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Tryptophan 2,3-dioxygenase (TDO) and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) constitute an important, yet relatively poorly understood, family of heme-containing enzymes. Here, we report extensive structural and biochemical studies of the Xanthomonas campestris TDO and a related protein SO4414 from Shewanella oneidensis, including the structure at 1.6-Å resolution of the catalytically active, ferrous form of TDO in a binary complex with the substrate L-Trp. The carboxylate and ammonium moieties of tryptophan are recognized by electrostatic and hydrogen-bonding interactions with the enzyme and a propionate group of the heme, thus defining the L-stereospecificity. A second, possibly allosteric, L-Trp-binding site is present at the tetramer interface. The sixth coordination site of the heme-iron is vacant, providing a dioxygen-binding site that would also involve interactions with the ammonium moiety of L-Trp and the amide nitrogen of a glycine residue. The indole ring is positioned correctly for oxygenation at the C2 and C3 atoms. The active site is fully formed only in the binary complex, and biochemical experiments confirm this induced-fit behavior of the enzyme. The active site is completely devoid of water during catalysis, which is supported by our electrochemical studies showing significant stabilization of the enzyme upon substrate binding.
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Structure of TDO.

The structure of the X. campestris TDO monomer contains 12 helices (named αA through αL) and no β-strands (Figs. 1b and 2a). TDO is an intimately associated tetramer (Fig. 2b), and ∼4,500 Å² of the surface area of each monomer is buried in the tetramer. Helices αB and αC are located in the extensive, mostly hydrophobic interface between two of the monomers. The N-terminal segments (residues 21–40, including helix αA) of the two monomers are swapped in this dimer (Fig. 1a).

Structure Determination.

Crystals of the reduced (Fe(II)) TDO from X. campestris in a binary complex with the substrate L-Trp or 6-fluoro-Trp were obtained after extensive efforts and by using anerobic conditions, because the oxidized (Fe(III)) enzyme has much lower affinity for L-Trp (see below). The structures at up to 1.6-Å resolution of these binary complexes (Tables 1 and 2) as well as that of the free enzyme were determined by molecular replacement based on the structure of the apo enzyme, in the absence of heme, which we had determined by the selenomethionyl single-wavelength anomalous diffraction method (PDB entry 1YW0) (15).

The structure of the SO4414 protein from S. oneidensis (16) was determined at 2.4-Å resolution by molecular replacement based on our structure of the apo enzyme (PDB entry 1ZEE).
which is important for the catalysis by TDO because several residues in this segment are part of the binding site for the Trp residue in the active site (see below).

Binding Mode of the L-Trp Substrate to TDO. Our structure of the binary complex defines the molecular mechanism for the recognition of the L-Trp substrate by TDO. Clear electron density was observed for heme and L-Trp in the active site based on the crystallographic analysis at 1.6-Å resolution (Fig. 3a). The L-Trp substrate is located in a pocket over the distal face of the heme, having interactions with residues in helices αH9 and αD, and the αD–αE and αD–αK loops (Figs. 1b and 3b). The carboxylate group of Trp is recognized by bidentate ion-pair interactions with the side chain of Arg 117 (in helix αD). The carboxylate group is also hydrogen-bonded to the side chain hydroxyl of Tyr 113 (helix αD) and the main chain amide of Thr 254 (αD–αK loop). The ammonium ion of L-Trp is recognized by the 7-propionate side chain of the heme group (Fig. 3b), and it is also hydrogen-bonded to the side chain hydroxyl of Thr 254. The indole ring is located ~3.5 Å above and perpendicular to the heme and is held in place by van der Waals interactions with the side chains of Phe 51 (helix αB) and several other hydrophobic residues, including Tyr 24, Tyr 27, and Leu 28 from the N-terminal segment of another monomer of the tetramer (Fig. 3b). In addition, the N1 nitrogen of the indole ring is hydrogen-bonded to the side chain of His 55 (helix αD) (Fig. 3b).

A water molecule is present in the active site of this binary complex (Fig. 3a), hydrogen-bonded to the ammonium ion of L-Trp and the main-chain amide of residue Gly 125 (Fig. 3b). The water is 3.5 Å from the ferrous atom in the heme, too far for ligating interactions. The iron atom is still 0.3 Å out of the plane of the heme, on the side of the proximal His 240 ligand (SI Fig. 5).

The crystal was exposed to a solution saturated with nitric oxide (NO) before being flash-frozen, but we did not observe the binding of this dioxygen analog in the structure. This is confirmed by our structure of the binary complex with 6-fluoro-Trp, which was not exposed to NO but contained the same density for the water molecule (SI Fig. 6). The structure of the 6-fluoro-Trp binary complex is essentially identical to that of the L-Trp binary complex (SI Fig. 6). NO probably dissociated from the heme during the cryofreezing manipulations in the anaerobic box.

Induced-Fit Behavior of TDO. Our structural information suggests that TDO is an induced-fit enzyme. Although the active site...
Implications for Substrate Binding by IDO. Our structure of the binary complex of TDO also has significant implications for substrate recognition by the IDOs. The rms distance for 201 structurally equivalent Ca atoms between TDO and the large domain of human IDO (PDB entry 2D0T) (14) is 3.1 Å (SI Fig. 8), calculated with the program Dali (17). Despite sharing only 10% overall sequence identity, key active-site residues are similar in TDO and IDO (Fig. 1b; and see SI Table 4), and L-Trp may have the same binding mode to the active site of IDO (SI Fig. 9). Ionic interactions that are important for recognizing the L-Trp substrate ammonium and carboxylate group are conserved in IDO (Arg 231 and 7-propionate), explaining why L-Trp is a much better substrate than D-Trp for IDO. At the same time, hydrogen-bonding interactions between these atoms may be absent in IDO, because Tyr 113 of TDO is replaced by Phe 226 in IDO (SI Fig. 9), and the Thr 254 residue may not have an equivalent in IDO because the αJ–αK loop is disordered in the IDO structure (SI Fig. 7). The Thr 254 residue may not have an equivalent in IDO, because Tyr 113 of TDO is replaced by Phe 226 in IDO (SI Fig. 8) (16), with an rms distance of 4.4 Å for 206 equivalent Ca atoms, suggesting that SO4414 may also be a dioxygenase. Moreover, the structure contains an extra domain that is formed by residues at the N terminus, similar to the small domain in human IDO (SI Fig. 8). In contrast to human IDO, SO4414 is a tetramer but with a different organization compared with that of TDO (SI Fig. 10). Our biochemical efforts so far have not been able to demonstrate IDO (or TDO) activity for this protein, suggesting that SO4414 may prefer a different substrate for oxygenation.

A Model for the Michaelis Complex. To help provide further insight into the catalysis by these enzymes, we built a model for the Michaelis complex, by placing one oxygen atom (O1) of the dioxygen substrate directly over the heme iron, at a distance of 2.1 Å (Fig. 4a). The distal oxygen atom (O2) was placed such that the O1–O2 bond is parallel to the C2–C3 bond of indole ring, giving a Fe–O1–O2 angle of 135°. This conformation places the O2 atom within 0.5 Å of the water molecule observed in our structure (Fig. 4a), suggesting that this water should be ejected from the active site upon dioxygen binding. The active site is therefore completely devoid of solvent molecules in this Michaelis complex.

The modeled dioxygen-binding mode reveals the activation mechanism of this substrate for the reaction. It has been established that TDO has an ordered catalytic cycle in which the protein first binds L-Trp to the ferrous form, and then binding of dioxygen is facilitated, and nucleophile attack from the substrate C3 is initiated (3, 13, 19). In the model, the distal oxygen atom interacts with the L-Trp ammonium moiety and the backbone amide nitrogen of Gly 125 (Fig. 4a). The Lewis acidity pocket is well defined in the binary complex (Fig. 3b), the αJ–αK loop, which helps to form the walls of this pocket, is disordered in the free enzyme, and the αD–αE loop has a somewhat different conformation (Fig. 3c; and see SI Fig. 7). Moreover, the Arg 117 side chain assumes a different conformation in the free enzyme (Fig. 3c). Upon recognition of the L-Trp substrate, a complex and extensive network of interactions is established (Fig. 3b), thus stabilizing the active site region. Although this region is exposed to the solvent in the free enzyme, it is completely shielded from the solvent in the binary complex, and only the carboxylate group of the 6-propionate of heme is visible on the surface.

Additional evidence for the induced-fit behavior is observed in the active site of the second TDO monomer in the asymmetric unit. The binding mode of the L-Trp substrate is very different in this monomer (Fig. 3d; and see SI Fig. 7). The Trp side chain is not positioned as deeply into the pocket, and the hydrogen bond between the ring nitrogen and the side chain of His 55 is lost (distance of 3.8 Å). Both nitrogen atoms are instead hydrogen-bonded to a water molecule, located 3.5 Å from the heme iron but at a position distinct from that of the water in the active site of the other monomer (Fig. 3d). This conformation may also be stabilized by crystal packing interactions, as the 7-propionate of heme is ion-paired with an Arg residue from another TDO tetramer in the crystal. The main chain atoms of the Trp substrate appear to be disordered, because no clear backbone amide density was observed for them (Fig. 3e). Consistent with this finding, the αJ–αK loop is disordered in this molecule, similar to that in the free enzyme (Fig. 3d). This complex may represent an initial stage in the formation of the Michaelis complex of TDO. Proper positioning of the L-Trp substrate for catalysis would lead to the recognition of its main chain atoms and the ordering of the αJ–αK loop.

Table 1. Summary of crystallographic information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>TDO (holoenzyme)</th>
<th>TDO (apoenzyme)</th>
<th>SO4414 (holoenzyme)</th>
<th>SO4414 (apoenzyme)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>L-Trp</td>
<td>6-fluoro-Trp</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum resolution, Å</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rmerge, %*</td>
<td>6.9 (52.7)</td>
<td>8.6 (60.9)</td>
<td>13.0 (32.3)</td>
<td>16.6 (74.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam line</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>ESRF BM14</td>
<td>NSLS X4A</td>
<td>APS 21BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness, %</td>
<td>87 (65)</td>
<td>87 (61)</td>
<td>72 (54)</td>
<td>82 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R factor, %†</td>
<td>17.1 (18.9)</td>
<td>16.6 (17.9)</td>
<td>25.7 (25.7)</td>
<td>25.0 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free R factor, %</td>
<td>18.9 (22.0)</td>
<td>18.4 (21.1)</td>
<td>26.3 (26.2)</td>
<td>29.4 (35.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rms deviation in bond lengths, Å</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most-favored region, %</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nonstandard residue and angle symbols are defined in Methods. The numbers in parentheses are for the highest-resolution shell.
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Fig. 3. Molecular basis for substrate recognition by TDO. (a) Final $2F_o - F_c$ electron density at 1.6-Å resolution for heme, L-Trp, and a water in the active site. Contoured at 1σ. (b) Stereo drawing showing the active site of X. campestris TDO in the binary complex with L-Trp. The segment in cyan is from another monomer of the tetramer. Hydrogen-bonding interactions are indicated with dashed lines in magenta. (c) Overlay of the structures of the free enzyme (in orchid) and the binary complex (yellow and cyan) in the active-site region. Regions of conformational differences are indicated with red arrows. (d) Overlay of the active-site region of the second monomer (in green) and that of the first monomer (in yellow). Only the side-chain atoms of Trp are shown in the second monomer (in magenta). (e) Final $2F_o - F_c$ electron density at 1.6-Å resolution for heme, L-Trp, and a water in the active site of the second TDO molecule in the crystal. Contoured at 1σ. Two conformations for the main chain atoms are shown, but neither fit the density well. For the stereo version of c and d, please see SI Fig. 7. Produced with Molscript (35) and rendered with Raster3D (36).

of the hydrogen-bonding donors, coupled with the electron-withdrawing nature of the heme, would increase the electrophilicity of the bound dioxygen and render it more susceptible to nucleophilic attack by the substrate C3 atom. The increased hydrophilicity of the active site upon the exclusion of water would also aid the stabilization of an oxyferrous species. Studies with heme oxygenase suggest that the hydrogen-bonding interactions to the dioxygen substrate may also help to prevent its heterolysis (20), and the exclusion of water probably removes a hydrogen-bond competitor to the dioxygen. After the initial attack by the C3 atom, the reaction may proceed via a Criegee rearrangement or a dioxetane intermediate (SI Fig. 11). In the model, the O1–O2 atoms are in a trans configuration relative to the C2–C3 atoms of L-Trp (Fig. 4c), which may favor the Criegee rearrangement pathway (SI Fig. 11) (19). The Criegee pathway is also favored based on chemical, thermodynamic, and quantum mechanical considerations (3).

Our model for the Michaelis complex shows that the O1 atom is 2.6 Å from the N1 atom of L-Trp and therefore can act as the general base to extract the proton from the N1 atom (SI Fig. 11) (19). The N1 atom is hydrogen-bonded to His 55 in TDO. However, our biochemical studies show that the $k_{cat}$ of TDO is relatively insensitive to pH over the range examined (pH 6 to pH 8) (Fig. 4b), and the H55A mutant had only a 10-fold decrease in the $k_{cat}$ (Table 2), suggesting that this residue is not essential for catalysis, consistent with its replacement with a Ser residue in IDO. On the other hand, the $K_m$ shows a marked increase at lower pH (Fig. 4b), probably because of the protonation of this residue.

An Allosteric Binding Site in the Tetramer Interface. We also observed the binding of four L-Trp residues to an allosteric site in the interface of the tetramer (Fig. 2b), with well defined electron density (SI Fig. 12). The L-Trp residue appears to be recognized specifically by the enzyme in this pocket (SI Fig. 12). There have been reports of allosteric activation by the substrate L-Trp (21, 22), and our observations offer a possibility for this effector site. Unfortunately, our kinetic studies so far have not shown any allosteric effects with X. campestris TDO. This site is not occupied in the 6-fluoro-Trp complex, possibly because of the lower concentration of this compound in the crystallization solution.

Biochemical Studies Confirm the Structural Observations. X. campestris TDO has robust catalytic activity toward L-Trp and 6-fluoro-Trp but is inactive toward D-Trp, tryptamine or indolepropionic acid (Table 2), confirming its designation as a TDO. In fact, D-Trp is a weak, competitive inhibitor of the enzyme at high concentrations (Table 2). Our binding data show that D-Trp has much lower affinity for the enzyme than L-Trp (Table 2), consistent with our structural information and explaining why D-Trp cannot be oxygenated by TDO.

The biochemical studies also provide direct evidence for the induced-fit behavior of TDO. There is a large increase in the affinity of the enzyme for L-Trp when the heme iron is reduced ($K_d$ [ferric Fe(III) heme] = 3.8 mM, whereas $K_d$ [ferrous Fe(II) heme] = 4.1 μM) (Table 2). The electrochemistry data show a large, positive shift in reduction potential (+136 mV) in the presence of 15 mM L-Trp (Fig. 4c). In fact, the shift in reduction potential almost perfectly correlates with the increase in affinity for L-Trp on reduction, both giving an estimated ΔΔG of 15 kJ/mol. These data show that there is a significant stabilization of the ferrous form when substrate is bound. This stabilization could also play a physiological role to keep the protein reduced, and therefore active, when L-Trp is present.

Our structural studies have defined the binding mode of the substrate L-Trp to TDO, revealing the structural basis for the stereospecificity of this important enzyme. The induced-fit behavior of TDO, confirmed by our biophysical studies, appears crucial for the exclusion of water from the active site and for stabilizing the enzyme in the presence of the substrates. Finally, structural comparisons among these enzymes reveal the striking evolutionary conservation of the heme-dependent dioxygenases.

Materials and Methods

The experimental protocols are summarized here. More detailed information can be found in SI Materials and Methods.

Protein Expression and Purification. Full-length X. campestris TDO (NESG ID XcR13) and S. oneidensis SO4414 (NESG ID SoR52) were cloned into a pET-21d (Novagen, San Diego, CA) derivative, with a C-terminal hexahistidine tag, and overexpressed at 17°C in Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) pMGK cells. Hemin (7 μM
final concentration) was included in the media for preparation of the holoenzyme samples (23). The protein was purified by using nickel-affinity and gel-filtration chromatography.

Point mutations were created with the QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The mutations and their associated ORFs were verified by DNA sequence analysis.

**Protein Crystallization.** To obtain the structure of TDO in the ferrous state, the protein was reduced by the addition of sodium dithionite, and all steps were performed in an anaerobic glove box (Belle Technology, Dorset, U.K.), with the O$_2$ concentration maintained <2 ppm. Excess sodium dithionite was removed by gel filtration (Sephadex G25 column) before crystallization. Crystals of TDO were grown by the sitting-drop vapor diffusion method with a well solution comprising 100 mM Mes (pH 6.3), 10–12% (wt/vol) PEG 4000, 60 mM MnCl$_2$, 10 mM sodium dithionite, and 2 mM L-Trp. Before mounting in nylon loops and flash-freezing in liquid nitrogen, crystals were immersed in a cryoprotectant solution composed of mother liquor (with L-Trp concentration increased to 50 mM) supplemented with 23% (vol/vol) glycerol and bubbled with nitric oxide for 15 min before use.

**Data Collection and Processing.** X-ray diffraction data were collected at the X4A beam line of National Synchrotron Light Source (Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY), the 21BM beam line at Advanced Photon Source (Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL), and the BM14 beam line at the ESRF (Grenoble, France). The data were processed with the programs DENZO and SCALEPACK (24, 25). The structures were solved by the molecular replacement method using the software MolREP (26) with the wild-type and H55A mutant structures as search models. The models were refined with the program REFMAC (27). The structures were analyzed with the programs COOT (28) and MolProbity (29), and the maps were inspected with the program UCSF Chimera (30).

**Table 2. Summary of kinetic data on *X. campestris* TDO**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$K_m$, mM</th>
<th>$K_d$, heme, mM</th>
<th>$K_d$, ferrous heme, mM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>L-Trp</td>
<td>19.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>114 ± 1</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.14</td>
<td>4.12 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D-Trp</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.5 mM ± 3.3*</td>
<td>&gt;50†</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6-F-D/L-Trp</td>
<td>37.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>186 ± 12</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.42</td>
<td>&lt;1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-F-D/L-Trp</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.10</td>
<td>100 ± 6</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.08</td>
<td>&lt;1†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indolepropionic acid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;10†</td>
<td>126 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O$_2$§</td>
<td>35.4 ± 0.9</td>
<td>119 ± 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H55A mutant</td>
<td>L-Trp</td>
<td>2.86 ± 0.10</td>
<td>133 ± 7</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, $K_d$ for O$_2$ cannot be measured in the absence of substrate because of oxidation or in the presence of substrate because of turnover; NC, No spectral change detected; ND, not done.

*Inhibitory constant, $K_i$.

†Although a spectral change was evident, substrate solubility prevented accurate measurement of $K_d$. Values were estimated based on the maximum substrate concentration attainable.

‡ Binding was too tight to be measured. Values quoted represent the minimum $K_d$ that can be measured under standard assay conditions.

§The peak positions of the oxyferrous complex (O$_2$-TDO) are at 420 nm, 548 nm, and 578 nm.
European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (Grenoble, France). The diffraction images were processed and scaled with the HKL package (24). The data-processing statistics are summarized in Table 1, and more complete information can be found in SI Table 3.

Structure Determination and Refinement. The structures of the apo enzymes of TDO and SO4414 were determined by the selenomethionyl single-wavelength anomalous diffraction method (15). The selenium sites were located with SnB (25), and the reflection phases were calculated with Solve/Resolve (26). The structures of the holoenzymes and the ternary complex were determined by molecular-replacement method, with the programs COMO (27) and AMoRe (28). The atomic models were built with the program XtalView (29) and TURBO-FRODO (30), and the structure refinement was carried out with CNS (31).

Electronic Spectroscopy, Steady-State Assays, and Dissociation Constant Measurements. Electronic absorption spectra were recorded by using a Cary 30-Probe UV-Visible spectrophotometer at 25°C. Assays for the steady-state turnover (at pH 7.5) of L-Trp and derivatives were performed as described (32, 33), except that substrate concentrations of 0–15 mM were used. The kinetic data were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation. The pH dependence of the steady-state kinetics was determined in the same manner, by using phosphate (pH 6.0–8.0) and Tris (pH 8.0–9.0) buffers. The electronic absorption spectra of the steady state were recorded by using a stopped-flow spectrophotometer (SX.17MV; Applied-Photophysics, Surrey, U.K.) in conjunction with a diode array detector, housed in an anaerobic glove box ([O2], <5 ppm; Belle Technology).

OTTLE Electrochemistry. Anoerobic potentiometric titrations were carried out as described (34) at 25°C by using a modified quartz EPR OTTLE cell. Titrations were performed in both the absence and presence of L-Trp (15 mM), and the heme reduction potentials were determined by fitting the data to the Nernst equation for a single-electron process by using Origin software (MicroCal, Northampton, MA). Reduction potentials are quoted versus the standard hydrogen electrode.
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