moreover, TDP-43 misaccumulates into cytoplasmic inclusions concomitantly with nuclear depletion in 97% of all ALS cases (Ling et al., 2013). Thus, we speculate that TDP-43 mislocalization (and concomitant nuclear depletion) or mutation may result in dysregulation of G3BP1, which could be an important intersecting point in ALS pathogenesis.

Materials and methods

Immortalized cell culture and transfection

HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% glutamine. For transfection of siRNA, 125 pmol of custom siRNAs were transfected with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. siRNA sequences used were: human FUS/ALS #1, 5’-GGCCGAAGAUCUCCACUAGUA-3’; and human G3BP1 #1, 5’-AUCUUUGAGGGGACCCUUGGAU-3’. The use of animals and all surgical procedures described in this article and necessary information is listed in Table S1. Blots were visualized with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Antibody information is listed in Table S1. Blots were visualized with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Densitometry was performed with Photoshop CS4 (Adobe).

Mouse cortical neurons

The use of animals and all surgical procedures described in this article were performed according to guidelines set by the Canadian Council on Animal Care. Neurons were prepared from embryonic day 16 mouse embryos (C57BL/6). Cerebral cortices were treated with trypsin (0.025%, 37°C, 20 min). The reaction was stopped with trypsin inhibitor solution containing DNase. Neurons were dissociated by several passages through a Pasteur pipette and then plated either on glass coverslips or on culture dishes coated with polylysine and laminin (Sigma-Aldrich). Recovery was initiated by changing the media.

Immunoblot analysis

Cells were collected in ice-cold PBS, lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% SDS, 1% sodium deoxycholate, and protease inhibitors), incubated for 10 min on ice, then 10 min at room temperature, and centrifuged at 13,000 g. Supernatants were collected and quantified with the BCA Protein Assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Antibody information is listed in Table S1. Blots were visualized with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Densitometry was performed with Photoshop CS4 (Adobe).

Immunofluorescence

Cells grown on coverslips were fixed in 1% formaldehyde in PBS and subsequently permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min. Coverslips...
were blocked with 0.1% BSA in PBS for 15 min and incubated with primary antibodies (Table S1) diluted in blocking buffer for 1 h at room temperature. Coverslips were subsequently washed once with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS and twice with 0.1% BSA in PBS. Labeling was visualized with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies. Coverslips were washed three times in PBS and mounted with Prolong Antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Images were collected on a confocal microscope (SP5; Leica).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

Cells grown on coverslips were fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PBS and subsequently permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in 2x saline sodium citrate (SSC) for 15 min, then washed with 1x Tris, pH 8, for 5 min. Coverslips were blocked with 0.0005% BSA, 10 mg/ml yeast RNA diluted in 2x SSC, washed with 1x Tris, pH 8, for 5 min, and incubated with hybridization buffer ([1.3 ng oligo[dT] probe, 0.005% BSA, 1 mg/ml yeast RNA, 10% dextran sulfate, and 25% formamide, diluted in 2x SSC] for 1 h in a humid chamber. Coverslips were subsequently washed twice with 4x SSC for 5 min and once with 2x SSC for 5 min. Coverslips where incubated with primary antibodies for 1 h [details in the previous section] and then washed twice with 4x SSC for 5 min and once with 2x SSC for 5 min. Labeling was visualized with fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies, as above. Coverslips were washed twice with 4x SSC, once with 2x SSC, and then mounted with Prolong Antifade reagent (Invitrogen). Images were collected using 40x (1.25 NA) and 63x oil (1.7 NA) objective lenses on a confocal microscope (SP5; Leica) equipped with LAS AF software. (Leica) for acquisition. Total cellular fluorescence intensity of the oligo(dT) probe was determined in Photoshop CS4. The Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT kit [Invitrogen] was used to validate this approach.

Quantification of SG and PB size and number

SG and PB parameters were quantified with ImageJ. SGs and PBs were identified by TIA-1 and Dcp1α staining (or oligo[dT] and p54), respectively, and PBs were scored as at least when they had at least two foci with a minimum size of 0.75 and 0.15 μm², respectively. Automatic recognition by ImageJ used the following parameters: SGs ranging from 0.75 to 5 μm², PBs ranging from 0.15 to 5 μm² in a minimum of 10 randomly selected cells per condition.

Metabolic labeling

Cells were stressed [0.5 mM SA, 30 min] and collected at 0 (before stress), 90, and 180 min. Cells were pulsed with 50 μM [35S]methionine diluted in Metabolic labeling per condition. Cells were pulsed with 50 μM [35S]methionine diluted in Metabolic labeling per condition. Analysis was made manually using the same software set to 37°C. Images were collected every 30 s for 10 min with maximum sample protection using two different channels using Volocity 6.1.1 software for acquisition. Analysis was made manually using the same software following individual PBs between frames. Only PBs and SGs that remained in focus for the 10 min of filming were included in the analysis.

Statistics

Data were compared via two-tailed Student t test or Mann-Whitney, where appropriate.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows further analysis of impaired basal translation by riboprophylaxis and polysome profiling as well as alternate measure of polyadenylated mRNA levels in siRNA-treated cells. Fig. S2 is an analysis of PBs in basal conditions and examination of SG–PB docking with a second set of siRNAs, additional markers, and in a second cell type, Fig. S3 shows analysis of five-stage imaging experiments. Fig. S4 is confirmation of impaired mRNA preservation in HeLa cells using a second set of siRNAs. Fig. S5 shows normal TDP-43 recruitment to SGs in G3BP1- and FUS-depleted cells. Table S1 includes information for all antibodies used in this study. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201408092/DC1.
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